COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

Local mollification of metrics with small curvature concentration

Man-Chun Lee and Tang-Kai Lee

Compositio Math. **162** (2026), 2675–2697.

doi: 10.1017/S0010437X26103595

FOUNDATION
COMPOSITIO
MATHEMATICA



LONDON
MATHEMATICAL
SOCIETY
EST. 1865



https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Compositio Math. 162 (2026) 2675–2697
doi:10.1017/S0010437X26103595

Local mollification of metrics with small curvature concentration

Man-Chun Lee and Tang-Kai Lee

Abstract

In this work, we establish a local-smoothing result on metrics with small curvature concentration with respect to Sobolev constants and volume growth. As an application, we prove that the Gromov–Hausdorff limit of compact manifolds with bounded curvature concentration, Ahlfors n-regularity, and bounded Sobolev constants is a topological manifold away from finitely many points. In the complete non-compact case, we show that manifolds with Euclidean-type Sobolev inequality, Euclidean volume growth, and small curvature concentration are necessarily diffeomorphic to Euclidean spaces. In contrast with all previous works, we remove the Ricci-curvature condition.

1. Introduction

Given a complete manifold (M, g), one of the general themes in Riemannian geometry is to characterize the topology of M under curvature conditions on the metric g. An important theorem of Cheeger [Che70] shows that there are only finitely many diffeomorphism types for non-collapsed compact manifolds with bounded curvature and diameter. There have been many efforts to generalize Cheeger's finiteness theorem to weaker curvature assumptions. Relaxing the pointwise control $\|\mathrm{Rm}\|_{L^\infty}$ to an integral bound $\|\mathrm{Rm}\|_{L^p}$ has attracted much attention. The case $p = n/2$, which we call *bounded curvature concentration*, is particularly interesting since the integral is scaling invariant without being weighted by the volume. The integral bound of curvature also appears naturally in many geometric problems. Perhaps more importantly, integral curvature bounds naturally arise in studying singular spaces as a weak formulation of curvature. For instance, they play an important role in the RCD theory and positive-mass theorems on asymptotically flat manifolds with singularities. One naturally asks what geometric and topological results can be extended to integral curvature bounds.

There have been many interesting generalizations of comparison geometry in this direction (see, e.g., [PW97, PW01, Car19] and the references therein). An integral curvature bound is, however, not strong enough to constrain the topology in general. Indeed, it has been shown by Yang [Yan92] that the exact analogy of Cheeger's finiteness cannot be true in the case $p < +\infty$, due to the failure to control the volume locally. There is a gap phenomenon, as already observed in [Gal88, Yan92, PW97, PW01] (for a survey of more results in this direction, see [DW12, § 5]).

Received 1 November 2025, accepted in final form 24 August 2026.
2020 Mathematics Subject Classification 53E20 (primary).
Keywords: Ricci flow smoothing; curvature concentration; gap theorem; compactness theorem.

© The Author(s), 2026. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original article is properly cited. Written permission must be obtained prior to any commercial use. *Compositio Mathematica* is © Foundation Compositio Mathematica.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

In this work, we are particularly interested in the gap phenomenon and compactness under the scaling-invariant curvature control $\|\mathrm{Rm}\|_{L^{n/2}(M)} < +\infty$. In Ricci geometry, this condition has been studied extensively in the literature (for examples, see [And89, And90, AC91, BKN89, Nak88]). In [Che03], Cheeger systemically studied the singularities of the Gromov–Hausdorff limit of non-collapsed manifolds with Ricci lower bounds and integral curvature control. Particularly when $p = n/2$, Cheeger showed that the singularities must be isolated and the tangent cone at a singular point must be the cone over a quotient of the round sphere. One of the key ingredients is to prove an epsilon regularity result for a non-collapsed manifold with small curvature concentration. The method of Cheeger is elliptic, based on harmonic functions.

It is natural to ask if a metric with a sufficiently small integral curvature can be perturbed to a metric with a pointwise curvature bound in suitable topology, in order to study geometric compactness with only an integral curvature bound. To the best of our knowledge, the smoothing by Ricci flow has first been considered by Yang [Yan92]. The Ricci flow is a one-parameter family of metrics $g(t)$ on a manifold such that

$$\partial_t g(t) = -2\,\mathrm{Ric}(g(t)).$$

Viewed as a nonlinear geometric heat equation, the flow has been proven useful to regularize metrics and obtain striking consequences in geometry and topology. When both $\|\mathrm{Rm}\|_{L^{n/2}}$ and $\|\mathrm{Ric}\|_{L^p}$, $p > n/2$, are small, Yang [Yan92] has used the Ricci flow to show that the metric can be perturbed in the C^0 topology to a metric with bounded geometry. However, the C^0 perturbation is not expected in general if one only has a scaling-invariant curvature bound, which can be seen from the point of view of tangent cones.

In [CHL24], the first-named author with Chan and Huang have considered a parabolic approach to Cheeger's epsilon regularity theorem. In short, non-collapsed metrics with Ricci lower bounds and small local curvature concentration can be regularized by Ricci flow for a uniform short time with instantaneous bounded geometry. The perturbation is in the (pointed) Gromov–Hausdorff topology, in the presence of Ricci lower bounds by the distance estimate of [TW15]. This has improved and sharpened the result of Yang [Yan92]. It is based on constructing distance-like functions with critical integral Hessian estimates, using comparison geometry, so as to construct approximating Ricci-flow solutions with suitable control. This smoothing result has later been utilized by the first-named author and Chan [CL24] to prove a metric gap theorem of manifolds with $\mathrm{Ric} \geq 0$, Euclidean volume growth, and small curvature concentration (see also [Mar25a]). The proof in [CHL24] relies on the Schoen–Yau construction of proper functions, which uses Bochner's formula for eigenfunctions. The Ricci-curvature lower bound is crucial here in obtaining gradient estimates.

In this work, we further advance the results in [CHL24, Mar25b] and show that the local smoothing holds in a greater generality. In particular, we show that the assumption of Ricci-curvature lower bounds of any kind is redundant.

Theorem 1.1. *For $n \geq 3$ and $v_0, C_s > 0$, there exist $\delta_1(n, v_0, C_s), \Lambda_1(n, v_0, C_s), L(n, v_0, C_s)$, $r_1(n, v_0, C_s)$, and $\hat{T}(n, v_0, C_s) > 0$, such that the following holds. Let (M^n, g_0) be a manifold such that $B_{g_0}(x_0, 3 + \bar{r}) \Subset M$ for some $(x_0, \bar{r}) \in M \times [0, +\infty)$. Suppose that for all $x \in B_{g_0}(x_0, 2 + \bar{r})$:*

(a) $\mathrm{Vol}_{g_0}(B_{g_0}(x, r)) \leq v_0 r^n$ *for all* $r \in (0, 1]$;

(b) *for all* $u \in W_0^{1,2}(B_{g_0}(x, 1), g_0)$,

$$\left(\int_{B_{g_0}(x,1)} u^{2n/(n-2)}\, d\mathrm{vol}_{g_0} \right)^{(n-2)/n} \leq C_s \int_{B_{g_0}(x,1)} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2)\, d\mathrm{vol}_{g_0};$$

and

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(c) $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{g_0}(x,1),g_0)} \le \varepsilon$ *for some* $\varepsilon \in (0, \delta_1]$.

Then there exists a smooth Ricci-flow solution $g(t)$ *defined on* $B_{g_0}(x_0, 1+\bar{r}) \times [0, \hat{T}]$ *such that* $g(0) = g_0$ *and:*

(1) $|\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))| \le \Lambda_1 t^{-1}(\varepsilon + t^{2/n}) \le 1/(4(n-1))t^{-1}$;

(2) $\mathrm{inj}(g(t)) \ge \sqrt{\Lambda_1^{-1} t}$;

(3) $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{g(t)}(x,1/2))} \le \Lambda_1(\varepsilon + t^{2/n})$; *and*

(4) $\nu(B_{g(t)}(x, 2L\sqrt{\hat{T}}, g(t), L^2\hat{T}) \ge -\Lambda_1$

for all $(x,t) \in B_{g_0}(x_0, 1+\bar{r}) \times (0, \hat{T}]$. *Moreover, for all* $x, y \in B_{g_0}(x_0, r_1)$ *and* $t \in [0, \hat{T}]$,

$$\Lambda_1^{-1}[d_{g(t)}(x,y)]^{1/(1-2(n-1)\Lambda_1\varepsilon)} \le d_{g_0}(x,y) \le \Lambda_1[d_{g(t)}(x,y)]^{1/(1+2(n-1)\Lambda_1\varepsilon)}.$$

Remark 1.2. The entropy lower bound measures the optimal constant of the log-Sobolev inequality. In terms of boundedness, this is equivalent to a (weighted) Sobolev constant (for an example, see [CCL22, Ye15]).

From the instantaneous curvature bound of $g(t)$, Theorem 1.1 provides a local coordinate for g_0 quantitatively such that the distance is locally bi-Hölder equivalent to the Euclidean space. The smoothing is purely local in nature and does not require any local pointwise curvature bound. We use it to consider Ahlfors n-regular compact manifolds with bounded diameters, Sobolev constants, and curvature concentration. We use the local smoothing to show that the Gromov–Hausdorff limit of a sequence of manifolds in this collection must be a topological manifold away from finitely many points.

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $n \ge 3$ *and let* $C_s, v_0, \Lambda_0, D_0 > 0$. *Suppose that* (M_i^n, g_i) *is a sequence of compact manifolds such that*

(a) *for all* $u \in W^{1,2}(M_i)$,

$$\left(\int_{M_i} u^{2n/(n-2)} \, d\mathrm{vol}_{g_i} \right)^{(n-2)/n} \le C_s \int_{M_i} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) \, d\mathrm{vol}_{g_i};$$

(b) $\mathrm{Vol}_{g_i}(B_{g_i}(x,r)) \le v_0 r^n$ *for all* $(x,r) \in M_i \times (0,1]$;

(c) $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g_i)\|_{L^{n/2}(M_i)} \le \Lambda_0$;

(d) $\mathrm{diam}(M_i) \le D_0$.

Then there exists a compact metric space (X, d_∞) *and* $\mathcal{S} = \{p_i\}_{i=1}^N$ *such that* (M_i, g_i) *converges subsequentially to* (X, d_∞) *in the Gromov–Hausdorff topology and* $X \setminus \mathcal{S}$ *is a topological manifold.*

In the presence of Ricci lower bounds, the Sobolev constant can be ensured by volume noncollapsing. Thus, this theorem can be viewed as a generalization of results in Ricci geometry (see the compactness results in [And89, And90, BKN89]). In particular, we extend the related compactness to cases without Ricci control. When Λ_0 is sufficiently small, the singular set $\mathcal{S}$ is empty and the limit X admits a smooth structure by the Ricci-flow smoothing in Theorem 1.1 (see [CCL22, Theorem 1.4]). It is, however, unclear to us if this is the case in general (see Remark 4.5). In the presence of Ricci-curvature lower bounds, it is also known that the tangent cone at $p \in \mathcal{S}$ is given by $C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}/\Gamma)$ by the deep work of Cheeger [Che03]. This plays an important role in the recent work of Jiang and Wei [JW25] on the finiteness of diffeomorphisms. It will be interesting

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

to know if the finite diffeomorphism theorem in [JW25] can be generalized to the case without the Ricci-curvature assumption. This is related to identifying the asymptotic behavior near a singular point $p \in \mathcal{S}$.

Another application of Theorem 1.1 is about complete non-compact geometry and is related to the case without singularities. We consider the topological gap phenomenon of complete non-compact manifolds with small curvature concentration. The problem has been studied by many authors (see, e.g., [CC97, Led99, Xia99, Xia01, Che03, Che22, CHL24, CM26b] and the references therein). We show that a manifold with small curvature concentration is diffeomorphic to the Euclidean space provided that it supports a Euclidean-type Sobolev inequality and has Euclidean volume growth. This does not rely on the Ricci curvature and thus extends the results in [CHL24, Che03].

Theorem 1.4. *For $n \geq 3$ and $C_s, v_0 > 0$, there exists $\delta_0(n, C_s, v_0) > 0$ such that the following is true. Suppose that (M^n, g_0) is a complete non-compact manifold such that:*

(a) *for all $u \in W_0^{1,2}(M)$,*

$$\left(\int_M u^{2n/(n-2)} \, d\mathrm{vol}_{g_0} \right)^{(n-2)/n} \leq C_s \int_M |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mathrm{vol}_{g_0};$$

(b) $\mathrm{Vol}_{g_0}(B_{g_0}(x, r)) \leq v_0 r^n$ *for all* $(x, r) \in M \times \mathbb{R}_+$*; and*

(c) $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)\|_{L^{n/2}(M)} \leq \delta_0(n, C_s, v_0)$.

Then M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The Sobolev condition plays a strong role in non-collapsing. It is also easily seen from the Eguchi–Hanson metric that smallness on $\|\mathrm{Rm}\|_{L^{n/2}}$ is necessary. It is, however, unclear if this is still necessary when n is odd.

When the negative part of Ricci curvature has a stronger decay, the volume-growth condition holds automatically by the work of Carron [Car19, Theorem B]. It will be interesting to know if it is necessary to assume (b) *a priori* in Theorem 1.4 (cf. [CM26a] for the case of bounded curvatures).

A natural collection to consider is the class of Euclidean submanifolds. Based on the Sobolev inequality of Michael and Simon [MS73], a complete Euclidean submanifold with small total curvature satisfies the Euclidean-type Sobolev inequality. Thus, Theorem 1.4 implies that such a submanifold is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$ if its volume growth is Euclidean. Moreover, when the submanifold is a convex hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, one can remove the volume-growth assumption and use the main theorem in [CL24] to show that the hypersurface is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^n$ when it has small total curvature. In general, in Theorem 1.4, when the scalar curvature is, furthermore, assumed to be non-negative, we expect to get a stronger rigidity result as in the Euclidian case (see Remark 5.4).

We organize the paper as follows. Section 2 provides the necessary *a priori* estimates that we will need in § 3, in which the main local-smoothing result (Theorem 1.1) is proven. We prove the compactness theorem (Theorem 1.3) in § 4 and the topological gap theorem (Theorem 1.4) in § 5.

2. *A priori* estimates of Ricci flow

In this section, we will derive some *a priori* estimates of the Ricci flow, this being related to the curvature concentration.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Along the Ricci flow, it is more common to consider the log-Sobolev constant, which is measured by the ν-entropy. Due to the celebrated work of Perelman [Per02], it is well-known that the ν-entropy is monotone along a complete Ricci flow with bounded curvature (see also the complete non-compact case by Chau, Tam, and Yu [CTY11]). We need a localized version by Wang [Wan18]. Following [Wan18], for a connected domain Ω with possibly empty boundary in M, we define

$$\begin{cases} D_g(\Omega) := \{u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : u \geq 0 \text{ and } \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1\}, \\ W(\Omega, g, u, \tau) := \displaystyle\int_\Omega (\tau(\mathcal{R} \cdot u^2 + 4|\nabla u|^2) - 2u^2 \log u)\, d\mathrm{vol}_g - \frac{n}{2}\log(4\pi\tau) - n, \\ \nu(\Omega, g, \tau) := \displaystyle\inf_{u \in D_g(\Omega), s \in (0,\tau]} W(\Omega, g, u, s), \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ denotes the scalar curvature.

The local entropy $\nu(\Omega, g, \tau)$ measures the optimal (weighed) log-Sobolev constant of Ω in scale of τ with respect to g. This also controls the Sobolev constant in some suitable scale by [Mar25a, Lemma 3.3], which is built on [Ye15]. If $g(t)$ is a complete Ricci flow on Ω with bounded curvature, it is well-known that $\nu(\Omega, g(t), \tau - t)$ is monotonically non-decreasing in t, again by the celebrated work of Perelman [Per02].

Although the (almost) monotonicity of the (localized) ν-entropy does not necessarily hold for incomplete local Ricci-flow solutions, we will construct local solutions in such a way that the entropy is still under control.

In this section, we will derive *a priori* estimates, assuming a bound on the localized entropy. We first need a local persistence of local curvature concentration, which is an essential key to the semi-preservation of small curvature concentration (see [CCL22, CHL24, CL24, CM26a, CM26b, Mar25a, Mar25b, Che22]). We need the following localized version of [CL24, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 2.1. *For all $A, \alpha > 0$, there exist $\delta_1(n, A) \in (0, 1)$, $\tilde{T}(n, \alpha), C_1(n) > 0$ such that the following is true. Suppose that $g(t)$ is a smooth solution to the Ricci flow on $M \times [0, T]$ and $x_0 \in M$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$:*

(a) $B_{g(t)}(x_0, 2) \Subset M$;
(b) $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{g(t)}(x_0,2),g(t))} < \delta_0$ *for some* $\delta_0 \leq \delta_1$;
(c) $\nu(B_{g(t)}(x_0, 2), g(t), 1) \geq -A$; *and*
(d) $\mathrm{Ric}(g(t)) \leq \alpha(n-1)t^{-1}$.

Then, for all $t \in [0, T \wedge \tilde{T}]$, we have

$$\int_{B_{g(t)}(x_0,1)} |\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))|^{n/2}\, d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)} \leq \int_{B_{g_0}(x_0,2)} |\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)|^{n/2}\, d\mathrm{vol}_{g_0} + C_1\delta_0^{n/2}t.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi(s)$ be a smooth non-increasing function on $[0, +\infty)$ such that $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $[0, 1]$, vanishes outside $[0, 2]$, and satisfies $|\varphi'|^2 \leq 10^3\varphi$ and $\varphi'' \geq -10^3\varphi$. Let

$$\eta(x, t) := d_{g(t)}(x, x_0) + 2(\alpha + 1)(n - 1)\sqrt{t}$$

and define $\phi(x, t) := e^{-10^3 t}\varphi(\eta(x, t))$ for $x \in B_{g(t)}(x_0, 2)$ and $t \in [0, T]$. It follows from [Per02, Lemma 8.3] that there exists $\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}(n, \alpha) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{g(t)}\right)\phi \leq 0$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

for $t \in [0, T \wedge \tilde{T}]$, in the barrier sense (for a detailed discussion, see also [ST22, Lemma 7.1]). The inequality also holds in the distribution sense by [MMU14, Appendix A].

Furthermore, $|\nabla\phi|^2 \le 10^3\phi$, so that [CCL22, Lemma 2.1] implies

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_M \phi^2|\mathrm{Rm}|^{n/2}\,d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)} &\le -C_0^{-1}\int_M |\nabla(\phi|\mathrm{Rm}|^{n/4})|^2 d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)}\\ &\quad + C_0\int_M \phi^2|\mathrm{Rm}|^{n/2+1}\,d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)}\\ &\quad + C_0\int_M \phi|\mathrm{Rm}|^{n/2}\,d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)}\\ &:= -\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{II}+\mathbf{III}\end{aligned}$$

for some dimensional constant $C_0(n) > 0$. We note that the evolution equation in [CCL22, Lemma 2.1] is purely local, and thus the completeness of the solution there is not necessary.

Since $\phi(\cdot, t)$ is compactly supported on $B_{g(t)}(x_0, 2)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\mathbf{III} \le C_0\delta_0^{n/2}.$$

Similarly, together with Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{II} &\le C_0\|\mathrm{Rm}\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{g(t)}(x_0,2))}\cdot\left(\int_M \phi^{2n/(n-2)}|\mathrm{Rm}|^{n^2/(2(n-2))}\,d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)}\right)^{(n-2)/n}\\ &\le C_0\delta_0\cdot\left(\int_M \phi^{2n/(n-2)}|\mathrm{Rm}|^{n^2/(2(n-2))}\,d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)}\right)^{(n-2)/n}.\end{aligned}$$

It remains to handle $\mathbf{I}$. For any $t \in [0, T \wedge \tilde{T}]$, we apply [Mar25a, Lemma 3.3(2)] with $\Omega = B_{g(t)}(x_0, 2)$, $g = g(t)$ and $\tau = 1$ to deduce that for some $\Lambda_0(n, A) > 0$, we have

$$\left(\int_{B_{g(t)}(x_0,2)} |u|^{2n/(n-2)}\,d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)}\right)^{(n-2)/n} \le \Lambda_0\int_{B_{g(t)}(x_0,2)}(|\nabla u|^2 + u^2)\,d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)} \tag{2.1}$$

for all $u \in W_0^{1,2}(B_{g(t)}(x_0, 2))$, provided that $\delta_0 \le \delta_1$ and that δ_1 is small enough depending on A. Applying (2.1) to $u := \phi|\mathrm{Rm}|^{n/4}$ yields

$$\mathbf{I} \ge (C_0\Lambda_0)^{-1}\left(\int_M \phi^{2n/(n-2)}|\mathrm{Rm}|^{n^2/(2(n-2))}\,d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)}\right)^{(n-2)/n} - C_0^{-1}\int_M \phi^2|\mathrm{Rm}|^{n/2}\,d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)}.$$

Combining the above estimates, we conclude that if $\delta_0 \le \delta_1 \le (C_0^2\Lambda_0)^{-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_M \phi^2|\mathrm{Rm}|^{n/2}\,d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)}\\ &\quad\le (C_0 + C_0^{-1})\delta_0^{n/2} + (C_0\delta_0 - (C_0\Lambda_0)^{-1})\cdot\left(\int_M \phi^{2n/(n-2)}|\mathrm{Rm}|^{n^2/(2(n-2))}\,d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)}\right)^{(n-2)/n}\\ &\quad\le C_1(n)\delta_0^{n/2}.\end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows from integrating this from the initial time slice. This completes the proof. □

Remark 2.2. Here, we implicitly assume that δ_1 is small enough so that assumption in [Mar25a, (2), Lemma 3.3] is fulfilled.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

We now show that if we have *a priori* scaling-invariant curvature decay in time, then assumption (b) in Lemma 2.1 will be satisfied, provided that the initial metric is quantitatively Ahlfors n-regular.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *For all $A, v_0 > 1$, there exist $\tilde{T}(n)$, $\delta_0(n, v_0, A) > 0$ and $\alpha_0(n, v_0, A) \in (0, 1)$ such that the following holds. Suppose that $g(t)$ is a smooth solution to the Ricci flow on $M \times [0, T]$ and $x_0 \in M$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, we have:*

(a) $B_{g(t)}(x_0, 2) \Subset M$;
(b) $|\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))| \le \alpha_0 t^{-1}$ *on* $B_{g(t)}(x_0, 2)$;
(c) $\nu(B_{g(t)}(x_0, 2), g(t), 1) \ge -A$;
(d) $\mathrm{Vol}_{g_0}(B_{g_0}(x, r)) \le v_0 r^n$ *for* $x \in B_{g_0}(x_0, 1)$ *and* $r \in (0, 1]$; *and*
(e) $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{g_0}(x_0,2))} < \delta_0$.

Then for all $t \in [0, T \wedge \tilde{T}]$,

$$\left(\int_{B_{g(t)}(x_0,1)} |\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))|^{n/2} \, d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)} \right)^{2/n} \le \delta_1$$

where $\delta_1(n, A)$ is the constant from Lemma 2.1.

Proof. In the proof, we will use C_i to denote any dimensional constant. For $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in B_{g(t)}(x_0, 1)$, we define $\rho(x, t) := \frac{2}{3}(\frac{3}{2} - d_{g(t)}(x, x_0))$, which is $\frac{2}{3}$ of the $g(t)$-distance from x to the boundary of $B_{g(t)}(x_0, \frac{3}{2})$.

Let $\delta_0 \in (0, \delta_1)$ be a constant to be specified. We claim that

$$\left(\int_{B_{g(t)}(x,\rho(x,t))} |\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))|^{n/2} \, d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)} \right)^{2/n} < \delta_1 \tag{2.2}$$

for all $x \in B_{g(t)}(x_0, \frac{3}{2})$ and $t \in [0, T]$ if T is smaller than a dimensional constant.

By [ST22, Corollary 3.3], for some $\tilde{T}(n) > 0$, $B_{g(t)}(x_0, \frac{3}{2}) \Subset B_{g_0}(x_0, 2)$ for $t \in [0, T \wedge \tilde{T}]$ and $\rho(x, t) \to 0$ as $x \to \partial B_{g(t)}(x_0, \frac{3}{2})$. Therefore, if the claim in (2.2) is not true, then there exists $t_1 \in (0, T \wedge \tilde{T}]$ such that:

(i) $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{g(t)}(x,\rho(x,t)))} < \delta_1$ for all $t \in [0, t_1)$ and $x \in B_{g(t)}(x_0, \frac{3}{2})$; and
(ii) $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g(t_1))\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{g(t_1)}(x_1,\rho(x_1,t_1)))} = \delta_1$ for some $x_1 \in B_{g(t_1)}(x_0, \frac{3}{2})$.

We denote $\rho_1 := \rho(x_1, t_1) \le 1$ for convenience.

CLAIM 2.4. *There exists $C_0(n) > 1$ such that for any $\beta > 1$, if $\alpha_0 \le C_0^{-2}\beta^{-2}\delta_1$, then $\rho_1 \ge \beta\sqrt{t_1}$.*

Proof of Claim 2.4. Given $\beta > 1$, we show that $\rho_1 \ge \beta\sqrt{t_1}$ if α_0 is sufficiently small. Suppose that this is not the case, i.e., $\rho_1 \le \beta\sqrt{t_1}$. By (ii) and the assumption on curvature estimates,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1^{n/2} &\le (\alpha t_1^{-1})^{n/2} \cdot \mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_1)}(B_{g(t_1)}(x_1, \rho_1)) \\ &\le (\alpha t_1^{-1})^{n/2} \cdot \mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_1)}(B_{g(t_1)}(x_1, \beta\sqrt{t_1})). \end{aligned}$$

By the volume comparison using $\mathrm{Ric}(g(t)) \ge -(n-1)\alpha t^{-1}$ and a simple scaling argument, we can estimate the volume from above as long as $\alpha_0\beta^2 \le 1$, so that

$$\delta_1^{n/2} \le (\tfrac{1}{2}C_0\alpha_0^{1/2}\beta)^n,$$

which is impossible if $\alpha_0 \le C_0^{-2}\beta^{-2}\delta_1$. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

On the other hand, for all $x \in B_{g(t_1)}(x_1, \rho_1)$ and $t \in [0, t_1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{3}{2}\rho(x,t) &= \tfrac{3}{2} - d_{g(t)}(x_0, x)\\ &\geq \tfrac{3}{2} - d_{g(t)}(x_0, x_1) - d_{g(t)}(x_1, x)\\ &\geq \tfrac{3}{2} - d_{g(t_1)}(x_0, x_1) - d_{g(t_1)}(x_1, x) - C_1\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_1}\\ &\geq \rho_1 - C_1\sqrt{t_1}\end{aligned}$$

for some $C_1 > 1$, where we have used [ST22, Corollary 3.3]. Hence, by Claim 2.4, we might assume that $\rho(x,t) \geq \frac{1}{4}\rho_1$ for all $x \in B_{g(t_1)}(x_1, \rho_1)$ and $t \in [0, t_1]$, if $\alpha_0 \leq (4C_0C_1)^{-2}\delta_1$. Therefore, (i) implies that

$$\int_{B_{g(t)}(x,(1/4)\rho_1)} |\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))|^{n/2}\, d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)} \leq \delta_1^{n/2} \tag{2.3}$$

for all $t \in [0, t_1]$ and $x \in B_{g(t_1)}(x_1, \rho_1)$.

Fix $z \in B_{g(t_1)}(x_1, \rho_1)$ and consider the rescaled Ricci flow $\tilde{g}(t) := \lambda^2 g(\lambda^{-2}t)$, $t \in [0, \lambda^2 t_1]$, where $\lambda = 8\rho_1^{-1}$. By (2.3) and the assumptions, for $t \in [0, \lambda^2 t_1]$, the rescaled flow satisfies:

- $B_{\tilde{g}(t)}(z, 2) = B_{g(\lambda^{-2}t)}(z, \frac{1}{4}\rho_1) \Subset M$;
- $\|\mathrm{Rm}(\tilde{g}(t))\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{\tilde{g}(t)}(z,2))} < \delta_1$;
- $\nu(B_{\tilde{g}(t)}(z,2), \tilde{g}(t), 1) \geq -A$; and
- $|\mathrm{Rm}(\tilde{g}(t))| \leq \alpha_0 t^{-1}$.

Here, we have used the monotonicity on the scale τ in ν-entropy from [Wan18, Proposition 3.2] and $\lambda^{-2} = 8^{-2}\rho_1^2 \leq 1$.

We apply Lemma 2.1 to $\tilde{g}(t)$ to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{\tilde{g}(t)}(z,1)} |\mathrm{Rm}(\tilde{g}(t))|^{n/2}\, d\mathrm{vol}_{\tilde{g}(t)} &\leq \int_{B_{\tilde{g}_0}(z,2)} |\mathrm{Rm}(\tilde{g}_0)|^{n/2}\, d\mathrm{vol}_{\tilde{g}_0} + C_2\delta_1^{n/2}t\\ &\leq \delta_0^{n/2} + C_2\delta_1^{n/2}t\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, \lambda^2 t_1 \wedge \tilde{T}(n)]$. Rescaling it back, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{g(s)}(z,(1/8)\rho_1)} |\mathrm{Rm}(g(s))|^{n/2}\, d\mathrm{vol}_{g(s)} &\leq \delta_0^{n/2} + 64C_2\delta_1^{n/2}\rho_1^{-2}s\\ &=: \delta_0^{n/2} + C_3\delta_1^{n/2}\rho_1^{-2}s\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

for all $s \in [0, t_1 \wedge 8^{-2}\tilde{T}\rho_1^2]$ and $z \in B_{g(t_1)}(x_1, \rho_1)$. By Claim 2.4, if

$$\alpha_0 \leq \min\{(8C_0)^{-2}\tilde{T}\delta_1, (4C_1C_0)^{-2}\delta_1\},$$

then (2.4) holds at $s = t_1$.

We now use (2.4) to contradict (ii), the equality at (x_1, t_1). By choosing a maximal collection of $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^N$ in $B_{g(t_1)}(x_1, \frac{39}{40}\rho_1)$ such that $B_{g(t_1)}(z_i, \frac{1}{40}\rho_1)$ are pair-wise disjoint, we have a collection of points $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^N$ such that

$$\coprod_{i=1}^N B_{g(t_1)}\left(z_i, \frac{1}{40}\rho_1\right) \subseteq B_{g(t_1)}(x_1, \rho_1) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N B_{g(t_1)}\left(z_i, \frac{1}{8}\rho_1\right). \tag{2.5}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Then (ii) and (2.4) imply that

$$\delta_1^{n/2} \le N(\delta_0^{n/2} + C_3\delta_1^{n/2}\rho_1^{-2}t_1). \tag{2.6}$$

CLAIM 2.5. *There exist $\gamma_1(n,A), N_0(n,A,v_0)>0$ such that if $\alpha_0 \le \gamma_1\delta_1$, then $N \le N_0$.*

Proof of Claim 2.5. This follows from [Mar25b, Lemma 2.1] (see also the proof of [CCL22, Theorem 1.2]). For readers' convenience, we include its proof.

By [ST22, Corollary 3.3] and Claim 2.4, we might assume that $B_{g(t_1)}(x_1,\rho_1) \subseteq B_{g_0}(x_1,2\rho_1)$ and $B_{g(t_1)}(z_i,\frac{1}{40}\rho_1) \subseteq B_{g(s)}(z_i,\rho_1/4)$ for $s\in[0,t_1]$. From (2.5) and the assumption of Ahlfors n-regularity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{Vol}_{g_0}\left(B_{g(t_1)}\left(z_i,\frac{1}{40}\rho_1\right)\right) &\le \mathrm{Vol}_{g_0}(B_{g(t_1)}(x_1,\rho_1))\\ &\le \mathrm{Vol}_{g_0}(B_{g_0}(x_1,2\rho_1)) \le v_0(2\rho_1)^n.\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

It remains to control each $\mathrm{Vol}_{g_0}(B_{g(t_1)}(z_i,\frac{1}{40}\rho_1))$ from below. We compare it with volume at $t=t_1$ as follows. For $s\in[0,t_1]$ and any i,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{ds}\mathrm{Vol}_{g(s)}\left(B_{g(t_1)}\left(z_i,\frac{1}{40}\rho_1\right)\right)\\ &= -\int_{B_{g(t_1)}(z_i,(1/40)\rho_1)} \mathcal{R}(g(s))\,d\mathrm{vol}_{g(s)}\\ &\le \left(\int_{B_{g(t_1)}(z_i,(1/40)\rho_1)} |\mathrm{Rm}(g(s))|^{n/2}\,d\mathrm{vol}_{g(s)}\right)^{2/n}\left[\mathrm{Vol}_{g(s)}\left(B_{g(t_1)}\left(z_i,\frac{1}{40}\rho_1\right)\right)\right]^{1-(2/n)}\\ &\le \delta_1\left[\mathrm{Vol}_{g(s)}\left(B_{g(t_1)}\left(z_i,\frac{1}{40}\rho_1\right)\right)\right]^{1-(2/n)},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.3) and $z_i \in B_{g(t_1)}(x_1,\rho_1)$.

By integrating it from $s=0$ to $s=t_1$, we conclude that

$$\left[\mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_1)}\left(B_{g(t_1)}\left(z_i,\frac{1}{40}\rho_1\right)\right)\right]^{2/n} \le \left[\mathrm{Vol}_{g_0}\left(B_{g(t_1)}\left(z_i,\frac{1}{40}\rho_1\right)\right)\right]^{2/n} + \frac{2\delta_1}{n}t_1. \tag{2.8}$$

On the other hand, by assumption (c) and the monotonicity of the ν-entropy (in terms of domains) [Wan18, Proposition 2.1], $\nu(B_{g(t_1)}(z_i,\frac{1}{40}\rho_1,g(t_1),1) \ge -A$. Thus, (2.3), [Mar25a, Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3], and Remark 2.2 imply that

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_1)}(B_{g(t_1)}(z_i,\tfrac{1}{40}\rho_1)) \ge \gamma_0\cdot\rho_1^n \tag{2.9}$$

for some $\gamma_0(n,A)>0$.

By Claim 2.4 with $\beta = 2\gamma_0^{-1/n}$, we then have

$$\gamma_0^{2/n}\rho_1^2 \ge 4t_1 > \frac{4}{n}\delta_1 t_1$$

provided that $\alpha_0 \le C_0^{-2}\delta_1\cdot\min\{2\gamma_0^{-1/n}, 8^{-2}\tilde{T}\}^{-2} =: \gamma_1(n,A)\cdot\delta_1$. Using this with (2.9) and (2.8), we estimate the left-hand side of (2.7) by

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{Vol}_{g_0}\left(B_{g(t_1)}\left(z_i, \frac{1}{40}\rho_1\right)\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\gamma_0^{2/n}\rho_1^2 - \frac{2\delta_1}{n}t_1\right)^{n/2} \geq N 2^{-n/2}\gamma_0\rho_1^n,$$

so that $N \leq 4^n\gamma_0^{-1}v_0 =: N_0(n, A, v_0)$ from (2.7). □

We can finish the proof of Proposition 2.3. We now choose $\delta_0(n, v_0, A) := (4N_0)^{-2/n}\delta_1$ so that from Claim 2.5, we can deduce from (2.6) that

$$\rho_1^2 < 2C_3N_0t_1.$$

By Claim 2.4, this is impossible if we choose

$$\alpha_0 := \delta_1 \cdot \min\{(8C_0)^{-2}\tilde{T}, \gamma_1, (4C_0C_1)^{-2}, C_0^{-2}(2C_3N_0)^{-1}\}.$$

This proves the claim (2.2). The proposition follows by the claim using $\rho(x_1, t) = 1$. □

The upshot of Proposition 2.3 is that it allows us to re-apply Lemma 2.1 to obtain an improved estimate of curvature.

Proposition 2.6. *For $n, A > 0$, there exists $\Lambda_0(n, A) > 0$ such that the following holds. Under the assumptions in Proposition 2.3, if, in addition, we have $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{g_0}(x_0,1))} \leq \varepsilon$, then we have*

$$|\mathrm{Rm}(x_0, t)| \leq \Lambda_0 t^{-1}(\varepsilon + t^{2/n})$$

for $t \in (0, T \wedge \tilde{T}]$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, we have $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{g(t)}(x_0,1))} \leq \delta_1$ for $t \in [0, T \wedge \tilde{T}]$, where $\delta_1(n, A)$ is the constant from Lemma 2.1. Applying Lemma 2.1 to the rescaled Ricci flow $\tilde{g}(t) := 4g(4^{-1}t), t \in [0, 4(T \wedge \tilde{T})]$ and then rescaling it back to $g(t)$, we see that

$$\int_{B_{g(t)}(x_0,1/2)} |\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))|^{n/2}\, d\mathrm{vol}_{g(t)} \leq \int_{B_{g_0}(x_0,1)} |\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)|^{n/2}\, d\mathrm{vol}_{g(0)} + 4C_1\delta_1^{n/2}t \leq \varepsilon^{n/2} + 4C_1\delta_1^{n/2}t$$

for all $t \in [0, T \wedge \tilde{T}]$. Due to the curvature assumption and the volume non-collapsing from [Wan18, Theorem 5.4], standard Moser iteration (see, e.g., [Li12, Chapter 19]) yields

$$t|\mathrm{Rm}(x_0, t)| \leq \Lambda_0(\varepsilon + t^{2/n})$$

for some $\Lambda_0(n, A) > 0$. This completes the proof. □

In particular, Proposition 2.6 asserts that the Ricci flow has an improved estimate from the smallness of the initial local curvature concentration.

The next lemma states that for a *complete bounded-curvature* Ricci flow, the local ν-functional is (almost) preserved. This has first been considered by Wang [Wan18], localizing the work of Perelman [Per02]. The following refined version is by Cheng [Che25].

Lemma 2.7 (Lemma 2.5 in [Che25]). *Fix $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq 1$. Suppose that $g(t)$ is a smooth solution to the Ricci flow on $M \times [s_1, s_2]$ with bounded curvature such that for all $t \in [s_1, s_2]$ and $x \in B_{g(t)}(x_0, \sqrt{t})$,*

$$\mathrm{Ric}(g(t)) \leq (n-1)\hat{\alpha}t^{-1}.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Then, for any $\tau > 0$, $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{10})$, *and* $\gamma \in [0, 8 - 20\beta)$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\nu(\Omega_2, g(s_2), \tau + 1 - s_2) - \nu(\Omega_1, g(s_1), \tau + 1 - s_1)\\ &\quad \geq -\left[\frac{1+\tau}{10\hat{\alpha}^2\beta^2} + \frac{1}{e}\right] \cdot \left[\exp\left(\frac{s_2 - s_1}{10\hat{\alpha}^2\beta^2}\right) - 1\right],\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_1 := B_{g(s_1)}(x_0, 10\hat{\alpha} - 2\hat{\alpha}\sqrt{s_1} - \hat{\alpha}\gamma);\\ \Omega_2 := B_{g(s_2)}(x_0, 10\hat{\alpha}(1-2\beta) - 2\hat{\alpha}\sqrt{s_2} - \hat{\alpha}\gamma). \end{cases}$$

3. Local smoothing using Ricci flow

In this section, we will construct local solutions to the Ricci flow that locally regularize metrics with small local curvature concentration, i.e., Theorem 1.1. We adapt the idea of Cheng [Che25] with the estimates in § 2 to construct local Ricci flow, building on the idea of Hochard [Hoc19]. To prove Theorem 1.1, we need to specify some constants precisely. We need two more ingredients. The first is a result of Hochard that allows us to construct a local Ricci flow on regions with bounded curvature.

Proposition 3.1 (Proposition 4.2 in [LT25], based on [Hoc19]). *For* $n \geq 2$, *there exist constants* $\gamma(n) \in (0, 1]$ *and* $\Lambda(n) > 1$ *so that the following is true. Suppose that* (N^n, h_0) *is a smooth manifold (not necessarily complete) that satisfies* $|\mathrm{Rm}(h_0)| \leq \rho^{-2}$ *throughout, for some* $\rho > 0$. *Then there exists a smooth complete Ricci flow* $h(t)$ *on* N *for* $t \in [0, \gamma\rho^2]$, *with the properties that*

(i) $h(0) = h_0$ *on* $N_\rho = \{x \in N : B_{h_0}(x, \rho) \Subset N\}$;
(ii) $|\mathrm{Rm}(h(t))| \leq \Lambda\rho^{-2}$ *throughout* $N \times [0, \gamma\rho^2]$.

The Ricci flow obtained in [LT25, Proposition 4.2] is constructed by modifying the incomplete metric at its extremities in order to make it complete, and followed by running Shi's classical solution. Thus, the solution $h(t)$ is by construction a complete solution on the whole N.

We also recall the shrinking-balls lemma, which is one of the local ball inclusion results based on the distance-distortion estimates of Hamilton and Perelman from [Per02, Lemma 8.3].

Lemma 3.2 [ST22, Corollary 3.3]. *For* $n \geq 2$, *there exists a constant* $\beta(n) \geq 1$ *such that the following is true. Suppose that* $(N^n, g(t))$ *is a Ricci flow for* $t \in [0, S]$ *and* $x_0 \in N$ *with* $B_{g(0)}(x_0, r) \Subset N$ *for some* $r > 0$, *and* $\mathrm{Ric}_{g(t)} \leq a(n-1)/t$ *on* $B_{g_0}(x_0, r)$ *for each* $t \in (0, S]$. *Then, for each* $t \in (0, S]$,

$$B_{g(t)}(x_0, r - \beta\sqrt{at}) \subseteq B_{g_0}(x_0, r).$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first prove the existence when $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{g_0}(x_0,1),g_0)} \leq \varepsilon_1$ for $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, which is fixed below. By [Mar25a, Lemma 3.2], we might assume that $\nu(B_{g_0}(x, 1), g_0, 2) \geq -A_0$ for some $A_0(n, C_s)$, by working on a slightly smaller ball if necessary. We need to specify how the positive constants are chosen, since the precise forms of the constants will play a crucial role in the construction:

– $\gamma(n)$ and $\Lambda(n)$ from Proposition 3.1;
– $\beta(n)$ from Lemma 3.2;
– $A := A_0 + 1$;

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

– $\tilde{T}(n), \delta_0(n, v_0, A)$ and $\alpha_0(n, v_0, A)$ from Proposition 2.3;
– $\Lambda_0(n, A)$ from Proposition 2.6;
– $\varepsilon_1(n, v_0, A) := \min\{\delta_0, \alpha_0(2\Lambda_0)^{-1}, \alpha_0(4\Lambda\Lambda_0)^{-1}\}$;
– $\mu(n, v_0, A) := \min\{10^{-2}, \gamma(2\varepsilon_1\Lambda_0)^{-1}\}$;
– $\sigma(n, v_0, A) := 10^{-3}(1-(1+\mu)^{-1/5})$;
– $L(n, v_0, A) := 10^4\alpha_0^{-1/2} \cdot \max\{\beta, \tilde{T}^{-1/2}, \varepsilon_1^{-n}, n, \mu\sigma^{-2}, \sigma^{-2}[1-(1+\mu)^{-1/5}]^{-1}\}$; and
– $\hat{T}(n, v_0, A) := \min\{10^{-1}\alpha_0^{-1}L^{-2}, (\frac{1}{4}L^2\alpha_0 + \mu + 1)^{-1}\}$.

Let $\rho_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ be small such that $|\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)| \le \rho_0^{-2}$ on $N_0 := B_{g_0}(x_0, 2+\bar{r})$. Then, from Proposition 3.1, there exists a smooth complete Ricci flow $h(t)$ on $N_0 \times [0, \gamma\rho_0^2]$ such that:

– $h(0) = g_0$ on $(N_0)_{\rho_0} := B_{g_0}(x_0, 2+\bar{r}-\rho_0)$; and
– $|\mathrm{Rm}(h(t))| \le \Lambda\rho_0^{-2}$ on $N_0 \times [0, \gamma\rho_0^2]$.

We choose $t_0 := \rho_0^2 \cdot \min\{\gamma, \Lambda^{-1}\alpha_0\}$ so that

$$|\mathrm{Rm}(h(t))| \le \Lambda\rho_0^{-2} \le \alpha_0 t_0^{-1} \le \alpha_0 t^{-1}$$

on $N_0 \times (0, t_0]$. We also denote $t_{-1} = 0$ for notional consistency. We define a sequence of times t_k and radii r_k inductively as follows:

(i) $r_0 := 2 + \bar{r} - \rho_0$;
(ii) $t_{k+1} := (1+\mu)t_k$ for $k \ge 0$; and
(iii) $r_{k+1} = r_k - 8L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k}$ for $k \ge 0$.

We consider the statement $\mathcal{P}(k)$:

There exists a smooth Ricci flow $g(t)$ defined on $B_{g_0}(x_0, r_k) \times [0, t_k]$ such that

(I) $g(0) = g_0$,
(II) $|\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))| \le \alpha_0 t^{-1}$ for $t \in (0, t_k]$, and
(III) $\nu(B_{g(t)}(x, L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k}), g(t), \frac{1}{4}L^2\alpha_0 t_k) \ge -A$ for all $(x, t) \in B_{g_0}(x_0, r_k) \times (0, t_k]$.

From the above discussion, since $h(t)$ is smooth and $A > A_0$, we might assume that $\mathcal{P}(0)$ is true by shrinking ρ_0 if necessary, for instances using the continuity of entropy [Wan18, Corollary 2.5]. We want to show that $\mathcal{P}(k)$ is true if $r_k > 0$ and $t_k < \hat{T}$, both of which hold when $k = 0$.

We prove this by induction on k. $\mathcal{P}(0)$ is true by the above construction. Suppose that for some $k \ge 0$ with $r_{k+1} > 0$ and $t_{k+1} < \hat{T}$, $\mathcal{P}(i)$ is true for $0 \le i \le k$. We let $g(t)$ be the Ricci flow on $B_{g_0}(x_0, r_k) \times [0, t_k]$ from $\mathcal{P}(k)$. We first improve the curvature estimate on a smaller domain.

Claim 3.3. *We have*

$$|\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))| \le 2\varepsilon_1\Lambda_0 t^{-1}$$

on $B_{g_0}(x_0, r_k - 2L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k}) \times [0, t_k]$.

Proof of Claim 3.3. Let $z \in B_{g_0}(x_0, r_k - 2L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k})$ so that Lemma 3.2 implies

$$B_{g(t)}(z, L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k}) \subseteq B_{g_0}(z, 2L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k}) \subseteq B_{g_0}(x_0, r_k)$$

for all $t \in [0, t_k]$. We consider the rescaled Ricci flow $\tilde{g}(t) := \lambda^{-2}g(\lambda^2 t)$ for $t \in [0, \lambda^{-2}t_k]$, where $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k}$, and denote $\tilde{g}_0 := \tilde{g}(0)$. Then, for all $t \in [0, 4L^{-2}\alpha_0^{-1}]$, we have:

(A) $B_{\tilde{g}(t)}(z, 2) = B_{g(\lambda^2 t)}(z, 2\lambda) \Subset M$;
(B) $|\mathrm{Rm}(\tilde{g}(t))| \le \alpha_0 t^{-1}$ on $B_{\tilde{g}(t)}(z, 2)$;

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(C) $\nu(B_{\tilde{g}(t)}(z,2),\tilde{g}(t),1)\geq -A$;
(D) $\mathrm{Vol}_{\tilde{g}_0}(B_{\tilde{g}_0}(x,r))\leq v_0 r^n$ for $x\in B_{\tilde{g}_0}(z,1)$ and $r\in(0,1]$; and
(E) $\|\mathrm{Rm}(\tilde{g}_0)\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{\tilde{g}_0}(z,2),\tilde{g}_0)}<\varepsilon_1$.

Here, we have used $2\lambda = L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k}<1$ so that $B_{\tilde{g}_0}(x,2)\subseteq B_{g_0}(x,1)$ where the initial estimates hold. The entropy lower bound (C) follows from (III):

$$\nu(B_{\tilde{g}(t)}(z,2),\tilde{g}(t),1)=\nu(B_{g(s)}(z,L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k}),g(s),\tfrac{1}{4}L^2\alpha_0 t_k)\geq -A$$

for $s=\lambda^2 t\in[0,t_k]$. Thus, the rescaled flow $\tilde{g}(t)$ satisfies all the conditions of Proposition 2.3. It follows from Proposition 2.6 and our choice of L and ε_1 that for $t\in(0,t_k]$,

$$|\mathrm{Rm}(z,t)|\leq 2\varepsilon_1\Lambda_0 t^{-1}.$$

This proves the claim. □

We now use the improved estimate from Claim 3.3 to extend the solution.

Claim 3.4. *The Ricci flow $g(t)$ can be extended to $[0,t_{k+1}]$ on $B_{g_0}(x_0,r_k-4L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k})$ such that (I)–(II) in $\mathcal{P}(k+1)$ hold. Moreover, there is a complete bounded-curvature Ricci flow $h_k(t)$ on $B_{g_0}(x_0,r_k-2L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k})\times[t_k,t_{k+1}]$ such that $|\mathrm{Rm}(h_k(t))|\leq\alpha_0 t^{-1}$ and $h_k(t)|_{B_{g_0}(x_0,r_k-4L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k})}=g(t)$.*

Proof of Claim 3.4. Denote $\alpha_1:=2\varepsilon_1\Lambda_0\leq\alpha_0$ for convenience. We apply Proposition 3.1 with a translation in time, on $N=N_k:=B_{g_0}(x_0,r_k-2L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k})$ and $h_{0,k}=g(t_k)$ with $\rho=\rho_k:=\sqrt{\alpha_1^{-1}t_k}$ to obtain a complete Ricci flow $h_k(t)$ on $N_k\times[t_k,t_k+\gamma\alpha_1^{-1}t_k]$ such that:

– $h_k(t_k)=h_{0,k}$ on $(N_k)_{\rho_k}=\{x\in N_k : B_{g(t_k)}(x,\rho_k)\Subset N_k\}$; and
– $|\mathrm{Rm}(h_k(t))|\leq\Lambda\rho_k^{-2}=\Lambda\alpha_1 t_k^{-1}$ on $N_k\times[t_k,(1+\gamma\alpha_1^{-1})t_k]$.

It remains to show that $(N_k)_{\rho_k}\supseteq B_{g_0}(x_0,r_k-4L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k})$. Let $x\in B_{g_0}(x_0,r_k-4L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k})$; hence we have $B_{g_0}(x,2L\sqrt{\alpha_1 t_k})\subseteq N_k$. Due to (II) in the induction hypothesis $\mathcal{P}(k)$, Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$B_{g(t_k)}(x,L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k})\Subset B_{g_0}(x,2L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k})\subseteq N_k,$$

so that $x\in(N_k)_{\rho_k}$. Thus, $h_k(t_k)=h_{0,k}=g(t_k)$ on $B_{g_0}(x_0,r_k-4L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k})$.

By our choice of μ, we know that $t_{k+1}-t_k=\mu t_k\leq\gamma\alpha_1^{-1}t_k$. Therefore, we might patch the Ricci flow together on $B_{g_0}(x_0,r_k-4L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k})\times[0,t_{k+1}]$ by taking

$$g(t):=\begin{cases} g(t) & \text{if } t\in[0,t_k],\\ h_k(t) & \text{if } t\in[t_k,t_{k+1}].\end{cases}$$

By our choice of ε_1, we see that for $t\in[t_k,t_{k+1}]$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathrm{Rm}(g_k(t))|\leq\Lambda\alpha_1 t_k^{-1}&=2\varepsilon_1\Lambda_0\Lambda(1+\mu)t_{k+1}^{-1}\\&\leq 4\varepsilon_1\Lambda_0\Lambda t^{-1}\leq\alpha_0 t^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the claim. □

We will assume that $g(t)$ for $t\leq t_k$, is constructed by inductively using Claim 3.4, so that on each sub-interval $[t_i,t_{i+1}]$, the Ricci flow $g(t)$ is restriction of some complete bounded-curvature Ricci flow.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

It remains to show that (III) in $\mathcal{P}(k+1)$ holds. For each i, from Claim 3.4 and the induction hypothesis, there is a complete bounded-curvature Ricci flow $h_i(t)$ on $B_{g_0}(x_0, r_i - 2L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_i}) \times [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ such that:

- $h_i(t)|_{B_{g_0}(x_0, r_i - 4L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_i})} = g(t)$; and
- $|\mathrm{Rm}(h_i(t))| \le \alpha_0 t^{-1}$, where $\alpha_0 < 1 < 10^3 n$.

We now consider the rescaled Ricci flow $\tilde{g}(t) := t_k^{-1} g(t_k t)$ for $t \in [0, 1+\mu]$. For each i, we also define the rescaled Ricci flow $\tilde{h}_i(t) := t_k^{-1} h_i(t_k t)$ for $t \in [\tilde{t}_i, \tilde{t}_{i+1}]$, where $\tilde{t}_i := t_k^{-1} t_i$. For $z \in B_{g_0}(x_0, r_{k+1})$, we apply Lemma 2.7 to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu(B_{\tilde{h}_i(\tilde{t}_{i+1})}(z, (10(1-2\hat{\beta}) - \gamma - 2\sqrt{\tilde{t}_{i+1}})\hat{\alpha}), \tilde{h}_i(\tilde{t}_{i+1}), \tau + 1 - \tilde{t}_{i+1}) \\
&\quad \ge \nu(B_{\tilde{h}_i(\tilde{t}_i)}(z, (10 - \gamma - 2\sqrt{\tilde{t}_i})\hat{\alpha}), \tilde{h}_i(\tilde{t}_i), \tau + 1 - \tilde{t}_i) \\
&\qquad - \left(\frac{1+\tau}{10\hat{\alpha}^2\hat{\beta}^2} + \frac{1}{e}\right) \cdot \left[\exp\left(\frac{\mu \tilde{t}_i}{10\hat{\alpha}^2\hat{\beta}^2}\right) - 1\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for any $\hat{\alpha} \ge 10^3 n$, $\tau > 0$, $\hat{\beta} \in (0, \frac{1}{10})$, and $\gamma \in [0, 8 - 20\hat{\beta})$. The analogous estimate also holds if $\tilde{t}_{i+1}$ is replaced by any $t \in [\tilde{t}_i, \tilde{t}_{i+1}]$.

We start to prove (III) in $\mathcal{P}(k+1)$. Suppose that $\tilde{t} \in [\tilde{t}_\ell, \tilde{t}_{\ell+1}]$ for some $-1 \le \ell \le k$. We fix

$$\hat{\alpha} := 5^{-1} L\sqrt{\alpha_0} \ge 10^3 n \quad \text{and} \quad \tau := \mu + \tfrac{1}{4} L^2 \alpha_0.$$

For $-1 \le i \le \ell$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\beta}_i &:= \sigma \cdot \tilde{t}_i^{1/5}, \\
\gamma_i &:= \gamma_{i-1} + 20\hat{\beta}_{i-1},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\nu_i := \nu(B_{\tilde{h}_i(\tilde{t}_i)}(z, (10 - \gamma_i - 2\sqrt{\tilde{t}_i})\hat{\alpha}), \tilde{h}_i(\tilde{t}_i), \tau + 1 - \tilde{t}_i),$$

where γ_i is defined inductively starting from the final data below. Here, σ is the constant defined at the beginning of the proof. Recall that we also set $t_{-1} := 0$. We also define the 'initial data':

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\beta}_{\tilde{t}} &:= \sigma\, \tilde{t}^{1/5}, \\
\gamma_{\tilde{t}} &:= 10(1 - 2\hat{\beta}_{\tilde{t}}) - 2\sqrt{\tilde{t}} - L\hat{\alpha}^{-1}\sqrt{\alpha_0}, \\
\gamma_\ell &:= \gamma_{\tilde{t}} + 20\hat{\beta}_{\tilde{t}},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\nu_{\tilde{t}} := \nu(B_{\tilde{h}_\ell(\tilde{t})}(z, (10 - \gamma_{\tilde{t}} - 2\sqrt{\tilde{t}})\hat{\alpha}), \tilde{h}_\ell(\tilde{t}), \tau + 1 - \tilde{t}).$$

The definition of $\gamma_{\tilde{t}}$ implies that

$$10(1 - 2\hat{\beta}_{\tilde{t}}) - \gamma_{\tilde{t}} - 2\sqrt{\tilde{t}} = L\hat{\alpha}^{-1}\sqrt{\alpha_0} = 5. \tag{3.2}$$

Since $B_{g_0}(z, 4L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k}) \Subset B_{g_0}(x_0, r_k - 4L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k})$, where $g(t) = h_i(t)$ for $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ from Claim 3.4 and the remark after it, Lemma 3.2 implies that for $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$,

$$B_{g(t)}(z, 3L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k}) \Subset B_{g_0}(x_0, r_i - 4L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_i})$$

and thus,

$$\nu_i = \nu(B_{\tilde{g}(\tilde{t}_i)}(z, (10 - \gamma_i - 2\sqrt{\tilde{t}_i})\hat{\alpha}), \tilde{g}(\tilde{t}_i), \tau + 1 - \tilde{t}_i).$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

By the monotonicity of the ν-entropy [Wan18, Propositions 2.1], due to $t_k \le \hat{T}$ and our choice of $\hat{T}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{-1} &\ge \nu(B_{\tilde{g}_0}(z, 10\hat{\alpha}), \tilde{g}_0, \tau+1)\\ &= \nu(B_{g_0}(z, 2L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_k}), g_0, (\tfrac{1}{4}L^2\alpha_0 + \mu + 1)t_k)\\ &\ge \nu(B_{g_0}(x_0, 1), g_0, 2)) \ge -A_0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

We show that σ is chosen so that the assumptions on $\hat{\beta}_i$ and γ_i in (3.1) are justified. Clearly, $\hat{\beta}_i \le \sigma(1+\mu)^{1/5} \le 10^{-2}$ if $\sigma \le 10^{-3}$. We now estimate γ_i. The upper bound is trivial from decreasing property so that $\gamma_i \le 5 < 8 - 20\hat{\beta}_i$. To estimate the lower bound, we claim that $\gamma_{-1} > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{\tilde{t}} - \gamma_{-1} &= \gamma_{\tilde{t}} - \gamma_\ell + \sum_{i=0}^{\ell}(\gamma_i - \gamma_{i-1})\\ &\le 20\sigma \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{k}(\tilde{t}_{k-i})^{1/5}\\ &\le 20\sigma \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(1+\mu)^{-i/5} = \frac{20\sigma}{1-(1+\mu)^{-1/5}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.2), the definition of $\hat{\beta}_{\tilde{t}}$, and $\tilde{t} \le 1+\mu$, we have

$$5 \le 2(1+\mu)^{1/2} + 20\sigma(1+\mu)^{1/5} + \gamma_{\tilde{t}}, \tag{3.5}$$

so that (3.4) implies that

$$\gamma_i \ge \gamma_{-1} \ge 5 - 2(1+\mu)^{1/2} - 20\sigma(1+\mu)^{1/5} - \frac{20\sigma}{1-(1+\mu)^{-1/5}} > 1 \tag{3.6}$$

for all $-1 \le i \le \ell$ by our choice of μ.

Without loss of generality, we might assume that $\tilde{t} = \tilde{t}_{\ell+1}$. By our choices of $\hat{\beta}_i$ and γ_i, (3.1) implies that for all $-1 \le i \le \ell$,

$$\nu_{i+1} - \nu_i \ge -\left(\frac{(1+\tau)}{10\sigma^2\hat{\alpha}^2(\tilde{t}_i)^{2/5}} + \frac{1}{e}\right) \cdot \left[\exp\left(\frac{\mu(\tilde{t}_i)^{3/5}}{10\sigma^2\hat{\alpha}^2}\right) - 1\right].$$

Since $10^{-1}\mu\hat{\alpha}^{-2}\sigma^{-2}\tilde{t}_i^{3/5} \le \frac{25}{10}\mu L^{-2}\alpha_0^{-1}\sigma^{-2} \le 1$, using $e^z - 1 \le 2z$ for $z \in (0,1)$, we can simplify it as

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{i+1} - \nu_i &\ge -2\left(\frac{10(1+\mu+\frac{1}{4}L^2\alpha_0)}{\sigma^2L^2\alpha_0(\tilde{t}_i)^{2/5}} + \frac{1}{e}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{10\mu(\tilde{t}_i)^{3/5}}{\sigma^2L^2\alpha_0}\right)\\ &\ge -10^4\sigma^{-2}L^{-2}\alpha_0^{-1}(1+\mu)^{(i-\ell)/5}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining it with (3.3), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{\tilde{t}} &\ge \nu_{-1} - 10^4\sigma^{-2}L^{-2}\alpha_0^{-1}\sum_{j=0}^{\ell+1}(1+\mu)^{-j/5}\\ &\ge -A_0 - \frac{10^4\sigma^{-2}}{1-(1+\mu)^{-1/5}} \cdot L^{-2}\alpha_0^{-1}\\ &\ge -A_0 - 1 = -A. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.2) and the monotonicity of the ν-entropy [Wan18, Propositions 2.1] again, this proves that (III) in $\mathcal{P}(k+1)$ holds. By induction, this shows that $\mathcal{P}(k)$ is true whenever $r_k > 0$ and $t_k \le \hat{T}$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

We let k_0 be the maximal k such that $r_k \geq 1+\bar{r}$, i.e., $r_{k_0+1} < 1+\bar{r} \leq r_{k_0}$. We claim that t_{k_0} is uniformly bounded from below. To see this, by the construction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1+\bar{r} > r_{k_0+1} &= r_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{k_0} (r_{i+1} - r_i) \\ &= 2 + \bar{r} - \rho_0 - 8L\sqrt{\alpha_0} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{k_0} \sqrt{t_{k_0-i}} \\ &\geq \frac{3}{2} + \bar{r} - 8L\sqrt{\alpha_0 t_{k_0}} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1+\mu)^{-i/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $t_{k_0} \geq \tilde{T}(n, v_0, A) > 0$. Replacing $\hat{T}$ with $\hat{T} \wedge \tilde{T}$, we prove the existence with (1), (3), and (4) on $B_{g_0}(x_0, 1+\bar{r})$ using $\mathcal{P}(k_0)$ and the monotonicity of entropy (on scales and domains) after relabeling the constants. The injectivity radius lower bound (2) follows from (4) and the result of Cheeger, Gromov, and Taylor [CGT82]. By enlarging Λ_1 if necessary, the Hölder equivalence of distances follows from the proof of [Mar25b, Claim 3.2] (see also the proof of [CHL24, Lemma 5.1]).

With the existence of Ricci flow, the general case in which $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{g_0}(x_0,1),g_0)} \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ follows from Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.6 after we shrink $\hat{T}$ if necessary (see the proof of Proposition 2.6). □

By letting $\bar{r} \to +\infty$, we obtain a short-time solution to the Ricci flow on $M \times [0, \hat{T}]$ with the desired estimates.

Theorem 3.5. *For $n \geq 3$ and $v_0, C_s > 0$, there exist $\delta_1(n, v_0, C_s)$, $\Lambda_1(n, v_0, C_s)$, $L(n, v_0, C_s)$, and $\hat{T}(n, v_0, C_s) > 0$, such that the following holds. Suppose that (M^n, g_0) is a complete non-compact manifold such that for all $x \in M$:*

(a) $\mathrm{Vol}_{g_0}(B_{g_0}(x, r)) \leq v_0 r^n$ *for all* $r \in (0, 1]$;

(b) *for all* $u \in W_0^{1,2}(B_{g_0}(x, 1), g_0)$,

$$\left(\int_{B_{g_0}(x,1)} u^{2n/(n-2)} \, d\mathrm{vol}_{g_0} \right)^{(n-2)/n} \leq C_s \int_{B_{g_0}(x,1)} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) \, d\mathrm{vol}_{g_0};$$

and

(c) $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{g_0}(x,1),g_0)} \leq \varepsilon$ *for some* $\varepsilon \in (0, \delta_1]$.

Then there exists a smooth complete Ricci-flow solution $g(t)$ on $M \times [0, \hat{T}]$ such that $g(0) = g_0$ and:

(1) $|\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))| \leq \Lambda_1 \varepsilon t^{-1}$;

(2) $\mathrm{inj}(g(t)) \geq \sqrt{t}$;

(3) $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{g(t)}(x,1/2))} \leq \Lambda_1 \varepsilon$; *and*

(4) $\nu(B_{g(t)}(x, 2L\sqrt{\hat{T}}, g(t), L^2\hat{T}) \geq -\Lambda_1$

for all $(x, t) \in M \times (0, \hat{T}]$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in M$ and $\bar{r}_i \to +\infty$. By Theorem 1.1, there exists a sequence of Ricci flows $g_i(t), t \in [0, \hat{T}]$ such that (1)–(4) hold for all $x \in B_{g_0}(x_0, 1+\bar{r}_i) \times (0, \hat{T}]$. By [Che09, Corollary 3.2] and [CCG+08, Theorem 14.16], $g_i(t)$ sub-converges to a Ricci flow $g(t)$ on $M \times [0, \hat{T}]$ in the local smooth topology as $i \to +\infty$. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Remark 3.6. In the case $\bar{r} = +\infty$, we only manage to control the small local curvature concentration in the suitable scale (see Proposition 2.3). In contrast with the bounded-curvature case, the small global curvature concentration is preserved under bounded-curvature Ricci flow. It is unclear to us whether there is any jumping phenomenon of the curvature concentration in the case that the curvature is initially unbounded.

4. Compactness of manifolds with bounded curvature concentration

In this section, we use the local-smoothing result from Theorem 1.1 to discuss the compactness of the space of compact manifolds with bounded curvature concentration, i.e., Theorem 1.3. We start with a simple observation that the collection of manifolds satisfying the assumptions in Theorem 1.3 is pre-compact with respect to the Gromov–Hausdorff topology.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that (M, g) is a compact manifold such that:*

(1) *there exists $C_s > 0$ so that for all $u \in W^{1,2}(M)$,*

$$\left(\int_M u^{2n/(n-2)} \, d\mathrm{vol}_g \right)^{(n-2)/n} \leq C_s \int_M (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) \, d\mathrm{vol}_g;$$

and

(2) $\mathrm{diam}(M, g) \leq D_0$.

Then there exists $v_1(n, C_s, D_0) > 0$ such that for all $0 < r \leq \mathrm{diam}(M, g)$ and $x \in M$,

$$\mathrm{Vol}_g(B_g(x, r)) \geq v_1 r^n.$$

Proof. This follows from [Ye15, Lemma 6.1]. □

Lemma 4.2. *The collection of compact manifolds satisfying (a), (b), and (d) in Theorem 1.3 is pre-compact in the Gromov–Hausdorff topology.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 1.3(b), a manifold (M, g) in the collection is uniformly volume doubling. The conclusion then follows from Gromov's compactness (see Theorem [Gro99]). □

The basic idea in proving the compactness without Ricci geometry is based on finding a local homeomorphism from an open ball around a regular point to an open ball in a smooth manifold. This is based on mollifying the initial metrics locally using Theorem 1.1 to a metric with regularity.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We will use $g_{i,0}$ to denote g_i, to emphasize that it is the initial metric whenever smoothing is used. We closely follow the argument in [Nak88] with modifications using ideas from [ST21] and the new smoothing result, i.e., Theorem 1.1. It is more convenient to first obtain a convergent sequence in metric geometry. By Lemma 4.2, we may assume that $(M_i, g_{i,0})$ converges to a metric space (X, d_∞) in the Gromov–Hausdorff topology after passing to subsequence. We also let $\varphi_i : (M_i, d_{g_{i,0}}) \to (X, d_\infty)$ be a sequence of ε_i—Gromov–Hausdorff approximations for some $\varepsilon_i \to 0$ as $i \to +\infty$.

We let $\delta_1(n, v_0, C_s)$ be the constant from Theorem 1.1. We define the singular set $\mathcal{S}_r$ of scale $r > 0$ by

$$\mathcal{S}_r := \{x \in X : \liminf_{i\to\infty} \|\mathrm{Rm}(g_{i,0})\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{g_{i,0}}(x_i, r))} \geq \delta_1 \text{ for any } x_i \in M_i \text{ such that } d_\infty(\varphi_i(x_i), x) \to 0\}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

and we consider

$$\mathcal{S} := \bigcap_{r>0} \mathcal{S}_r.$$

Claim 4.3. *The set $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite set. That is, $\mathcal{S} = \{p_i\}_{i=1}^N$ for some $N \le (2\Lambda_0\delta_1^{-1})^{n/2}$. In particular, $\mathcal{S}$ is empty if $\Lambda_0 < \delta_1/2$.*

Proof of Claim 4.3. For any fixed $r > 0$, we take a collection $\{x^j\}_{j\in J} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ such that $\{B_{d_\infty}(x^j, 2r) : j \in J\}$ is a disjoint collection of balls satisfying

$$\mathcal{S} \subseteq \bigcup_{j\in J} B_{d_\infty}(x^j, 3r).$$

For each $j \in J$, $x^j \in \mathcal{S}_r$ for $r > 0$. We can find an approximating sequence $x_i^j \in M_i$ of x^j such that $d_\infty(\varphi_i(x_i), x) \to 0$ and for large enough i, we have

$$\left(\int_{B_{g_i}(x_i^j, r)} |\mathrm{Rm}(g_{i,0})|^{n/2} d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{i,0}} \right)^{2/n} > \frac{1}{2}\delta_1.$$

By the disjoint property in X and the approximating property of x_i^j's, we know that for large i, $\{B_{g_i}(x_i^j, r) : j \in J\}$ is a disjoint collection in M_i. Hence, in particular, we derive

$$|J| \le (2\Lambda_0\delta_1^{-1})^{n/2},$$

where Λ_0 is from the assumption of Theorem 1.3. This bound is independent of r and it particularly implies that

$$|\mathcal{S}| \le (2\Lambda_0\delta_1^{-1})^{n/2}.$$

This proves the claim. □

To show that the topological space M induced by $(X \setminus \mathcal{S}, d_\infty)$ is in fact a manifold with bi-Hölder charts, we must show that given an arbitrary $x \in X \setminus \mathcal{S}$, there is a neighborhood of x that is bi-Hölder homeomorphic to an open subset of a complete Riemannian manifold. We follow the treatment in [ST21].

Let $x \in X \setminus \mathcal{S}$. Then there exist $r > 0$ and $x_i \in M_i$ such that $d_\infty(\varphi_i(x_i), x) \to 0$ and

$$\|\mathrm{Rm}(g_{i,0})\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{g_{i,0}}(x_i, r))} < \delta_1$$

for large enough i. By considering $\tilde{g}_{i,0} := (3r)^{-2} g_{i,0}$, we might assume that $r = 3$. By Theorem 1.1 with $\bar{r} = 0$, there exists a Ricci flow $g_i(t)$ on $B_{g_{i,0}}(x_i, 1) \times [0, \hat{T}]$ such that $g_i(0) = g_{i,0}$ and:

(1) $|\mathrm{Rm}(g_i(t))| \le \Lambda_1\delta_1 t^{-1}$;
(2) $\mathrm{inj}(g_i(t)) \ge \sqrt{t}$;
(3) $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g_i(t))\|_{L^{n/2}(B_{g_i(t)}(x_i, 1/2))} \le \Lambda_1\varepsilon$; and
(4) $\nu(B_{g_i(t)}(x_i, 2L\sqrt{\hat{T}}, g_i(t), L^2\hat{T}) \ge -\Lambda_1$

for all $(x, t) \in B_{g_{i,0}}(x_i, 1) \times (0, \hat{T}]$. Moreover, we might assume that for some $C_1(n, v_0, C_s) > 0, \gamma(n, v_0, C_s) \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$C_1^{-1}(d_{g_i(t)}(x, y))^{1/\gamma} \le d_{g_{i,0}}(x, y) \le C_1(d_{g_i(t)}(x, y))^\gamma \tag{4.1}$$

for all $x, y \in B_{g_{i,0}}(x_i, 1)$ and $t \in [0, \hat{T}]$.

We now have all the necessary ingredients to carry out the proof in [ST21, Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5]. We only give a sketch. We use Shi's estimates and apply smooth compactness on

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$g_i(\hat{T})$ to obtain a family of local diffeomorphisms F_i such that $F_i^* g_i(t)$ sub-converges to $g_\infty(t)$ on $B_{g_\infty(\hat{T})}(x_\infty, \sigma)$ for $\sigma \le C_1^{-1}\sigma^{-\gamma}$. Using F_i, we might use the argument in the proof of [CCL22, Theorem 1.4] to show that $F_i^* d_{g_{i,0}}$ sub-converges to a distance function $\tilde{d}_\infty$ on $B_{g_\infty(\hat{T})}(x_\infty, \sigma)$ that is locally bi-Hölder to the distance $d_{g_\infty(\hat{T})}$ due to (4.1). The topological manifold structure in proximity to x follows from the uniqueness of the pointed Gromov–Hausdorff limits, which identifies $\tilde{d}_\infty$ in proximity to x_∞ with d_∞ in proximity to x. □

Remark 4.4. From Claim 4.3, when Λ_0 is sufficiently small, then $\mathcal{S}$ is empty. In this case, from the proof of Theorem 1.3, $g_i(t)$ exists globally on $M_i \times [0, \hat{T}]$. In particular, we can construct X by applying smooth compactness on $(M_i, g_i(\hat{T}))$ so that X admits a smooth structure.

Remark 4.5. Using the Hölder equivalence (4.1), it should not be difficult to show that $X \setminus \mathcal{S}$ in Theorem 1.3 is a C^α manifold for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$. In general, we conjecture that $X \setminus \mathcal{S}$ is always a smooth manifold.

5. Application to topological gap theorems

In this section, we will use Theorem 1.1 to prove the gap theorem in a differentiable structure of manifolds with small curvature concentration, i.e., Theorem 1.4. We start with the long-time existence. If the initial metric is assumed to have bounded curvature, this has been obtained earlier by [CM26a] (see also [Che22]).

Theorem 5.1. *For $n \ge 3$ and $C_s > 0$, there exist $\delta_0(n, C_s), \Lambda(n, C_s) > 0$ such that if (M^n, g_0) is a complete non-compact manifold satisfying Theorem 1.4(a)–(c), then there exists a unique complete Ricci flow $g(t)$ on $M \times [0, +\infty)$ such that $g(0) = g_0$ and:*

(i) $|\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))| \le \Lambda \varepsilon t^{-1}$;
(ii) $\mathrm{inj}(g(t)) \ge \sqrt{t}$;
(iii) $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))\|_{L^{n/2}(M, g(t))} \le \varepsilon$; *and*
(iv) $\nu(M, g(t)) \ge -\Lambda$.

Furthermore, we have $\sup_M |\mathrm{Rm}(g(t))| = o(1)/t$ *as* $t \to +\infty$.

Proof. By [Mar25a, Lemma 3.3], the global entropy is bounded from below. By applying Theorem 3.5 on $g_{i,0} := R_i^{-2} g_0$ for some $R_i \to +\infty$ and rescaling it back, we obtain a sequence of Ricci flows $g_i(t), t \in [0, R_i^2 \hat{T}]$, satisfying the desired properties. By [Lee25], $g_i(t) = g_{i+k}(t)$ for all $t \in [0, \hat{T} R_i]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we obtain a long-time solution $g(t)$ with (i), (ii), and (iv). It also satisfies a rough form of (iii) that the upper bound is $\Lambda\varepsilon$. By Lemma 2.1, we recover the sharp upper bound ε. The curvature estimate as $t \to +\infty$ follows from [CM26a, Theorem 1.2]. □

Remark 5.2. In contrast to the bounded-curvature case studied in [CM26a], we require volume growth to be at most Euclidean. It is unclear whether the volume-growth assumption is necessary.

Using Theorem 5.1, the diffeomorphic gap theorem is immediate from the existence of Ricci flow and its estimates.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. This follows from Theorem 5.1 and [HP26, Theorem 1.1] (see also [HL21, Theorem 1.1] and [Wan20, Theorem 5.7]). □

Basic examples of manifolds satisfying the assumptions in Theorem 1.4 are compact perturbations of Euclidean metrics. By the rigidity case in positive-mass theorems, we observe that

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

the additional condition on scalar curvature $\mathcal{R} \geq 0$ is strong enough to rule them out. Motivated by this, we conjecture the following.

Conjecture 5.3. For any $C_s, v_0 > 0$ and $n \geq 3$, there exists $\delta_0(n, C_s, v_0) > 0$ such that the following holds. Suppose that (M^n, g_0) is a complete non-compact manifold such that:

(a) $C_{sob}(M) \geq C_s^{-1}$;
(b) $\mathrm{Vol}_{g_0}(B_{g_0}(x, r)) \leq v_0 r^n$ for all $(x, r) \in M \times \mathbb{R}_+$;
(c) $\|\mathrm{Rm}(g_0)\|_{L^{n/2}(M)} \leq \delta_0(n, C_s, v_0)$; and
(d) $\mathcal{R}(g_0) \geq 0$.

Then (M, g_0) is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Remark 5.4. When (d) is strengthened to $\mathrm{Ric}(g_0) \geq 0$, the conjecture has been proved by Chan and the first-named author [CL24] (see also [Mar25a]). This is based on using the long-time behavior of the Ricci flow to show that the tangent cone of M is isometric to Euclidean space and thus M must be Euclidean space by Colding's volume continuity [Col97]. Using the same circle of ideas, one should still be able to show that a tangent cone of M exists by [CCL22, Theorem 1.4] and that the blow-down of (M, g_0) sub-converges to $\mathbb{R}^n$ in the d_p-sense, introduced in [LNN23], using the method in [LNN23, Theorem 1.7]. It is, however, unclear how this asymptotic information constrains the original manifold M.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank P.-Y. Chan for fruitful discussion.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Financial support

M-CL was supported by Hong Kong Research Grants Council (RGC) grant (Early Career Scheme) of Hong Kong no. 24304222 and no. 14300623, National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) grant no. 12222122 and an Asian Young Scientist Fellowship. T-KL is grateful for the support from Bill Minicozzi through the National Science Foundation (NSF) Award DMS-2304684 and the support from the US Junior Oberwolfach Fellowship through the NSF Award DMS-2230648 while the project was carried out.

Journal information

Compositio Mathematica is owned by the Foundation Compositio Mathematica and published by the London Mathematical Society in partnership with Cambridge University Press. All surplus income from the publication of *Compositio Mathematica* is returned to mathematics and higher education through the charitable activities of the Foundation, the London Mathematical Society and Cambridge University Press.

References

And89 M. T. Anderson, *Ricci curvature bounds and Einstein metrics on compact manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), 455–490.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

And90 M. T. Anderson, *Convergence and rigidity of manifolds under Ricci curvature bounds*, Invent. Math. **102** (1990), 429–445.

AC91 M. T. Anderson and J. Cheeger, *Diffeomorphism finiteness for manifolds with Ricci curvature and $L^{n/2}$-norm of curvature bounded*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **1** (1991), 231–252.

BKN89 S. Bando, A. Kasue and H. Nakajima, *On a construction of coordinates at infinity on manifolds with fast curvature decay and maximal volume growth*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), 313–349.

Car19 G. Carron, *Geometric inequalities for manifolds with Ricci curvature in the Kato class* (in English), Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **69** (2019), 3095–3167, with English and French summaries.

CCL22 P.-Y. Chan, E. Chen and M.-C. Lee, *Small curvature concentration and Ricci flow smoothing*, J. Funct. Anal. **282** (2022), 109420.

CHL24 P.-Y. Chan, S. Huang and M.-C. Lee, *Manifolds with small curvature concentration*, Ann. PDE **10** (2024), 23.

CL24 P.-Y. Chan and M.-C. Lee, *Gap theorem on manifolds with small curvature concentration*, Math. Z. **308** (2024), 9.

CM26a A. Chau and A. Martens, *Long-time Ricci flow existence and topological rigidity from manifolds with pinched scale-invariant integral curvature*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **34** (2026), 285–333; MR 5073762.

CM26b A. Chau and A. Martens, *A note on Ricci flow from small curvature concentration and a Morrey-type condition*, J. Geom. Anal. **36** (2026), 301; MR 5107482.

CTY11 A. Chau, L.-F. Tam and C. Yu, *Pseudolocality for the Ricci flow and applications*, Canad. J. Math. **63** (2011), 55–85.

Che70 J. Cheeger, *Finiteness theorems for Riemannian manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **92** (1970), 61–74.

Che03 J. Cheeger, *Integral bounds on curvature elliptic estimates and rectifiability of singular sets*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), 20–72.

CC97 J. Cheeger and T. H. Colding, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. I*, J. Differential Geom. **46** (1997), 406–480; MR 1484888.

CGT82 J. Cheeger, M. Gromov and M. Taylor, *Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplace operator, and the geometry of complete Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), 15–53.

Che09 B.-L. Chen, *Strong uniqueness of the Ricci flow*, J. Differential Geom. **82** (2009), 363–382.

Che22 E. Chen, *Convergence of the Ricci flow on asymptotically flat manifolds with integral curvature pinching*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **23** (2022), 15–48.

Che25 L. Cheng, *Pseudolocality theorems of Ricci flows on incomplete manifolds*, Adv. Math. **463** (2025), 110127.

CCG+08 B. Chow, S.-C. Chu, D. Glickenstein, C. Guenther, J. Isenberg, T. Ivey, D. Knopf, P. Lu, F. Luo and L. Ni, *Ricci flow: Techniques and applications. Part II: Analytic aspects*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 144 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008).

Col97 T. H. Colding, *Ricci curvature and volume convergence*, Ann. Math. **145** (1997), 477–501.

DW12 X. Dai and G. Wei, *Comparison Geometry for Ricci Curvature* (2012), https://web.math.ucsb.edu/∼dai/Ricci-book.pdf.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Gal88 S. Gallot, *Isoperimetric inequalities based on integral norms of Ricci curvature* in *Colloque Paul Lévy sur les Processus Stochastiques*, 22–26 juin 1987, École polytechnique, Palaiseau, Astérisque, vol. 157–158 (1988), 191–216.

Gro99 M. Gromov, *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 152 (Birkhaüser Boston, Boston, MA, 1999), based on the 1981 French original with appendices by M. Katz, P. Pansu and S. Semmes, translated by Sean Michael Bates.

HL21 F. He and M.-C. Lee, *Weakly PIC1 manifolds with maximal volume growth*, J. Geom. Anal. **31** (2021), 10868–10885.

Hoc19 R. Hochard, *Théorèmes d'existence en temps court du flot de Ricci pour des variétés non-complètes, non-effondrées, à courbure minorée*, PhD thesis, Université de Bordeaux (2019).

HP26 S. Huang and Z. Peng, *A note on a diffeomorphism criterion via long-time Ricci flow*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **58** (2026), e70321; MR 5041203.

JW25 W. Jiang and G. Wei, *Finite diffeomorphism theorem for manifolds with lower Ricci curvature and bounded energy*, Math. Z. **309** (2025), 16.

Led99 M. Ledoux, *On manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature and Sobolev inequalities*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **7** (1999), 347–353.

Lee25 M.-C. Lee, *Uniqueness of Ricci flow with scaling invariant estimates*, Preprint (2025), arXiv:2503.20292.

LNN23 M.-C. Lee, A. Naber and R. Neumayer, d_p*-convergence and* ϵ*-regularity theorems for entropy and scalar curvature lower bounds*, Geom. Topol. **27** (2023), 227–350.

LT25 M.-C. Lee and P. M. Topping, *Three-manifolds with non-negatively pinched Ricci curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **131** (2025), 633–651; MR 4975490.

Li12 P. Li, *Geometric analysis*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 134 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012); MR 2962229.

MMU14 C. Mantegazza, G. Mascellani and G. Uraltsev, *On the distributional Hessian of the distance function*, Pacific J. Math. **270** (2014), 151–166.

Mar25a A. Martens, *Sharpening a gap theorem: Nonnegative Ricci and small curvature concentration*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **64** (2025), 79.

Mar25b A. Martens, *Removing scalar curvature assumption for Ricci flow smoothing*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **57** (2025), 1968–1989.

MS73 J. H. Michael and L. M. Simon, *Sobolev and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of* R^n, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **26** (1973), 361–379.

Nak88 H. Nakajima, *Hausdorff convergence of Einstein 4-manifolds*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **35** (1988), 411–424.

Per02 G. Perelman, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, Preprint (2002), arXiv:math.DG/0211159.

PW97 P. Petersen and G. Wei, *Relative volume comparison with integral curvature bounds*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **7** (1997), 1031–1045.

PW01 P. Petersen and G. Wei, *Analysis and geometry on manifolds with integral Ricci curvature bounds. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 457–478.

ST21 M. Simon and P. M. Topping, *Local mollification of Riemannian metrics using Ricci flow, and Ricci limit spaces*, Geom. Topol. **25** (2021), 913–948.

ST22 M. Simon and P. M. Topping, *Local control on the geometry in 3D Ricci flow*, J. Differential Geom. **122** (2022), 467–518.

TW15 G. Tian and B. Wang, *On the structure of almost Einstein manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (2015), 1169–1209.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Wan18 B. Wang, *The local entropy along Ricci flow. Part A: the no-local-collapsing theorems*, Camb. J. Math. **6** (2018), 267–346.

Wan20 B. Wang, *The local entropy along Ricci flow—Part B: The pseudo-locality theorems*, Preprint (2020), arXiv:2010.09981.

Xia99 C. Xia, *Open manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and large volume growth*, Comment. Math. Helv. **74** (1999), 456–466.

Xia01 C. Xia, *Complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and almost best Sobolev constant*, Illinois J. Math. **45** (2001), 1253–1259.

Yan92 D. Yang, *Convergence of Riemannian manifolds with integral bounds on curvature. I*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **25** (1992), 77–105.

Ye15 R. Ye, *The logarithmic Sobolev and Sobolev inequalities along the Ricci flow*, Commun. Math. Stat. **3** (2015), 1–36.

Man-Chun Lee mclee@math.cuhk.edu.hk
Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong, China

Tang-Kai Lee lee.tang-kai@columbia.edu
Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26103595 Published online by Cambridge University Press