Religious Studies (2025), **61**, 699
doi:10.1017/S0034412525000162

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

ERRATUM

The evidential challenge for petitionary prayer: ERRATUM

Noam Oren

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0034412524000209. Published by Cambridge University Press 13 May 2024.

The following lines should have been formatted as subheadings and set apart from the subsequent text.

1. Page 6 – We do not know what is good for us. Therefore, in response to our prayer for E, God can make E*, which is better for us, happen

2. Page 8 – Prayer is a request: God sometimes answers 'no'

3. Page 9 – Leaving God out of the courtroom

4. Page 10 – When we test God, He abstains from any intervention

5. Page 10 – When we test God, He intervenes in our test results in such a way that we will not notice that He actually answered the prayers that we tested

6. Page 11 – God answers prayers in a non-predictable way

7. Page 12 – Petitionary prayer is a duty, not a tool. It is not supposed to work

8. Page 12 – Petitionary prayers affect the person who prays, not the subject of the prayer

9. Page 13 – What do religious people do when they pray?

10. Page 14 – Discussion: what is the problem with this approach?

The Publisher apologies for the error.

Reference

Oren N. The evidential challenge for petitionary prayer. *Religious Studies*. Published online 2024:1-18. doi:10.1017/S0034412524000209

Cite this article: Oren N (2025) The evidential challenge for petitionary prayer: ERRATUM. *Religious Studies* **61**, 699. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0034412525000162

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0034412525000162 Published online by Cambridge University Press