INDEX

Aarhus Convention, 338
Abd-Al-Rahman case, 304, 307–12
Abus de droit, 221
ad hoc tribunals, 277, 305
agricultural products, 245
Ahmadou Diallo, 164, 167
Alcoholic Beverages II, 237
Al-Khasawneh, Awn, 84
amicus curiae, by UNHCR, 102
Andenas, M., 149
Annual Thematic Reports, 154
Anti-dumping Agreement (ADA), 217, 223
a priori, 230
Appeals Chamber, 309
Appellate Body (AB), 211
arbitral investment tribunals, 251, 255
arbitral system, 254
Arechaga, De, 329
Argentina, environmental impact issue with Uruguay, 81
art-based participatory research approaches, 198
Articles on the Prevention of Transboundary Harm from Hazardous Activities, 73

Barcelona Traction case, 29, 33, 35
Bassiouni, M. Cherif, 282, 312
Bear, Slovak Brown, 338
bilateral investment treaties (BITs), 249
bilateral investment treaty (BIT) models, 50
Black's Law Dictionary, 222
'blue economy' policies, 205
burden of proof, rebalance, 231

CBD. *See* Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)
CERD, 165, 166
Chagos Advisory Opinion, 165
China – Auto Parts, 235
Civilian population, 306
clove cigarettes, 224, 225
comparative reasoning, in international investment law. *See* international investment law and arbitration
comparativism, 8, 47
Concluding Observations, 150, 151, 162
Conclusions on International Protection, 102
conflict
  definition, 216
  rules, 28, 32
conformity with international agreements, 338
constitutive treaty, 110, 111
context
  covered agreements, 223–26
  immediate, 223
  preambular language, 226–28
  tool of interpretation, 226
Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), 182, 238
Convention on International Trade of Endangered Species, 71
Convention States, 334
core international crimes, 303
  divergent domestic implementing legislation, 283–85
  IMTS and *ad hoc* tribunals, 274
  temporality, 281–82
Corfu Channel, 80, 81, 82
Costa Rica/Nicaragua, 85

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 18 Nov 2026 at 07:47:35, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009599122.022

Court of Justice of the EU (ECJ), 326, 335
Association Agreement, 341
compatibility of institutions acts, 337
constitutional order, 339
dual character, 336
international agreements, 336, 337, 338
international treaties, 339
Morocco Agreement, 342
principles, 336
self-executing international treaty, 338
Van Gend en Loos, 335
Covered Agreements, 212
covered investment. *See* investment
Crawford, James, 23, 331
Creamer, C. D., 151
Crimea investor-State arbitrations, 265–69, 270
Crimean conflict, 265
crimes against humanity, 14, 303
codification and development, 301–12
convention and relationship, 312–18
diversity, 313, 316, 318
historical development, 296–301
historical genesis and current status, 294
identifying, 311
Kunarac decision, 300
modern jurisprudence, 300
unity and evolution, 313, 314
'*crucible*' metaphor, 144
customary international law (CIL), 9, 10, 23, 48, 279, 294, 302, 303
considerations, 110–12
contribution of organizations, 99–101
of crimes against humanity, 294, 312–18
institutional framework, 101–4
international organizations, 97–99
notion of, 108–9
'soft law' acts, 105–8
teleological approach, 113
customary rules of interpretation
application, 219–41
covered agreements, 223–26, 228–34
good faith, 221–22
immediate context, 222
ordinary meaning, 222
preambular language, 226–28

Deep Seabed Advisory Opinion, 85
deep-seabed mining, 193
direct investor-State dispute resolution system, 250
Dispute Settlement System (DSS), 13, 211
diversification, 13
diversity, 16, 58
doctrinal perspective, 4
domestic legal systems, 292
Donoghue, Joan E., 84
Draft Articles, 294, 295, 320
Draft Code of Crimes, 304
Draft conclusion 2, 43
Drafting Committee, 161
due diligence, 82
Dunant, Henry, 119

East Timor, 34
ECJ. *See* Court of Justice of the EU (ECJ)
economic benefit test, 256, 257–59
economic dimension, 253–62
economic unity of the investment test, 259–62, 270
ecosystem services research, 195
EctHR. *See* European Court of Human Rights (EctHR)
effet utile, 225
Eggett, Craig, 41, 43
EIA. *See* environmental impact assessment (EIA)
Eichmann cases, 302
1870 Commentary, 122
Enabling Clause, 233
enforced disappearance, 317
environmental human rights defenders, 201, 202
environmental impact assessment (EIA), 191
domestic legislation, 91
legal framework, 88
in *Nicaragua/Costa Rica*, 90
in *Pulp Mills*, 89
environmental justice scholarship, 198

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 18 Nov 2026 at 07:47:35, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009599122.022

equally authentic languages, 136
erga omnes obligations
  challenges, 21
  concept of, 34, 35
  general principles, 8, 22, 41
  idea of, 40
  limitations, 46
  moral norm and, 34
  particularities of IHL, 137
  practice of interpretation, 8
  public law elements and, 31
  value-loaded international community, 34
Espoo Convention, 77, 88, 90
EU Fundamental Rights Charter confirms, 339
European and Inter-American human rights court judgments, 151
European Communities, 229, 238
European consensus, 332
European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), 25
European Court of Human Rights (EctHR), 86, 263, 326
  Belilos and *Loizidou*, 330
  Brownlie's Principles, 331
  comparative assessments, 333
  effective and 'evolutionary' approach, 331
  erga omnes character, 327
  European consensus, 332
  evolutive interpretation, 332
  instrument doctrine, 328
  international treaty, 327
  judicial practice, 335
  legal consequences, 331
  preliminary research, 157
European Union
  Court of Justice, 15, 26
  judicial order, 337
exhaustible natural resources, 227
ex-Soviet countries, 284
'external' context of the treaty, 133
Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (ECCC), 306
extraterritorial jurisdiction, 263–69
extremely useful jurisprudential tools, 147
fair and equitable treatment (FET), 55
fairness, 181
Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO), 194, 195
foreign investment, 250
foreign private party's right, 248
Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (FYROM), 103
Fragmentation of International Law, 28
France, binding nature of norms, 39
French *Cour de Cassation*, 311
Furundzija, the ICTY, 39

Gabčikovo/Nagymaros, 88
Gambling, 237
García Escobar, G., 169
General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)
  Article XX, 231
  Article XXII and XXIII, 211
  Article XIX, 214
  Article VI, 215
General Interpretative Note, 216, 218
general principles, of law, 41–44
Geneva Conventions, 117, 120, 128–30, 137, 299, 306
genocide, 289
Geographical space, 341
Georg Nolte coincides, 333
Germany, 284
Ghana, 186
global climate regulation, 204
Global North, 206
Global South, 198, 206
good faith, 131, 147, 156, 221
  principle, 147
Gouttes, Paul Des, 123

Hague Convention, 299
harmonious construction, 218
Harmonized System, 235
Hart, Herbert Lionel Adolphus, 27, 54
Hernandez, Gleider, 41
hierarchical meta system, 40
horizontal cross fertilization, 246
Huber, Max, 123
Human Rights Bodies (HRBs), 11
Human Rights Council, 156

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 18 Nov 2026 at 07:47:35, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009599122.022

human rights holders, 177
human rights law, 16, 32
human rights scholarship, 152
human rights treaty
  interpretation, 142
  special nature, 160
hybridity, international investment law and, 49–54

ICJ. *See* International Court of Justice (ICJ)
'informal law', 108
immediate context, 222
institutional context
  custom identification, 113
  custom interpretation in, 96
  defined, 113
  general international level, 112
  normativity and authority, 113
  states *inside* the institutional framework, 112
  technical-legal dimension of, 114
institutions, of the state, 31
inter-disciplinary research, 187
international biodiversity law, 192
International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID), 51
international civil society networks, 200
International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC), 11
  Geneva Convention, 120
  legal commentaries, 117, 122–28
International Court of Justice (ICJ), 9, 68, 191, 329
  Ahmadou Diallo, 164
  Article 38(1), 21
  Chagos Advisory Opinion, 165
  in *Corfu Channel*, 80
  Gabčikovo/Nagymaros, 88
  identify the rules, 69
  in *Pulp Mills*, 81, 89
  individuals with guaranteed rights, 164
  international monitoring bodies, 163
  Jalloh's latest report, 164
  legal interpretations, 164
  1996 *WHO* opinion, 110
  no-harm rule, 85
  principle of prevention of states, 81
  Qatar v. United Arab Emirates, 166, 168
  quality and interpretative legal value, 165
  Wall Opinion, 164
International Criminal Court (ICC), 14, 272, 290
  rules of interpretation, 285–88
international criminal law, 14, 32, 33, 272, 274
  idea, 288
International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY), 39
international environmental law (IEL), 9
  applicable methods, 75
  environmental impact assessment, 87–91
  evolutive interpretation, 70
  expansive and restrictive approach, 92
  identification of rules, 68, 69
  no-harm rule, 78–87
  peculiar cases, 71–75
  principle, 108
  regressive interpretations, 70
international human rights, 33
international human rights law (IHRL), 97
international human rights supervisory bodies, 142
  ICJ, 163–70
  interpretative monitoring practices, 142
  interpretative practices, 145–57
international humanitarian law (IHL), 11, 98, 115, 306
  ICRC's role, 118, 119
  particularities, 137, 138, 139
international investment agreements (IIAs), 8, 48
international investment law, 47
  arbitral tribunals, 251, 252
  capital flows, 250
  Crimea investor-State arbitrations, 265–69
  defined, 250
  economic benefit test, 257–59
  extraterritorial jurisdiction, 263–69
  hybridity, 49–54
  legal indeterminacy, 54–56

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 18 Nov 2026 at 07:47:35, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009599122.022

legality perspective issues, 61–63
legitimacy perspective issues, 63–65
limitation, 249
specific comparative reasoning, 59–60
systemic comparative reasoning, 57–59
territorial requirement, 253
international investment tribunals, 13
international law, 325
coherence and consistency, 326
judicialization, 326
International Law Commission (ILC), 26, 118, 329
Article 31(3)b VCLT, 158
Article 38 ICJ, 160
Conclusions on CIL, 105
criteria, 171
Drafting Committee, 161
dual character, 162
identification of rules, 4
interpretative monitoring practices, 158
legal value, 174
Prevention Articles, 83, 89
subsequent agreements and practice, 157
subsidiary means, 161, 162
2018 Conclusions, 100
International Military Tribunals (IMTs), 273
international organizations, 97–99
contributions in CIL, 99–101
international treaty, 278
International Tribunal of the Law of the Sea (ITLOS), 75
interpretative collaboration efforts, 208
interpretative directions, 182
interpretative practices, 143
breadth and variety, 143
three interrelated elements, 220
types, 152
UN human rights treaty bodies, 146
interpretive function, 161
Inter-State complaints procedures, 149
investment, 251
investor-State arbitrations, 266
Ipso facto, 62

Jalloh, C. C., 161, 162
judicial activism, 291
judicial decisions, 149, 160, 163
'juridical will', 101
jurisprudence constante, 62
jus cogens, 22, 45
challenges, 21
concept of, 35
general principles, 8, 22, 41
idea of, 40
practice of interpretation, 8
public law elements in, 31
violations of, 36
jus strictum, 22

Kaul, Hans-Peter, 306
Knox, John, 187
Koskenniemi, Martti, 326
Krtitsiotis, Dino, 40
Kunarac decision, 300

Lake Lanoux, 83
Latin American, 284
Lauterpacht, Hersch, 41, 124
legal content, of fairness and equity, 181
legal indeterminacy, 54–56
legal system, 325
legal value, 170, 174
legality, 291
perspective issues, 61–63
principle of, 274–77
legitimacy, 63
Leiss, J. R., 149
Lekkas, Sotirios-Ioannis, 22
'letter of allegation'(AL), 155
lex mercatoria, 50
lex specialis derogat legi generali, 218

marine biodiversity, 199
marine science experts, 196
Marrakesh Agreement, 227, 243
McCorquodale, Robert, 39
McLachlan, Campbell, 22, 25, 27
McNair, Arnold, 22
menthol cigarettes, 224
Merkouris, Panos, 21, 22, 23
military tribunal, 274

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 18 Nov 2026 at 07:47:35, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009599122.022

Moeckli, D., 159
moral absolutes, 34
Moynier, Gustave, 122
municipal legal systems, 30
mutual supportiveness, international law, 179–84
mutually supportive interpretation, 184–90
  inter- and transdisciplinary, 204

Namibia, 186
Namibia Advisory Opinion, 24
National implementation, 283
NATO, 103
negotiations at Rome, 301–7
Netherlands, international *watergezant*, 104
Nicaragua/Costa Rica, 90
1908 Commentary, 122
1930 Commentaries, 123
1952–1960 Commentaries, 124
1974 UNGA Resolution, 106
1987 Commentary, 126
1991 *Draft Code of Crimes against the Peace and Security of Mankind*, 301
1994 Rwandan genocide, 296
1996 Draft Code of Crimes, 306
no-harm rule
  application, 80
  identification and determination, 79
  'right' of States, 79
  Trail Smelter case, 78
Nolte, George, 118, 148
non-governmental organisations (NGOs), 177
non-judicial actors, 12, 190
non-tangible investments, 262
non-WTO agreements, 246
North Continental Sea, 303
Nuclear Weapons, 81, 82

ocean defenders, 179, 202–5
Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), 155, 156, 194
Oil Platforms case, 24
One Ocean Hub, 177, 179
  collaboration, 195
  inter- and trans-disciplinary ocean research, 184, 185, 187
  ocean defenders, 201
  research programme, 179
  ultimate assumption, 188
opinio juris, 29
ordinary customs duties, 235
ordinary meaning, 222
Oxford Concise Dictionary, 222

peremptory norms, 37–41
Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA), 265
Permanent Court of International Justice (PCIJ), 9
Pictet Commentaries, 124
Pictet, Jean, 124
Pilloud, Claude, 126
Policies and Practices of Israel-opinion, 165
preambular language, 226–28
Pre-Trial Chamber, 308
Pre-Trial Chamber II, 305
primus inter pares, 152
Principles on Allocation of Loss in the Case of Transboundary Harm from Hazardous Activities, 73
private international law, 30, 31
public international law (PIL), 30, 211
public law, of the international community, 32, 33–36
public-private law paradigms, 58
Pulp Mills, 81, 84, 89, 92

Qatar, 167
'quasi-judicial' UNTBs, 149

Rachovitsa, Adamantia, 27
Ragazzi, Maurizio, 34
rapid evolution, 282
ratione materiae hybridity, 49–52
ratione personae hybridity, 52–54
Right of Passage, 24
Rio Declaration, 72, 81
Robinson, D., 167
Rome Conference, 306
Rome Statute, 272, 283, 295, 307
  Article 121, 293

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 18 Nov 2026 at 07:47:35, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009599122.022

Article 21, 286
negotiation, 312
theme and variations, 312
Rome Statute Crimes
progressive development, 277–81
domestic implementing legislation, 288–92
Röthlisberger, Ernst, 122
rule of international law, 238
Russian Federation, 267
Russia-Ukraine BIT, 265, 266

Secretary-General's Report, 299
sectoral constitutionalization, 112
self-reflexivity, 183
Shelton, D., 150
Simma, Bruno, 84
Simmons, B. A., 151
single undertaking
conflicts and harmonious interpretation, 215
cumulative and simultaneous application, 213
Sivakumaran, Sandesh, 38
social science-led research, 198
'soft law' acts, 105
sources, of international law, 7, 8
South Africa, 186, 194
racial discrimination claim by, 38
South China Sea dispute, 76
sovereign power, 255
specific comparative reasoning, 59–60
Ssenjonyo, M., 159
State or organizational policy, 305
Statute of the River Uruguay (CARU), 81
Stockholm Declaration, 72, 81
Stricto sensu interpretation, 268
subsequent interpretative practices, 148
subsequent practice, 160
Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (SCM) Agreements, 213
sui generis, 163, 170
interpretative value, 144
supplementary means of interpretation, 135, 241
Article 32, 241–43
Supreme Court of Israel, 302
Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), 186
Swiss Federal Supreme Court, 266
systematic, 305
systemic coherence, 28–31
systemic comparative reasoning, 57–59
systemic integration, 8, 22, 180
general principles of law, 41
importance of, 24
international community and its subjects, 31
private law paradigm, 23
private law system, 27, 29, 30
rule of law principle, 42
treaty provisions, 26
systemic nature, of interpretation, 57–59

Tams, Christian, 36
Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Agreement, 223
teleological approach, 230
teleological treaty interpretation, 111
territorial nexus, 253
territorial requirement
economic unity of the investment test, 259–62
interpretative approach, 258
of investment, 253
territory, 268
territory of Crimea, 265
thematic reports, 154
Thin, Sarah, 30, 36, 42
Third Convention, 135
ticking bomb scenario, 40
Trade Related Investment Measures (TRIMS), 213
Trail Smelter, 78, 80, 83, 87, 91
transdisciplinary governance, 189
travaux préparatoires, 166, 306
treaty
implementation, 206
interpretation principles, 244
time of conclusion, 234
Treaty on the European Union (TEU), 327
Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), 327

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 18 Nov 2026 at 07:47:35, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009599122.022

Trevisanut, Seline, 102
triggering mechanism, 307
triple planetary crisis, 208
Turtle Excluder Devices (TEDs), 226
2004 BIT Model, 51
2005 World Summit Outcome Document, 311
2015 UNGA Resolution, 107

Ukraine v. Russia, 168
UN Charter, 26, 109
UN Convention on the Law of the Sea (LOSC), 72
UN Diplomatic Conference, 314
UN General Assembly, 105, 106
UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), 101, 102
UN Human Rights Council, 153
UN Human Rights Office, 193
UN human rights treaty bodies, 146
  day-to-day 'jurisgenerative' monitoring practice, 150
  general comments, 146–49
  non-binding Views, 149–50
UN Secretary-General's report, 276
UN Security Council (UNSC), 32, 109
UN Special Procedures of the Human Rights Council, 152
  Annual Thematic Reports, 154
  communications, 155
  interpretative statements, 153
  supplementary, 156
  treaty interpretation, 153
UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention (WGAD), 157
UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, 265
UNGA Resolutions, 105
Union, 339, 341
United Nations (UN), 25, 97, 155
United Nations Convention of the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), 227
United Nations Human Rights Committee, 157
United Nations International Law Commission (ILC), 143
United States, 226
Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 102
Universal Periodic Review, 107
'urgent appeal' (UA), 155
Uruguay, environmental impact issue with Argentina, 81

VCLT. *See* Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT)
'victors' justice' criticism, 275
Vienna Convention, 328, 334
Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT), 142, 248
  Article 31, 22, 25, 26, 60, 219–41
  Article 31(1), 131
  Article 31(2), 234
  Article 31(3), 236
  Article 31(3)(a), 133
  Article 31(3)(b), 133
  Article 31(3)(c), 133
  Articles 31–32, 12
  Articles 31–33, 8, 9, 13, 21
  Article 32, 135
  Article 33, 136
  Article 53, 37
  Geneva Conventions, 128–30
  interpretive rules, 131
  rules of interpretation, 21
  strengthening the authoritativeness, 17
  systemic integration, 8, 23
  on treaty interpretation, 3, 4

Walker, Neil, 183
Wall Opinion, 164
Washington Convention, 249
watergezant, 104
Weiler, Joseph H. H., 335
widespread, 305
World Trade Organization (WTO), 13, 26, 74, 211
  application of customary rules of interpretation, 219
  Covered Agreements, 212
  Dispute Settlement System, 245
  single undertaking, 212–19
  unique feature, 212
WTO Fisheries Subsidies Agreement, 195

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 18 Nov 2026 at 07:47:35, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009599122.022