Index

Note that page numbers in bold represent tables, while those in *italics* represent figures.

Acción de cumplimiento (Colombia), 219
Addis Ababa Agenda for Action (2015), 56
advertising, 210, 221, 224
Africa, 58, 65, 260
  gender-explicit provisions in PTAs, 62
age, 44, **45**, 51, 152, 173, 296
Aggregate Measurement of Support (AMS), 138–139
agribusiness (Brazil), 290–309
agricultural policies, 127–128, 158
agricultural sector, 142, 268, 307
agriculture, 8, 81, 108, 135, 183, 250
  trade facilitation measures, *104*
ALADI, *See* Latin American Integration Association
Albania, 60
amber box measures: definition, 141
American Popular Revolution Party (APRA), 248
Andean Community, 96–97, 225
Andean Community-Mercosur Framework Agreement (2005, 2006), 92
Andean Countries-EU PTA (2012), 87–89, **87**, 90, 112, 114, 242
  characteristics, **88**
  S&DT clauses, 95–97
Andean Pact, 154
anti-dumping, 80, 92–93
anti-globalists, 10–11, 13, 17, 21–22, 26–27, 31
Antigua and Barbuda, 13
APROSOJAs (Brazilian associations of soy producers), 301–302
arbitration, 239, 246
arbitrators, 234, 242
Argentina, 12, 19, 31, 63, 92, 107, 187, 194, 209, 222, 229, 245, 266–269, 277–278, 280
Argentina-Chile FTA (2017), 68, 70
Armenia-EU Enhanced Partnership Agreement (2021), 60
ASEAN-South Korea PTA (2006), 61
Asia, 63, 260
Asia-Pacific, 16, 58, 61
Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC)
  Roadmap on Women and Inclusive Growth, 157
  Santiago meeting (2004), 156
association agreements (AAs), 60
Australia, 29, 156, 227, 243, 245, 256
Australia-Chile PTA (2009), 29, 156
Australia-Japan PTA (2014), 112
Australia-New Zealand Closer Economic Agreement, 61
Australia-Peru PTA (2018), 29, 112
automobiles, 268, 276, 281, 285
autoregressive model, 194

Bachelet, M., 157, 247
balance of payments, 81, 91, 93, 265
Bali Ministerial Conference (G33), 145
bargaining power, 243, 250
basic needs theory, 180
Bear Creek (mining company), 246
Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action (1995), 57, 68
best practice, 62–63, 67
Biden, J., 286
bilateral investment treaties (BITs), 27, 231, 233–234, 236–238, 242–243, 245, 249–251
blue box measures: definition, 140

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 16:36:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009568067.015

Bolivarian Alliance for Peoples of Our America (ALBA), 13, 31, 37, 49–51, 53
Bolivia, 13, 20, 31, 49–50, 187, 231, 235, 237, 260, 269
Bolsonaro, E., 291
Bolsonaro, J., 8, 290–291, 301
Boric, G., 161, 247
Brazil, 4, 12, 19, 27, 31–32, 63, 80, 92, 153, 194, 222, 226, 229, 232, 243
  anti-China sentiment (politics of agribusiness), 290–309
  CFIAs, 240–241, 251
  Chinese FDI, 285
  economic and trade characteristics (2008-2018), **267**
  export and import shocks (calculation), 295, 306–307
  export and import shocks (scatter plot), 292
  export destinations (2008-2018), **279**
  exports (intermediate, consumer, capital goods, 2000-2018), 279
  FDI inflows, 250
  IIAs, 240, 250
  main exports, 266
  Mercosur, 268–270, 282–284
  protectionism, 255–286
  protectionist responses toward RoW, 282
  survey sample composition by municipality, **307**
  top trade partners, 284
  trade surplus with China (2008-2018), 256, 278
  women's participation rate in workforce, 186
Brazil: Congress, 278
Brazil: Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE), 295, 307
Brazil: Ministry of Agriculture, 301
Brazil: National Confederation of Agriculture (CNA), 299
Brazil: National Development Bank (BNDES), 280
Brazil: National Industry Confederation (CNI), 299
Brazil-Chile PTA (2018/2022), 70, 157
  investment chapter, 240–241
Brazil-China trade, 291–302
  conclusion, 302–303
  future research, 302
  probability of seeing China as risk (marginal effects), 299
Brazilian Association of Animal Protein (ABPA), 302
Brazilian Association of Vegetable Oil Industries (ABIOVE), 302
Brussels Effect, 94
Buenos Aires Declaration (2017), 182
Bush, G. W., 259
business community, 155, 248, 250
business environment, 241, 250

caffeine, *216*, 217
CAFTA, 28–29, **30**, 31
CAFTA-Dominican Republic PTA (2004), 110, 112
calories, **212**, 214, **215**, **221**
Calvo Doctrine, 250
Canada, 2, 63, 71, 156–157, 222, 226, 229, 240, 243, 259
Canada-Chile Free Trade Agreement (CCFTA, effective since 1997), 155, 184, 237
  cooperation activities, 67
  gender, 157, 183
  Gender Committee, 66, 69
  implementation, 71
Canada-Chile ISDS (in context of CPTPP), 241
Canada-Colombia PTA (2008), 112, 114, 239
Canada-Costa Rica FTA (2001), 112, 114
Canada-Ecuador BIT (1996), 240
Canada-Mercosur negotiations, 73
Canada-Panama PTA (2009), 112, 114
Canada-Peru PTA (2008), 114, 239
capacity-building, 66, 80, **87**, 94, 99
capital goods, 276, 278, 281
  definition, 278
capital-exporting countries, 232, 242
capitalism, 41
Cariforum-EU PTA (2008), 112, 114
Central America, 11, 63
Central America-EFTA PTA (2013), 112
Central America-EU PTA (2012), 57, 60
  gender-mainstreaming, 71
Central America-Panama PTA (2002), 110, 112
childcare, 61
children, 209–210, 213, 217
Chile, 4, 6, 11, 19–20, 27, 29, 32–33, 49–50, 63, 68, 72, 80, 89, 107, 118, 209, 222, 225, 232, 235, 237, 243, 245–251, 265–267, 269–270
  CPTPP, 98
  food labelling (2012 law), 210, 222, 224, 229
  general election (2021), 150
  international reinsertion (post-Pinochet), 154
  ISDS claims (1998-), 244, 246
  PTA network, 157, 165, 178–203
  workforce participation (gender gaps, 1990-2021), *199*
  workforce participation (men versus women, *186*
Chile: Constitutional Convention (2021), 165
Chile: Metropolitan Region, 170–171
Chile: National Agricultural Society (SNA), 155
Chile: Public Studies Centre (CEP), 157–158
Chile: Undersecretariat for International Economic Relations (SUBREI), 157
Chilean Consensus, 247

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 16:36:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009568067.015

Chile-China PTA (2005), 88–89, 99, 112, 156
characteristics, 88
extended Protocol (2019), 91
S&DT clauses, 89–91, 90
supplementary agreement on trade in services (2010), 91
Chile-Colombia PTA (2009), 156
Chile-Ecuador PTA (2008), 64, 68, 70
Chile-EU Advanced Framework Agreement (2023), 241
Chile-EU PTA (2002), 28, 30, 64, 112, 114, 155
Chile-Hong Kong PTA (2014), 156
Chile-Japan PTA (2007), 112, 156
Chile-Malaysia PTA (2012), 156
Chile-Mexico Economic Complementation Agreement (ACE, 1998), 155, 184, 237
Chile-NZ agreement (2023), 157
Chile-Panama PTA (2008), 156
Chile-Peru PTA (2009), 156
Chile-South Korea BIT (1997), 236
Chile-South Korea PTA (2003), 61, 63, 68, 112, 114, 156
environmental measures, 237
investment chapter replaced 1997 BIT, 236
Chile-Thailand PTA (2013, 2015), 112, 156
Chile-Turkey PTA (2009), 112
Chile-United States PTA (2003), 112, 114, 155, 184, 197, 237–238
Chile-Uruguay PTA (2017), 157
creation of gender committee, 69
first to include gender chapter, 182
Chile-Vietnam PTA (2014), 71, 156
China, xxi, 1, 8, 36–38, 41–44, 46, 48, 51, 53, 89, 106, 255, 257, 259, 266, 271, 290–309
China-Colombia BIT (2008), 249
China-Costa Rica PTA (2010), 112, 114
China-Peru PTA (2009), 112, 114
China-United States trade war, 257, 282–283, 286
citizens, 5–6, 153, 172
civil society, 150–151, 155, 166, 172, 234, 247, 278
climate change, 1, 107, 131
greenhouse gas emissions, 103
Latin America (2000-2021), 107
Clinton, W.J., 155
Codex Alimentarius Regulations, 227
Colombia, 4, 11, 19–20, 31, 42, 49, 63, 95–97, 107, 187, 209, 222–223, 232, 235, 237, 243, 246–248, 251, 265, 267, 269
budget, 142
first ISDS case (2016), 244
food labelling (consolidation of octagonal warning signs), 229
food labelling law, 217–221, 224–225, 229
model BIT, 245, 249
model IIA, 249
right to food and trade law policies, 129–132
Colombia Peace Agreement (2016), 4, 6, 139
conclusions, 145–146
food security (impact of trade law), 127–146
national plans related to food policies, 137
obligation to notify domestic support measures, 138–140
right to food measures related to trade, 134–138
scope, 132–134
SGPDA, 134–136
SGPDA (implemented measures), 136–138
Colombia: Congress, 219
Colombia: Constitutional Court, 249
Colombia: Council of State, 219
Colombia: Ejército de Liberación Nacional (ELN, National Liberation Army), 249
Colombia: Environmental Zoning Plan, 144
Colombia: Ministry of Agriculture, 137
Colombia: Ministry of Health, 218–219, 226
Colombia: Ministry of Trade, 245
Colombia: National Agency for State's Legal Defence, 246
Colombia: National Plan for Promotion of Commercialisation, 144
Colombia: National Plan for Promotion of Solidarity Economy, 144
Colombia: Peasant, Family, and Community Economy (ECFC), 144
Colombia-Costa Rica PTA, 239, 244
investment chapter, 239
Colombia-Ecuador-EU-Peru PTA, *See* Andean Countries-EU PTA (2012)
Colombia-EFTA PTA (2008), 114
Colombian Association of Nutrition Faculties, 135
Colombia-Panama PTA (2013), 112
investment chapter, 239
Colombia-South Korea PTA (2013), 114, 238–239
investment chapter, 239
Colombia-United States PTA (2006), 6, 29, 114
Colombia-Venezuela BIT (2023), 249
committees, 66, 69–70
commodity prices, 267, 280
boom (2003-2013), 280–281
crash (2014), 282, 285
Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa (COMESA), 62
comparative advantage, 48, 53, 189, 270, 280
Comprehensive and Progressive Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP, 2018), 1, 12, 60, 88–89, 99, 150–151, 160, 239, 241, 247
characteristics, 88
investment chapter, 240, 243
S&DT clauses, 90, 98–99
women and economic growth, 67

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 16:36:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009568067.015

Comprehensive Rural Reform (CRR, Colombia, 2016), 127–129, 133, 137–138, 142
consumer goods, 257, 266, **266**
consumers, 27, 131, 138, 141, 162, 212, 219, 223, 225–226
Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), 92
cooperation and facilitation investment agreements (CFIAs), 240–241, 250, 252
corporate social responsibility (CSR), 239–241
corporations, 131, 247
cosmopolitanism, 43, 49, 298
Costa Rica, 20, 31, 209, 222, 265
Costa Rica-Peru PTA (2011), 110
COVID-19 pandemic, 2, 8, 131, 160, 186–187, 197, 257, 290–291, 301
critical nutrients, 217, 219, 225
  Chilean thresholds, **212**
  Colombia, **218**, **220**
  comparison between Pacific Alliance member-states, **221**
  Mexico, **215**
  Peru, **214**
cross-border paperless trade, 103
Cuba, 13, 31, 49, 80
culture, 152–153
customs valuation, 92–93

dairy products, 93, 158
Declaration on Promotion of Trade in Least Developed Countries (1961), 81
deep service provisions, 195, 197, 201
democracy, 16, 17, 23, 26, 31, 44, **45**, 46, 173, 277
democratic countries, 10, 23, 32
Design of Trade Agreements (DESTA) database, 4, 36, 106, 184
  'depth' variable, 14, 16, 18, **19**, 22–24, **24**, 26, 88
  'dispute settlement strength' variable, 18, **19**, 20, 22–23, **24**, 25–26
  'flexibility' variable, 18, **19**, 20, 22, **24**, 25
developed countries, 80–82, 85, 165, 179, 227
developing countries, 2, 79–82, 85, 89, 100, 139, 141, 145, 153, 179, 258, 300
  special and differential treatment in nutshell, 82–85
development, 79, 99, 145, 162, 178
  in trade agreements), 80–82
development objectives, 142, 173, 201
development strategy, 154, 179, 270
diffusion, 223, 226
digital and environmental provisions, 6, 105–106, 122–124
  effects on trade values and composition, 114–122
  Latin America, 110–112
  PTAs, 103–124
Digital Economy Partnership Agreement (DEPA, 2020), 157
digital trade, 3, 168, 189
digitalisation, 6, 103–104, 118, 122, **124**, 168
Doha Round, 259–260
domestic support measures: WTO definition, 140
Dominica, 13
Dominican Republic, 20, 49, 187
double hatting: definition, 234

East African Community (EAC), 62, 65
East Asia, 260, 270
East Asia and Pacific, **15**, 16
ecofeminism theory, 180
econometrics, 7, 115, 194
Economic Commission for Latin America and Caribbean (ECLAC), 156
Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) Revised Treaty (1993), 62
economic complementarity agreements (ECAs), 154, 156
economic development, 92, 118, 183, 196
economic growth, 11, 13, 39, 57, 60, 62–63, 67, 71, 165, 173, 178, 183, 185, 232, 259, 292
economic integration, 152, 270
economic liberalisation, 14, 247
economic partnership agreements (EPAs), 60
Ecuador, 13, 20, 49, 63, 96, 108, 186, 231, 234–235, 237, 245–246, 264, 269
  ISDS case lost, 240
Ecuador-Chile PTA (2022), 157
Ecuador-US BIT (1993), 240
education, 43, 45–46, 49–51, 53, 153, 189
educational level, 296, 298
EFTA, 29, **30**, 112, 114, **235**
Egypt, 89, 260
Egypt-Mercosur PTA (2010, 2017), **86**, 88–89
  characteristics, **88**
  S&DT clauses, **90**, 93–94
El Salvador, 20, 49, 186
electricity, 103, 107, **143**, **262**, **277**
elite interviews, 6, 166
elite perceptions, 151, 159
elite-citizen gap, 38, 53, 153
elites, 5–6, 36–37, 153, 173, 248
emerging economies (EEs), 255, 257–259, 276
empiricism, 4, 6, 8, 10, 18, 37, 73, 103, 106, 114, 122, 293–294
  PTA universe in Latin America, 22–26
employment, 46, 165, 172, 296, 303
emulation mechanism (international-policy diffusion), 222
Enabling Clause (1979), 84, **88**, 93
  full title, 82

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 16:36:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009568067.015

EnCana, 240
environment, 3–4, 6, 21, 170, 173
environmental concerns, 236, 239, 269
Environmental Goods Agreement (EGA, 2014), 106
environmental measures, 113, 236–237
environmental protection, 141, **164**, **177**, 239
environmental standards, 31, 48, 237
environmental sustainability: link with digitalisation, 103–104
ethnic communities, 136, 142, 144
Eurasian Economic Union (EAEU), 57
Europe, xxi, 232
Europe and Central Asia, 16
  economic characteristics, **15**
European Community, 82
European Economic Area (1992), 105
European Green Deal, 128
European Union, 1–2, 12, 23, 26, 28–29, 36–37, 49–51, 53, 58, 73, 106, 118, 151, 153, 157, 222–223, 227, 247, 255, 258–260
  gender mainstreaming, 60
European Union Generalised Scheme of Preferences Plus (GSP+), 96
European Union-Georgia Association Agreement (2014), 61
European Union-Mercosur PTA, **86–87**, 88–89, 99, 256
  'asymmetries easily noticeable', 94
  characteristics, **88**
  negotiations, 270
  S&DT clauses, **90**, 94–95
European Union-Mexico FTA (EUMFTA, 1997), 88–89
  characteristics, **88**
  entry into force (2000), 97
  S&DT clauses, **90**, 97–98
  social clauses, 98
European Union-Southern Africa Agreement on Trade Development and Cooperation (2016), 62
European Union-Vietnam PTA (2019), 112
European University Institute, 2
exclusions, 5, 80, 85, **87**, 100
exemptions, 5, 80
experts, 151, 159, 171, 225
export of services: increased participation of women in workforce, 193
export-led development, 26, 151, 165, 270, 278, 285
exports, 4, 6, 22, **24**, 114, **115**, 116, **117**, 118, **119**, **121**, 122, **123–124**, 145, 150, 157, 162, 171–172
expropriation, 238, 240
extractive sector, 285, **297**, 298, 307

factor-endowment model, 152, 293–294
fair and equitable treatment, 241, 250
FARC 2016, 4, 127–128, 139, *See also* Colombia Peace Agreement
feminism, 180
flexibilities, 23, 85–86, *See also* Design of Trade Agreements
food crisis, 131
food labelling, 7
  Chile, 210
  Colombia, 217–221
  conclusions, 228–229
  Mexico, 213–217
  octagonal STOP sign, 210–214, 216–228
  octagonal STOP sign (smaller packages, Mexico), *216*
  Pacific Alliance, 209–229
  Peru, 211–213, 216
  positive label (Colombia), *219*
  warning label (in Colombia under Decree 2492 of 2022), *220*
food products, 104, 210, 225–227, **277**
food security, 4, 6
  definition, 130, 132
  impact of trade law (Colombia case study), 127–146
food sovereignty, 129, 132
foreign direct investment, 167–168, 236–237, 245, 250, 270–271, 277, 280, 285
  'foreign investment', 158, 241, 250
forum-shopping: definition, 234
France, 227
free trade, 151, 161
Free Trade Area of Americas (FTAA), 12
free-market economics, 41, 247–248
front-of-package labelling (FOPL), 210, 218, 227–228
  WHO guiding principles, 226
Fuerzas Armadas Revolucionarias de Colombia, *See* FARC
Fujimori, A., 248
Fujishock, 248
full protection and security, 233, 241, 250

G-20 Meeting (2003), 93
García, A., 248
GDP, 115, 179, 191–194, **192**, **267**, 268
  Latin America, **15**
  Mercosur, 268
  per capita, 14, 16, *18*, 22, **24**, 24, 267
gender, 3, 6, 33, 44, **45**, 50, 132, 136, 152, 157, 165, 173, 178–179
  Chile, 168–170
  importance of PTAs, *169*
  perceptions, *169*
  trade, 180–183

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 16:36:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009568067.015

gender (cont.)
trade in services, 183–185
gender gaps, 7, 179, 185, 187, 194–195, 201
new avenues of research, 202
wages and working conditions, 202
gender-disaggregated data, 71, 73
gender-mainstreaming, 56–75, 181–183
conclusions, 72–73
countries included, *64*
enforcement, 72
implementation-focused approach, 69–70
language and location, 70–72
list of trade agreements, **76**
market-oriented focus areas, 67–69
'other international instruments', **78**
regional trends, *59*
South American approach, 63–72
spirit of cooperation, 67–69
stand-alone chapters, 5, 63–67
trade agreements (regional comparison), 58–63
General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT, 1947), 79–80, 82, **86**, **88**, 92, 154, 258–260
article XXIV, 84, 93, 269
contracting parties, 81
incorporation into WTO (1995), 258
Part IV, 81
General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS, WTO, 1995), **88**, 93, 183–184, 191
generalised least squares (GLS), **192**, 192, 194, **195**
geographical indications, **87**
geopolitics, 37–40, 51, 153, 290
Germany, 153
Glencore, 246
Global Financial Crisis (GFC, 2008), 8, 255–260, 266–267, 273, 280
rise of protectionism (Mexico), 272
Global Leader Ideology, 22
Global Trade Alert (GTA), 255, **262**
Global Trade and Gender Arrangement (2020), 71
global value chains (GVCs), 1–2, 270–271, 276–278, 282
Argentina versus Brazil, 281
definition (World Bank), 273
participation index (2015), *272*
globalisation, 153, 258, 303
backlash, 2, 32, 153
Great Wall Motor Company, 285
green box measures, **137**, 142, 145
definition, 141
green economy
Chile, 170–171
importance of PTAs, *170*
perceptions, *171*
greening, 6, 122, **124**
Grenada, 13
Group of 20 (G-20) developing countries, 259
Guatemala, 222
Guatemala-Peru FTA (2011), 110
Guatemala-Taiwan PTA (2005), 112
Guedes, P., 290

Haberler Report (1958), 81
harmonised system (HS), 118
environmental and ICT product categories, *120*
heterogeneity by income levels of partners, **123**
selected sections (impact), **121**
health objectives, 6–7, 224
healthcare, 58, 61, 189
high-income countries, 118
Honduras, 13, 49, 187
Honduras-Peru PTA (2015), 244
Huawei, 290
Humala, O., 248
human capability theory, 180
human rights, 41, 48, 127, 129, 133, 135, 234

ideology, 5, 22, **24**, 25, 37–38, 40–41, 153, 296
illicit crops, 139
imports, 6, 114, **115**, **117**, 118, **119**, **121**, 122, **123–124**, 155, 158, 162, 269
import-substitution industrialisation (ISI), 12, 81, 89, 264, 270, 276
Inclusive Trade Action Group (ITAG), 157
income, 50, 152, 296
per capita, 257, 271, 285
India, 156–157, 183, 259
indigenous populations, 144, 185
Indonesia, 29, 245
industrial sector, 277–278, 281, 292
inequalities, 6, 132, **177**, 179, 181–182, 185, 192, 201
Informal Group of Developing Countries, 82
information and communications technology (ICT), 67, 108, 120, 269, 281
Information Technology Agreement (ITA, 1996), 105
innovation, 3, 27, 68, **164**, 165, **177**
institutions, 66, 70
intellectual property rights, 20–21, 89, 92, 95, 97, 156, 168, 259
Inter-American Development Bank (IDB), 158
interest groups, 153, 155, 166, 243, 249–250
interest rates, 265, 267, 280
intermediate goods, 273, 278
International Bar Association (IBA), 280
International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID), 231, 234, 242, 245, 249
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 68

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 16:36:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009568067.015

International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights, 68, 129
international investment agreements (IIAs), 231–232, 242–247, 249, 251
  Brazil, 240
  paradigmatic reforms, 241
  reform, 250
  signed by South American countries (1990-2022), 233
international investment law, 7, 232, 234, 238, 240, 251
  legitimacy crisis, 237, 250
  reform (early phase), 236
International Labour Organization (ILO), 65, 68, 191
  fundamental rights at work, 68
international law, 223, 242, 246
international trade agreements: development dimension, 80–82
international trade law, 129, 145, 221, 229
international-policy diffusion (mechanisms)
  coercion, 222
  competition, 222
  emulation, 222
  learning, 222
international-policy diffusion (stages), 222
  consolidation, 222
  creation, 222
  enforcement, 222
Intersectoral Commission on Food and Nutritional Security, 135
interviews, 151, 159, 168
intra-Latin America PTAs, 18–23
investment, 21, 89, 259, *See also* foreign direct investment
investment arbitration, 7, 246
investment facilitation, 241, 250
investment liberalisation, 237, 243, 247–249, 256
investment policy, 231, 247–248, 251
investment protection, 158, 238, 240–241, 246, 248
  domestic factors, 232
investment protection reform
  conclusion, 251–252
  drivers, 243–251
  political economy, 231–252
investor–state dispute settlement (ISDS), 157, 232–234, 239–243, 246–247, 249–251
  exorbitant costs, 234
  proceedings, 244–246
  provisions, 237
  South American countries as respondents under IIAs, **244**
IPRs, *See* intellectual property rights
Israel, 63, 243

jaccard scores, 27
Jamaica, 229
Japan, 29, 63, 81, 98, 156, 243, 255
Japan-Peru PTA (2011), 112
Joint Initiative on E-Commerce (2019), 105
Jordan-United States PTA (2000), 105

labour market, 39, 133, 179, 187, 190, 192, 194–195, 197, **263**, 295
labour standards, 60–61, 153, 239
land, 132–134, 146
language, 11, 29, 63, 69, 84, 239–240
  best-endeavour ~, 62, 68
  re-use (in PTAs), 27–29, 243
Latin America
  citizens (mean preferences for trade partners), *43*
  climate policies by policy area (2000-2021), *108*
  Colombia case study (impact of trade law on food security), 127–146
  digital and environmental policies, 106–108
  digital and environmental provisions, *112*
  digital and environmental trade governance, 110–112
  exports and imports (1960-2019), *265*
  mapping PTA universe, 4–5, 10–33
  'median' case (protectionism versus liberalisation), 260, *264*
  octagonal warning labels (processed foods), 221–228
  political and economic characteristics, **15**
  PTA design, **19**
  PTA models, **30**
  tariff rates (weighted mean), **264**
  trade (political economy, 2009–2018), 260–284
  trade characteristics (2019), **266**
  women's participation in workforce (1990-2021), *187*
Latin American Elites Project (PELA), 37, 49, 53
Latin American Integration Association (LAIA/ALADI), 92, 154–155
Latin American PTAs, 103–124
  digital and environmental provisions (effects on trade values and composition), 114–122
  digital and environmental provisions, *111*
  heterogeneity by income levels of partners, **119**
  heterogeneity in marginal impact of provisions and partners, **117**
  rule-makers versus rule-takers, 112–114
  S&DT clauses, 79–102
  specific provisions on traded values, **115**
Latinobarómetro, 37, 42, 46, 53, 158
learning mechanism (international-policy diffusion), 222
liberal globalists, 10–13, 17, 20, 23, 26–27, 29, 31–32
lithium, 157, 270, 285
lobbying, 153, 226, 265, 300

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 16:36:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009568067.015

machinery, 93, 278
Maduro regime, 249
Maggi, B., 301
Malaysia, 29, 96, 98, 156–157
manufactured goods, 266, 280–281, 295
manufacturing, 257, 297, 307
market access, 48, 60, 67, 116, 128, 150, 156, 161, 178, 183–184, 259
market size, 87, 244
Marrakesh Agreement (1994), 56, 82, 131
media, 158, 168, 172–173
media analyses, 6, 151
mercantilism, 258–259
Mercosur, 4, 8, 12, 28–29, 94–95, 154–155, 158, 165, 235, 237, 256, 276, 285
  Brazil, 268–270
  Brazilian protectionism, 281
  common external tariff (CET), 268–269, 281
  establishment (1991), 277
  GVC participation (Argentina versus Brazil), 281
  'imperfect customs union', 268, 282–284
  population and GDP, 268
  PTAs, 269
Mercosur Investment Protocol (2017), 241
  full title, 240
Mercosur: Common Market Council (CMC), 269
Mercosur: Decision 32/00 (2000), 269
Mercosur: Re-Launching Agenda (2000), 269
Mercosur, **30**
Mercosur-Peru PTA (2005), 88–89
  characteristics, **88**
  S&DT clauses, **90**, 92–93
Mexico, 2, 7, 19, 27, 31–32, 49, 63, 89, 97–98, 107, 155, 157, 187, 209, 222–223, 225, 258
  bilateralism and protectionism, 270–276
  capital, consumption, intermediate goods (destinations, 2008-2018), **273**
  capital, consumption, intermediate goods (exports, 2000-2018), 272
  CPTPP, 98
  domestic reforms (foot-dragging), 271
  economic and trade characteristics (2008-2018), **267**
  exports (share impacted by GVC ties), 275
  food labelling (new signs), 217
  food labelling law, 213–217, 224, 229
  main exports, 266
  protectionism against (by close and non-close trading partners), 274
  protectionism and integration, 255–286
  protectionism by, 275
  protectionist interventions (non-transparent), 276
  PTAs, 266–268
  relocation of Chinese manufacturers, 286
  sectors most affected by protectionism (2009-2019), **277**
  'sophisticated contract assembler', 271
  trade (in and out) affected by protectionism (2009-2019), 274
  trade deficit with China, 256, 278
  warning labels (nutritional), *215*
Mexico: Central Bank, 267
Mexico: Congress, 278
Mexico: Ministry of Health, 214
Mexico-Panama PTA (2014), 112
Mexico-Uruguay PTA (2004), 110, 112
microfoundations, 3–4
Middle East and North Africa (MENA), 16
  economic characteristics, **15**
middle-income countries, 16, 82, 234
millennials, 168, 170–171
minerals, 183, 191, 280
mining, 14, 114, 171, 179, 246, **261**, **281**
Mission for Transformation of Colombian Countryside, 135
mixed-methods approach, 6, 255
modernisation, 151, 157, 165
Montevideo Treaty (1980), 92
most-favoured nation (MFN), 81, 84, 91, 98, 191, 233, 250
multilateral trade negotiations, 1, 79, 258
multilateral trade rules, 93, 105
municipalities, 306, **307**
murky protectionism, 4, 256

National Rural Plan (Colombia), *See* SGPDA
national security, 40, 62
national treatment, 92–93, 184, 191, 233, 236, 250
nationalism, 2, 152–153, 295
natural logarithm, 114, 191, **192**, **195**
natural resources, 157, 161, 191, 250
Negara Brunei Darussalam, 98, 156
neoliberalism, 154, 158, 161, 247, 249, 270, 278
Netflix, 168
Netherlands, 278
New Zealand, 63, 71, 156–158, 227, 243
New Zealand-South Korea FTA (KNZFTA, 2015), 61
news concepts (positive and negative), 159, *161*
newspapers, 159–160
Nicaragua, 13, 20, 49–50
Nicaragua-Taiwan PTA (2006), 29, 112, 114
non-economic factors, 152
non-tariff barriers, 82, 154, 268, 278, 284
non-tariff measures (NTMs), 8, 255–256, 260, 269
  definition (UNCTAD), 140
  examples, **262**
non-trade issues (NTIs), 20, 31

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 16:36:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009568067.015

normative beliefs, 245, 247–248
North America, **15**, 16, 58, 60, 63
North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), 4, 8, 97, 105, 165, 237, 256–258, 268, 270, 285
  China 'de facto player', 271, 273
  Mexico's entry and dependence on US market, 273, 276–277
  potential Chilean membership, 155, 184
nutrition, 61, 69, 133, 210, 212, 226–228

obesity, 209, 224
Occidental, 240
octagonal STOP sign (food labelling), 210–214, 217
  conclusions, 228–229
  consolidation (Colombia), 217–221
  diffusion in Latin America, 222–223
  health standards (WHO and PAHO), 226–228
  Latin American standard, 221–228
  scientific and international legal support, 223–228
  smaller packages (Mexico), *216*
  WTO TBT Agreement, 224–226
OECD, 259, 294
OECD Handbook for Measuring Digital Trade (2019), 120
oil, 240, 257, 269–270, 280, 285, 301
  'petroleum', 99, 266, **267**
Okonjo-Iweala, N., 131
open economy politics (OEP), 293
open regionalism, 88, 154
ordered logistic regression, 23, 296

Pacific Alliance, 6–7, 37, 49–50, 63, 73, 157, 229, 244, 248
  members, 209
Pacific Alliance food labelling, 209–229
  Chile, 210
  Colombia, 217–221
  Mexico, 213–217
  Peru, 211–213
Pacific Alliance: Additional Protocol, 228, 240
Pacific Alliance: Decision No. 9 (2020), 228
Pacific Alliance: Framework Agreement, 228
Pacific Alliance: TBT Committee, 228
Palermo, T., 284
Panama, 20, 31, 49, 118, 187, 229
Panama-Peru PTA (2011), 110, 112
Panama-Singapore PTA (2006), 114
Panama-Taiwan PTA (2003), 112, 114
Pan-American Health Organisation (PAHO), 217, 229
  food labelling (health standards), 226–228
paradigmatic reforms, 242
  definition (Roberts), 241
Paraguay, 12, 20, 31, 63, 73, 93–95, 100, 157, 187, 244, 269
Patent Law Treaty (WIPO, 2000), 97
peasants, 133, 143–145
perception relationship, 44–46
performance requirements, 236, 240
Peru, 7, 11, 19–20, 25, 31, 49, 63, 89, 95–97, 100, 156, 187, 209, 222–223, 232, 235, 237, 243, 245–251, 266–267, 269
  CPTPP, 98
  food labelling (2013 law), 224, 229
  investment chapters in PTAs, 241
  ISDS cases, 244, 246
  warning labels (nutritional), *213*
Peru-Australia FTA (PAFTA, 2018): women and economic growth, 71
Peru-South Korea FTA (2011), 69, 71
Peru-United States PTA (2006), 28–29, **30**, 31, 114, 237
Petro, G., 249
Philippines, 153, 260
Piñera, S., 247
Pinochet, A., 154, 247
policy space, 99, 128–130, 132, 144, 241, 250
  definition, 79
  freedom to pursue societal goals, 6
political economy, 255–256, 291
political left, 41, 50–51
political parties, 39, 247–248
political right, 40–41, 50–51, 53
polity scores, 14, **15**, 22, **24**
Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú (PUCP), 2
positive signs (Mexico), *216*, 217
poverty, 133, 136, 145, 182, 185
preferential trade agreements (PTAs), xxi, 1, 3–4, 8, 20, 79, 89, 127, 129–131, 231, 234, 251–252, 256, 258, *See also* PTA design
  asymmetrical, 89
  descriptive statistics, 18
  digital and environmental provisions, 103–124
  greening and digitalising of trade, 122, **124**
  impact on services (Chile), 178–203
  investment chapters (rise), 232–237
  mega-regional (with investment chapters), 239
  percentage including trade-related and non-trade issues, *21*
  political determinants, 25
  S&DT clauses (asymmetrical), 94–99
  services impact assessment (women's employment), 7
  signed by South American countries (2001–2011), 235
  signed by South American countries (2012–2023), **238**
  similarity (country-level heatmaps), 28

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 16:36:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009568067.015

preferential trade agreements (PTAs) (Chilean perception)
concepts in news regarding PTA (word cloud), *163*
concepts related to PTAs, *163*
conclusions, 172–173
contribution of PTAs, **164**
further research, 173
gender and green economy, 168–171
general perceptions, 160–166
growth, 165
insights from interviews, 172
insights from stakeholder survey, media analysis, interviews, 158–172
integration, 165
intellectual property, FDI promotion, digital trade, 167–168
literature survey, 152–154
love to hate in single step, 177
media analysis, *164*
modernisation, 165
news concepts (positive and negative), *161*
print media (content analysis), *160*
print media (sentiment), *162*
questionnaire, 164, **177**
regional results, 171–172
revision, 165
sovereignty, 166
specific perceptions regarding PTA provisions, 166–172
trade policy, 154–158
print media, *160*, *162*
private sector, 67, 142, 151, 159, 229
product-specific support, 141, 145
proportionality, 225
protectionism, 1, 7, 33, 128, 155, 173, 232, 255–256, 258, 260, 300
protectionism and integration, **261**
China (impact on Brazil and Mexico), 278–282
Latin America, 255–286
political economy (2009-2018), 260–284
reflections, 284–286
PTA design, 22–26
determinants (Latin America), **24**
economic determinants, 22
political determinants, 22
PTA investment chapters, 7, 251
investment liberalisation, 236
reform era, 237–242
PTA universe in Latin America (mapping), 4–5, 10–33, *See also* preferential trade agreements (PTAs)
empirical analysis, 22–26
factors determining PTA design, 22–26
rest of world, 14–17
text analysis of LA PTAs, 26–29
variation within LAC region, 18–22
public health, 62, 209, 224
public interest, 234, 239, 242
public opinion, 4, 32, 36, 153, 157, 173
public sector, 67, 229
public-private partnerships, 138, 144

questionnaires, 159

raw materials, 138, 144, 157, 257, **266**, 266, 295
Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP), 1, 100
regional trade agreements, 84, 260
regionalism, xxi, 1, 88
developmental, 12
protection-based, 12
Registro Único de Víctimas (RUV), 133
reluctant globalists, 10–13, 17, 20–21, 26–27, 31
Ricardo-Viner model, 293
right to food, 127–132, 145
components, 136–137, **137**
definition, 129
FARC Peace Agreement, 134–138
right to regulate, 61–62, 68, 239
Rome Declaration on World Food Security (1996), 130
Rousseff, D., 250
rule of law, 23, 247
rule-makers, xxi, 82, 105, 112–114
rules of origin, **87**, 93, 95, 99, 284
rule-takers, 105, 112–114, 232
rural areas, 127, 133, 135, 145
importance of exporting sector (Chile), 173
rural development, 128, 133, 135
Russia, 153
Russo-Ukrainian War, 269, 285

safeguards, 5, 80, 91, 93
Saint Kitts and Nevis, 13
Saint Lucia, 13
Saint Vincent and Grenadines, 13
salt, 212, 214, **215**
sanitary and phytosanitary (SPS) measures, 80, **86**, 91–94, 96, 104, **262**, 284
saturated fats, **212**, 212, **214–215**, 214, **218**, **220–221**, 225–226
scholars, xxi, 1–3, 79, 83–84, 151, 159, 233–234, 295
schools, 210, 213
science, technology, engineering, mathematics (STEM), 65
security externalities, 40
Segura, A., 246

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 16:36:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009568067.015

self-interest, 39, 152, 293–294
services
  gender and trade, 183–185
  share in economy's value added, 193
services exports, 192–193, **192**, **195**, 195
services sector, 4, 7, 72, 179–180, *188*, 191, 194, 196–197
  women's participation rate (Chile), 187
SGPDA, 134–136, 140, 142
  implemented measures, 136–138
  key to abbreviation (Colombian rural plan for right to food), 134
  mandatory bottom-up participatory planning process, 145
  objectives, 135
  policy-specific criteria, **137**
short marketing circuits, 137, 144
side letters, 157
Singapore, 29, 63, 156
Singapore's Women's Charter, 61
single-liability principle: definition, 234
skill development, 60, 190
small businesses, 60, 91, 156, 167, **177**, 271
small-scale farmers, 131, 145
social development, **60**, 136
social media, 158
sociotropic concerns, 5, 39, 151–153
sociotropic preferences, 173, 294
sodium, 212, **212**, 214, **214**, **218**, **220–221**, 226
South African Customs Union, 269
South America, 58
  gender-mainstreaming in trade agreements, 56–75
  'new regionalism', 12
  PTAs (investment protection reform), 231–252
South Asia, **15**, 16
South Korea, 2, 118, 243
South Korea-Singapore Free Trade Agreement (KSFTA), 61
Southern Cone Common Market, *See* Mercosur
South-South agreements, 88–89, 243
  asymmetries, 100
  S&DT clauses, 89–94
sovereignty, 166, 173, 246
soybeans, 266, 278, 292, 301, 303
special and differential treatment (S&DT) clauses, 3, 5, 79–102
  asymmetrical, 89–99
  conceptualisation, methods, sampling, 85–89
  conclusions, 99–100
  examples, **86**
  framing case-by-case, 99
  inclusion (key factors), 99
  Latin American PTAs, 89–99
  list approach, 100
  main argument, 79
  next steps, 100
  WTO typology, 83
special interest groups (SIGs), 300, 303
specific sector model, 293
'specific trade concerns', 222, 224
stakeholder survey, 151, 159
stakeholders, 71, 103, 151, 180, 223
state-owned enterprises, 156
statist development goals, 10, 12, 32, 232
steel, **261**, 276, **277**, **281**
Stolper-Samuelson theorem, 38, 293
Strategic Economic Partnership Agreement (P4), 156
Sub-Saharan Africa, 16
  economic characteristics, **15**
sugars, **212**, 212, **214–215**, 214, **218**, **220–221**, 225–226
Suriname, 20
surveys, 6, 37, 42, 49, 151–152, 157–158
sustainable development, 202, 240, 251
sweeteners, *216*, 217, **220–221**, 226
Switzerland, 222
synthetic control method (SCM), 197

Taiwan, 38
tariff reductions, 82, 85, **86**, 93, 96, 128, 162
tariff-rate quotas (TRQs), 98
tariffs, 81, 84, 91, 93, 95–96, 260, 268, 278, 281
taxation, 168, 239–240, **263**, 271
technical barriers to trade, 6, 93, **262**, *See also* WTO Agreement on TBT,
technocrats, 247–249
technology transfer, 84, 87, 236
telecommunications, 269, 281
television, 93, 99, 271
terms of trade, 37, 96, 265
textiles, 37, 67, 93–94, 120, 292
Tokyo Round (1973-1979), 82
trade agreements, 2, 6
  gender-mainstreaming, 56–75
  winners and losers, 56
Trade Agreements Provisions on Electronic-Commerce and Data (TAPED), 106, 110, 112, 114
Trade and Environment Database (TREND), 106, 110, 112, 114
trade barriers, 158, 162, 265, 273
trade diplomats, 151, 159
trade disputes: prevention, 146
trade experts, 160–161
trade facilitation, 95, *104*, 104
trade flows, 105, 114, 116, 122, 178, 202–203
  impact of digital and environmental provisions, 6
trade governance, 105–106

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 16:36:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009568067.015

trade in goods, 14, 20, 91–92, 95–97, 103, 163, 178, 183
trade in services, 97, 178–179
  GLS regression (women's participation in workforce), **192**, 192
  GLS with autoregressive process, **195**
  methodological approach and dataset, 190–192
  results, 192–201
  results (difference-in-differences regression), **196**
  results (robustness checks), 196–201
  theoretical assumptions and hypotheses, 188–190
trade law policy, 4, 6, 8, 25, 129–132, 150–151, 153, 172, 178
  'can reduce gender gaps', 201
  gendered impact, 180–185
  literature, 5
trade law: impact on food security (Colombia case study), 127–146
trade liberalisation, 36, 38, 81, 93, 128, 150, 152–153, 172–173, 178, 182, 185, 238, 247, 256, 258
trade preferences, 153, 243, 293–294
trade protectionism and integration
  global context, 258–260
  interventions (level of transparency), **263**
  mapping trade policy dynamics by region (2009-2018), **261**
  NTMs, **262**
trade regulations, 128, 130, 132
trade support, 37, 44, **45**
trade unions, 39, 155
trade values
  econometric specification, 115
  effects of digital and environmental provisions, 114–122
trading partner preferences
  argument, 38–41
  citizens, 42–49, 51, 53
  citizens (explanations), 45
  economic considerations, 42, 51, 53
  education, 45
  employment, 45
  future research, 53–54
  geopolitical considerations, 39–40, 42, 44–46, 51, 53
  hypotheses, 39–41, 43–46, 50
  ideological considerations, 40–42, 44–46, 49–51, 53
  legislators, 49–53
  perception relationship, 45
  summary, 41
  UNGA, 45
trans-fats, 212, 214–215, 217, 220–221, 226
Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), 40
transition periods, 5, 80, 94, 98
Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP, 2016), 12, 98, 112, 114, 150, 156–160, 166, 172–173, 243, 248
  opponents, 151
transparency, 225, 227, 239, 241, 283
treaty outcomes, 243, 247
Trinidad and Tobago, 118
Trump, D., 258, 273, 282, 286, 290
Turkey, 29

UN (United Nations), 103–104, 153
UN ComTrade dataset, 22, 114
UN Conference for Trade and Development (UNCTAD), 231
UN Convention on Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW, 1979), 57, 65, 68, 183
UN Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO), 131–132
UN General Assembly (UNGA), 44–46, 50–51, 57
UN Office of High Commissioner for Human Rights, 131
UN Special Rapporteur on Right to Food, 128–129, 131, 133
UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), 57, 68, 183
UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948), 68
UN World Food Programme (WFP), 131
UNCTAD, 249
UNCTAD: MAST classification, 262
UNCTAD: Santiago conference (1972), 154
Unified Registry of Victims (RUV), 133
Union of South American Nations (UNASUR), 242
United Kingdom, 29, 63, 227, 242
United States, xxi, 1, 11–13, 23, 26, 28–29, 36–38, 42–44, 46, 49–51, 53, 81–82, 88, 106, 153, 156, 158, 222, 225, 232, 249, 255, 259
  elites-citizen gap, 153
  exit from TPP, 98
  free-market capitalism, 41
  model BIT (2004), 237, 243
  'raised trade barriers in context of PTA', 273
  top trade partner of Latin America, 266
United States: Congress, 155
United States: Department of Commerce, 269
United States-Mexico-Canada FTA (USMCA, 2018), 60, 112, 258, 273, 285
Universidad de Chile, 2
University of Bern, 2
University of St. Gallen, 2
Uruguay, 12, 19–20, 31, 49–50, 63, 68, 93, 118, 187, 209, 226, 229, 244, 269
  pursuit of PTA with China, 285
Uruguay Round, 82, 183, 258–260

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 16:36:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009568067.015

Venezuela, 13, 20, 27, 31, 49–50, 231, 234–235, 237, 264–266, 269, 281
'strong preference for China', 42
Vietnam, 29, 98, 156

wage gaps, 183, 190
wages, 38–39, 165, 179, 182, 202
Washington Consensus, 265, 270, 276
Weintraub, A., 291
WHO
food labelling (health standards), 226–228
FOPL (guiding principles), 226
women, 4–6, 56–75, 142, 144, 152, 168
competitive advantage, 189
domestic and unpaid work, 202
employment, 7,
financial inclusion, 67
gender mainstreaming in trade agreements (regional comparison), 58–63
leadership networks, 68
physical safety, 61
trade policy (gendered impact), 180–185
workforce participation in Chile (stylised facts), 185–188
Work Programme on Electronic Commerce (1998), 105
World Bank, 14, 118, 191, 273, 278
development indicators (2020), 266
World Bank Group (WBG), 57
World Development Indicators, 14, 22, 191
World Economic Forum, 103
World Trade Forum, xxi, 2
World Trade Institute, 2
WTO, 1, 4, 6, 27, 33, 83, 92–93, 103, 128–129, 131, 222, 229, 255, 257–258
anti-dumping complaints against China, 280
Chinese accession (2001), 282, 291
gender issues, 56–57, 182
notifications required, 138–139, 145
transparency principle, 139
WTO Agreement on Agriculture (AoA), 128–129, 132, 138–145
aims, 131
article four, 128
article twenty, 130
de minimis criteria, 145
domestic support measures, 140
exemption from reduction commitments (Colombia), 140–145
food security concept, 130
obligation to notify domestic support measures, 138–140
Preamble, 130
S&DT, 139
WTO Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), 210, 222–226, 229
WTO Committee on Agriculture, 130, 145
WTO Committee on Regional Trade Agreements, 96
WTO Ministerial Declaration on Emergency Response to Food Insecurity, 132
WTO Ministerial Meeting (Nairobi 2015), 259
WTO Ministerial Meeting (Seattle, 1999), 258
WTO Multilateral Agreement on Investment Facilitation for Development (Chilean advocacy), 241
WTO Secretariat, 83
WTO TRIPs Agreement (signed 1994), 92, 95, 97
WTO-plus regulations, 89

younger generations, 168, 171, 173

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 16:36:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009568067.015

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 16:36:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009568067.015