Erratum

In Table 1 (page 292) and Table 3 (page 305) of the March 2005 issue of this journal, the following errors occurred. In the left-hand column of the tables, the second occurrence of the words 'Procedural fairness' should have been replaced by 'Public justification'.

The corrected tables are printed below.

Table 1. Components and definition of key concepts employed in the analysis

Procedural fairness	
Effective influence	Perceived ability to influence the decision outcome relative to desired level of influence.
Public justification	Assessments of overseeing authority (the Rail Administration) behaviour in processing the local decision. Aspects measured: receptivity, consideration and information.
Institutional legitimacy	
Institutional trust	Overall confidence in the overseeing authority (the Rail Administration). Aspects measured: trust and assessment of how the issue has been handled overall.
Decision acceptance	Agreement with the decision outcome.
Political trust	Trust for national and municipal politicians.

Table 3. Determinants of willingness to accept the decision outcome

	Model 1. Weight of procedural assessments		Model 2. Controlling for assessments of policy issue content	
Procedural assessments	β	β (S.E.)	β	β (S.E.)
Public justification	0.14***	0.12 (0.03)	0.06**	0.06 (0.03)
Effective influence	0.07***	0.09 (0.02)	0.06**	0.08 (0.02)
Attitudes toward issue substance				
Decision acceptance (T_1)	0.52***	0.56 (0.03)	0.37***	0.40 (0.03)
Utility			0.30***	0.35 (0.02)
	N = 973	$R^2_{adj} = 0.42$	N = 973	$R^2_{adj} = 0.50$

Notes: Dependent variable: Decision acceptance (wave 2). Standard error given in parentheses.
* $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.001$.

[European Journal of Political Research 45: 285-315, 2006.]

© 2006 The Author(s)
Journal compilation © 2006 (European Consortium for Political Research)
Published by Blackwell Publishing Ltd., 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK and 350 Main Street, Malden, MA 02148, USA

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2006.00648.x Published online by Cambridge University Press