Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (2009), **147**, 339 © 2009 *Cambridge Philosophical Society*
doi:10.1017/S0305004109002515 *Printed in the United Kingdom*
First published online 5 May 2009

Constructive Gelfand duality for C*-algebras

BY THIERRY COQUAND
Computing Science Department at Göteborg University

AND BAS SPITTERS
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Eindhoven University of Technology
e-mail: b.a.w.spitters@tue.nl

(*Received* 2 *February* 2008; *revised* 3 *February* 2009)

Abstract

We present a constructive proof of Gelfand duality for C*-algebras by reducing the problem to Gelfand duality for real C*-algebras.

1. *Introduction*

Classical Gelfand duality states that category of commutative C*-algebras and the category of compact Hausdorff spaces are equivalent. The proof relies on the axiom of choice in an essential way. In a sequence of papers starting in a 1980 pre-print and culminating in the references [**BM00b**, **BM00a**, **BM97**, **BM06**], Banaschewski and Mulvey explore a constructive version of the Gelfand duality theorem which can be applied internally in a topos. In this context, the category of compact Hausdorff spaces is replaced by the category of compact completely regular locales. A locale is is a pointfree topology: a lattice theoretic presentation of the open sets of a topological space. In the presence of the axiom of choice, the category of compact completely regular locales and the category of compact Hausdorff spaces are equivalent. The axiom of choice is (only) used to construct the points in the topological spaces. In topos theory, the axiom of choice is not generally present [**Mul03**]. In this light, Banachewski and Mulvey generalized Gelfand duality to Grothendieck toposes by rephrased it as the equivalence of the category of commutative C*-algebras and the category of compact completely regular locales. When the axiom of choice is present the spatial version is a simple corollary.

The treatment by Banachewski and Mulvey is not quite constructive: it relies on Barr's Theorem. Barr's theorem states: If a geometric statement is deducible from a geometric theory using classical logic and the axiom of choice, then it is also deducible from it constructively; see [**Wra80**] for a discussion of the importance of this theorem in constructive algebra. The proof of Barr's theorem itself, however, is highly non-constructive. Even if we are willing to grant this, Barr's theorem depends on the topos being a Grothendieck topos.

We give a fully constructive treatment of Gelfand duality. An alternative constructive proof of Gelfand duality is announced in [**BM06**] and [**Mul03**]. Our proof uses a concrete presentation of the Gelfand spectrum as a lattice. Such constructive proofs are sometimes more direct [**CS05**] than proofs via an encoding of topology in metric spaces, as is common in Bishop's constructive mathematics [**Bis67**]. Moreover, this construction of the

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004109002515 Published online by Cambridge University Press

lattice presenting the spectrum as a locale is technically advantagous, as it is preserved under inverse images of geometric morphisms. As such it has been applied in [**HLS08**].

The article is organized as follows. We start by a constructive reduction of Gelfand duality from the complex case to the real case (Section 3). A constructive presentation of Gelfand duality in the real case has been given in [**Coq05**]. In order to apply these results we prove that the set of self-adjoint elements of a C*-algebra is a real C*-algebra (Section 4). We put all the pieces together in Section 5. Finally, Section 6 ends with short direct proofs of results which were obtained using Barr's theorem in [**BM06**].

2. *Preliminaries*

We recall here the definition of a commutative C^*-algebra A in a topos following [**BM06**]. When working in an intuitionistic framework, we cannot assume in general the (semi)norm of an element to be a Dedekind real, but instead it may simply be a *non negative upper real*. We define a non negative upper real to be a inhabited open upward closed set of positive rational numbers. We can define the addition and multiplication of non negative upper reals: $U_1 + U_2$ is the set of rationals $r_1 + r_2,\ r_1 \in U_1,\ r_2 \in U_2$ and U_1U_2 is the set of rationals $r_1r_2,\ r_1 \in U_1,\ r_2 \in U_2$. We define also $U_1 \leqslant U_2$ to mean that U_2 is a subset of U_1. Finally we may identify the non negative rational q with the set of rationals r such that $r > q$. The norm $\|a\|$ of a in A is then an upper real. The notation of [**BM06**] is $a \in N(q)$ for $\|a\| < q$. The conditions for the relation $a \in N(q)$, introduced in [**BM06**] can then be written as the usual conditions on the seminorm

$$\|0\| = 0, \quad \|1\| = 1, \quad \|a^*\| = \|a\|, \quad \|ab\| \leqslant \|a\|\|b\|$$

$$\|ra\| = |r|\|a\|, \quad \|a + b\| \leqslant \|a\| + \|b\|, \quad \|aa^*\| = \|a\|^2.$$

As in [**BM06**], we assume finally A to be *complete*: any Cauchy approximation on A has a unique limit in A. (As a consequence, $a = 0$ iff $\|a\| = 0$.)

We will use the letters a, b, x, y to range over elements of the C*-algebra and the letters q, r, s, t to range over the rationals.

3. *Reduction to the real case*

Let A be a C*-algebra and let $B = A_{sa}$ be the set of *self-adjoint* elements, i.e. elements a such that $a^* = a$. The algebra B is then a commutative Banach algebra over the rationals. For a in B, we have $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$, since $a = a^*$.

PROPOSITION 1. *For a, b in B we have $\|a^2\| \leqslant \|a^2 + b^2\|$.*

Proof. We write $a^2 + b^2 = (a + bi)(a - bi) =: cc^*$. So $\|a^2 + b^2\| = \|cc^*\| = \|c\|^2$. Finally, $2a = c + c^*$, so $\|a\| = \|c + c^*\|/2 \leqslant (\|c\| + \|c^*\|)/2 = \|c\|$ and therefore $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2 \leqslant \|c\|^2 = \|a^2 + b^2\|$.

4. *Real Banach algebras*

In this section, we consider a complete commutative Banach algebra B over the rationals such that $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$ and $\|a^2\| \leqslant \|a^2 + b^2\|$. By Proposition 1, this will be the case if we take for B the self-adjoint part of a commutative C^*-algebra.

LEMMA 1. *If $\|1 - x\| \leqslant 1$. Then x is a square.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004109002515 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. We give an explicit proof that the Taylor series for $\sqrt{1-(1-x)}$ converges. We define two sequences: y_n in B and r_n in $\mathbb{Q}$. We take $y_0 = 0,\ r_0 = 0$ and $y_{n+1} = (1-x+y_n^2)/2$ and $r_{n+1} = (1+r_n^2)/2$.

For all n, $\|y_n\| \leqslant r_n$ by induction. Since we have

$$y_{n+1} - y_n = \frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_{n-1})(y_n - y_{n-1})$$

we get $\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| \leqslant r_{n+1} - r_n$ by induction. Consequently,

$$\|(1-y_n)^2 - x\| = 2\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| \leqslant 2(r_{n+1} - r_n) \to 0$$

because we have $r_n \to 1$ in a constructive way [**Coq05**].

PROPOSITION 2. *A sum of squares is a square.*

Proof. As in [**KV53**]. We claim that $\|x\|, \|1-x\| \leqslant 1$ iff x and $1-x$ are squares.

The implication from left to right is Lemma 1. For the reverse implication suppose that $x = u^2$ and $1 - x = v^2$, then $1 = u^2 + v^2$, so $\|u\|^2, \|v\|^2 \leqslant 1$.

For the proof of the Proposition let x, y be squares. We can assume $\|x\|, \|y\| \leqslant 1$. Then $1-x$ and $1-y$ are squares and so $\|1-x\|, \|1-y\| \leqslant 1$. Since

$$\|1 - \frac{(x+y)}{2}\| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(\|1-x\| + \|1-y\|) \leqslant 1,$$

$(x+y)/2$ is a square and so is $x+y$.

Let P be the set of all squares. Then P is a *cone*: it contains the squares and is closed under multiplication and addition. The cone P defines an ordering on the algebra B. As in [**Coq05**] we define $r \ll a$ to mean $a - s \in P$ for some $s > r$. By Lemma 1 we have $r - a$ in P if $\|a\| \leqslant r$ and hence B has the multiplicative unit 1 as a strong unit for this ordering. Consequently, all the results of the first part of [**Coq05**] are available.

We define $\mathsf{MFn}(B)$ to be the locale generated by symbols $D(a)$, $a \in B$, and relations:

(1) $D(1) = 1$;
(2) $D(-a^2) = 0$;
(3) $D(a+b) \leqslant D(a) \vee D(b)$;
(4) $D(a) \wedge D(-a) = 0$;
(5) $D(ab) = (D(a) \wedge D(b)) \vee (D(-a) \wedge D(-b))$;
(6) $D(a) = \bigvee_{r>0} D(a-r)$;

The points of this locale are the Multiplicative Functionals. A symbol $D(a)$ intuitively represents the open set $\{\phi \mid \phi(a) > 0\}$.

LEMMA 2. *If* $0 \ll ac$ *and* $0 \leqslant c$ *then* $0 \ll a$.

Proof. See [**Kri64**, théorème 12]. We give a sketch of the argument. Since the ring is Archimedean, we have N in $\mathbb{N}$ such that $-N \leqslant a \leqslant N$. Since $0 \leqslant c$ and $1 \leqslant ac$ we have $1 \leqslant Nc$ and thus $1/N \leqslant c$. There exists L in $\mathbb{N}$ such that $c \leqslant L$ and we get $1/N \leqslant c \leqslant L$. If we write $b = 1 - c/L$, we have $0 \leqslant b \leqslant 1 - 1/NL$ and $1/L \leqslant a(1-b)$. By multiplying by $1 + \cdots + b^{n-1}$ we get $1/L \leqslant a(1-b^n)$ and so $1/L + ab^n \leqslant a$. For n big enough we have $b^n \leqslant 1/2NL$; hence $\frac{1}{2L} \leqslant a$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004109002515 Published online by Cambridge University Press

One of the main results of [**Coq05**] is a constructive proof of the following result.

PROPOSITION 3. *We have* $D(a) = 1$ *in* $\mathsf{MFn}(B)$ *iff* $0 \ll a$ *in* B.

Proof. The proof which we sketch here is a combination of Lemma 2 and a cut-elimination argument [**CC00**, **CLR01**], which is an important technique in proof theory.

First we derive some simple consequences of the axioms (1-5).

(i) If $a \leqslant b$, that is, $b - a \in P$, then $D(a) \leqslant D(b)$:
$a = b - x^2$, so $D(a) \leqslant D(b) \vee D(-x^2)$, which is equal to $D(b) \vee 0 = D(b)$.
(ii) For all n, $D(1/n) = 1$, from (1) and (3).

It follows that we have $D(s) = 1$ if $s > 0$ and that $D(a) = 1$ if $0 \ll a$. This is the implication from right to left.

We now consider the converse direction.

First we notice that $D(a) = 1$ follows from (1)–(5) iff it follows from (1)–(6). For this we define an interpretation of the theory (1)–(6) into (1)–(5) by reinterpreting the symbol $D(a)$ as $\bigvee_{r>0} D(a - r)$; see [**BM00b**, **Coq05**].

Next, we characterise the distributive lattice generated by (1)–(5). We have

$$D(a_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge D(a_n) \leqslant D(b_1) \vee \cdots \vee D(b_k)$$

iff we have a relation $m + p = 0$, where m belongs to the multiplicative monoid generated by $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ and p belongs to the P-cone generated by $-b_1, \ldots, -b_k$. A *P-cone* is a subset which contains P and is closed under addition and multiplication. For the proof see [**CLR01**, **Coq05**].

It follows that if $D(a) = 1$ in (1)–(5), then we have a relation $m + p = 0$, where $m = 1$ and p belongs to the P-cone generated by $-a$. Hence, there are b, c in P such that $1 + b + c(-a) = 0$, that is $ca = 1 + b$. Consequently, $0 \ll a$ by Lemma 2.

We shall now see that this result is a way to state Gelfand duality in the real case.

For this, we define first the upper real $\|a\|_0$ by:

$$\|a\|_0 < r \text{ iff } 0 \ll r - a \text{ and } 0 \ll r + a.$$

This defines a seminorm on B which satisfies $\|a^2\|_0 = \|a\|_0^2$; see [**Coq05**].

Each element a defines a map of locales $\hat{a} : \mathsf{MFn}(A) \to \mathbb{R}$ by taking $\hat{a}^{-1}(r, s)$ to be the open $D(a - r) \wedge D(s - a)$. We define $\|\hat{a}\|$ as the upper real such that $\|\hat{a}\| < r$ iff $1 = D(r - a) \wedge D(a + r)$.

PROPOSITION 4. $\|\hat{a}\| = \|a\|_0$.

Proof. By Proposition 3, $1 = D(r - a) \wedge D(a + r)$ is equivalent to $0 \ll a - r$ and $0 \ll a + r$.

COROLLARY 1. $\|a\|_0^2 = \|a^2\|_0$.

Proof. This follows from $\|\hat{a^2}\| = \|\hat{a}\|^2$ and Proposition 4.

Since Proposition 3 is a combination of Lemma 2 and cut-elimination, we can also expect a direct proof from Lemma 2. Here is such a direct argument. If $0 \leqslant r$ and $0 \ll r^2 - a^2$ then we have $0 \ll uv$ where $u = r - a,\ v = r + a$. Hence $0 \ll u(u + v)$ and $0 \ll v(u + v)$. Since $0 \leqslant 2r = u + v$ we can apply Lemma 2 and deduce $0 \ll r + a$ and $0 \ll r - a$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004109002515 Published online by Cambridge University Press

To get Gelfand duality in the real case, we need to establish that $\|a\|_0$ and $\|a\|$ coincide. As usual the Stone–Weierstrass Theorem, which has a constructive proof [**BM97**, **Coq05**], then establishes the surjectivity of the map $a \longmapsto \hat{a}$.

LEMMA 3. $\|a^2\| \leqslant \|a^2\|_0$.

Proof. Suppose that $\|a^2\|_0 < r$, then $r - a^2$ is a square, b^2. So

$$\|a^2\| \leqslant \|a^2 + b^2\| = r.$$

THEOREM 1. *The Gelfand transform is norm-preserving*: $\|a\|_0 = \|a\| = \|\hat{a}\|$.

Proof. We have $\|a\|_0 \leqslant \|a\|$ since $r - a$ is a square if $r \geqslant \|a\|$ by Lemma 1. On the other hand, we have $\|a\|^2 = \|a^2\| \leqslant \|a^2\|_0 = \|a\|_0^2$ by Corollary 1 and Lemma 3. Hence the result.

5. *Constructive Gelfand duality*

We now have all the pieces for constructive proof of Gelfand duality, also in the complex case. Let A be a commutative C^*-algebra and $B = A_{sa}$ its self-adjoint part. The locale $\mathsf{MFn}(A)$ defined in [**BM00b**] is isomorphic to the locale $\mathsf{MFn}(B)$ defined above by interpreting the element $a_1 + ia_2 \in (r_1 + ir_2, s_1 + is_2)$ in $\mathsf{MFn}(A)$ by the element

$$D(a_1 - r_1) \wedge D(s_1 - a_1) \wedge D(a_2 - r_2) \wedge D(s_2 - a_2)$$

in $\mathsf{MFn}(B)$.

Each element b of B defines a map of locales $\hat{b} : \mathsf{MFn}(A) \to \mathbb{C}$ by taking $\hat{b}^{-1}(r, s)$ to be $b \in (r, s)$.

THEOREM 2. *The Gelfand transform is norm-preserving:* $\|b\| = \|\hat{b}\|$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1.

6. *Some simple applications*

We give some instances of simple properties of C^*-algebras that are proved in [**BM06**] by using Barr's Theorem. All these cases are direct consequences of Proposition 2 and do not depend on Proposition 3.

PROPOSITION 5. *If* $\|a\| \leqslant 1$, *then* $\|1 - a^*a\| \leqslant 1$.

Proof. Suppose that $\|a\| \leqslant 1$. Then $\|a^*a\| \leqslant 1$. Write $a = b + ci$, where b, c are the real and the complex part. Then $a^*a = b^2 + c^2$. Since $b^2 + c^2$ is a square it suffices to prove: If $\|d^2\| \leqslant 1$, then $\|1 - d^2\| \leqslant 1$. Suppose that $\|d^2\| \leqslant 1$. Then $1 - d^2 = e^2$, so $1 = d^2 + e^2$ and hence $\|1 - d^2\| = \|e^2\| \leqslant 1$.

PROPOSITION 6. *The absolute value* ($\sqrt{a^*a}$) *exists.*

Proof. We can assume $\|a\| \leqslant 1$. Then $\|1 - a^*a\| \leqslant 1$. The result now follows from Lemma 1: the Taylor series $\sqrt{a^*a}$ converges.

PROPOSITION 7. *Let* a *be in* A. *Then* $1 + a^*a$ *is invertible.*

Proof. As in [**Joh82**]. Let $b^2 = a^*a$. Choose $n \geqslant 1 + b^2$. Define $c = (1 - 1/n) - b^2/n$. By Proposition 5, $\|c\| \leqslant 1 - 1/n$. It follows that $(1 - c)^{-1} = 1 + c + c^2 + \cdots$ exists and $n(1 - c)^{-1}$ is the inverse of $1 + b^2$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004109002515 Published online by Cambridge University Press

REFERENCES

[**Bis67**] E. BISHOP. *Foundations of Constructive Analysis*. (McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1967).

[**BM97**] B. BANASCHEWSKI and C. J. MULVEY. A constructive proof of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **116** (1–3) (1997), 25–40. Special volume on the occasion of the 60th birthday of Professor Peter J. Freyd.

[**BM00a**] B. BANASCHEWSKI and C. J. MULVEY. The spectral theory of commutative C*-algebras: the constructive Gelfand–Mazur theorem. *Quaest. Math.*, **23** (4) (2000), 465–488.

[**BM00b**] B. BANASCHEWSKI and C. J. MULVEY. The spectral theory of commutative C*-algebras: the constructive spectrum. *Quaest. Math.*, **23** (4) (2000), 425–464.

[**BM06**] B. BANASCHEWSKI and C. J. MULVEY. A globalisation of the Gelfand duality theorem. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic.*, **137** (1–3) (2006), 62–103.

[**CC00**] J. CEDERQUIST and T. COQUAND. Entailment relations and distributive lattices. In *Logic Colloquium '98 (Prague)*, volume 13 of *Lect. Notes Log.*, pages 127–139. (Assoc. Symbol. Logic, Urbana, IL 2000).

[**CLR01**] M. COSTE, H. LOMBARDI, and M.-F. ROY. Dynamical method in algebra: effective Nullstellensätze. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* **111** (3) (2001), 203–256.

[**Coq05**] T. COQUAND. About Stone's notion of spectrum. *J. Pure Appl. Alg.*, **197** (2005), 141–158.

[**CS05**] T. COQUAND and B. SPITTERS. Formal topology and constructive mathematics: the Gelfand and Stone-Yosida representation theorems. *J. Universal Computer Science* **11** (12) (2005), 1932–1944.

[**HLS08**] C. HEUNEN, K. LANDSMAN and B. SPITTERS. A topos for algebraic quantum theory. Submitted for publication, preprint available at http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.4364, (2008).

[**Joh82**] P. T. JOHNSTONE. *Stone Spaces* (Cambridge University Press, 1982).

[**Kri64**] J.-L. KRIVINE. Anneaux préordonnés. *J. Analyse Math.* **12** (1964), 307–326.

[**KV53**] J. L. KELLEY and R. L. VAUGHT. The positive cone in banach algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74** (1953), 44–55.

[**Mul03**] C. J. MULVEY. On the geometry of choice. In *Topological and algebraic structures in fuzzy sets*, volume 20 of *Trends Log. Stud. Log. Libr.*, pages 309–336 (Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003).

[**Wra80**] G. C. WRAITH. Intuitionistic algebra: some recent developments in topos theory. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Helsinki*, 1978), pages 331–337 (Helsinki, 1980). Acad. Sci. Fennica.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004109002515 Published online by Cambridge University Press