Index

accountability cultures, 305, 308
administrative perspective on private governance, 20
Administrative Procedure Act of 1946, 65
ADR, 166
ADROIT, 165, 282, *see out-of-court dispute settlement bodies*
Alibaba, 71
Alter, K, 308
Amazon, 71
Appeals Centre Europe, 170, 229, 304
artificial intelligence, 125, 275, 328, *see automated justice and large language models*
authority, 7, 18, 275
autochthonous administrification, 82
automated justice and large language models, 173

Balkin, J, 82
Bourdieu, P, 224
Bradford, A, 19, 81
Brown v. Board of Education, 319
Brussels Effect, 281

Cambodia, 285
Cambridge Analytica, 47, 105, 184
Cane, P, 273
Cappelletti, M, 58
ceremonies, 17, 92, 276, 303
Charter of Fundamental Rights, 176, 238
Chayes, A, 63
Cobbe, J, 341
Cohen, J, 8, 345
(European) Commission, *see European Union*
communication and fundamental rights, 77
compliance ecosystem, 175
compulsory and compensated ADR, 130
compulsory jurisdiction, 146, 217
concepts, 43, 180, 340
constitutional metaphors, 187
constitutional rights, *see individual rights*
constitutionalism, *see public law*
content moderation, 72
  applicable rules in DSA, 150
  automated, 125
  design, 74
  law, 151
  private elements of, 75
  terms of service, 152
contract, 340
  participation, 321
control regime, 275
court, 43
culture, 275
Curtin, D, 76

decoupling, 93
democracy, *see self-governance*
demos, *see self-governance*
digital corporation, 1
Digital Services Act, 71, 81, 279
  Article 14(4), 122, 169
  Article 21, 104
  as a political opportunity, 107
  characteristics, 118
  characteristics, design, 120
  characteristics, procedure, 119
  characteristics, rulemaking, 122
  Commission proposal, 135
  consultations, 128
  European citizens, 300
  expert theorizing, 128
  final version, 142
  from dyad to triad, 110
  illegality, 151
  impact assessment, 124
  legislative process, 126
  lobbying, 140
  trilogues, 138

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 16:50:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009639750.008

DiMaggio, P, 192
Douek, E, 84, 276, 299, 339

eCommerce directive, 108
economic incentives, 132
Emulated Guardians, 3, 41, 42, 182, 315
  authority, 290
  culture, 304
  performative Authority, 297
  rules, 277
Emulation, 14, 42, 85, 128, 184, 191, 315
  definition, 86
  types, 86
enforcement and adjudication, 51
England, 65
entrepreneurship, 166
Ethiopia, 284
European Court of Human Rights, 243, 294
European Union, 42
  autonomy of EU Law, 176
  Boards of Appeal, 146, 219
  Brussels Effect, 13, 19
  Commission, 81, 108, 118, 128
  Commission Legal Service, 130
  Council, 141
  externality, *see* Brussels Effect
  law, 13, 176, 238
  legitimacy, 107
  limits, 324
  Parliament, 133
experiments, 18, 165, 282
expertise, 128, 155, 302

Feldman, Noah, 188
Fiala, L, 131
form
  individual rights, 50
fundamental rights, *see individiual rights*

Germany, 79, 168
Gillespie, T, 70
Global Administrative Law, 22
global governance, 42
Governance by Emulation, 38
Guinier, L, 319

Habermas, J, 71
Harris, Brent, 193
Haugen, Frances, 197, 291
Helfer, L, 308
Holznagel, D, 138
Hughes, Thomas, 164, 170
human rights, *see individual rights*
Husovec, M, 131, 160, 303

ICCPR, 30, 237
  Article 19, 30
  Article 4, 287
  legality, 253
  legality and proportionality, 251
  necessity and proportionality, 255
illegality, 280
impartiality and independence, 55, 145, 147, 219
implementation, 154
incentives, 159
independence, *see impartiality and independence*
individual rights, 1, 50, 144
  disputes and power relations, 54
  enforcement, 51
  procedural, 50
  rights-based approach, 96
  substantive, 50
individual rights adjudication, 49
  appellate review, 64
  efficacy, 64
  lacking external rules, 155
  limits, 318
  outcomes, 62
infrastructure, 82, 228
infrastructure, code, and supply chains, 339
institution, 36
internal review, 112
international human rights law, 235
  ambivalence, 284
interviewing, *see Methodology*
isomorphism, *see emulation*

judicial review, 111
juridification, 187

Kadri, T, 187
Kelsen, H, 230
Khan, L, 339
Klonick, K, 73, 83
Koskenniemi, M, 33, 329
Krisch, N, 68

legal transplants, 93
legitimacy
  normative, 35
  public/sociological, 6, 35
Levy, S, 227
LGBTQI, 176, 327
limits of rights and courts, 318

Madsen, M, 308
Malta, 165
Mediation, 163
Meta, 1, 11, 42, 75, 78, 119, 171, 185, 225, 283
  community standards and other policies, 232
  content policies, 231

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 16:50:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009639750.008

Meta, undefined
  docket-influence over Oversight Board, 221
  terms of service, 232
  values, 230
Methodology, 23
  comparability, 11
  Interviewing, 25
  interviewing, effect on positionality, 33
  positionality, 32
  theoretical approach, 23
  word count, 29, 245
Meyer, J, 93
Musk, Elon, 340
Myanmar, 106
myths (of institutional environment), *see emulation*

naming and shaming, 157
NetzDG, *see Netzwerkdurchsetzungsgesetz*
Netzwerkdurchsetzungsgesetz, 106, 118, 238
New Accountability Norms, 22, 327
normative fragmentation, 176
novelty, 1, 275

optimism, 342
organization, 7
Organization Theory, *see Emulation*
out-of-court dispute settlement bodies, 10, 43, 145
  (non-)binding decisions, 143, 154
  ADROIT, 26
  Appeals Centre Europe, 170
  as compromise, 115
  certification and cost Structure, 145
  decisions, 154
  distinguishing other ADR bodies, 148
  implementation, 154
  incentive structure, 160
  institutional aspects, 144
  origins, 104
  rules, 150
  specialization, 146
  User Rights, 168
Oversight Board, 10, 43, 170, 179, 284
  'fishing mode', 212
  (non-)bindingness of decisions, 258
  as an institution, 199
  decisions, 239
  Emulation as the Board's *Leitmotif*, 184
  founding documents, 199
  human rights, 235, 243
  impartiality and independence, 219
  implementation, 257, 264
  influence on Meta, 292
  juridified practice, 204
  jurisdiction, 214
  legality, 253
  legality and proportionality, 251
  LLC, 214
  members, 202
  necessity and proportionality, 255
  organization and personnel, 199
  origins, 183
  powers, 203
  prospects, 271
  qualifications and selection of members, 203
  quantitative analysis of decisions, 246
  rules, 230
  staff, 208
  Supreme Court metaphor, 171, 182
  trust, 201, 223, 339
  word count, 245

Palo Alto, 188
pandemic, 72, 108
participation, 321
performativity, 7, 16, 17, 45, 274
Platform Administrative law, 65
  constitutional/administrative, 66
platforms, 70
platforms as administrators, 65
policy diffusion, *see emulation*
Post, R, 322
Powell, W, 192
power
  checks, *see Private Checks on Public Power*
  corporate, 76, 181, 221, 226, 273, 289, 328
  European Union, 279
  infrastructure, 339
  limits of public power, 324
  organizational, 273
  platform, 74, 278, 279
  private, 284
  private checks on public power, 286
  state of emergency, 286
privacy, 47
Private Administrative Law, *see Platform Administrative Law*
private checks on public power, 288
private law
  public/private distinction, 11, 35, 76, 181
  relation to self-governance, 321
  repurposing, 325, 330
private rulemaking, 83, 152, 156, 284
profit motive, 173, 337
public emergency, 286
public goods, 79
public law, 77
  actor, 51
  actors, 11
  administrative, 186
  administrative law, 20, 65, 82

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 16:50:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009639750.008

autochthonous administrification, 82
beyond forms, 274
constitutional / administrative, 66
(digital) constitutionalism, 21
Doctrine, 261
history, 8, 49, 59
ideas, 11, 81, 259, 320, 330, 343
public law litigation (Chayes), 63
public/private distinction, 11, 35
regulatory administrification, 79
public perception, 7
publicness, 321, 343

Rabat Plan of Action, 243
regulatory administrification, 79
reports, 159
repurposing private law in Global Governance, 332
rights-based approach, 96
Roche Laguna, Irene, 118
Rohingya, 106
Rosenberg, G, 96
Rowan, B, 93
rule of law, 9
rules, 29, 61, 275, 277
external, 29
internal, 29
theory of, 61
Russo-Ukrainian war, 226, 288, 340

Sandberg, Sheryl, 188
self-governance, 277, 321
Senden, L, 76
Shapiro, M, 219, 307
Singh, J, 341
social media, 71
Stewart, R, 68
structural governance flaws, 63, 171, 181
structural reforms, 22

terminology and structure, 34
Theory and Context, 38
TikTok, 119
Trump, Donald J, 11, 105, 226
Twitter, *see X*

UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, 236
UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, 300
UN Human Rights Committee, 243
United States, 65, 79, 146
User Rights, 164, 168, 282, *see out-of-court dispute settlement bodies*

Vallejo,R, 68
Veale, M, 341
von der Leyen, Ursula, 108

Wagner, G, 117
Waldman, A, 8, 197
Westney, E, 296
Wölken, Tiemo, 134
word count, *see Methodology*

X, 71, 78, 119, 171

YouTube, 123

Zuboff, S, 46
Zuckerberg, Mark, 17, 185

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 16:50:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009639750.008

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 16:50:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009639750.008