Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. (2014), **34**, 705–724 doi:10.1017/etds.2012.160
© *Cambridge University Press, 2013*

Exponential chi-squared distributions in infinite ergodic theory

JON AARONSON† and OMRI SARIG‡

† *School of Math. Sciences, Tel Aviv University, 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel*
(e-mail: aaro@tau.ac.il)

‡ *Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, The Weizmann Institute for Science, POB 26, Rehovot 76100, Israel*
(e-mail: omsarig@gmail.com)

(*Received* 26 *March* 2012 *and accepted in revised form* 9 *October* 2012)

Abstract. We prove distributional limit theorems for random walk adic transformations obtaining ergodic distributional limits of exponential χ^2 form.

1. *Introduction*

As in [**A1**], for $(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$ a σ-finite measure space, $F_n : X \to [0, \infty]$ measurable, and $Y \in [0, \infty]$ a random variable, we say that (F_n) *converges strongly in distribution to* Y (written $F_n \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{\mathfrak{d}} Y$) if it converges in law with respect to all m-absolutely continuous probabilities; equivalently

$$g(F_n) \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{E}(g(Y)) \quad \text{weak} * \text{ in } L^\infty(m)$$

for each bounded, continuous function $f : [0, \infty] \to \mathbb{R}$.

For a discussion of strong distributional convergence, see [**A1, A2, E, TZ**].

Here, we study **distributional stability**. As in [**A2**], we will call a conservative, ergodic measure-preserving transformation $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ *distributionally stable* if there are constants $a(n) > 0$ and a random variable Y on $(0, \infty)$ so that

$$\frac{1}{a(n)} S_n(f) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} Y m(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in L^1_+,$$

where

$$S_n(f) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^k \quad \text{and} \quad m(f) := \int_X f \, dm.$$

By the ratio ergodic theorem, if the above convergence holds for some $f \in L^1_+$, then it holds for all $f \in L^1_+$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

If the *ergodic distributional limit* Y is integrable and normalized by $\mathbb{E}(Y) = 1$, the constants $a(n)$ are determined uniquely up to asymptotic equality and are also known as the *return sequence* of T. Both the (normalized) ergodic distributional limit and the return sequence are invariant under similarity (see [**A1**]).

By the Darling–Kac theorem [**DK**], pointwise dual ergodic transformations (e.g. Markov shifts) with regularly varying return sequences are distributionally stable with Mittag–Leffler ergodic distributional limits (see also [**A1, A2**]).

Our present study concerns **random walk adic transformations**.

A *random walk adic transformation* is a conservative, ergodic, measure-preserving transformation associated with a Markov driven, aperiodic, random walk on a group of form $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{D-k}$. These were first considered in [**HIK**] (and are sometimes known as 'generalized HIK transformations').

It is the (unique) $\mathbb{G}$-extension of the adic transformation on the underlying Markov shift which parametrizes the tail relation of the random walk. This definition is explained in §2.

The *degree* of a random walk adic transformation is the dimension of the associated group $\mathbb{G}$: $\dim(\operatorname{span}\mathbb{G})$. It appears as the number of degrees of freedom in the χ^2 distribution appearing in the limit.

We establish the following theorem.

THEOREM. *Suppose that* $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ *is a random walk adic transformation with degree* $D \in \mathbb{N}$, *then*

$$\frac{1}{a_n(T)} S_n(f) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} (2^{D/2} e^{-(1/2)\chi_D^2}) \mu(f) \quad \textit{for all } f \in L^1_+,$$

where

$$S_n(f) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^k, \quad a_n(T) \propto n/(\log n)^{D/2} \quad \textit{and} \quad \chi_D^2 = \|\xi\|_2^2$$

for ξ *a standard Gaussian random vector on* $\mathbb{R}^D$. *Here, for* $a(n), b(n) > 0$, $a(n) \propto b(n)$ *means there exists* $\lim_{n\to\infty}(a(n)/b(n)) \in (0, \infty)$.

This ergodic distributional limit first appears in [**LS**] (see below).

Most of this paper is devoted to proving the theorem. In §2, we define adic transformations and random walk adic transformations as group extensions of adic transformations. In §3, we establish a compact representation for (all) adic transformations and a uniform convergence theorem for stationary adic transformations, which is needed later in the proof of the theorem. We review the distributional limit theory of Markov shifts in §4 and prove the theorem in §5, giving applications to exchangeability in §6 and horocycle flows in §7.

Related, earlier work can be found in [**ANSS, AW, LS**] (see §7).

2. *Bratteli diagrams, adic and random walk adic transformations*

2.1. *Bratteli diagrams.* Fix $b_n \geq 2$ $(n \geq 1)$ and set $\mathcal{S}_k := \{0, 1, \ldots, b_k - 1\}$, $\Omega := \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_k$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

A *Bratteli diagram* is a subset $\Sigma \subset \Omega$ of the form

$$\Sigma := \{\omega \in \Omega : A_k(\omega_k, \omega_{k+1}) = 1 \ \forall k \geq 1\},$$

where, for $k \geq 1$, $A_k : \mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{S}_{k+1} \to \{0, 1\}$ is the kth transition matrix.

We assume throughout that the transition matrices are *non-degenerate* in that they have no zero rows or columns.

Recall that Ω is compact when equipped with the standard metric $d(x, y) := \exp[-\min\{n : x_n \neq y_n\}]$ and Σ is a closed subset.

The Bratteli diagram Σ is said to be *stationary* if $\mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{S}$, $A_k = A$ for all $k \geq 1$. In this case, Σ is a *topological Markov shift* (TMS) with *transition matrix* A as in [**LM**].

The only result in this paper concerning non-stationary Bratteli diagrams is the compact representation lemma in §3.

2.2. *Tail relation on a Bratteli diagram.* The *tail relation* on Σ is the equivalence relation

$$\mathfrak{T} = \mathfrak{T}(\Sigma) := \{(x, y) \in \Sigma \times \Sigma : \exists n \text{ such that } x_n^\infty = y_n^\infty\},$$

where $x_n^\infty := (x_n, x_{n+1}, \ldots)$.

The equivalence classes of the tail relation are linearly ordered by the *reverse lexicographic order*, namely the partial order $\prec$ on Σ defined by

$$x \prec y \Leftrightarrow \text{ there exists } n \quad \text{such that } x_{n+1}^\infty = y_{n+1}^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad x_n < y_n.$$

If x is maximal, then

$$x_n = \max\{y \in \mathcal{S}_n : A_n(y, x_{n+1}) = 1\} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1;$$

therefore, the collection of non-maximal points is open and the collection $\Sigma_{\max}$ of maximal points is closed. A similar argument shows that the set $\Sigma_{\min}$ of minimal points is closed.

In the case when Σ is a TMS (stationary Bratteli diagram), more is true.

If x is maximal, then $x_n = \varphi_+(x_{n+1})$, where $\varphi_+(x) = \max\{y \in \mathcal{S} : A(y, x) = 1\}$ (well defined by non-degeneracy), and we claim that

$$x \text{ is periodic, with period } \leq \#\mathcal{S}. \tag{🚗}$$

To see (🚗), note first that there exists $s \in \mathcal{S}$ so that $\#\{n \geq 1 : x_n = s\} = \infty$. The sequence $n \mapsto \varphi_+^n(s)$ is eventually periodic with a final period

$$(t, \varphi_+(t), \ldots, \varphi_+^{\kappa-1}(t)) = (\varphi_+^J(s), \ldots, \varphi_+^{J+\kappa-1}(s))$$

with $J \geq 1$ and $\kappa \leq \#\mathcal{S}$.

Let

$$p := (\varphi_+^{\kappa-1}(t), \varphi_+^{\kappa-2}(t), \ldots, t, \varphi_+^{\kappa-1}(t), \varphi_+^{\kappa-2}(t), \ldots, t, \ldots).$$

We prove our claim by showing that $x = \sigma^\ell(p)$ for some $\ell \geq 1$. Let $n_k \uparrow \infty$ be so that $x_{n_k} = s$ for all $k \geq 1$. It follows that $x_{n_k - v} = \varphi_+^v(s)$, whence there exists $1 \leq \ell_k \leq \kappa$ so that $x_1^{n_k - J} = \sigma^{\ell_k}(p)_1^{n_k - J}$. There is a subsequence $m_j = n_{k_j} \to \infty$ so that $\ell_{k_j} = \ell_{k_1} =: \ell$ for all $j \geq 1$, whence $x = \sigma^\ell(p)$ and (🚗) is established.

Thus, the set of maximal points (and the set of minimal points) is finite.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

2.3. *Adic transformations.* The *adic transformation* (generated by $\prec$) on the Bratteli diagram Σ is

$$\tau : \Sigma\backslash\Sigma_{\max} \to \Sigma\backslash\Sigma_{\min} \quad \text{defined by } \tau(x) := \min\{y : y \succ x\}.$$

It is said to be *stationary* if the underlying Bratteli diagram is stationary.

As shown in [**V**], every ergodic, probability-preserving transformation is isomorphic to some adic transformation.

Stationary adic transformations are:

- isomorphic to odometers or primitive substitutions, have zero entropy, but can be weakly mixing (see [**L1**]); and
- always *uniquely ergodic*; moreover, the unique τ-invariant probability measure ν_0 is globally supported, non-atomic, Markov and equivalent to the Parry measure μ (of maximal entropy) for the associated TMS (see [**BM**]).

2.4. *The (Σ, f)-random walk.* Let Σ be a topologically mixing TMS on the (ordered) finite state space $\mathcal{S}$, let $\sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be the shift and let $\tau : \Sigma' \to \Sigma'$ be the corresponding stationary adic transformation, where

$$\Sigma' := \Sigma\Big\backslash \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \sigma^{-n}(\Sigma_{\max} \cup \Sigma_{\min}) = \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \tau^n(\Sigma\backslash(\Sigma_{\max} \cup \Sigma_{\min})).$$

The tail relation of Σ is the tail relation of σ,

$$\mathfrak{T}(\Sigma) = \mathfrak{T}(\sigma) := \bigcup_{n\geq 0}\{(x, y) \in \Sigma \times \Sigma : \sigma^n(x) = \sigma^n(y)\},$$

and this is parametrized by the adic transformation,

$$\mathfrak{T}(\sigma) \cap (\Sigma' \times \Sigma') = \{(x, \tau^n(x)) : x \in \Sigma', n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

A function $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is *Hölder continuous* if there exists $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $M > 1$ so that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq M\theta^n \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \Sigma, \quad x_n^\infty = y_n^\infty. \tag{$\swarrow$}$$

Specifically, we call $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^d$ *θ-Hölder continuous* ($\theta \in (0, 1)$) if ($\swarrow$) is satisfied for some $M > 1$.

For $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^d$ Hölder continuous let

$$\mathbb{H} := \overline{\langle\{f_n(x) : n \geq 1, x \in \Sigma, \sigma^n x = x\}\rangle},$$

where $\langle\cdot\rangle$ means 'the group generated by' and

$$f_n(x) = f_n^{(\sigma)}(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\sigma^k x).$$

Let

$$\mathbb{G} := \overline{\langle\{f_n(x) - f_n(y) : n \geq 1, x, y \in \Sigma, \sigma^n x = x, \sigma^n y = y\}\rangle};$$

therefore, $\mathbb{G}$ and $\mathbb{H}$ are both closed subgroups of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbb{G} \leq \mathbb{H}$.

It follows from Livšic's cohomology theorem [**L2**] (see e.g. [**ANS, PS, Sa**]) that

$$f = g - g \circ \sigma + h + a,$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where:

- $g : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is Hölder continuous;
- $a \in \mathbb{H}$ is such that $\langle \mathbb{G} + a \rangle = \mathbb{H}$;
- $h : \Sigma \to \mathbb{G}$ is Hölder continuous and *σ-aperiodic* in the sense that if $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$, $g : \Sigma \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is Hölder continuous and $\gamma \circ f = \lambda(g \circ \sigma / g)$, then $\gamma \equiv 1$, $\lambda = 1$ and g is constant.

It follows that $\dim(\mathbb{G}) = \dim(\mathbb{H}) =: D$, where, for $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $\dim(A)$ denotes the dimension of the closed linear subspace spanned by A.

Any closed subgroup $\mathbb{G} \leq \mathbb{R}^D$ with $\dim(\mathbb{G}) = D$ is conjugate by the linear map to a group of form $\mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{D-k}$, where $0 \leq k \leq D := \dim(\mathbb{G})$ and $\mathbb{Z}^0$, $\mathbb{R}^0 := \{0\}$.

Now suppose that $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{G} = \mathbb{Z}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{D-k}$ is Hölder continuous and σ-aperiodic and consider the (Σ, f)-*random walk* $(\Sigma \times \mathbb{G}, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma \times \mathbb{G}), \widetilde{m}, \sigma_f)$, where $\sigma_f : \Sigma \times \mathbb{G} \to \Sigma \times \mathbb{G}$ is defined by

$$\sigma_f(x, y) := (\sigma(x), y + f(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad d\widetilde{m}(x, y) := d\mu(x)\, dy,$$

where μ is the σ-invariant Parry measure (with maximal entropy) and dy is Haar measure on $\mathbb{G}$.

We note for future reference that $\sigma_f^n(x, y) = (\sigma^n(x), y + f_n(x))$.

As shown in [**G**], by the aperiodicity of f, $(\Sigma \times \mathbb{G}, \sigma_f, \widetilde{m})$ is exact.

2.5. *Random walk adic transformation over* (Σ, f, τ). The *random walk adic transformation over* (Σ, f, τ) is that skew product over τ which parametrizes the tail $\mathfrak{T}(\sigma_f)$ of the (Σ, f)-random walk. To see the existence and uniqueness of such a transformation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{T}(\sigma_f) &:= \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \{((x, y), (x', y')) \in (\Sigma \times \mathbb{G})^2 : \sigma_f^n(x', y') = \sigma_f^n(x, y)\} \\
&= \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \{((x, y), (x', y')) \in (\Sigma \times \mathbb{G})^2 : \sigma^n(x') = \sigma^n(x) \text{ and} \\
&\qquad y' + f_n(x') = y + f_n(x)\} \\
&= \{((x, y), (x', y')) \in (\Sigma \times \mathbb{G})^2 : (x, x') \in \mathfrak{T}(\sigma) \text{ and } y' = y + \psi(x, x')\},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi(x, x') := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (f(\sigma^k x') - f(\sigma^k x)).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{T}(\sigma_f) \cap (\Sigma' \times \mathbb{G})^2 &= \{((x, y), (x', y')) \in (\Sigma' \times \mathbb{G})^2 : \sigma_f^n(x', y') = \sigma_f^n(x, y)\} \\
&= \{((x, y), T^n(x, y)) : (x, y) \in (\Sigma' \times \mathbb{G})^2, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}
\end{aligned}$$

holds for $T : \Sigma' \times \mathbb{G} \to \Sigma' \times \mathbb{G}$ of the form

$$T(x, y) = \tau_\phi(x, y) = (\tau(x), y + \phi(x))$$

if and only if

$$\phi(x) := \psi(x, \tau(x)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (f(\sigma^k \tau x) - f(\sigma^k x)).$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

We consider T with the invariant measure

$$dm(x,\, y) := d\nu(x)\, dy,$$

where $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma)$ is the τ-invariant Markov measure (equivalent to the Parry measure μ) and dy is Haar measure on $\mathbb{G}$.

As mentioned above, it was shown in [**G**] that $(\Sigma \times \mathbb{G}, \sigma_f, \widetilde{m})$ is exact, whence $(\Sigma \times \mathbb{G}, T, m)$ is ergodic and also conservative (being an invertible, ergodic, measure-preserving transformation of a non-atomic space).

The *degree* of the random walk adic transformation $(\Sigma \times \mathbb{G}, T, m)$ is

$$\deg(T) := \dim(\mathrm{span}\mathbb{G}).$$

In this paper we ignore the other invariant measures for T (which are considered in [**ANSS**]).

3. *Uniform convergence*

UNIFORM CONVERGENCE LEMMA. *Let Σ be a mixing TMS and let $\tau : \Sigma' \to \Sigma'$ be the associated stationary adic transformation with τ-invariant Borel probability measure $\nu_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma)$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F \circ \tau^k \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} \int_{\Sigma'} F\, d\nu_0 \quad \textit{uniformly on } \Sigma' \textit{ for all } F \in C(\Sigma).$$

Although τ is a uniquely ergodic homeomorphism on Σ', this space is not compact.

The main part of the proof is to provide a suitable continuous transformation of a related compact space. This latter construction is made for any adic transformation.

COMPACT REPRESENTATION LEMMA. *Let Σ be a Bratteli diagram and let τ be the associated adic transformation. There are:*

- *a compact metric space $(\widehat{\Sigma}, \widehat{d})$;*
- *a continuous injection $\pi : \Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{\max} \to \widehat{\Sigma}$; and*
- *continuous surjections $\varpi : \widehat{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$ and $\widehat{\tau} : \widehat{\Sigma} \to \widehat{\Sigma}$ so that*

$$\varpi \circ \pi = \mathrm{Id}|_{\Sigma\backslash\Sigma_{\max}}, \quad \pi \circ \tau = \widehat{\tau} \circ \pi \quad \textit{and} \quad \varpi \circ \widehat{\tau} = \tau \circ \varpi.$$

Proof. For $\omega \in \Sigma_{\max}$, set

$$A(\omega) := \{\alpha \in \Sigma : \exists \text{ sequence } x^{(n)} \in \Sigma\backslash\Sigma_{\max} \text{ s.t. } x^{(n)} \to \omega \text{ and } \tau(x^{(n)}) \to \alpha\},$$

so $A(\omega) \subset \Sigma_{\min}$.

Let

$$\Sigma_0 := \Sigma\backslash\Sigma_{\max} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_1 := \bigcup_{\omega\in\Sigma_{\max}} \{\omega\} \times A(\omega).$$

Define the metric space $(\widehat{\Sigma}, \widehat{d})$ by

$$\widehat{\Sigma} := \Sigma_0 \uplus \Sigma_1; \quad \widehat{d}|_{\Sigma_0\times\Sigma_0} \equiv d \quad \text{and for } (\omega, \alpha) \in \Sigma_1,$$

$$\widehat{d}((\omega, \alpha), z) = \begin{cases} d(\omega, z) + d(\alpha, \tau z), & z \in \Sigma_0, \\ d(\omega, \omega') + d(\alpha, \alpha'), & z = (\omega', \alpha') \in \Sigma_1. \end{cases}$$

To see that this is a compact metric space, let $(z_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence in $\widehat{\Sigma}$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

- If there exists $n_k \to \infty$, $z_{n_k} = (\omega_k, \alpha_k) \in \Sigma_1$, then (possibly passing to a subsequence) we may assume that $(\omega_k, \alpha_k) \to (\omega, \alpha) \in \Sigma_{\max} \times \Sigma_{\min}$. To see that $(\omega, \alpha) \in \Sigma_1$, for each $k \geq 1$, choose $x_k \in \Sigma_0$ so that $d(x_k, \omega_k) + d(\tau(x_k), \alpha_k) \to 0$. It follows that $(\omega, \alpha) \in \Sigma_1$ and $(\omega_k, \alpha_k) \to (\omega, \alpha)$ in $\widehat{\Sigma}$.
- Otherwise there exists $n_k \to \infty$, $z_{n_k} \in \Sigma_0$, $z_{n_k} \to r \in \Sigma$, and
  - if $r \in \Sigma_0$, then $z_{n_k} \to r$ in $\widehat{\Sigma}$;
  - if $r \in \Sigma_1$, then there exists $m_\ell = n_{k_\ell} \to \infty$ so that $\tau(z_{n_k}) \to s \in \Sigma$; whence $(r, s) \in \Sigma_1$ and $z_{m_\ell} \to (r, s)$ in $\widehat{\Sigma}$.

Let $\pi : \Sigma_0 \to \widehat{\Sigma}$ be the identity map. The following map is a continuous left inverse: $\varpi : \widehat{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$ defined by the identity map on Σ_0 and by $(\omega, \alpha) \mapsto \omega$ on Σ_1.

Now define $\widehat{\tau} : \widehat{\Sigma} \to \widehat{\Sigma}$ by $\widehat{\tau}(x) := \tau(x)$ for $x \in \Sigma_0$ and $\widehat{\tau}(\omega, \alpha) = \alpha$ for $(\omega, \alpha) \in \Sigma_1$; then $\widehat{\tau}$ is continuous and $\pi \circ \tau = \widehat{\tau} \circ \pi$.

To see that $\widehat{\tau}$ is onto, it suffices to show that $\widehat{\tau}(\widehat{\Sigma}) \supset \Sigma_{\min}$. To this end, fix $\alpha \in \Sigma_{\min}$, so there exists $x_n \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_{\min}$, $x_n \to \alpha$. Without loss of generality, $x_n \notin \Sigma_{\max}$ and so $x_n = \tau(y_n)$ for some $y_n \in \Sigma$, where $y_n \to \omega \in \Sigma$. It follows that $\omega \in \Sigma_{\max}$ (else $\alpha = \tau(\omega) \notin \Sigma_{\min}$), whence $(\omega, \alpha) \in \widehat{\Sigma}$ and ϖ is onto. □

Proof of the uniform convergence lemma. Since $\nu_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma')$, it lifts to a $\widehat{\tau}$-invariant measure $\nu_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\widehat{\Sigma})$: $\nu_1 \circ \pi^{-1} = \nu_0$. We claim that $\widehat{\tau}$ is uniquely ergodic on $\widehat{\Sigma}$ with invariant measure ν_1. Otherwise there exists $\nu_1 \neq \nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\widehat{\Sigma})$ with $\nu_2 \circ \widehat{\tau}^{-1} = \nu_2$. This entails $\nu_2 \circ \varpi^{-1} = \nu_0$, whence $\nu_2 = \nu_1$.

It follows that

$$\Delta_n(F) := \sup_{\widehat{\Sigma}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F \circ \widehat{\tau}^k - \int_{\widehat{\Sigma}} F \, d\nu_1 \right| \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} 0 \quad \text{for all } F \in C(\widehat{\Sigma}).$$

If $f \in C(\Sigma)$, then $f \circ \varpi \in C(\widehat{\Sigma})$ and for every $\omega \in \Sigma'$ and $k \geq 1$, we have $\tau^k \omega \in \Sigma$, whence $f(\tau^k \omega) = f \circ \varpi(\widehat{\tau}^k \pi \omega)$. Thus,

$$\sup_{\omega \in \Sigma'} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\tau^k \omega) - \int_{\widehat{\Sigma}} f \, d\nu_1 \right| \leq \Delta_n(f \circ \phi) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} 0. \qquad \square$$

4. *Limit theory for the shift*

Let $(\Sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mu, \sigma)$ be a mixing TMS with μ a σ-invariant, Markov measure, let $\widehat{\sigma} = \widehat{\sigma}_\mu$ be its transfer operator, let $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a closed subgroup of dimension D and let $f = (f^{(1)}, \ldots, f^{(D)}) : \Sigma \to \mathbb{G}$ be Hölder continuous and σ-aperiodic. Let $\overline{f} := f - \mathbb{E}(f)$. Note that $\overline{f}$ may have values outside $\mathbb{G}$.

We will need the following results later. The results are not new although we could not find any references for their statements. The proofs are standard and will only be sketched.

ASYMPTOTIC VARIANCE THEOREM 4.1. *There exists a $D \times D$, symmetric, positive-definite matrix $\Gamma = \Gamma_f$ so that*

$$\operatorname{Rank} \Gamma_f = D \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}(\overline{f}_n^{(i)} \overline{f}_n^{(j)}) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} \Gamma_{i,j} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq D,$$

where $\overline{f}_n^{(i)} := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \overline{f}^{(i)} \circ \sigma^k$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Since Γ is symmetric and positive definite, it can be put in the form

$$\Gamma = (U^t M)(U^t M)^t$$

with U unitary and $M > 0$ diagonal.

CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM 4.2.

$$\widehat{\sigma}^n 1_{[(\overline{f}_n/\sqrt{n})\in I]} \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det \Gamma}} \int_I \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}u^t \Gamma^{-1} u\right] du \tag{CLT}$$

whenever $I \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ is Riemann integrable (i.e. with Riemann integrable indicator function).

LOCAL LIMIT THEOREM 4.3. (Mixed lattice–nonlattice case) *Let $0 \in I \subset \mathbb{G}$ be Riemann integrable; then*

$$(\sqrt{n})^D \widehat{\sigma}^n 1_{[f_n \in n\mathbb{E}(f)+\sqrt{n}x_n+I]} \xrightarrow[n\to\infty, x_n\to u]{} \frac{m_{\mathbb{G}}(I)}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det \Gamma}} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}u^t \Gamma^{-1} u\right]. \tag{LLT}$$

Proof sketches. Suppose that f is θ-Hölder continuous and let $\rho = \rho_\theta$ be the metric on Σ defined by

$$\rho(x, y) := \theta^{\inf\{n\geq 1 : x_n \neq y_n\}}.$$

Let $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}_\theta$ be the Banach space of ρ-Lipschitz continuous (equivalently, θ-Hölder continuous) functions on Σ equipped with the norm

$$\|F\|_{\mathbb{L}} := \sup_{x\in\Sigma} |F(x)| + \sup_{x,y\in\Sigma} \frac{|F(x) - F(x)|}{\rho(x, y)}.$$

As shown in [**DF, GH**], for some $N \geq 1$, $\widehat{\sigma}^N : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$ satisfies the *Doeblin–Fortet inequality*, namely there exist $r \in (0, 1)$ and $H > 0$ so that

$$\|\widehat{\sigma}^N F\|_{\mathbb{L}} \leq r\|F\|_{\mathbb{L}} + H \sup_{x\in\Sigma} |F(x)| \quad \text{for all } F \in \mathbb{L}, \tag{DF}$$

whence [**DF, ITM**] $\widehat{\sigma} : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$ is quasi-compact in that there exist $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $M > 1$ so that

$$\left\|\widehat{\sigma}^n F - \int_\Sigma F d\mu\right\|_{\mathbb{L}} \leq M\theta^n \|F\|_{\mathbb{L}} \quad \text{for all } F \in \mathbb{L}. \tag{QC}$$

Proof of the asymptotic variance theorem. The absolute convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \left| \int_\Sigma f^{(i)} \cdot f^{(j)} \circ \sigma^n d\mu \right| \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq D$$

follows from (QC) and the convergence follows from this. The non-singularity of the limit matrix follows from the aperiodicity of each $t \cdot f : \Sigma :\to \mathbb{R}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}^D$) via Leonov's theorem (as in [**RE**]). □

Proof of the central and local limit theorems. Both of these results are established using Nagaev's perturbation method as in [**HH, PP, RE**] (also known as characteristic function operators [**AD**]).

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

For $t \in \mathbb{C}^D$ define $P_t : L^1(\Sigma) \to L^1(\Sigma)$ by $P_t(F) := \widehat{\sigma}(e^{it\cdot f} F)$; then $P_t(F) : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$ and the map $t \mapsto P_t$ is analytic $\mathbb{C}^D \to \hom(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L})$ with

$$\left(\frac{\partial^r}{\partial t_{k_1} \cdots \partial t_{k_r}} P_t\right)(F) := i^r \widehat{\sigma}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} f^{(k_j)} e^{it\cdot f} F\right),$$

where $\hom(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L})$ is equipped with the uniform topology. Therefore (see [**N, PP**] and/or [**RE**]):

- $\|P_t\| \le 1$ for all $t \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}} = \mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{D-k}$ with equality if and only if $t = 0$;
- P_t satisfies (DF) for $|t|$ small.

We have the following result.

NAGAEV'S THEOREM [**N**]. *There are constants $\epsilon > 0$, $K > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and analytic functions $\lambda : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$ and $N : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to \hom(L, L)$ such that*

$$\|P_t^n h - \lambda(t)^n N(t) h\|_L \le K\theta^n \|h\|_L \quad \text{for all } |t| < \epsilon,\ n \ge 1,\ h \in L,$$

where, for all $|t| < \epsilon$, $N(t)$ is a projection onto a one-dimensional subspace, $\lambda(0) = 1$ and $N(0)F := \int_\Sigma F\, d\mu$.

The expansion of λ is obtained by considering $t \cdot f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}^D$) as in [**GH**]. It is given by

$$\lambda(t) = 1 + it \cdot \mathbb{E}(f) - \frac{\langle \Gamma t, t\rangle}{2} + o(|t|^2) \quad \text{as } t \to 0.$$

The central limit theorem follows from this in the standard manner (see [**GH, RE**]); and the local limit theorem follows with a proof as in [**S**] (see [**AD**]). □

5. *Proof of the theorem*

For $n \ge 1$, set $\ell_n := [\log_\lambda n]$, where $\lambda = e^{h_{\mathrm{top}}(\Sigma)}$. Let $0 \in I \subset \mathbb{G}$ be Riemann integrable with $0 < |I| < \infty$ of the form $I = \{0^{(k)}\} \times J$, where $0^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, $0_j^{(k)} = 0$ $(1 \le j \le k)$ and $0 \in J \subset \mathbb{R}^{D-k}$ is Riemann integrable with $0 < |J| < \infty$. Here, $|\cdot|$ denotes the Haar measure on $\mathbb{G}$.

To achieve our goal, we will establish that

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{for all } R > 0, \text{ for all } x \in \Sigma',\\ &\frac{\ell_n^{D/2}}{n} \cdot S_n(1_{\Sigma'\times I})(x, 0) 1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_{\ell_n}(x)}{\sqrt{\ell_n}}\right)\\ &\quad \approx \frac{|I|}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det \Gamma}} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{\|M^{-1} U \overline{f}_{\ell_n}(x)\|^2}{2\ell_n}\right] 1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_{\ell_n}(x)}{\sqrt{\ell_n}}\right), \qquad (\text{⚽})\end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{f} := f - \mathbb{E}(f)$, $B(R) := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^D : \|z\| < R\}$ and $a_n \approx b_n$ means $a_n - b_n \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$.

We will show first that (⚽) holds, and then we will prove that (⚽) implies the theorem.

5.1. *Overview of the proof of (⚽).*

The proof uses a process of *block splitting* where in order to estimate

$$S_n(1_{\Sigma'\times I})(x, 0) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 1_{\Sigma'\times I}(\tau^k x, \phi_k(x))$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

we split the τ-orbit block $\{\tau^k x : 0 \le k \le n-1\}$ into simpler blocks on which it is easy to apply the results of §4.

This is done as follows.

For $x \in \Sigma'$, $N \ge 1$,

$$\sigma^{-N}\{\sigma^N x\} = \{\tau^k x_{\min} : 0 \le k \le \#\sigma^{-N}\{\sigma^N x\} - 1\},$$

where $x_{\min} := \min\ \sigma^{-N}\{\sigma^N x\}$ with respect to the reverse lexicographic order and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\#\sigma^{-N}\{\sigma^N x\}-1} 1_{\Sigma' \times I} \circ T^k(x_{\min}, 0) = \#\{y \in \sigma^{-N}\{\sigma^N x\} : f_N(y) \in f_N(x_{\min}) + I\}.$$

Quantities appearing, such as

$$\#\{y \in \sigma^{-N}\{x\} : f_N(y) \in f_N(z) + I\},$$

where $I \subset \mathbb{G}$ is Riemann integrable, are estimated using (LLT) as in Lemma 5.1 (below).

The arbitrary blocks are estimated from the simple ones of suitably smaller size. This is calibrated using the Perron–Frobenius theorem:

$$\#\sigma^{-N}\{\sigma^N x\} = \sum_{0 \le s \le d-1} A^N_{s, x_{N+1}} \sim c(x_{N+1})\lambda^N,$$

where A is the transition matrix of Σ, $\lambda = e^{h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma)}$ is its leading eigenvalue and $c : \mathcal{S} \to (0, \infty)$.

Fix $M \ge 1$ large. For each $n \ge 1$ large, let $N = N_n$ be such that $M\lambda^N = \lambda^{\pm 1} n$; then

$$\{\tau^j x : 0 \le j < n\} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{M-1}{\cdot}\, \sigma^{-N}\{\tau^k \sigma^N x\}$$

up to relatively small edge effects (estimated in the proof below using Lemma 5.2), and

$$\begin{aligned} &S_n(1_{\Sigma' \times I})(x, 0) \\ &\quad = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \#\{y \in \sigma^{-N}\{\tau^k \sigma^N x\} : f_N(y) = f_N(\min\ \sigma^{-N}\{\tau^k \sigma^N x\})\} \end{aligned}$$

up to relatively small errors (estimated in Lemma 5.3 below).

5.2. *Proof of (☆).* For $x \in \Sigma$, $t^{(n)} \in \mathbb{G}$, $\sup_n(\|t^{(n)}\|/\sqrt{n}) < \infty$, set

$$N_n(x) := \#\{z \in \sigma^{-n}\{\sigma^n x\} : \overline{f}_n(z) \in t^{(n)} + I\}.$$

LEMMA 5.1. *We have*

$$N_n(x) \sim \frac{\lambda^n h(\sigma^n x)|I|}{\sqrt{(2\pi n)^D \det \Gamma}} \exp\left[-\frac{\|M^{-1} U t^{(n)}\|^2}{2n}\right]$$

uniformly on Σ, where $h = d\mu/dm$, m and μ being the τ- and σ-invariant probabilities, respectively.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. Let $\widehat{\sigma}_m, \widehat{\sigma}_\mu$ be the transfer operators of σ with respect to m and μ, respectively; then $\widehat{\sigma}_m f = h\widehat{\sigma}_\mu(f/h)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} N_n(x) &= \lambda^n \widehat{\sigma}_m^n 1_{[\overline{f}_n \in t^{(n)}+I]}(\sigma^n x) \\ &= \lambda^n h(\sigma^n x)\widehat{\sigma}_\mu^n\left(\frac{1_{[\overline{f}_n \in t^{(n)}+I]}}{h}\right)(\sigma^n x) \\ &\sim \frac{\lambda^n h(\sigma^n x)|I|}{\sqrt{(2\pi n)^D \det \Gamma}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2n}\|M^{-1}Ut^{(n)}\|^2\right] \end{aligned}$$

uniformly on Σ by (LLT). □

5.3. *Block splitting.* For $n \geq 1$, let

$$\Sigma_{n,s} := \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) : x \in \Sigma,\, x_{n+1} = s\},\ J_n(s) := \#\Sigma_{n,s};$$

then

$$J_n(s) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{S}} A^n_{u,s} \underset{n\to\infty}{\sim} c(s)\lambda^n$$

uniformly in $s \in \mathcal{S}$, where $\lambda = e^{h_{\mathrm{top}}(\Sigma,\sigma)}$ and $c : \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}_+$.

It will be convenient also to set $\widehat{J}_n(z) := \#\,\sigma^{-n}\{z\}$. Here,

$$\widehat{J}_n(x) = \#\Sigma_{n,x_1} = J_n(x_1)$$

and

$$\widehat{J}_n(x) \sim \mathfrak{c}(x)\lambda^n$$

uniformly in $x \in \Sigma$, where $\mathfrak{c} : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}_+$, $\mathfrak{c}(x) := c(x_1)$.

For $n \geq 1$ fixed, we call a point $x \in \Sigma$:

- *n-minimal* if $x = \min\ \sigma^{-n}\{\sigma^n x\} = \min\{y \in \Sigma : y_{n+1} = x_{n+1}\}$; and
- *n-maximal* if $x = \max\ \sigma^{-n}\{\sigma^n x\} = \max\{y \in \Sigma : y_{n+1} = x_{n+1}\}$.

Now define

$$K_n : \Sigma \to \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_n : \Sigma \to \Sigma$$

by

$$K_n(x) := \min\{k \geq 1 : \tau^k x \text{ is } n\text{-maximal}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_n(x) := \tau^{K_n(x)+1},$$

so:

- $\sigma^n \tau_n(x) = \tau(\sigma^n x)$;
- $\tau_n(x)$ is n-minimal; and
- $K_n(x) \leq \widehat{J}_n(\sigma^n x) = \#\sigma^{-n}\{\sigma^n x\}$ with equality if x is n-minimal.

It follows that, for $j \geq 1$,

$$\sigma^n \tau_n^j(x) = \tau^j(\sigma^n x)$$

and

$$K_n(\tau_n^j(x)) = \widehat{J}_n(\tau^j \sigma^n x).$$

For $n \geq 1$ fixed and $r \geq 1$, set

$$K_n^{(r)}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} K_n(\tau_n^j(x)) = K_n(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \widehat{J}_n(\tau^j(\sigma^n x)).$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

LEMMA 5.2. *There exists $\eta_n, \theta_r \downarrow 0$ so that*

$$K_n^{(r)}(x) = e^{\pm(\eta_n+\theta_r)} r\lambda^n E(\mathfrak{c}) \quad \textit{for all } n, r \geq 1, x \in \Sigma'.$$

Proof. By the uniform convergence lemma there exists $\theta_r \downarrow 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \mathfrak{c}(\tau^j(\sigma^n x)) = e^{\pm\theta_r} r E(\mathfrak{c}) \quad \text{for all } x \in \Sigma', n, r \geq 1.$$

Suppose that $J_n(s) = e^{\pm\eta_n}\lambda^n \mathfrak{c}(s)$, where $\eta_n \downarrow 0$. Then, for $x \in \Sigma'$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_n^{(r)}(x) &= K_n(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \widehat{J}_n(\tau^j(\sigma^n x)) \\ &= K_n(x) + e^{\pm\eta_n}\lambda^n \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \mathfrak{c}(\tau^j(\sigma^n x)) \\ &= K_n(x) + e^{\pm\eta_n} e^{\pm\theta_r} r\lambda^n E(\mathfrak{c}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $K_n(x) \leq \widehat{J}_n(\sigma^n x) = O(\lambda^n)$, the lemma follows. □

LEMMA 5.3. *For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, $x \in \Sigma'$ and $R > 0$, as $n \to \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &S_{K_n^{(r)}(x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0) 1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_n(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ &\quad \gtrsim \frac{h_{r-1}(\sigma^n x)|I|\lambda^n}{n^{D/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{\|M^{-1}U\overline{f}_n(x)\|^2}{2n}\right] 1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_n(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &S_{K_n^{(r)}(x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0) 1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_n(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ &\quad \lesssim \frac{h_{r+1}(\sigma^n x)|I|\lambda^n}{n^{D/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{\|M^{-1}U\overline{f}_n(x)\|^2}{2n}\right] 1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_n(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $h_r(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} h(\tau^j z)$.

Here, for $A_n, B_n > 0$, $A_n \gtrsim B_n$ means $\underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}(A_n/B_n) \geq 1$ and $A_n \lesssim B_n$ means $\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}(A_n/B_n) \leq 1$.

Proof. Writing $K_n^{(0)} \equiv 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{K_n^{(r)}(x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0) &= \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (S_{K_n^{(j+1)}(x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0) - S_{K_n^{(j)}(x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0)) \\ &= S_{K_n(x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} (S_{K_n^{(j+1)}(x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0) - S_{K_n^{(j)}(x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0)). \end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

For fixed $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{K_n^{(j+1)}(x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0) - S_{K_n^{(j)}(x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0) \\ &= \sum_{k=K_n^{(j)}(x)}^{K_n^{(j+1)}(x)-1} 1_{\Sigma\times I}(\tau^k x, \phi_k(x)) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{K_n(\tau_n^j(x))-1} 1_{\Sigma\times I}(\tau^\ell(\tau^{K_n^{(j)}(x)}x), \phi_{K_n^{(j)}(x)+\ell}(x)) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\widehat{J}_n(\tau^j\sigma^n x)-1} 1_{\Sigma\times I}(\tau^\ell(\tau_n^j(x)), \phi_{K_n^{(j)}(x)+\ell}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\{\tau^\ell(\tau_n^j(x) : 0 \leq \ell \leq \widehat{J}_n(\tau^j\sigma^n x) - 1\} = \sigma^{-n}\{\tau^j\sigma^n x\},$$

$$\phi_{K_n^{(j)}(x)+\ell}(x) = \psi(z,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(f(\sigma^k x) - f(\sigma^k z)) \quad (0 \leq \ell \leq \widehat{J}_n(\tau^j\sigma^n x) - 1),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{K_n^{(j+1)}(x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0) - S_{K_n^{(j)}(x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0) \\ &= \#\{z \in \sigma^{-n}\{\sigma^n\tau_n^j(x)\} : \psi(z,x) \in I\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $z = \tau^\ell(\tau_n^j(x)) \in \sigma^{-n}\{\tau^j\sigma^n x\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{K_n^{(j)}(x)+\ell}(x) &= \psi(z,x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(f(\sigma^k x) - f(\sigma^k z)) \\ &= f_t(x) - f_t(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $t = t(x,z) := \min\{N \geq 1 : \sigma^N x = \sigma^N z\}$.

Here, $\sigma^n z = \tau^j\sigma^n x$ and

$$t(x,z) \leq n + t(\tau^j\sigma^n x, \sigma^n x).$$

Thus,

$$\psi(z,x) = f_n(z) - f_n(x) + \kappa_{n,j}(x),$$

where

$$|\kappa_{n,j}(z)| \leq 2\sup|f|(N-n) \leq 2\sup|f|t(\tau^j\sigma^n x, \sigma^n x).$$

We claim that for almost every $x \in \Sigma$,

$$\max_{1\leq j\leq r} t(\tau^j\sigma^n x, \sigma^n x) = O(\log n) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{♣}$$

Proof of (♣). For $M > 0$ set $A_n(M) := \{x \in \Sigma : t(\tau\sigma^n x, \sigma^n x) > M\log n\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & m\left(\left\{x \in \Sigma : \max_{1\leq j\leq r} t(\tau^j\sigma^n x, \sigma^n x) > M\log n\right\}\right) \\ &\leq m\left(\bigcup_{0\leq j\leq r-1} \tau^{-j}A_n(M)\right) \leq rm(A_n(M)). \end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Now $t(\tau\sigma^n x, \sigma^n x) > M \log n$ if and only if there exists $z \in \Sigma_{\max}$ so that

$$x_{n+j} = z_j \quad \text{for all } 1 \le j \le M \log n.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} m(A_n(M)) &\le \sup\ h\mu(A_n(M)) \\ &= \sup\ h \sum_{z \in \Sigma_{\max}} \mu([z_1, \dots, z_{\lfloor M \log n \rfloor}]) \\ &= O(\lambda^{-M \log n}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{n \ge 1} m(A_n(M)) < \infty \quad \text{for } M > \frac{1}{\log \lambda}.$$

The claim (&) now follows from the Borel–Cantelli lemma. □

In view of (&), by Lemma 5.1 we have that for almost every x,

$$\begin{aligned} &1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_n(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)(S_{K_n^{(j+1)}(x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0) - S_{K_n^{(j)}(x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0)) \\ &= 1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_n(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\#\{z \in \sigma^{-n}\{\sigma^n \tau_n^j(x)\} : f_n(z) \in f_n(x) - \kappa_{n,j}(x) + I\} \\ &\sim |I| 1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_n(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\frac{\lambda^n h(\sigma^n x)}{n^{D/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{\|M^{-1}U(\overline{f}_n(x) - \kappa_{n,j}(x))\|^2}{2n}\right] \\ &\sim |I| 1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_n(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\frac{\lambda^n h(\sigma^n x)}{n^{D/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{\|M^{-1}U\overline{f}_n(x)\|^2}{2n}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma then follows. □

Completion of the proof of (☆). Given $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{3}$:

- use the uniform convergence lemma to fix r_ϵ such that, for all $y \in \Sigma'$, $r \ge r_\epsilon$, $e^{\theta_r} < 1 + \epsilon$, where θ_r is as in Lemma 5.2, and

$$\mathfrak{c}_r(y), \mathfrak{c}_{r+2}(y) = (1 \pm \epsilon) r \mathbb{E}_m(\mathfrak{c}) \quad \text{and} \quad h_r(y), h_{r+2}(y) = (1 \pm \epsilon) r \mathbb{E}_m(h);$$

- fix $J > e^{\theta_r}$ for all $r \ge 1$ and for $n \ge 1$ let

$$L_n = L_{n,\epsilon} := \left\lfloor \log_\lambda \frac{n}{2JE(c)r_\epsilon} \right\rfloor,$$

and let $r_n = r_{n,\epsilon}$ be such that

$$K_{L_n}^{(r_n)}(\tau\sigma^n x) \le n < K_{L_n}^{(r_n+1)}(\tau\sigma^n x) < K_{L_n}^{(r_n+2)}(\sigma^n x).$$

It follows that

$$S_{K_{L_n}^{(r_n)}(\tau\sigma^n x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0) \le S_n(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0) \le S_{K_{L_n}^{(r_n+2)}(\sigma^n x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0).$$

By Lemma 5.2,

$$n \le K_{L_n}^{(r_n+2)}(\sigma^n x) \le e^{(\eta_{L_n} + \theta_{r_n})} r_n \lambda^{L_n} E(c) \lesssim e^{\theta_{r_n}} r_n \frac{n}{2JE(c)r_\epsilon} E(c) \le \frac{n r_n}{2r_\epsilon},$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

whence, for large n, $r_n > r_\epsilon$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&S_n(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0)1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_{L_n}(x)}{\sqrt{L_n}}\right)\\
&\geq S_{K_{L_n}^{(r_n)}(\tau\sigma^n x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0)1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_{L_n}(x)}{\sqrt{L_n}}\right)\\
&\gtrsim \frac{h_{r_n}(\tau\sigma^{L_n}x)\lambda^{L_n}}{{L_n}^{D/2}}\exp\left[-\frac{\|M^{-1}U\overline{f}_{L_n}(x)\|^2}{2L_n}\right]1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_{L_n}(x)}{\sqrt{L_n}}\right)\\
&\geq (1-\epsilon)|I|\frac{\lambda^{L_n}r_n}{{L_n}^{D/2}}\exp\left[-\frac{\|M^{-1}U\overline{f}_{L_n}(x)\|^2}{2L_n}\right]1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_{L_n}(x)}{\sqrt{L_n}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&S_n(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0)1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_{L_n}(x)}{\sqrt{L_n}}\right)\\
&\leq S_{K_{L_n}^{(r_n+2)}(\sigma^n x)}(1_{\Sigma\times I})(x,0)1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_{L_n}(x)}{\sqrt{L_n}}\right)\\
&\lesssim \frac{h_{r_n+2}(\sigma^{L_n}x)\lambda^{L_n}}{{L_n}^{D/2}}\exp\left[-\frac{\|M^{-1}U\overline{f}_{L_n}(x)\|^2}{2L_n}\right]1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_{L_n}(x)}{\sqrt{L_n}}\right)\\
&\leq (1+\epsilon)|I|\frac{\lambda^{L_n}r_n}{{L_n}^{D/2}}\exp\left[-\frac{\|M^{-1}U\overline{f}_{L_n}(x)\|^2}{2L_n}\right]1_{B(R)}\left(\frac{\overline{f}_{L_n}(x)}{\sqrt{L_n}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now:

- $L_n \sim \ell_n = \log_\lambda n$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, and since $r_n > r_\epsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned}
n &\geq K_{L_n}^{(r_n)}(\tau\sigma^n x) \geq e^{-(\eta_n+\theta_{r_n})}r_n\lambda^{L_n}E(c) \gtrsim \frac{1}{1+\epsilon}r_n\lambda^{L_n}E(c),\\
n &< K_{L_n}^{(r_n+1)}(\tau\sigma^n x) \lesssim (1+\epsilon)r_n\lambda^{L_n}E(c) < \frac{1}{1-\epsilon}r_n\lambda^{L_n}E(c),
\end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\frac{\lambda^{L_n}r_n}{{L_n}^{D/2}} = (1\pm\epsilon)^2\frac{n}{\ell_n^{D/2}E(c)}.$$

This proves (⚽). □

Proof that (⚽) implies the theorem. Let Γ be as in §4 and write $\Gamma = VV^t$ where $V := U^tM$ with U unitary and $M > 0$ diagonal.

Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d)$, where $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d$ are independent and identically distributed Gaussian random variables with $E(\xi_j) = 0$, $E(\xi_j^2) = 1$; then $Z := U^tM\xi = V\xi$ is Gaussian with correlation matrix

$$E(Z_iZ_j) = E\left(\sum_{s,t}V_{i,s}\xi_sV_{j,t}\xi_t\right) = \sum_s V_{i,s}V_{j,s} = \Gamma_{i,j}.$$

By (CLT),

$$\frac{\overline{f}_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} U^tM\xi =: Z.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Now suppose that (☆) holds. We will show that for some $a(n) \propto n/\ell_n^{D/2}$ and for $g \in C([0,\infty])$, $f \in L^1(m)_+$,

$$g\left(\frac{1}{a(n)} \cdot S_n(f)\right) \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{E}(g(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\chi_{D^2}} \cdot m(f))) \quad \text{weak} * \text{ in } L^\infty(m).$$

By the asymptotic variance theorem, $\mathbb{E}(\|\overline{f}_n\|_2) = O(\sqrt{n})$ and for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists R so that $m_{\Sigma'}(C_n(R)) > 1 - \epsilon$ for all $n \geq 1$, where

$$C_n(R) := \left[\frac{\overline{f}_{\ell_n}(x)}{\sqrt{\ell_n}} \in B(R)\right].$$

Thus, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R > 0$ both large enough and $x \in C_n(R)$ we have

$$g\left(\frac{\ell_n^{D/2}}{n} S_n(1_{\Sigma'\times I})(x,0)\right) = g\left(\frac{|I|}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det\Gamma}} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{\|M^{-1}U\overline{f}_n(x)\|^2}{2n}\right]\right) \pm \epsilon.$$

Next, by (CLT),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Sigma'\times I} g\left(\frac{|I|}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det\Gamma}} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{\|M^{-1}U\overline{f}_n\|^2}{2n}\right]\right) dm \\
&\underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{E}\left(g\left(\frac{|I|}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det\Gamma}} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{\|M^{-1}UZ\|^2}{2}\right]\right)\right) \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left(g\left(\frac{|I|}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det\Gamma}} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{\chi_D^2}{2}\right]\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists $a(n) \propto n/\ell_n^{D/2}$,

$$\int_{\Sigma'\times I} g\left(\frac{1}{a(n)} \cdot S_n(1_{\Sigma'\times I})\right) dm \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{E}(g(m_{\mathbb{G}}(I) \cdot 2^{D/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\chi_D^2})).$$

Using [**A1**, Corollary 3.6.2], we obtain that, for all $F \in L^1(m)_+$, $g \in C([0,\infty])$,

$$g\left(\frac{1}{a(n)} \cdot S_n(F)\right) \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{E}(g(m(F) \cdot 2^{D/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\chi_D^2})) \quad \text{weak} * \text{ in } L^\infty(m),$$

where $m(F) := \int_{\Sigma\times\mathbb{G}} F\, dm$. □

6. *Application to exchangeability*

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, \ldots, d-1\}$ and let $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a mixing TMS. Define $F^\natural : \Sigma \to \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ by $F^\natural(x)_k := \delta_{x_1,k}$.

As shown in [**ADSZ**], $F^\natural : \Sigma \to \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ is σ-aperiodic if and only if Σ is *almost onto* in the sense that, for all $b, c \in \mathcal{S}$, there exist $n \geq 1$, $b = a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n = c \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$\sigma[a_k] \cap \sigma[a_{k+1}] \neq \emptyset \quad (0 \leq k \leq n-1).$$

Define $\varphi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{N}$ and $R : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ by

$$\varphi(x) := \min\{n \geq 1 : \tau^n(x)_i = x_{\sigma(i)} \text{ for some finite permutation } \sigma \text{ of } \mathbb{N}\}$$

and

$$R(x) := \tau^{\varphi(x)}(x).$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

COROLLARY 6.1. *Suppose that Σ is almost onto, then $(\Sigma, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma), R, m)$ is an ergodic, probability-preserving transformation and there exists $b(n) \propto n/(\log n)^{(d-1)/2}$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{b(n)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ R^k \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} e^{\frac{1}{2}\chi^2_{d-1}}.$$

Proof. The random walk adic

$$(\Sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}), m \times m_{\mathbb{Z}^{d-1}}, T)$$

over $(\Sigma, F^{\natural}, \tau)$ is conservative and ergodic. Calculation shows that $T_{\Sigma\times\{0\}}(x, 0) = (Rx, 0)$, whence $(\Sigma, \mathcal{B}(\Sigma), R, m)$ is an ergodic, probability-preserving transformation.

By the theorem,

$$\frac{1}{a(n)} S_n(f) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2_{d-1}} \mu(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in L^1_+,$$

where $a(n) \propto n/(\log n)^{(d-1)/2}$ (we absorbed the factor in $a(n)$).

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{a(n)} S_n(1_{\Sigma\times\{0\}}) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2_{d-1}},$$

whence, by inversion ([**A2**, Proposition 1]),

$$\frac{1}{b(n)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ R^k \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} e^{\frac{1}{2}\chi^2_{d-1}},$$

where $b(n) = a^{-1}(n) \propto n/(\log n)^{(d-1)/2}$. □

7. *Chi-squared laws for horocycle flows*

Let M_0 be a compact, connected, orientable, smooth, Riemannian surface with negative sectional curvature, and let T^1M_0 denote the set of unit tangent vectors to M_0. The *geodesic flow* on T^1M_0 is the flow which moves a vector $\underline{v} \in T^1M$ at unit speed along its geodesic.

Margulis [**Mrg**] and Marcus [**Mrc**] constructed a continuous flow $h^t : T^1M \to T^1M$ such that:

(a) the h-orbit of $\vec{v} \in T^1M_0$ equals

$$W^{ss}(\vec{v}) := \left\{ \vec{u} \mid \operatorname{dist}(g^s(\vec{v}), g^s(\vec{u})) \xrightarrow[s\to\infty]{} 0 \right\};$$

(b) there exists μ such that $g^{-s} \circ h^t \circ g^s = h^{\mu^s t}$.

In the special case when M_0 is a hyperbolic surface, h is the *stable horocycle flow*. Properties (a) and (b) should be compared with the relation between the odometer and the left shift.

A $\mathbb{Z}^D$*-cover of* M_0 is a surface M together with a continuous map $p : M \to M_0$ such that p is a local isometry at every point, the group of *deck transformations*

$$G := \{A : M \to M \mid A \text{ an isometry s.t. } p \circ A = p\}$$

is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^D$ and, for every $x \in M_0$, $p^{-1}(x)$ is a G-orbit of some point in M.

The flows $g, h : T^1M_0 \to T^1M_0$ lift to flows $g, h : T^1M \to T^1M$ which commute with the elements of G, and which satisfy (a) and (b). Now (a) and (b) could be compared with

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

the relation between the HIK transformation and a $\mathbb{Z}^D$ skew product over the left shift map [**Po**].

The locally finite ergodic invariant measures for h are described in [**BL, S**]. There are infinitely many, but only one up to normalization is non-squashable [**LS**]. This measure, which we call m_0, is rationally ergodic, and it is invariant under the action of the geodesic flow and the deck transformations.

We choose a normalization for m_0 as follows. Let $\widetilde{M}_0$ be a connected pre-compact subset of M such that $p : \widetilde{M}_0 \to M_0$ is one-to-one and onto. Then we normalize m_0 so that $m_0[T^1\widetilde{M}_0] = 1$.

The following can be extracted from [**LS**].

THEOREM 7.1. *There exists $a(T) \propto T/(\ln T)^{D/2}$ such that, for every $f \in L^1(m_0)$ with positive integral,*

$$\frac{1}{a(T)} \int_0^T f[h^s(\omega)]\, ds \xrightarrow[T\to\infty]{\mathfrak{d}} (2^{D/2} e^{-(1/2)\chi_D^2}) m_0(f).$$

Proof sketch. Enumerate $G = \{A_{\underline{\xi}} : \underline{\xi} \in \mathbb{Z}^D\}$ such that $A_{\underline{\xi}_1} \circ A_{\underline{\xi}_2} = A_{\underline{\xi}_1 + \underline{\xi}_2}$. Then $M = \bigcup_{\underline{\xi} \in \mathbb{Z}^D} A_{\underline{\xi}}[\widetilde{M}_0]$. The $\mathbb{Z}^D$-*coordinate* of $\vec{v} \in T^1M$ is the unique $\underline{\xi}(\vec{v}) \in \mathbb{Z}^D$ such that $\vec{v} \in T^1[A_{\underline{\xi}}(\widetilde{M}_0)]$.

It is known that $(1/\sqrt{T})\underline{\xi} \circ g^T \xrightarrow[T\to\infty]{\mathfrak{d}} \mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is a D-dimensional Gaussian random variable with positive-definite covariance matrix $\mathrm{Cov}(\mathcal{N})$ (see Ratner [**R**] and Katsuda and Sunada [**KS**]).

Let $\|\cdot\|_H$ denote the norm on $\mathbb{R}^D$ given by $\|\underline{v}\|_H := \sqrt{\underline{v}^t \mathrm{Cov}(\mathcal{N})^{-1} \underline{v}}$. The following is proved in [**LS**, Theorem 5]: suppose that $f \in L^1(m_0)$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$, for m_0-almost every $\vec{v} \in T^1M$, for all T large enough,

$$2^{(D/2)-\epsilon} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon)\left\|\frac{\underline{\xi}(g^{\log_\mu T}\vec{v})}{\sqrt{\log_\mu T}}\right\|_H^2\right) \le \frac{1}{a(T)} \int_0^T f[h^s(\vec{v})]\, ds$$
$$\le 2^{(D/2)+\epsilon} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(1-\epsilon)\left\|\frac{\underline{\xi}(g^{\log_\mu T}\vec{v})}{\sqrt{\log_\mu T}}\right\|_H^2\right),$$

where $a(T) = \mathrm{const}\, T/(\ln T)^{D/2}$ (the value of the constant is known, see [**LS**]).

This is the version of (☆) needed to deduce the theorem as above.

Acknowledgements. Aaronson's research was supported by the Israel Science Foundation (grant number 1114/08). Sarig's research was supported by the European Research Council (grant number 239885).

REFERENCES

[A1] J. Aaronson. *An Introduction to Infinite Ergodic Theory (Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 50)*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.

[A2] J. Aaronson. The asymptotic distributional behaviour of transformations preserving infinite measures. *J. Anal. Math.* **39** (1981), 203–234.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

[AD] J. Aaronson and M. Denker. Local limit theorems for partial sums of stationary sequences generated by Gibbs–Markov maps. *Stoch. Dyn.* **1**(2) (2001), 193–237.

[ADSZ] J. Aaronson, M. Denker, O. Sarig and R. Zweimüller. Aperiodicity of cocycles and conditional local limit theorems. *Stoch. Dyn.* **4**(1) (2004), 31–62.

[ANSS] J. Aaronson, H. Nakada, O. Sarig and R. Solomyak. Invariant measures and asymptotics for some skew products. *Israel J. Math.* **128** (2002), 93–134. Corrections: *Israel J. Math.* **138** (2003), 377–379.

[ANS] J. Aaronson, H. Nakada and O. Sarig. Exchangeable measures for subshifts. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **42**(6) (2006), 727–751.

[AW] J. Aaronson and B. Weiss. On the asymptotics of a 1-parameter family of infinite measure preserving transformations. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)* **29** (1998), 181–193.

[BL] M. Babillot and F. Ledrappier. *Geodesic Paths and Horocycle Flow on Abelian Covers. Lie Groups and Ergodic Theory (Mumbai, 1996) (Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, 14)*. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1998, pp. 1–32.

[BM] R. Bowen and B. Marcus. Unique ergodicity for horocycle foliations. *Israel J. Math.* **26**(1) (1977), 43–67.

[DF] W. Doeblin and R. Fortet. Sur des chaînes a liaison complètes. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **65** (1937), 132–148.

[DK] D. A. Darling and M. Kac. On occupation times for Markoff processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **84** (1957), 444–458.

[E] G. K. Eagleson. Some simple conditions for limit theorems to be mixing. *Teor. Veroyatn. Primen.* **21**(3) (1976), 653–660 (in Russian); Engl. Transl. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **21**(3) (1977), 637–642.

[G] Y. Guivarc'h. Propriétés ergodiques, en mesure infinie, de certains systèmes dynamiques fibrés. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **9**(3) (1989), 433–453.

[GH] Y. Guivarc'h and J. Hardy. Théorèmes limites pour une classe de chaînes de Markov et applications aux difféomorphismes d'Anosov. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **24**(1) (1988), 73–98.

[HH] H. Hennion and L. Hervé. *Limit Theorems for Markov Chains and Stochastic Properties of Dynamical Systems by Quasi-compactness (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1766)*. Springer, Berlin, 2001.

[HIK] A. Hajian, Y. Ito and S. Kakutani. Invariant measures and orbits of dissipative transformations. *Adv. Math.* **9** (1972), 52–65.

[ITM] C. Ionescu-Tulcea and G. Marinescu. Théorie ergodique pour des classes d'opérations non complètement continues. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **47** (1950), 140–147.

[KS] A. Katsuda and T. Sunada. Closed orbits in homology classes. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **71** (1990), 5–32.

[LS] F. Ledrappier and O. Sarig. Unique ergodicity for non-uniquely ergodic horocycle flows. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **16**(2) (2006), 411–433.

[LM] D. Lind and B. Marcus. *An Introduction to Symbolic Dynamics and Coding*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[L1] A. N. Livšic. On the spectra of adic transformations of Markov compact sets. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **42**(3(255)) (1987), 189–190 (in Russian); Engl. Transl. *Russian Math. Surveys* **42**(3) (1987), 222–223.

[L2] A. N. Livšic. Certain properties of the homology of Y-systems. *Mat. Zametki* **10** (1971), 555–564 (in Russian); Engl. Transl. *Math. Notes* **10** (1971), 758–763.

[Mrc] B. Marcus. Unique ergodicity of the horocycle flow: variable curvature case. *Israel J. Math.* **21** (1975), 133–144.

[Mrg] G. A. Margulis. Certain measures that are connected with U-flows on compact manifolds. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen* **4**(1) (1970), 62–76 (in Russian).

[N] S. V. Nagaev. Some limit theorems for stationary Markov chains. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **2** (1957), 378–406.

[PP] W. Parry and M. Pollicott. Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics. *Astérisque* **187–188** (1990), 268.

[PS] W. Parry and K. Schmidt. Natural coefficients and invariants for Markov-shifts. *Invent. Math.* **76** (1984), 15–32.

[Po] M. Pollicott. $\mathbb{Z}^d$-covers of horosphere foliations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **6**(1) (2000), 147–154.

[R] M. Ratner. The central limit theorem for geodesic flows on n-dimensional manifolds of negative curvature. *Israel J. Math.* **16** (1973), 181–197.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press

[RE] J. Rousseau-Egele. Un théorème de la limite locale pour une classe de transformations dilatantes et monotones par morceaux. *Ann. Probab.* **11**(3) (1983), 772–788.

[Sa] O. Sarig. Invariant Radon measures for horocycle flows on abelian covers. *Invent. Math.* **157**(3) (2004), 519–551.

[S] C. Stone. On local and ratio limit theorems. *Proc. Fifth Berkeley Symp. on Mathematical Statistics and Probability (Berkeley, CA, 1965/66), Vol. II: Contributions to Probability Theory, Part 2*. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA, 1967, pp. 217–224.

[TZ] M. Thaler and R. Zweimüller. Distributional limit theorems in infinite ergodic theory. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **135** (2006), 15–52.

[V] A. M. Vershik. A new model of the ergodic transformations. *Dynamical Systems and Ergodic Theory (Warsaw, 1986) (Banach Center Publications, 23)*. PWN, Warsaw, 1989, pp. 381–384.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2012.160 Published online by Cambridge University Press