Figures

I.1	Average territorial size of independent states.	*page* 3
2.1	Nationalist state transformation: main theoretical logic.	42
2.2	Causal pathway from incongruence to macro outcomes.	45
2.3	How state-nation incongruence destabilizes the balance of power between states.	50
2.4	How conflict and border change affect ethnic identities.	51
3.1	"Peoples and Languages of Germany and Neighboring States."	60
3.2	Digitized maps by year of creation.	62
3.3	Generating polygons for the Hungarian settlement area in the HEG dataset.	62
3.4	European state borders. (a) European states in 1816. (b) European states in 1914. (c) European states in 2017.	67
3.5	Belgian examples of ethnic segments as units of analysis.	68
3.6	Increasing state-to-nation congruence, Europe 1886–2020.	72
3.7	Cumulative change in state-nation congruence, Europe 1886–2020: contributions of right-sizing and right-peopling.	73
II.1	The war-driven logic of state formation.	76
II.2	How nationalism affects state size through state-nation convergence (Chapters 5 and 6).	76
4.1	The bellicist model of war and border change.	84
4.2	Territorial concentration in Europe, 1490–1790.	90
4.3	Comparing territorial size of warring and peaceful states.	91
4.4	War-related and peaceful territorial growth of Prussia, 1490–1790. (a) Territorial growth of Prussia. (b) Prussia in 1790.	92
4.5	War-related and peaceful territorial growth of France, 1490–1790. (a) Territorial growth of France. (b) France in 1790.	93
4.6	War-related and peaceful territorial growth of the Habsburg Empire, 1490–1790. (a) Territorial growth of the Habsburg Empire. (b) The Habsburg Empire in 1790.	94

Published online by Cambridge University Press

4.7 War-related and peaceful territorial growth of Russia, 1490–1790. (a) Territorial growth of Russia. (b) Russia in 1790. 95
4.8 Territorial gains and losses at the country level (1490–1790). (a) Gains as a function of state size. (b) Gains as a function of cumulative war gains. (c) Losses as a function of state size. (d) Losses as a function of cumulative war losses. 99
4.9 Effect of state size on state death during peace and war. 100
4.10 State A's dyadic gains as a function of its relative size. 102
4.11 Territorial gains and losses at the dyadic level (1490–1790). (a) State A's dyadic gains as a function of cumulative gains. (b) State B's dyadic losses as a function of cumulative losses. 102
4.12 Territorial concentration, 1490–2003. 104
5.1 Trends in mean state size in Europe and beyond. 110
5.2 Nation-state and two types of state-nation incongruence. (a) Nation-state. (b) Ethnic fragmentation of the state. (c) Territorial fragmentation of the dominant group. 116
5.3 A schematic illustration of ethnic border-change processes. 121
5.4 European trends in ethnic and territorial fractionalization. 124
5.5 Global trends in ethnic and territorial fractionalization. 125
5.6 Duration until border change as a function of fractionalization in Europe. (a) Probability of territorial loss for high/low levels of ethnic fractionalization. (b) Probability of territorial gain for high/low levels of territorial fractionalization. 126
5.7 Duration until border change as a function of fractionalization in the global sample. (a) Probability of territorial loss for high/low levels of ethnic fractionalization. (b) Probability of territorial gain for high/low levels of territorial fractionalization. 126
5.8 Ethnic border changes in Europe. 128
5.9 Ethnic border changes around the world. 129
5.10 Duration until border-change events in Europe. (a) Prob. ethnic secession for high/low levels of ethnic fractionalization. (b) Prob. unification for high/low levels of territorial fractionalization. (c) Prob. irredentism for high/low levels of ethnic fractionalization. 130
5.11 Duration until border change as a function of fractionalization in the global sample. (a) Prob. ethnic secession for high/low levels of ethnic fractionalization.

Published online by Cambridge University Press

(b) Prob. irredentism for high/low levels of territorial fractionalization. 131
5.12 Comparing ethnic and nonethnic trends in mean state size with actual trends in Europe. 133
5.13 Comparing ethnic and nonethnic trends in mean state size with actual global trend. 134
6.1 Europe as a hexagonal spatial lattice. (a) Baseline lattice. (b) Partitioning into states in 1886; border-crossing edges in black. (c) Ethnic boundaries in 1836–1885; color denotes the fraction of maps in which an edge crosses an ethnic boundary. 145
6.2 Effect of ethnic boundaries on the partitioning of Europe into states. 148
6.3 Border probabilities, part I. (a) One partitioning sampled from observed data (2011), baseline model. (b) Predicted border probabilities based on 120 partitionings sampled from observed data (2011), baseline model. 149
6.4 Border probabilities, part II. (a) Border probabilities predicted without ethnic boundaries, baseline model. (b) Distribution of the effect of ethnic boundaries on edge-level border probability. 150
6.5 Effect of pre-1886 ethnic boundaries on the partitioning of Europe into states. 151
6.6 Effect of ethnic boundaries in 1964 on state borders across continents. 152
III.1 How state-nation incongruence drives ethnonationalist conflict (Chapters 8–10). 156
III.2 How state-nation incongruence drives ethnic cleansing (Chapter 10). 157
7.1 Five configurations with or without alien rule and division. 166
7.2 Expected conflict patterns in the five configurations. 171
7.3 Ethnic rebellion, European sample. 172
7.4 Territorial claim onset, European sample. 174
7.5 MID initiation, European sample. 175
7.6 Civil conflict onset, global sample. 176
7.7 Territorial claim onset, global sample. 176
7.8 MID initiation, global sample. 177
7.9 External support for rebels, global sample. 178
8.1 Transition types T1–T3 derived from the configurations in Figure 7.1. 192
8.2 Linking three historical transitions to civil conflict. 192
8.3 Linking two transition types to interstate conflict. 195
8.4 Lost home rule and lost unity: Polish example. 196

Published online by Cambridge University Press

8.5 Lost home rule, lost unity, and interstate relations: Romanian example. 197
8.6 Overview of main effect of a golden age (lost home rule or unity) on civil war, territorial claims, and fatal militarized interstate disputes. 199
9.1 How railroad construction matters. 211
9.2 Geographic data on yearly railway construction. 219
9.3 Treatment effect of the first railroad on ethnic separatism. 221
9.4 Marginal effect plot. (a) Linguistic distance. (b) Share dominant group. (c) Segment population. (d) Per capita GDP. (e) Fiscal capacity. (f) Liberal democracy. 222
9.5 Effects for causal mechanisms: market access, state reach, and internal connectivity. 224
10.1 Configurations with and without transborder ethnic kin (TEK). (a) No TEK. (b) Nondominant TEK. (c) Dominant TEK. 232
10.2 Transitions where border changes entail a loss of home rule. (a) Stranded TEK. (b) State death and annexation. (c) State death and partition. 234
10.3 Onsets of ethnic cleansing by year. 236
10.4 Group segments with dominant TEK are at increased risk of ethnic cleansing, as they are groups with a history of past home rule. 238
10.5 Change in the probability of ethnic cleansing by TEK status and past home rule. 239
11.1 Share of country populations exposed to alien rule in 2023. 261
11.2 Share of country populations with powerful kin exposed to alien rule in 2023. 263
11.3 Trend in group exclusion around the world. 264
11.4 Global trend in the probability of civil conflict per ethnic group. 265
11.5 Trend in excluded groups with powerful kin. 266
11.6 Trend in the share of state dyads that experience interstate or internationalized civil conflict. 268
S4.1 Computing cumulative territorial gains of state *A* from its neighboring states *B*, *C*, and *D*. 275
S4.2 Linking war-adjacent territorial transfers to peace agreements. 282
S6.1 Illustration of the PSPM. 291

Published online by Cambridge University Press