Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. **68** (2), 2025, pp. 620–627
http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/S000843952400095X
© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Canadian Mathematical Society

A note on Hayman's problem

Jiaxing Huang and Yuefei Wang

Abstract. In this note, it is shown that the differential polynomial of the form $Q(f)^{(k)} - p$ has infinitely many zeros and particularly $Q(f)^{(k)}$ has infinitely many fixed points for any positive integer k, where f is a transcendental meromorphic function, p is a nonzero polynomial and Q is a polynomial with coefficients in the field of small functions of f. The results are traced back to Problems 1.19 and 1.20 in the book of research problems by Hayman and Lingham [*Research Problems in Function Theory, Springer, 2019*]. As a consequence, we give an affirmative answer to an extended problem on the zero distribution of $(f^n)' - p$, proposed by Chiang and considered by Bergweiler [*Bull. Hong Kong Math. Soc.* **1**(1997), p. 97–101].

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, we focus on the zero distributions of differential polynomials in a meromorphic function f with small meromorphic coefficients. We assume that the reader is familiar with the standard notations and some basic results in Nevanlinna theory (see [13, 16]).

In 1959, Hayman [12, 14] conjectured that if f is a transcendental meromorphic function and $n \geq 2$ is an integer, then $(f^n)'$ assumes every nonzero complex number infinitely often. He proved this conjecture for $n \geq 4$. The case for $n = 3$ was settled by Mues [18] in 1979, and the remaining for $n = 2$ was obtained by Bergweiler and Eremenko [5], Chen and Fang [7] and Pang and Zalcman [20]. One principal extension was studied in [5], the authors showed the Hayman conjecture is valid if $(f^n)'$ is replaced by $(f^n)^{(k)}$ for $n > k \geq 1$.

Two related questions arising in connection with Hayman's problem are as follows.

The first one is to consider the zero distribution of $(f^n)' - p$ where p is a small function of f. In fact, this extension originates from the study of the zero distribution of $ff' - p$, proposed by Chiang in 1994 (see [4]). Bergweiler [4] gave a positive answer when p is a nonzero polynomial and f is of finite order. This result (and a more general form $f'f^n - R$, where R is a rational function) was completely solved by Bergweiler and Pang (see [6, Theorem 1.1]) from the perspectives of normal families and dynamic arguments [5].

Received by the editors September 11, 2023; revised November 10, 2023; accepted November 13, 2023.
Published online on Cambridge Core December 18, 2024.
The authors were supported by NSF of China (No.12231013, 12201420) and NCAMS.
AMS subject classification: **30D35**, 30D45, 30D30.
Keywords: Hayman's problem, differential polynomials, meromorphic functions, zero distributions.

https://doi.org/10.4153/S000843952400095X Published online by Cambridge University Press

The second question given by Eremenko and Langley [8] is whether one can consider a more general differential polynomial of f such as a linear differential polynomial

$$F := f^{(k)} + a_{k-1}f^{(k-1)} + \ldots + a_0 f$$

with suitable small function coefficients a_j instead of $f^{(k)}$ only.

All known proofs of generalizations of Hayman's conjecture rely on the method of either the dynamic argument in [5], or a much later result of Yamanoi [21]. Indeed, the deep and highly technical result of Yamanoi is crucial to the resolution of some difficult conjectures in value distribution theory, for example, the Gol'dberg conjecture [11, p. 456 (B.4)] and Mues' conjecture [19]. Very recently, applying Yamanoi's result [21, Theorem 1.2], An and Phuong [2] investigated this question for a differential polynomial $Q(f)$ with some restrictive conditions.

Theorem 1 ([2], Theorem 1) *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function, and $Q(z) = b(z-a_1)^{m_1}(z-a_2)^{m_2}\ldots(z-a_l)^{m_l}$ be a polynomial of degree q, where $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_l \in \mathbb{C}$. If $q \geq l+1$, then $(Q(f))^{(k)}$ takes every finite nonzero value infinitely often, for any positive integer k.*

In 2013, Fang and Wang [10] relied on the Yamanoi result to derive some new consequences, one thing they obtained is that the pole order of a transcendental meromorphic function f can be controlled by the zero order of $f^{(k)} - p$ (see Lemma 2 below), where p is a polynomial.

In this paper, by Fang and Wang's result (an outgrowth of generalizations of Yamanoi's result), we generalize An and Phuong's result. In fact, we consider more general situations in which the coefficients of the polynomial Q are allowed to be functions meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}$, and the nonzero value is replaced by a polynomial. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 2 *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function, p be a nonzero polynomial and $Q(z) = b(z-a_1)^{m_1}(z-a_2)^{m_2}\ldots(z-a_l)^{m_l}$ be a polynomial of degree q, where $b \not\equiv 0, a_1, \ldots, a_l$ are small functions of f. If $q \geq l+1$, then $(Q(f))^{(k)} - p$ has infinitely many zeros, and particularly $(Q(f))^{(k)}$ has infinitely many fixed points, for any positive integer k.*

Remark 1 Recently, based on Yamanoi's result [21, Theorem 1.2], Fang et al. [9] proved that Theorem 1 is still true if the condition $q \geq l+1$ is replaced by $q \geq 2$. Hence, it is natural to ask if the above theorem also works under such a condition $q \geq 2$. In general, this question is not true, the condition on $q \geq l+1$ is necessary. For example, let $f = \exp(z)$, $Q(w) = (w-z)(w+z)$ and $p = -2z$, in this case, we have

$$Q(f)' - p = (f^2 - z^2)' + 2z = 2ff' = 2\exp(2z)$$

which has no zeros.

As a consequence of Theorem 2, we also give an affirmative answer to a question of Chiang when p is a polynomial, without any growth restriction on f.

https://doi.org/10.4153/S000843952400095X Published online by Cambridge University Press

Corollary 1 (Hayman's problem for polynomials) *If f is a transcendental meromorphic function and p is a nonzero polynomial, then $f^n f' - p$ has infinitely many zeros, for any positive integer n.*

The corollary follows immediately if one takes $k = 1$, and $Q(z) = z^{n+1}$. Moreover, when $Q(z) = z^n$, $n \geq 2$, one can extend a result of Hayman in [12, Theorem 2]. Note that Hayman considered the value distribution of $(f^n)^{(k)} - c$ for any nonzero complex number c, while we can take c to be a polynomial.

Corollary 2 *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function and p be a nonzero polynomial. Then for $n \geq 2$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $(f^n)^{(k)} - p$ has infinitely many zeros.*

Remark 2 Corollaries 1 and 2 are contained in the results of the paper by Bergweiler and Pang (see [6, Theorem 1.1]) who used the method of normal families and dynamic arguments, while we obtain these results from the other viewpoint mentioned before, which is an implication of Yamanoi's result.

As a consequence, we also obtain the following corollary, which could be regarded as a precursor of Theorem 3.

Corollary 3 *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function and P be a nonzero polynomial, then $f' - Pf^n$ has infinitely many zeros for any $n \geq 3$.*

Proof Let $f = 1/g$, then

$$Pf^n - f' = \frac{P}{g^n} + \frac{g'}{g^2} = \frac{P + g'g^{n-2}}{g^n}.$$

Hence, the result follows from Corollary 1. ∎

Remark 3 Indeed, if P is a nonzero constant, Corollary 3 was proved by Pang for $n \geq 4$ and by Chen and Fang [7, Theorem 3] for $n = 3$ from the point of view of the normal family.

In view of the above results, we only consider the polynomial case, it is natural to ask what happens if one replaces the polynomial p in the above results with some small functions. This question is in general not easy to answer. However, using some ideas from Liao and Ye [17], the classical Logarithmic Derivative Lemma, and the Clunie Lemma, we give some partial results as follows.

To describe our result, we need to introduce some classes of meromorphic functions.

Let $T(r, f)$ be the Nevanlinna characteristic function of f. We denote by $S(r, f)$ any quantity which is of growth $o(T(r, f))$ as $r \to \infty$ outside a set $E \subset (0, \infty)$ of finite measure. A meromorphic function y is called a *small function* of f if it satisfies that $T(r, y) = S(r, f)$. The family of small functions of f is defined by $\mathcal{S}_f$. By $\mathcal{N}_0$ and $\mathcal{S}_0$, we mean that the family of meromorphic functions y with finitely many poles and $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_f \cap \mathcal{N}_0$, respectively. Clearly, the field $\mathbb{C}(z)$ of rational functions and the ring

https://doi.org/10.4153/S000843952400095X Published online by Cambridge University Press

of entire functions are contained in $\mathcal{N}_0$. We say a differential polynomial in w is non-degenerate if it is not a polynomial in w.

Theorem 3 *Let*

$$P(z,w)=\sum_{j=m}^{n} b_j(z)w^j, \quad b_m\neq 0$$

be a polynomial in w with coefficients $b_j(z)$ in the family $\mathcal{S}_0$, and

$$L(z,w)=\sum_{|I|=0}^{k} a_I(z)w^{i_0}w'^{i_1}\cdots(w^{(q)})^{i_q}$$

be a non-degenerate differential polynomial in w over $\mathcal{S}_0$, where $I=(i_0,\ldots,i_q)$ is a multi-index with length $|I|=i_0+\ldots+i_q$. If f is a transcendental meromorphic function in $\mathcal{N}_0$ such that $L(z,f)\not\equiv 0$, then $P(z,f)+L(z,f)$ has infinitely many zeros for any $m\geq k+2$.

Remark 4 In general, a meromorphic function that does not satisfy any nontrivial algebraic differential equation $L(z,w)=0$ is said to be hypertranscendental. Well-known examples of such meromorphic functions are the Euler gamma function and the Riemann zeta function. The study of hypertranscendental functions can be found in [1, 3, 15].

In the special case that $P(z,w)=Q_1(z)w^n$ and $L(z,w)=Q_2(z)w^{(q)}-R(z)$, where $n,q\in\mathbb{N}$, $Q_1(z)$ and $Q_2(z)$ are nonzero rational functions, and $R(z)$ is a rational function, we obtain the following which is a generalization of [12, Theorem 8] and also partially answer a question proposed in [7, Proposition 1].

Corollary 4 *Let f be a transcendental entire function and let R be a rational function. If $n\geq 3$, then $Q_1f^n+Q_2f^{(q)}-R$ has infinitely many zeros, and hence $Q_1f^n-Q_2f^{(q)}$ has infinitely many fixed points for all $q\in\mathbb{N}$.*

1.2 Organization of the paper

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state several results that will be used in our proofs. Then we prove our main results (Theorems 2 and 3) in Section 3.

2 Some Lemmas

We first recall some useful lemmas.

Lemma 1 ([16], Theorem 2.2.5) *Let f be a meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}$ and $a\in\mathcal{S}_f$, then*

$$T(r,a,f)=T(r,f)+S(r,f)$$

https://doi.org/10.4153/S000843952400095X Published online by Cambridge University Press

and

$$T(r, af) = T(r, f) + S(r, f), \quad a \neq 0.$$

Lemma 2 ([10], Proposition 3) *Let g be a transcendental meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}$, k be a positive integer, and $p(\not\equiv 0)$ be a polynomial. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$(1-\varepsilon)T(r, g) + (k-1)\overline{N}(r, g) \leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)} - p}\right) + S(r, f). \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 3 ([16], Theorem 2.3.3) *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function and $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Then*

$$m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) = S(r, f).$$

We also need the following Clunie lemma which plays an essential role in the proof of main results.

Lemma 4 ([13], Lemma 3.3) *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex plane such that*

$$f^n P(z, f) = Q(z, f),$$

where $P(z, f)$ and $Q(z, f)$ are polynomials in f and its derivatives with meromorphic coefficients, say $\{a_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, such that $T(r, a_\lambda) = S(r, f)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If the total degree of $Q(z, f)$ as a polynomial in f and its derivative is at most n, then

$$m(r, P(z, f)) = S(r, f) \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty.$$

3 Proof of Theorems 2 and 3

Proof of Theorem 2 Applying Lemma 2 to $g = Q(f)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\varepsilon)T(r, Q(f)) + (k-1)\overline{N}(r, Q(f)) \\
&\leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{Q(f)}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{Q(f)^{(k)} - p}\right) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{l} \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_i}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{b}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{Q(f)^{(k)} - p}\right) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq lT(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{Q(f)^{(k)} - p}\right) + S(r, f),
\end{aligned}$$

and then from the Mohon'ko result [16, Theorem 2.2.5], it follows that

$$(q - l - \varepsilon)T(r, f) + (k-1)\overline{N}(r, f) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{Q(f)^{(k)} - p}\right) + S(r, f)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore, $Q(f)^{(k)} = p$ has infinitely many solutions when $q \geq l + 1$, which means that $Q(f)^{(k)} - p$ has infinitely many zeros. ∎

https://doi.org/10.4153/S000843952400095X Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof of Theorem 3 Let $P(f) = P(z, f)$ and $L(f) = L(z, f)$. Suppose that $P(f) + L(f)$ takes zero finitely many. As f has finitely many poles and the coefficients of P and L are in $\mathcal{S}_0$, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=m}^{n} b_j f^j + L(f) = Ae^h \tag{3.1}$$

where A is a nonzero rational function and h is an entire function with $T(r, h) = S(r, f)$. By differentiating both sides of (3.1), we obtain that

$$\sum_{j=m}^{n} B_j f^{j-1} + L(f)' = A^* e^h \tag{3.2}$$

where $B_j = b_j' f + j b_j f'$ is a linear differential polynomial of f over $\mathcal{S}_0$ and $A^* = A' + Ah'$ with $T(r, A^*) = S(r, f)$. It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$f^{m-1} H(z, f) = Q(z, f) \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$H(z, f) = \sum_{j=m}^{n} (AB_j f^{j-m} - A^* b_j f^{j-m+1})$$

is a differential polynomial in f with coefficients in $\mathcal{S}_f$ and

$$Q(z, f) = A^2 \left(\frac{-L(f)}{A} \right)' + Ah' L(f) \tag{3.4}$$

is also an $\mathcal{S}_f$–differential polynomial with total degree at most $k(< m - 1)$. We claim that $H(z, f) \not\equiv 0$. Otherwise, in view of (3.3), (3.4) and $L(f) \not\equiv 0$, one has $Q(z, f) \equiv 0$, and then $KL(f) = Ae^h$ with some constant K. Since f is a transcendental meromorphic function and $b_j \in \mathcal{S}_0$, (3.1) gives that $K \neq 1$ and

$$f^m \left(\sum_{j=m}^{n} b_j f^{j-m} \right) = (K - 1) L(f)$$

with $\deg L = k < m$. By Clunie's lemma (Lemma 4), we have

$$m\left(r, \sum_{j=m}^{n} b_j f^{j-m} \right) = S(r, f)$$

Thus, by $N(r, f) = O(\log r)$ and $b_j \in \mathcal{S}_0$, we have

$$T\left(r, \sum_{j=m}^{n} b_j f^{j-m} \right) = S(r, f)$$

yielding a contradiction. Hence $H(z, f) \not\equiv 0$.

https://doi.org/10.4153/S000843952400095X Published online by Cambridge University Press

Applying Clunie's lemma (Lemma 4) to (3.3), we have

$$m(r, H(z, f)) = S(r, f).$$

As $A^*, A \in \mathcal{S}_f$, B_j are differential polynomials of f with coefficients in $\mathcal{S}_0$ and $b_j \in \mathcal{S}_0$, for $j = m, \ldots, n$, it is not hard to see that

$$N(r, H(z, f)) = S(r, f) \quad \text{and hence} \quad T(r, H(z, f)) = S(r, f).$$

Therefore, by the Mohon'ko result [16, Theorem 2.2.5] and $T(r, H(z, f)) = S(r, f)$, we have

$$T(r, Q(z, f)) = T(r, H(z, f)f^{m-1}) = (m-1)T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

From the form of $Q(z, f)$, one can rewrite it as follows

$$Q(z, f) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} C_l(z) f(z)^l$$

where $C_l(z)$'s are differential polynomials in f'/f and its derivatives with meromorphic coefficients in $\mathcal{S}_f$, and hence by the LDL, $m(r, C_l) = S(r, f)$ for all l. Since

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(r, \sum_{l=0}^{k} C_l f^l\right) &\le m\left(r, f \sum_{l=1}^{k} C_l f^{l-1}\right) + m(r, C_0) + O(1) \\ &\le m(r, f) + m\left(r, \sum_{l=1}^{k} C_l f^{l-1}\right) + m(r, C_0) + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

an immediate inductive argument implies that

$$m\left(r, \sum_{l=0}^{k} C_l f^l\right) \le km(r, f) + \sum_{l=0}^{k} m(r, C_l) + S(r, f) = km(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

From the form of $Q(z, f)$ in (3.4) and $N(r, f) = O(\log r)$, it follows that

$$N(r, Q(z, f)) = S(r, f),$$

thus one can obtain that

$$T(r, Q(z, f)) = m(r, Q(z, f)) + N(r, Q(z, f)) \le km(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

and hence

$$(m-1)T(r, f) + S(r, f) \le km(r, f) + S(r, f) \le kT(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

which is impossible, as $m \ge k + 2$. ∎

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank Fang Mingliang for introducing his recent related work and pointing out the counterexample in Remark 2. The authors also wish to express gratitude to the anonymous referees for a number of useful remarks that have been made for the improvement of this paper.

https://doi.org/10.4153/S000843952400095X Published online by Cambridge University Press

References

[1] B. Adamczewski, T. Dreyfus, and C. Hardouin, *Hypertranscendence and linear difference equations*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **34**(2021), 475–503.
[2] T. T. H. An and N. V. Phuong, *A note on Hayman's conjecture*. Internat. J. Math. **31**(2020), no. 06, 2050048.
[3] S. Bank and R. Kaufman, *An extension of Hölder's theorem concerning the Gamma function*. Funkcialaj Ekvacioj **19**(1976), 53–63.
[4] W. Bergweiler, *On the product of a meromorphic function and its derivative*. Bull. Hong Kong Math. Soc. **1**(1997), 97–101.
[5] W. Bergweiler and A. Eremenko, *On the singularities of the inverse of a meromorphic function of finite order*. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **11**(1995), 355–373.
[6] W. Bergweiler and X. Pang, *On the derivative of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **278**(2003), 285–292.
[7] H. Chen and M. Fang, *The value distribution of $f^n f'$*. Sci. China, Ser. A **38**(1995), 789–798.
[8] A. Eremenko and J. K. Langley, *Meromorphic functions of one complex variable. A survey*. Preprint, 2008. https://arxiv.org/abs/0801.0692.
[9] M. Fang, Z. He, and Y. Wang, On the results of Mues, Bergweiler and Eremenko. Comput. Methods Funct. Theory. **24**(2024), 661–674.
[10] M. Fang and Y. Wang, *A note on the conjectures of Hayman, Mues and Gol'dberg*. Comput. Methods Funct. Theory **13**(2013), 533–543.
[11] A. Gol'dberg and I. Ostrovskii, *Value distribution of meromorphic functions*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
[12] W. Hayman, *Picard values of meromorphic functions and their derivatives*. Ann. Math. **70**(1959), 9–42.
[13] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1964.
[14] W. K. Hayman and E. F. Lingham, *Research problems in function theory*, Problem Books Mathematics. Springer, Cham, fiftieth anniversary edition, 2019.
[15] J. Huang and T. W. Ng, *Hypertranscendency of perturbations of hypertranscendental functions*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **491**(2020). no. 2, 124390.
[16] I. Laine, *Nevanlinna theory and complex differential equations*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.
[17] L. W. Liao and Z. Ye, *On solutions to nonhomogeneous algebraic differential equations and their application*. J. Aust. Math. Soc. **97**(2014), 391–403.
[18] E. Mues, *Über ein problem von Hayman*. Math. Z. **164**(1979), 239–259.
[19] E. Mues, *Uber eine Defekt- und Verzweigungsrelation fur die Ableitung meromorphic Funktionen*. Manuscripta Math. **5**(1971), 275–297.
[20] X. Pang and L. Zalcman, *On theorems of Hayman and Clunie*. New Zealand J. Math **28**(1999), no.1, 71–75.
[21] K. Yamanoi, *Zeros of higher derivatives of meromorphic functions in the complex plane*. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **106**(2013), 703–780.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Shenzhen University, Guangdong, 518060, P. R. China

Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
e-mail: wangyf@math.ac.cn

https://doi.org/10.4153/S000843952400095X Published online by Cambridge University Press