Index

adoption process
  actors, 16
  compatibility and legitimacy, 27, 144
  continuation beyond initial enactment, 43
  effect on implementation, 16
  engagement of judiciary, 183, 189
  India, 53, 148
  India and Pakistan. Comparison, 42
  institutions, 15–16, 187
  Pakistan, 46
  Pakistan. Impact, 119
  pre-conditions of transfer, 16
Afghanistan, 61
  Afghanistan Competition Act 2011, 61
  competition enforcement strategy, 61, 209
  Constitution of Afghanistan 2004, 76
  draft Afghan Act, *See* Afghanistan Competition Act 2011
  India in adoption process, 76
  political turmoil, 76, 210
  US Department of Commerce, 207
  WTO, 76
anti-monopoly legislation
  constitutional scheme in Pakistan, 32
  India constitutional scheme, 31
  Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices (Control and Prevention) Ordinance 1970, *See* Pakistan Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices (Control and Prevention) Ordinance 1970
  Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Act 1969, *See* India, Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Act 1969
  scheme in the Pakistani Constitution, 33
  UK Monopolies and Restrictive Practices (Inquiry and Control) Act 1948, 32
Asian Development Bank (ADB), 74

Bangladesh, 59
  adoption strategy, 78
  anti-monopoly regime, 69
  Bangladesh Competition Act 2012, 59
  competition advocacy, 213
  competition authority, 60, 212
  competition enforcement, 199–200, 205–6
  competition enforcement strategy, 60
  DFID Report, 70–71
  e-commerce policy framework, 232
  equitable competition, 70–71
  India in adoption process, 70, 72, 79
  pre-conditions of transfer, 63, 69–78
  World Bank assistance, 71
  WTO, 68–69
Bhutan, 61
  commercial laws, 65
  competition enforcement, 200, 207
  Competition Policy 2015, 62
  Competition Policy 2020, 62
  Consumer Protection Act 2012, 62, 77
  e-commerce policy framework, 232
  Gross National Happiness (GNH), 75
  India relationship, 76–77
  India role in adoption, 77
  National Competition Council, 62
  Office of Consumer Protection, role of, 62
  pre-conditions of transfer, 76
bottom-up, participatory and inclusive institutions, 16

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 17 Nov 2026 at 03:22:50, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009247184.012

bottom-up, participatory, and inclusive institutions
  Bangladesh, India, Maldives, Sri Lanka, 191
  Bangladesh, India, Sri Lanka, 81
  Bangladesh, Maldives, Sri Lanka, 214
  compatibility and legitimacy, 26
  economic growth, 237
  legitimacy, 25
  role in India, 53, 112, 143, 185, 194
  role in Pakistan, 194, 214
  theory, 14, 81

CCI, *See* Competition Commission of India
CCI orders
  abuse of dominance, 100
  anti-competitive agreements, 100
  bid-rigging, 122–24
  challenged before general courts, 100, 106
  complaints v. *suo motu* notices, 102–3
  leniency, 131
  presumption of AAEC, 139
  relevant turnover, 146
  reliance on EU and US materials, 130
  reliance on materials, 99, 103, 137
  sanctions, 99, 104
  sectoral distribution, 100, 110
  source of initation, 99
  vertical agreements, 131–35
CCP. *See* Competition Commission of Pakistan
CCP orders
  abuse of dominance, 100
  anti-competitive agreements, 100
  bid-rigging, 122, 125, 129
  cartels, 156
  challenged before general courts, 100, 106, 156
  complaints v. *suo motu* notices, 102–3
  impact of challenges before courts, 179
  reliance on EU and US materials, 138–39
  reliance on materials, 99, 103, 137
  sanctions, 87, 99
  sectoral distribution, 100, 110
  source of initiation, 99
  vertical agreements, 135–37
coercion
  Bangladesh, 78
  Competition Ordinance 2007, 43
  contractualisation, 10
  definition, 9
  impact, 49, 51, 137, 140, 193–94, 215
  link with legitimacy, 214
  Maldives, 80
  Nepal, 78
  Pakistan, 46, 112, 144, 150, 189, 214, 219
  penal strategies, 147
  Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, Maldives, 207
comparative law, xxix
  compatibility, 21
  defining 'context', 3
  domestic and international legitimacy, 12–13
  legal transplants, 2, 7
  role of actors, 7
  significance of context, 4–5
compatibility
  adoption process, 17
  generating compatibility, 1–2, 27
  Law and Development movement, 1
  meaning, 21
compatibility and legitimacy, 240
  connections between, 26
  dynamic or static, 26
  impact on implementation, 27, 97, 166
  interaction between adopted and pre-existing legal systems, 183
  penal strategies, 165
  qualitative and relative concepts, 27
  South Asian Six, 80, 201–2, 207
  success in implementation, 194
  virtuous cycle, 189
competition advocacy, 240
  India, 147, 196–97
  Nepal, 213
  Pakistan, 50, 159, 197
  Raghavan Committee, 47
  role in implementation, 240
  Sri Lanka, 213
competition authority
  Afghanistan, 201
  Bangladesh, 199
  Bhutan, 200
  India, *See* Competition Commission of India
  Maldives, 209
  Nepal, 199
  Pakistan, *See* Competition Commission of Pakistan
  Sri Lanka, 57, 199, 202, 212
  structure, mandate and composition, 86
Competition Commission of India
  cartels, 120
  commencement of operations, 85, 187
  composition, 88
  decision making process, 90–91
  digital platforms, 234–35
  e-commerce study, 235
  established, 84
  interactions with general courts, 97, 178
  interpretive strategy, 110, 137–39
  mandate, 87

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 17 Nov 2026 at 03:22:50, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009247184.012

penal strategy, 145
penalties realised, 152–53, 166
performance, 86, 96, 98, 100
sanctioning strategy, 106, 110
structure, 86
structure, mandate, composition, 85–86
types of orders, 89
Competition Commission of Pakistan
cartels, 120, 125
composition, 88–89, 194
deceptive marketing practices, 87
decision making process, 90–91
digital platforms, 223–36
established and operationalised, 85
interactions with general courts, 96–97, 178
interpretive strategy, 110, 137–38, 140
mandate, 87
penal strategy, 145
penalties realised, 147, 152, 166
performance, 96, 98, 100, 109
sanctioning strategy, 106, 110
structure, 86
structure, mandate, composition, 85–86
types of orders, 89–90
competition enforcement
social objectives, 242
South Asia. Benefits, 225–26
South Asia. Inequality, 225
South Asia. Poverty, 241
South Asia. Scope, 240–42
South Asia. Social objectives, 225
South Asia. Strategies, 240
South Asian Six. Role of government, 197–208
Sri Lanka, 203
competition enforcement pathway
effect of Indian Tribunal, 189
India, 92
India and Pakistan, 170
Indian Competition Appellate Tribunal, 44
intervention in India, 111
intervention in Pakistan, 111
interventions in India and Pakistan, 94
Nepal, 59
Pakistan, 92
role of Pakistani Tribunal, 159
role of Tribunals. India and Pakistan, 148–51
Sri Lanka, 57–58
Competition Ordinance 2007, 149, 177, 183–84, 188, 192
constitutionality, 40, 186
enforcement scheme, 149
legislative procedure, 40
legitimacy, 53
Manes Report, 49–51
promulgation, 85, 112, 184
Sindh High Court Bar Association case, 45
Competition Ordinance 2009, 149, 193
Competition Ordinance 2010, 149, 193
Constitution of India 1950, 29
Article 226, 170
Constitution of Pakistan 1973
Article 199, 170
Constitutional petitions
grounds for filing. India and Pakistan, 170–84
grounds in first phase Pakistani petitions, 157
India, 94, 180
India adequate alternate remedy, 185
India and Pakistan, 97
Pakistan, 94, 176, 180
Pakistan cement cartel, 178
Pakistan first phase petitions, 176–77
Pakistan second phase petitions, 177
Pakistan. Principle of adequate alternate remedy, 186
Pakistani Tribunal. Impact, 158, 186
response of Indian courts, 107, 174, 180
response of Pakistani courts, 107, 157, 181

deliberation–enactment-implementation continuum
adapting, xxvii
compatibility and legitimacy, 20
deliberation phase, 19
enactment phase, 19
implementation stage, 20
modular and adaptable, 20
theoretical framework, 16, 18–19
Department for International Development (DFID), 70–71, 76, 80
Nepal, 72
developing countries, xxviii, 224
adopting western laws, xxviii
challenges for competition authorities, 233
lessons from the South Asian experience, xxix
privacy concerns, 233
Diffusion and transfer, 8
adapting mechanisms for law, 11
coercion, 9, 11
comparative law, 8–9
contractualisation, *See coercion*
convergence, 215
emulation, 11
legitimacy, 12
link with implementation, 219
motivation, 11
pattern finding v. process tracing, 8
pre-conditions of transfer, 21

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 17 Nov 2026 at 03:22:50, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009247184.012

Diffusion and transfer (cont.)
regulatory competition, 11
role of institutions, 17
similarities between, 7–8
socialisation, 11
typology of mechanisms, 12, 18

economic growth and development, 240
Bangladesh. Preamble Bangladesh Competition Act 2012, 223
Indian Competition Act 2002. Preamble, 223
South Asia. Preambles of legislation, 223
economic growth competition enforcement
South Asia, 242
emulation, 10, 41, 43, 46, 78
contrast with learning, mimicry, *socialisation*, copying, lesson-drawing, cost-saving and problem-solving, 10
India, 120
India and Pakistan, 52
international legitimacy, 52
Pakistan, 119

Government of Pakistan 1935, 31

hiatus stage, 196
Brahm Dutt case, *See* Brahm Dutt case
experience in India, 196–97
experience in Pakistan, 197
factors in utilisation, 211–12
links with success, 210–11
utilisation, 213

India
Brahm Dutt case, 47, 84, 148, 183
Competition Act 2002, *See Indian Competition Act 2002*
delay in court system, 181
draft Competition Bill 2020, 223–35
e-commerce policy framework, 228–29
e-commerce sector, 226
judicial institutions, 30
legislative institutions, 30
Raghavan Committee, 35, 38, 40, 47–48, 82, 112, 142, 144, 147, 193, 208
India and Pakistan
adoption process. Comparison, 192
compatibility and legitimacy, 52–53, 96
competition enforcement pathways, 92–93, 168–70
competition enforcement systems, 148, 165
competition legislations. Comparison, 47
competiton enforcement pathways. Comparison, 93
institutions, 185
interactions with general courts, 169–72, 178
interpretive strategies. Comparison, 140
penal strategies, 143
performance of competition authorities. Comparison, 98–99, 196
pre-conditions of transfer. Comparison, 192
Indian Competition Act 2002, 192
2007 amendment, 44, 48, 148, 183, 196
2017 amendment, 44, 46, 148
adoption process, 41
agreement, 121
appreciable adverse effect on competition (AAEC), 115, 117
Brahm Dutt case, 43–44
cartels, 115
comes into force, 84
Commission Fund, 86
content, 48–49
enactment, 39, 48
horizontal agreements, 117
Indianization, 112, 119–20, 140, 144
international legitimacy, 40, 53
motivations for adoption, 35–36
pre-conditions of transfer, 32
presumption of AAEC, 115, 117, 120–21, 123–25
role of government, 87
section 27, 145
section 3, 115–17, 119–20, 122
Selection Committee, 88
vertical agreements, 117
Indian Tribunal, 87, 97, 148, 158, 160, 185
2007 amendment, 44
appeals from CCI orders, 152
Brahm Dutt case, 148
established and operationalised, 94, 148
interactions with general courts, 168
replaced by NCLAT, 44, 148–49
role in competition enforcement, 154
International Finance Corporation (IFC), 71
International Monetary Fund (IMF), 71, 222
Bangladesh, 74
conditionalities, 223
South Asia. First and second generation reforms, 222

Jones Day, 119, 144, 188

Law and Development movement, 1, 17
legitimacy, 165
adoption process, 17
attributes, 22
consent, 25, 27
dimensions of, 23
domestic legitimacy, 12, 140, 147, 183, 186, 215
enforcement, 24
formal and functional legitimacy, 23

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 17 Nov 2026 at 03:22:50, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009247184.012

international legitimacy, 23, 141, 147
justice, 23–24
leveraging, 24
relationship with democracy, 23
reputational effect, 24
significance, 24
sources, 22, 25
South Asian Six, 208
substantive legitimacy, 23
life cycle of a statute, 15, 17
Locke, John, 25

machinery of justice, 6, 21
Maldives, 60
adoption process, 80
Competition Act 2020, 60
competition enforcement, 206–17
Constitution of Maldives 2008, 74
e-commerce policy framework, 232–33
enforcement authorities, 60–61
mandate of the Act, 61
pre-conditions of transfer, 72
Strategic Action Plan 2019-2023, 74
World Bank projects, 74
WTO, 72, 74
multilateral agencies, xxix, 80–82, 119, 191–92, 199, 204, 210, 222
impact in Nepal, 78
role in law reform in South Asia, xxix–xxx

Nepal, 58
adoption process, 73, 78
competition advocacy, 213
competition enforcement, 59, 199, 205, 212
Competition Promotion and Market Protection Act 2063, 58
e-commerce policy framework, 231–32
India in adoption process, 72
national productivity, 224
Nepalese Act, *See* Competition Promotion and Market Protection Act 2063
Nepalese Act. Mandate and powers, 76
pre-conditions of transfer, 72–73
SAWTEE report, 204
WTO, 72–73
New Institutional Economics, 13
adapting institutional blueprints, 14
compatibility, 21
compatible institutions, 13
defining institutions, 13
extractive institutions, 14
inclusive institutions, 14
institutions as technology, 14–15
institutions include legal institutions, 15
North, Douglas C, 13

OECD, 76

Pakistan
Competition Act 2010, *See* Pakistani Competition Act 2010
Competition Appellate Tribunal, *See* Pakistani Tribunal
Competition Ordinance 2009, 45
Competition Ordinance 2010, 45
Constitution of Pakistan 1956, 31
Constitution of Pakistan 1962, 31
Constitution of Pakistan 1973, 33
delay in court system, 181
e-commerce policy framework, 230–31
judicial institutions, 34
legislative institutions, 33
Manes Report, 49, 142
penalties realised, 159
pre-conditions of transfer, 34–36
Sindh High Court Bar Association case, 45, 177
World Bank team, 38–39, 82
WTO, 119, 144
Pakistani Competition Act 2010, 150
agreements. Definition, 117
anti-competitive agreements, 117–18
Commission Fund, 86
economic efficiency, 224
object and effect, 126–28
role of government, 87–88
Pakistani Tribunal, 97, 162, 166
contrast with Appellate Bench, 52, 87
established and operationalised, 94, 150, 186
history, 150
interactions with general courts, 168
performance, 158, 162–63

regulatory competition, 41, 43
impact in Pakistan, 46
in South Asia, 237–40
India and Pakistan, 52
international legitimacy, 41
Rodrik, Dani, 13, 21

Sacco, Rodolfo, xxv
socialisation, 218
compatibility and legitimacy, 111
distinct from *emulation*, 10
India, 45, 119, 147, 189
India. Impact, 47, 143, 193–94
learning as, 10
Maldives, 80
Nepal. Impact, 78
Pakistan, 112
Pakistan. Impact, 51

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 17 Nov 2026 at 03:22:50, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009247184.012

socialisation (cont.)
Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, Maldives, 208
Sri Lanka. Impact, 78
South Asia
adoption processes. Comparison, 79
B2B e-commerce, 228
B2C e-commerce, 228
comparing competition legislation across region, 64
competition as consumer protection, 224
competition experience, 218
convergence of competition laws, 215
e-commerce potential, 226
economic growth and development, 220, 240
Gross Domestic Product (GDP), 220
historic relationship amongst countries, xxvi
IMF and competition reform, 222–23
impact of regional developments, 237–40
income inequality, 221
pattern of diffusion and transfer, 214
political and economic power, 224
privatisation, 221–22
South Asian Six, 56
state control and central planning, 221
status of competition laws, 63
sustainable and inclusive growth, 240
WTO, 80
South Asian Six, 61
adoption processes, 78
compatibility and legitimacy, 80, 82
competition laws. Success, 81, 83, 209–10
diffusion and transfer mechanisms, 201
e-commerce and privacy, 236
hiatus stage, 211
pre-conditions of transfer, 63
role of India, 215
role of institutions, 207
WTO, 81
Sri Lanka, 57
adoption process, 68–69, 198
competition advocacy, 213
competition legislation, 57
Consumer Affairs Authority Act 2003, *See* Sri Lanka competition legislation
e-commerce policy framework, 230
Fair Trading Commission Act 1987, 68
focus on consumer welfare, 202
pre-conditions of transfer, 63
socialisation, 78
The World Bank. Technical assistance, 68
United States in the adoption process, 68
WTO, 68
success of competition laws
benchmarks, 17, 96
India and Pakistan, 166
India. Evaluation of benchmarks, 111
role of governments, 237
role of interaction with genereal courts, 188
Supreme Court of India, 166–67, 181, 189, 193
appeals from Indian Tribunal, 160
competition decisions, 160
role in competition enforcement, 154, 190
Supreme Court of Pakistan, 162, 166, 178–79, 181, 184, 186, 190
appeal in *1-Link* case, 163
appeals from orders of Pakistani Tribunal, 163
competition appeals, 158

The World Bank, 37
Bangladesh, 71
Maldives, 73
Pakistan. Adoption process, 144
Pakistan. Manes Report, 49–51
Pakistan. Technical assistance, 38–39, 43, 119, 147, 188, 193
Sri Lanka report, 203
Sri Lanka. Technical assistance, 68
top-down and exclusive institutions, 16
coercion, 49, 214
compatibility and legitimacy, 112, 147, 214
competition enforcement, 219
Nepal, 78
Pakistan, 53, 186, 193–94
Treaty of Functioning of Europe (TFEU), 116
Article 101, 118
Article 101(1), 116
de minimus rule, 116, 126, 138–39

UNCTAD, 37, 76–78
engagement with Bangladesh, 70
Nepal, 72
Sri Lanka review, 203
UNDP, 74
United Nations, 220
US antitrust jurisprudence, 121
per se rule, 126–27, 139
Sherman Act 1890, 115–16

Weber, Max, 24
World Trade Organisation, 191
Afghanistan, 76
Bangladesh, 68–69
India, 36, 40
Maldives, 72, 74
Nepal, 72
Pakistan, 37, 41, 119
role in diffusion of competition laws, 201
South Asia generally, 80
South Asian Six, 198
Sri Lanka, 68

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 17 Nov 2026 at 03:22:50, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009247184.012