Index

access to effective reparation mechanism, right of, 71–5, 169
Aceh. *See* Indonesia
ACHR. *See* American Convention on Human Rights
ad hoc arbitration
  benefits of effective, 178
  common principles of mechanisms, 77–89
  establishment of mechanisms, 17, 18, 39–46
  financial basis of mechanism, 86–9
  massive violations of human rights, 176
  operation of mechanisms, 116–26
  prioritising of claims, 79
  processing of claims, 78–81
  submission of claims, 78
  verification of claims, 81–4
  victim's choice of mechanism, 84–6
Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Conventions 1949 (1977), 52, 55, 103, 104, 105, 280
Additional Protocol II to the Geneva Conventions 1949 (1977), 62, 106, 110
Afghanistan, 63, 276, 280
African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, 97, 275
African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, 99
  obligation to make reparations, 99
  'transformative' reparation, 279
African Court of Human and Peoples' Rights, 175
aggression, crime of, 55
American Convention on Human Rights, 97, 274
applicable law as to armed conflict, 53–5
Argentina, 28, 111, 144, 151, 158, 220
armed conflict
  applicable law, 53–5
  designing of reparation policies, 163–74
  harms caused by, 55–9
  human rights and, 110–14
  international conflicts, national implementation of reparations, 276
  nature of, 52–3
  non-international conflicts, international involvement in post-conflict settlement, 274
  social causes of, 283
  State obligation to respect and ensure, 180
armed groups
  legal responsibility of, 280
  obligation to make reparations, 62–4
assistance programmes, 173
Austria, 101

Baluarte, David C., 219
'Basic Principles' for reparations (UNCHR) (2005), 30, 58, 59, 60, 63, 71, 74, 76, 103, 147, 149, 266, 271, 272, 281
Bassiouni, M. Cherif, 30
bilateral reparations agreements, 25
Borchard, Edwin M., 21
Bosnia and Herzegovina, 81, *See also* Commission for Real Property Claims of Displaced Persons and Refugees (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
Brazil, 111, 149, 158, 217

Çalı, Başak, 244
Cambodia. *See* Extraordinary Chamber in the Courts of Cambodia
Carozza, Paolo, 214

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 18:01:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108628877.006

causation between harm and violation of international law, 58–9
CCDS. *See* Compensation Commission for international crimes perpetrated in Darfur, Sudan
Chile, 101, 139–41, 144, 147, 156, 157, 158, 167, 168, 220
China (People's Republic), reparations agreement with Japan, 25, 68
China (Republic). *See* Taiwan
claims. *See ad hoc* arbitration
Claims Resolution Tribunal for Dormant Accounts in Switzerland (CRT-I), 40, 65, 75
Claims Resolution Tribunal for Dormant Accounts in Switzerland (CRT-II), 41, 65, 75, 82, 83, 84, 85
Cold War, end of, 28
Colombia, 98, 101, 137–9, 142, 144, 146, 147, 156, 157, 158, 160, 200–6, 209–14, 215, 254, 258
Commission for Real Property Claims of Displaced Persons and Refugees (Bosnia and Herzegovina), 40, 53, 60, 65, 74, 76, 78, 83, 85, 87, 116, 146
Commission for the Resolution of Real Property Disputes in Iraq, 42, 146
compensation, 2, 65
Compensation Commission for international crimes perpetrated in Darfur, Sudan, 42, 53, 56, 72, 87
Congo (Democratic Republic), 45, 53, 61, 64, 112, 267, 271
Correa, Cristián, 13, 259, 265, 268, 269, 270, 272, 273, 276, 277, 278
Costa Rica, 218
Côte d'Ivoire, 153
Council of Europe, membership, 246, 247
CPB. *See* Cyprus Property Board
crime of aggression, 55
CRPC. *See* Commission for Real Property Claims of Displaced Persons and Refugees
CRRPD. *See* Commission for the Resolution of Real Property Disputes in Iraq
CRT-I. *See* Claims Resolution Tribunal for Dormant Accounts in Switzerland (CRT-I)
CRT-II. *See* Claims Resolution Tribunal for Dormant Accounts in Switzerland (CRT-II)
Customary International Humanitarian Law project, 52
Cyprus, 237, 259
Cyprus Property Board, 41, 53, 72

Darfur. *See* Sudan
de Greiff, Pablo, 256
de Vos, Christian, 219
Declaration of International Law Principles on Reparation for Victims of Armed Conflict (ILA) (2010), 56, 58, 65, 280
Declaration of the Basic Principles of Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power (1985) (Victims Declaration), 29
democracy and violations of human rights, 218–19
discrimination, right to equal treatment without, 76–7, 170
displaced persons, assistance programmes, 173
domestic laws. *See* national laws
Donald, Alice, 245
Duffy, Helen, 110, 112

ECCC. *See* Extraordinary Chamber in the Courts of Cambodia
ECHR. *See* European Convention on Human Rights
ECtHR. *See* European Court of Human Rights
EECC. *See* Eritrea–Ethiopia Claims Commission
'effective control' concept, 111
El Salvador, 28, 254
enforced disappearances, 95
Engstrom, Par, 219
equal treatment, non-discrimination and, 76–7
Eritrea–Ethiopia Claims Commission
  assessment of implementation mechanism, 169, 276
  causation standard, 58
  choice of arbitration mechanism, 85
  claims by juridical persons, 60
  design of reparation policies, 158
  establishment of, 17, 41, 116
  monetary compensation, 58
  operation of reparation mechanism, 121–6
  processing of claims, 78
  registration of victims, 170
  restitution, 58
  right to be heard, 76

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 18:01:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108628877.006

seriousness level of human rights violations, 57
European Commission on Human Rights, 246
European Convention on Human Rights, 97, 248, 274
European Court of Human Rights
case load, 246–7, 249
changes in approach to subsidiarity, 226–36
co-applicability of human rights and international humanitarian law, 112
examination of large-scale rights violations, 158, 159
'international law test' of national reparation mechanisms, 253–7
inter-state complaints concerning large-scale violations during armed conflict, 233–6
jurisprudence on national reparation programmes, 236–44
national implementation of right to reparation, 14, 274, 276
and new Council of Europe Member States, 247–8
obligation to make reparations, 97
pilot judgment procedure, 227–31
'public policy' test of national reparation mechanisms, 257–9
'qualified deference' to national laws, 14, 159
quasi-pilot judgments, 231–3
rationale for approach to subsidiarity, 244–9
registration of victims, 170
remedial measures, 250–2
right to reparation, 174
standard approach to subsidiarity, 222–6
State responsibility, 111
strengthening of implementation of judgments, 248–9
subsidiarity, 14
testing of national reparation programmes, 252
Evans, Christine, 117
evidence, flexible standards of, 170–2
Extraordinary Chamber in the Courts of Cambodia, 59

Falkland Islands War, 28
'football war' (El Salvador v. Honduras) (1980), 28
forced labour. *See* German Forced Labour Compensation Programme
France
and ICC Statute, 38
right to reparation, 174
Suez Crisis (1956), 27
Furuya, Shuichi, 12, 265, 268, 269, 272, 274, 277, 280

Geneva Conventions 1949, 62, 69, 103, 106, 110, *See also* Additional Protocol
German Forced Labour Compensation Programme, 41, 65, 78, 83, 85, 145, 152, 168, 250
Germany
compensation mechanisms, 101
domestic reparation cases, 46, 49, 50
incorporation of international law rules, 10
international rehabilitation after World War II, 116
Polish reparation claims, 23, 149
post-World War I reparations, 20
post-World War II reparations, 23
recognition of right to reparation, 107
review of reparations procedures, 276
right to reparation, 10, 174
GFLCP. *See* German Forced Labour Compensation Programme
Greece, 46
Greenwood, Christopher, 112
guarantees of non-repetition, 2, 65
Guatemala, 53, 129–31, 144, 150, 157, 158, 160, 190–3, 197–200, 204, 206–8, 258, 259, 261, 278
Guillerot, Julie, 221

Hague Convention 1907, 21, 48, 52, 62, 104, 110
harm. *See* victims
Holocaust Victim Assets Programme, 41, 65, 85
Honduras, 28
Housing and Property Claims Commission (Kosovo), 41, 53, 60, 64, 65, 76, 78, 81, 84, 88, 146, 168
HPCC. *See* Housing and Property Claims Commission
human rights
application to armed conflict, 110–14
armed groups, legal responsibility of, 280, 281
backlash against, 282
definition of most serious violations, 165
democracies and violations of, 218–19
focus on individual victim, 13
gross violations and serious violations, 56

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 18:01:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108628877.006

human rights (cont.)
impact on right to reparation, 270–3
and international criminal law, 269
and international humanitarian law, 110, 268–70
interplay of national administrative reparation and regional human rights courts, 158–60, 181
massive violations, 176
neo-Marxist perspective on, 282
obligation to make reparations, 94
post-colonialist perspective on, 282
recognition of individual right to reparation, 92, 179, 268, 271
regional human rights courts. *See* European Court of Human Rights; Inter-American Court of Human Rights
regional instruments, 97
remedies for violations of, 31
right to reparation, 95–102, 110–14, 174
State obligation to respect and ensure, 180
subsidiarity and, 182–7
HVAP. *See* Holocaust Victim Assets Programme

IACtHR. *See* Inter-American Court of Human Rights
ICC. *See* International Criminal Court
ICCPR. *See* International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights
ICHEIC. *See* International Commission on Holocaust Era Insurance Claims
ICJ. *See* International Court of Justice
ICRC. *See* International Committee of the Red Cross
ICTR. *See* International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
ICTY. *See* International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia
IHL. *See* international humanitarian law
IHRL. *See* human rights
ILA. *See* International Law Association
ILC. *See* International Law Commission
IMT. *See* International Military Tribunal (Nuremberg)
IMTFE. *See* International Military Tribunal for the Far East (Tokyo)
individuals
'humanisation' of international law, 281
'individualisation' of war, 280
obligation to make reparations, 64–5
recognition under human rights law and international law, 92
right to reparation. *See* right to reparation
victims. *See* victims
Indonesia, 160
Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, 159, 205, 207, 208, 217
Inter-American Court of Human Rights
awards, 168
and change in nature of litigation, 220–2
and change to democracy, 218–19
and changes in types of human rights violations perpetrated, 217–18
compensation measures, 204–9
definition of reparation measures, 147
design of reparation policies, 158
examination of large-scale rights violations, 158
identification of recipients of reparation, 168
implementation of right to reparation, 14, 187–90
'international law test' of national reparation mechanisms, 253–7
and national reparation programmes, 197–209
obligation to make reparations, 97
'public policy' test of national reparation mechanisms, 257–9
'qualified deference' to national laws, 14, 206, 214–16
and regional political context, 217–18
rehabilitation measures, 201–4
restitution and development measures, 204
right to reparation, 175, 266
subsidiarity, 14, 190, 209–14, 216–22
testing of national reparation programmes, 252
'transformative' reparation, 278
International Commission on Holocaust Era Insurance Claims, 40, 65, 75, 84, 85
International Committee of the Red Cross
commentary to Geneva Conventions 1949 (1952), 70, 103
Customary International Humanitarian Law project, 52, 106
International Court of Justice
applicable law, 53
co-applicability of human rights and international humanitarian law, 112
lump sum settlements, 70
recognition of right to reparation, 271

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 18:01:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108628877.006

right to reparation, 174
State responsibility, 112
victim-oriented focus, 44
international courts
establishment of, 32
'qualified deference' to national laws, 14
recognition of right to reparation, 270
victim-oriented focus, 29–33
International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, 53, 95, 113, 274
International Criminal Court
collective reparations awards, 60
crime of aggression, 55
defendants, 269
establishment of, 32
individual liability, 64
liability for reparations, 280
processing of claims, 80
recognition of right to reparation, 108
referrals to, 117
right of access, 74, 76
right to equal treatment without discrimination, 76
right to reparation, 266
seriousness level of human rights violations, 57
Trust Fund for Victims, 7, 60, 61, 88, 270
victim-oriented focus, 22, 37–9
international criminal law
and human rights, 269
interaction with other subfields of international law, 269
International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
establishment of, 32
victim-oriented focus, 34–7
International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia
establishment of, 32
victim-oriented focus, 34–7
international human rights law. *See* human rights
international humanitarian law
applicable law as to violations of, 54
armed groups, legal responsibility of, 280
human rights and, 110, 268–70
ICRC Customary International Humanitarian Law project, 52, 106
ius ad bellum, 55
recognition of individual claimants, 268
recognition of individual right to reparation, 94, 268, 271
remedies for violations of, 31
right to reparation, 103–10
international law
harms caused by violations of, 55–9
'humanisation' of, 281
incorporation in national laws, 10
interaction between subfields of, 269
'international law test' of national reparation mechanisms, 14, 253–7
interplay with national laws, 265, 273–7
limitations of, 283
pluralist discourse on, 265
'proceduralisation' and, 277
recognition of individual claimants, 92, 268, 281
State-centred perspective, 21, 22
violation of, 55–7
International Law Association
Declaration of International Law Principles on Reparation for Victims of Armed Conflict (2010), 56, 58, 65, 280
obligation to make reparations, 105, 107
Procedural Principles for Reparation Mechanisms (2014), 72, 158, 274
registration of victims, 172
right to access effective reparation mechanism, 169
seriousness level of human rights violations, 56
types of harm, 58
International Law Commission
and ICC Statute, 36
obligation to make reparations, 99
International Military Tribunal (Nuremberg), 22
International Military Tribunal for the Far East (Tokyo), 22
international organisations. *See also* international courts
establishment of *ad hoc* reparation mechanisms, 17, 18, 39–46
testing of national implementation of right to reparation, 14
IPCC. *See* Iraq Property Claims Commission
Iraq, 7, 54, 58, 79, 87, 116, *See also* Commission for the Resolution of Real Property Disputes in Iraq
Iraq Property Claims Commission, 42, 78
Israel, 44, 53, 112

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 18:01:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108628877.006

ius ad bellum. *See* international humanitarian law
Japan
  bilateral reparations agreements, 25
  domestic reparation cases, 48
  post-World War II reparations, 23, 24
  reparations agreement with China, 25, 68
  reparations agreement with Korea, 26, 68
  right to reparation, 174
  and San Francisco, Treaty of (1951), 25
  waiver of reparation claims, 25, 68

juridical persons as victims, 60
jus ad bellum. *See* international humanitarian law
just war. *See* international humanitarian law

Kenya, 160
Korea, reparations agreement with Japan, 26, 68
Kosovo, 143
Kosovo Property Claims Commission, 42, 53, *See also* Housing and Property Claims Commission (Kosovo)
KPCC. *See* Kosovo Property Claims Commission
Kuwait, 7, 54, 58, 79, 87, 116

Lauterpacht, Hersch, 283
laws of war. *See* international humanitarian law
limitation of reparations claims, 67
litigation, change in nature of, 220–2
Lubanga, Thomas, 45, 61, 64, 267, 270

Madsen, Mikael Rask, 246
Magarrell, Lisa, 221
marginalised communities, 160–3, 172–4
Marxist perspective on human rights, 282
Mexico, 279
Morocco, 149, 153, 157, 160, 161

Nairobi Declaration on Women's and Girls' Right to a Remedy and Reparation (2007), 45, 72
national courts
  reparation mechanisms, 175
  review of reparations decisions, 46–51
national laws
  balance between legal mechanisms and political mechanisms, 277–9
  examples of reparation programmes, 129–41
  features of reparation programmes, 141–63
  focus on groups of victims, 13
  implementation of right to reparation, 13, 14
  international law rules as part of, 10
  'international law test' of, 14
  interplay with international law, 265, 273–7
  lump sum reparations settlements before 1990s, 27–8
  obstacles to reparation claims, 17
  'proceduralisation' and, 277
  'public policy' test of national reparation implementation, 14
  'public policy' test of national reparation mechanisms, 257–9
  'qualified deference' to, 14, 159, 206, 214–16
  and regional human right courts, 158–60, 181
  reparation mechanisms, 126–63, 175, 278
  reparations law before before World War II, 20–2
  settlement of World War II reparations claims, 22–7
neo-Marxist perspective on human rights, 282
Nepal, 143
Netherlands, 101
non-repetition. *See* guarantees of non-repetition
Nuremberg war crimes trials, 22

Palestine, 44, 53, 112
Permanent Court of Arbitration, 116
Permanent Court of International Justice, 67
Peru, 100, 131–5, 142, 144, 147, 151, 154, 156, 157, 158, 160, 162, 168, 171, 173, 193–4, 195–7, 209, 221
Philippines, 53, 63, 280
pilot judgments. *See* European Court of Human Rights
Poland, 149, 237–9, 250, 252, 255, 258
political mechanisms for reparation, 277–9
post-colonialist perspective on human rights, 282
Procedural Principles for Reparation Mechanisms (ILA) (2014), 72, 274
procedure
  adequacy of, 12
  advantages of comprehensive and large-scale reparation processes, 164–5
  definition of procedural mechanisms, 156–8
  enhancement of implementation by subsidiarity, 219–20

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 18:01:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108628877.006

estimation of funding requirements, 166–7
procedural right to reparation, 71–7
'proceduralisation' at international-national law interface, 277
refinement of procedural obligations, 276
registration of victims, 170–2
rules for implementation, 169–70
standardised measures, 167–9
and substantive right to reparation, 18, 19, 90
victim-oriented, 16
'public policy' test of national laws, 14, 257–9

'qualified deference' to national laws, 14, 206, 214–16

racial discrimination, 95
reconstruction policies, 173
refugees, assistance programmes, 173
regional human rights courts. *See* European Court of Human Rights; Inter-American Court of Human Rights
rehabilitation, 2, 65
reparations
bilateral agreements, 25
collective, 60–2, 172–4
definition of, 177
definition of reparation measures, 147–54
denial of, 66
designing of reparation policies, 163–74
establishment of *ad hoc* reparation mechanisms, 17, 18, 39–46
forms of, 2, 65–7
individual right to. *See* right to reparation
in international law, 1–5
limitation of claims, 67
lump sum settlements before 1990s, 27–8
national mechanisms. *See* national laws
obligation to make, 62–71, 92
settlement of World War II claims, 22–7
State-centred perspective, 21, 27
'transformative' reparation, 278
waiver of claims, 24, 69
Resolution 1988/11, 30
restitution, 2, 65
right to be heard, 75–6
right to reparation
academic arguments about, 89
balance between legal mechanisms and political mechanisms, 277–9
collective right, 60–2
content and elements of, 11, 12, 13, 51
developments towards, 5–10, 266
existence of, 10, 12, 13, 174
future prospects for, 280–4
and 'hard law'/'soft law' (*lex feranda/lex lata*) distinction, 19, 269
history of, 20–51
human rights impact on, 270–3
human rights law and, 95–102, 110–14, 174
implementation as problem issue, 283
implementation mechanisms, 114
implementation of, 11, 12, 13, 14, 179, *See also* procedure
as individual right, 16, 19, 89, 179, 268, 270, 276, 281
international humanitarian law, 103–10
legal foundations for individual right, 94–114
level of entitlement, 272
limitations of law as to, 283
obstacles to, 17
practical realisation of, 114–74
procedural right, 71–7, *See also* procedure
realisability of individual right, 90
right to access effective reparation mechanism, 71–5, 169
and right to be heard, 75–6
and right to equal treatment without discrimination, 76–7
as social process, 16
substantive right, 12, 16, 18, 19, 90, 180
and transitional justice, 90
victim-oriented focus of, 16, 17, 18, 28–51
Romania, 239–41, 251
rule of law, UN Secretary-General's report (2004), 30, 73, 76
Russia, 249, 261

San Francisco, Treaty of (1951), 25, 68
Sandoval, Clara, 14, 265, 268, 270, 273, 275, 282
satisfaction, 2, 65
SCSL. *See* Special Court for Sierra Leone
Sierra Leone, 136–7, 143, 152, 155, 156, 157
Slovenia, 241, 252, 275
South Africa, 151, 284
Special Court for Sierra Leone, 62
Speck, Anne Katrin, 245
State laws. *See* national laws
State responsibility
'effective control' concept, 111
elements of basis of, 111
interaction with other subfields of international law, 269

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 18:01:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108628877.006

States
abuse of subsidiarity, 190
bilateral reparations agreements, 25
obligation to make reparations, 62, 92
obligation to respect and ensure human rights, 180
State-centred perspective of international law, 21, 22
State-centred perspective on reparations, 20, 21, 22, 27
subsidiarity. *See also* European Court of Human Rights; Inter-American Court of Human Rights
abuse by States, 190
enhancement of implementation of reparation right, 219–20
and human rights, 182–7
importance of, 260–4
and interplay of international and national laws, 14, 181
Sudan, 42, 63
Suez Crisis (1956), 27
Switzerland
Claims Resolution Tribunal for Dormant Accounts (CRT-I), 40, 65, 75, 82, 83, 85
Claims Resolution Tribunal for Dormant Accounts (CRT-II), 41, 65, 75, 83, 84, 85

Taiwan, reparations agreement with Japan, 25
Tokyo war crimes trials, 22
torture, 95
'transformative' reparation, 278
transitional justice
process of, 278
and right to reparation, 90
UN Secretary-General's report (2004), 30, 73
Trindade, Antônio Cançado, 281
Trust Fund for Victims. *See* International Criminal Court
Tunisia, 160, 161
Turkey, 158, 237, 241–4, 247, 249, 250, 251, 259, 261
Tuyuc, Rosalina, 150

Uganda, 112, 271
Ukraine, 249
UN. *See* United Nations
UNCC. *See* United Nations Compensation Commission
UNCHR. *See* United Nations Commission on Human Rights
United Kingdom, 28
Falkland Islands War, 28
and ICC Statute, 39
United Nations
ad hoc reparation mechanisms, 39–46
victim-oriented focus, 29–33
United Nations Charter, 94
United Nations Commission on Human Rights. *See also* 'Basic Principles' for reparations (2005)
United Nations Compensation Commission
choice of arbitration mechanism, 85
design of reparation policies, 158
establishment of, 17, 40, 87, 116
identification of recipients of reparation, 168
and *ius ad bellum*, 54
monetary compensation, 65
operation of reparation mechanism, 118–21
processing of claims, 78, 79
property claims, inclusion of, 146
right to be heard, 76
verification of claims, 81, 83
United Nations Congresses on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders, 29
United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme, 29
United Nations General Assembly. *See also* 'Basic Principles' for reparations (UNCHR) (2005)
Resolution 1988/11, 30
Sub-Commission on the Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities, 30
Victims Declaration (1985), 29, 58
United Nations Human Rights Committee
'effective control' concept, 111
recognition of right to reparation, 96
United Nations Secretary-General, report on rule of law and transitional justice in conflict and post-conflict societies (2004), 30, 73, 76
United Nations Security Council
referrals to, 116
resolutions, 86, 87, 116
United Nations Working Group on Enforced Disappearances, 167
United States, right to reparation, 174

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 18:01:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108628877.006

Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 94, 95
Updated Set of Principles for the Protection and Promotion of Human Rights through Action to Combat Impunity (UNCHR) (2005), 33, 65, 72, 74, 75
  claims by juridical persons, 60
  competence, 58
  and organised armed groups, 63
Uruguay, 111

van Boven, Theo, 30, 104
Venezuela, 218
Versailles, Treaty of (1919), 20
victims
  causation between harm and violation of international law, 58–9
  choice of arbitration mechanism, 84–6
  collectivity of, 60–2
  definition of, 31, 59
  elements of definition of, 51–62
  harms caused by violations of international law, 55–9
  inclusion in reparation programmes, 142–7
  juridical persons, 60
  marginalised communities, 160–3
  occurrence of harm to, 58
  persons to be redressed, 60–2
  recognition of right to reparation, 270
  registration of, 154–6, 170–2
  UN focus on, 29–33
  victim-oriented focus of reparation programmes, 16, 17, 18, 28–51
Victims Declaration (1985), 29, 58, 72, 74, 76
Vietnam War, 27

waiver of reparations claims, 24, 69
war. *See also* armed conflict
  'individualisation' of, 280
  laws of. *See* international humanitarian law
World War I, 20
World War II
  reparation cases in Germany and Japan, 46–51
  settlement of reparations claims, 22–7

Zegveld, Liesbeth, 106

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 18:01:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108628877.006