Commentary
DLB and PDD: the same or different? Is there a debate?

Debating is a formal method of interactive and position-representational argument. It is a broader form of argument than logical argument, since it can include elements of persuasion in order to appeal to the emotional responses of an audience. For experienced debaters, any proposition can be defended or destroyed and the outcome depends at least as much on his or her persuasive powers as it does on the facts of the matter.

The concept of DLB as a clinico-pathological syndrome "separate" from AD emerged from a series of debates held in Newcastle upon Tyne in 1995 during the first DLB Consortium meeting (McKeith *et al.*, 1996). The point at issue was whether a subset of dementia patients had a "variant" of AD or a different condition. Evidence and persuasion were combined to achieve consensus that many of these patients were sufficiently different from AD clinically and pathologically to warrant them being placed in a separate category for which the term DLB was coined. In doing so the Consortium satisfied the original meaning of the Latin word "debatum," which means to reach an agreement.

The debate in which we are invited to engage by Drs Revuelta, Aarsland and colleagues – namely, the sameness or differentness of DLB and PDD – arose as an unforeseen secondary consequence of these events. By acknowledging a diagnostic category of DLB, the Consortium members generated *en passant* the need for a definition of PDD, since it appeared to them that DLB and PDD could not be said to be the same, a conclusion that was based upon one observation and one opinion. The observation was that up to 25% of autopsy-confirmed dementia cases with LB pathology were not significantly parkinsonian during life, suggesting that they could not realistically be said to have PDD. And the opinion was that DLB and PDD seemed to be quite different clinical syndromes, at least in the early stages, with the burden of impairments falling on different parts of the nervous system in a different temporal sequence. The need for this DLB/PDD divide was stated in the form of the infamous one-year rule which stated that if extrapyramidal motor features had been present for 12 months or more before the onset of dementia, the diagnosis should be PDD but if dementia occurred within 12 months of the motor features, or indeed preceded the motor features, the diagnosis should be DLB. It was acknowledged at the time that this boundary in time was entirely arbitrary and existed solely to draw a line between two overlapping clinical syndromes. A return to this boundary issue at the Third Meeting of the International Dementia with Lewy Bodies Consortium resulted in the following restatement of this basic position:

> The distinction between dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson's disease dementia as two distinct clinical phenotypes, based solely on the temporal sequence of appearance of symptoms has been criticized by those who regard the different clinical presentations as simply representing different points on a common spectrum of Lewy body disease, itself underpinned by abnormalities in alpha-synuclein metabolism. This unitary approach to classification may be preferable for molecular and genetic studies and for developing therapeutics. Descriptive labels that include consideration of the temporal course are preferred for clinical, operational definitions. Dementia with Lewy bodies should be diagnosed when dementia occurs before or concurrently with parkinsonism, while Parkinson's disease with dementia should be used to describe dementia that occurs in the context of well-established Parkinson's disease. The appropriate term will depend on the clinical situation and generic terms such as Lewy body disease are often helpful. In research studies in which distinction is made between dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson's disease with dementia, the one-year rule between the onset of dementia and Parkinsonism should be used. Adoption of other time periods will simply confound data pooling or comparison between studies. In other research settings including pathologic studies and clinical trials, both clinical phenotypes may be considered collectively under categories such as Lewy body disease or alpha–synucleinopathy. (McKeith *et al.*, 2005)

Is it possible to resolve the DLB/PDD conundrum by further debate? Not even the most articulate, persuasive and well-informed protagonist could persuade me that the clinical presentation of a patient with a ten-year history of PD proceeding to dementia is the same as that of the patient with probable DLB who presents with fluctuating confusion and hallucinations. But these probably represent the extremes of the spectrum and the debate should be focused on how one might classify the patient who presents with (say) the insidious onset of mild Parkinsonism and forgetfulness, or with visual hallucinations early

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610208008624 Published online by Cambridge University Press

in the course of PD. Neither PDD nor DLB seems particularly appropriate for either of these rather different clinical situations, although both patients are probably destined to reach a similar end stage of dementia and Parkinsonism if they live long enough. Which suggests (although does not prove) that both patients have the same "thing" wrong with them, i.e. disease state, and are simply manifesting it differently in the early stages. So what should we call this disease state? It would be unhelpful to generate more clinical diagnostic labels to cover all the possible combinations and variations in presentation that can and do occur associated with Lewy body disease, especially if we include dysautonomia and sleep disorders which dominate the picture in some patients. If we did so, we would end up with a dozen or more different clinical epithets.

Which is why the Third DLB statement is worded as it is. DLB and PDD describe two clinical presentations that are sufficiently different clinically to warrant different labels and which, as Revuelta and Lippa suggest, are probably better dealt with by a cognitive disorder or movement disorder specialist respectively. For patients who don't fall into one of these categories, use of the term Lewy body disease, accompanied by a description of the presenting symptoms, may be much more appropriate. e.g. "Mrs. H has a four-year history of Lewy body disease, presenting first with postural-instability, gait-difficulty Parkinsonism and with the later development of orthostatic hypotension, visual hallucinations and moderate dementia."

The more subtle point, to which Aarsland *et al.* apply most of their persuasive energy, is the extent to which we can be sure that these different clinical syndromes are truly representations of the same underlying disease. Revuelta and Lippa cannot really produce any convincing evidence that there are any major pathological, neurochemical or imaging parameters that vary substantially between DLB and PDD, and their analogy of cerebrovascular disease being a common process, responsible for a multitude of different clinical presentations, implies that they conclude much the same about Lewy body disease, effectively, agreeing with Aarsland *et al.* They go on to elaborate that "cortical LBs and LNs are the principal pathologic entity responsible for the clinical dementia syndrome in both PDD and DLB and correlate well with severity of dementia in both entities." Although in a gross anatomical way this argument may stand up, with brainstem LB disease approximating to motor PD and cortical LB disease being associated with dementia, the story is clearly more complicated than this. The current model which views LB and LN as the key pathogenic lesions of LB disease has been challenged by a recent report that the majority of aggregated α-synuclein is located neither as LB nor as LN but as much smaller molecular weight moieties located in presynaptic terminals (Kramer and Schulz-Schaeffer 2007). These authors further suggest that these aggregates may be synapto-toxic, causing an almost complete loss of dendritic spines in the post-synaptic area. One can see an analogy with recent debate about which species of amyloid are toxic in AD, low molecular weight oligomers being implicated in inhibiting long-term potentiation, enhancing long-term depression and reducing dendritic spine density in the normal rodent hippocampus, through activation of metabiotropic glutamate receptors and N-methyl D-aspartate receptors (Selkoe, 2008). Until we have a more complete understanding of the corresponding patho-biology of α -synuclein related neurodegenerative disorders, it may be premature to engage in weighty debate as to whether DLB and PD are the same or different in such regard.

The revised DLB diagnostic criteria also propose that the additional presence of Alzheimer type pathology colors the clinical presentation very considerably. Two recently published papers support this notion. Weisman *et al.* (2007) found only one third of a series of 95 autopsy-confirmed LB pathology dementia cases to have the probable DLB syndrome, these cases generally having only limited Alzheimer pathology. By contrast, Fujishiro *et al.* (2008) found 90% of diffuse cortical LB cases with Braak neurofibrillary tangles up to stage 5, to have probable DLB clinically, compared with only 20% with Braak Stage 6. Taken together, the evidence suggests that what we as clinicians call DLB is itself a rather heterogeneous and variable "entity" and we would do well to bear that in mind.

So does any of this debate matter? Are PDD and DLB not simply two contrived syndromes that have some limited clinical usefulness but which are of little other consequence? The answer to this depends upon what we see as the value of what we can do for our patients and their families. Clinicians have a duty to make diagnoses that describe and explain as fully as possible what the patient is reporting and to use that diagnosis to make management decisions and offer a prognosis. It matters profoundly that we understand the nature of the diagnostic categories that we are using, and that we appreciate how they relate to underlying biological processes. DLB and PDD are the handles by which we can hold and manipulate this complex disease area and without them we would struggle, even more than we do already, to communicate either with our patients or with each other. But even as we use the terms we have to be prepared to admit to gaps in our understanding, and to tolerate the fact

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610208008624 Published online by Cambridge University Press

that the system is less than perfect. I am encouraged that despite Revuelta and Lippa and Aarsland and colleagues having been set up to debate a difference, they in fact appear to be arguing in the same general direction. I agree with them both.

IAN MCKEITH
Institute for Ageing and Health
Newcastle University, Wolfson Research Centre
Campus for Ageing and Vitality
Newcastle upon Tyne, NE4 5PL, U.K.
Email: i.g.mckeith@ncl.ac.uk

References

Aarsland, D., Litvan, I., Salmon, D., Galasko, D., Wentzel-Larsen, T. and Larsen, J. P. (2003). Performance on the dementia rating scale in Parkinson's disease with dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies: comparison with progressive supranuclear palsy and Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 74, 1215–1220.

Aarsland, D., Ballard, C. G. and Halliday, G. (2004). Are Parkinson's disease with dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies the same entity? *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology*, 17, 137–145.

Aarsland, D. *et al.* (2005a). Neuroleptic sensitivity in Parkinson's disease and parkinsonian dementias. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 66, 633–637.

Aarsland, D., Perry, R., Brown, A., Larsen, J. P. and Ballard, C. (2005b). Neuropathology of dementia in Parkinson's disease: a prospective, community-based study. *Annals of Neurology*, 58, 773–776.

Ames, D., Ballard, C., Cream, J., Shah, A., Suh, G. H. and McKeith, I. (2005). For debate: should novel antipsychotics ever be used to treat the behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD)? *International Psychogeriatrics*, 17, 3–29.

Ames, D., Petersen, R. C., Knopman, D. S., Visser, P. J., Brodaty, H. and Gauthier, S. (2006). For debate: is Mild Cognitive Impairment a clinically useful concept? *International Psychogeriatrics*, 18, 393–414.

Ames, D. *et al.* (2007). For debate: is the influence of the pharmaceutical industry on prescribing, research and publication in the field of psychogeriatrics excessive? *International Psychogeriatrics*, 19, 1003–1020.

Ames, D., Kaduszkiewicz, H., van den Bussche, H., Zimmermann, T., Birks, J. and Ashby, D. (2008). For debate: is the evidence for the efficacy of cholinesterase inhibitors in the symptomatic treatment of Alzheimer's disease convincing or not? *International Psychogeriatrics*, 20, 259–292.

Ballard, C. *et al.* (2001). The progression of cognitive impairment in dementia with Lewy bodies, vascular dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 16, 499–503.

Ballard, C. G. *et al.* (2004). Neuropathological substrates of psychiatric symptoms in prospectively studied patients with autopsy-confirmed dementia with Lewy bodies. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 161, 843–849.

Ballard, C. *et al.* (2006). Differences in neuropathologic characteristics across the Lewy body dementia spectrum. *Neurology*, 67, 1931–1934.

Beyer, M. K., Larsen, J. P. and Aarsland, D. (2007). Gray matter atrophy in Parkinson disease with dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neurology*, 69, 747–754.

Beyer, K., Domingo-Sabat, M., Humbert, J., Carrato, C., Ferrer, I. and Ariza, A. (2008). Differential expression of alpha-synuclein, parkin, and synphilin-1 isoforms in Lewy body disease. *Neurogenetics*, 9, 163–72.

Bibl, M. *et al.* (2006). CSF amyloid-beta-peptides in Alzheimer's disease, dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson's disease dementia. *Brain*, 129, 1177–1187.

Bogaerts, V. *et al.* (2007). A novel locus for dementia with Lewy bodies: a clinically and genetically heterogeneous disorder. *Brain*, 130, 2277–2291.

Bonanni, L., Thomas, A., Tiraboschi, P., Perfetti, B., Varanese, S. and Onofrj, M. (2008). EEG comparisons in early Alzheimer's disease, dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson's disease with dementia patients with a 2-year follow-up. *Brain*, 131, 690–705.

Braak, H., Del Tredici, K., Rub, U., de Vos, R. A. I., Jansen Steur, E. N. H. and Braak, E. (2003). Staging of brain pathology related to sporadic Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiology of Aging*, 24, 197–211.

Brønnick, K. S., Nordby, H., Larsen, J. P. and Aarsland, D. (2008). Disturbance of automatic auditory change detection in dementia associated with Parkinson's disease: a mismatch negativity study. *Neurobiology of Aging*. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2008.02.021 (epublished ahead of print).

Burn, D. *et al.* (2006a). Effects of rivastigmine in patients with and without visual hallucinations in dementia associated with Parkinson's disease. *Movement Disorders*, 21, 1899–1907.

Burn, D. J., Rowan, E. N., Allan, L. M., Molloy, S., O'Brien, J. T. and McKeith, I. G. (2006b). Motor subtype and cognitive decline in Parkinson's disease, Parkinson's disease with dementia, and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 77, 585–589.

Burton, E. J., McKeith, I. G., Burn, D. J., Williams, E. D. and O'Brien, J. T. (2004). Cerebral atrophy in Parkinson's disease with and without dementia: a comparison with Alzheimer's disease, dementia with Lewy bodies and controls. *Brain*, 127, 791–800.

Calne, D. B. and Mizuno, Y. (2004). The neuromythology of Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism and Related Disorders*, 10, 319–322.

Colloby, S. and O'Brien, J. T. (2004). Functional imaging in Parkinson's disease and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology*, 17, 158–163.

Colloby, S. J. *et al.* (2006). In vivo SPECT imaging of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors using (R,R) 123I-QNB in dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson's disease dementia. *Neuroimage*, 33, 423–429.

Downes, J. J., Priestley, N. M., Doran, M., Ferran, J., Ghadiali, E. and Cooper, P. (1998). Intellectual, mnemonic, and frontal functions in dementia with Lewy bodies: a comparison with early and advanced Parkinson's disease. *Behavioural Neurology*, 11, 173–183.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610208008624 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Dubois, R. *et al.* (2007). Diagnostic procedures for Parkinson's disease dementia: recommendations from the Movement Disorder Society Task Force. *Movement Disorders*, 22, 2314–2324.

Emre, M. *et al.* (2007). Clinical diagnostic criteria for dementia associated with Parkinson's disease. *Movement Disorders*, 22, 1689–1707.

Ferman, T. J. *et al.* (2007). Dementia with Lewy Bodies. *Neurologic Clinics*, 45, 741–750.

Francis, P. T. and Perry, E. K. (2007). Cholinergic and other neurotransmitter mechanisms in Parkinson's disease, Parkinson's disease dementia, and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Movement Disorders*, 22 (Suppl. 17), S351–357.

Fujishiro, H. *et al.* (2008). Validation of the neuropathologic criteria of the Third Consortium for Dementia with Lewy Bodies for prospectively diagnosed cases. *Journal of Neuropathology and Experimental Neurology*, 67, 649–656.

Ganguli, M., Dombrovski, A. Y., Mulsant, B. H., Wilkinson, P., Tharyan, P. and Flint, A. (2007). For debate: the evidence for electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) in the treatment of severe late-life depression. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 19, 9–35.

Giasson, B. I. *et al.* (2003). Initiation and synergistic fibrillization of tau and alpha-synuclein. *Science*, 300, 636–640.

Harding, A. J. and Halliday, G. M. (2001). Cortical Lewy body pathology in the diagnosis of dementia. *Acta Neuropathologica (Berlin)*, 102, 355–363.

Harding, A. J., Broe, G. A. and Halliday, G. M. (2002). Visual hallucinations in Lewy body disease related to Lewy bodies in the temporal lobe. *Brain*, 125, 391–403.

Hurtig, H. I. *et al.* (2000). Alpha-synuclein cortical Lewy bodies correlate with dementia in Parkinson's disease. *Neurology*, 54, 1916–1921.

Jellinger, K. A., Seppi, K., Wenning, G. K. and Poewe, W. (2002). Impact of coexistent Alzheimer pathology on the natural history of Parkinson's disease. *Journal of Neural Transmission*, 109, 329–39.

Kovari, E. *et al.* (2003). Lewy body densities in the entorhinal and anterior cingulate cortex predict cognitive deficits in Parkinson's disease. *Acta Neuropathologica (Berlin)*, 106, 83–88.

Kramer, M. L. and Schulz-Schaeffer, W. J. (2007). Presynaptic alpha-synuclein aggregates, not Lewy bodies, cause neurodegeneration in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 27, 1405–1410.

Kurz, M. W., Schlitter, A. M., Larsen, J. P., Ballard, C. and Aarsland, D. (2006). Familial occurrence of dementia and parkinsonism: a systematic review. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 22, 288–295.

Lane, R., He, Y., Morris, C., Leverenz, J. B., Empe, M. and Ballard, C. (2008). BuChE-K and APOE ε4 allete frequencies in Lewy body dementias, and influence of genotype and hyperhomocysteinemia on cognitive decline. *Movement Disorders*, doi: 10.1002/mds.22357, e-published ahead of print.

Lashley, T. *et al.* (2008). Cortical alpha-synuclein load is associated with amyloid-beta plaque burden in a subset of Parkinson's disease patients. *Acta Neuropathologica*, 115, 417–425.

Levy, G. (2007). The relationship of Parkinson disease with aging. *Archives Neurology*, 64, 1242–1246.

Lippa, C. F. *et al.* (2007). DLB and PDD boundary issues: diagnosis, treatment, molecular pathology, and biomarkers. *Neurology*, 68, 812–819.

Macinnes, N. *et al.* (2008). Proteasomal abnormalities in cortical Lewy body disease and the impact of proteasomal inhibition within cortical and cholinergic systems. *Journal of Neural Transmission*, 115, 869–878.

Mastaglia, F. L., Johnsen, R. D., Byrnes, M. L. and Kakulas, B. A. (2003). Prevalaence of amyloid-beta deposition in the cerebral cortex in Parkinson's disease. *Movement Disorders*, 18, 81–86.

McKeel, D. W. *et al.* (2004). Neuropathologic criteria for diagnosing Alzheimer disease in persons with pure dementia of Alzheimer type. *Journal of Neuropathology and Experimental Neurology*, 63, 1028–1037.

McKeith, I. G. *et al.* (1996). Consensus guidelines for the clinical and pathologic diagnosis of dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB): Report of the Consortium on DLB International Workshop. *Neurology*, 47, 1113–1124.

McKeith, I. G. *et al.* (2005). Diagnosis and management of dementia with Lewy bodies: Third Report of the DLB Consortium. *Neurology*, 65, 1863–1872.

Merdes, A. R. *et al.* (2003). Influence of Alzheimer pathology on clinical diagnostic accuracy in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neurology*, 60, 1586–1590.

Molloy, S. A., Rowan, E. N., O'Brien, J. T., McKeith, I. G., Wesnes, K. and Burn, D. J. (2006). Effect of levodopa on cognitive function in Parkinson's disease with and without dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 77, 1323–1328.

O'Brien, K. K. *et al.* (2003). Regulation of attention and response to therapy in dementia by butyrylcholinesterase. *Pharmacogenetics*, 13, 231–239.

O'Brien, J. T. *et al.* (2004). Dopamine transporter loss visualized with FP-CIT SPECT in the differential diagnosis of dementia with Lewy bodies. *Archives of Neurology*, 61, 919–925.

O'Brien, J. T., Wahlund, L.O., Scheltens, P. and Förstl, H. (2007). For debate: should neuroimaging be a routine investigation in all cases of suspected dementia? *International Psychogeriatrics*, 19, 525–538.

Parnetti, L. *et al.* (2008). Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in Parkinson's disease with dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Biological Psychiatry*, 64, 850–855.

Perry, E., McKeith, I. and Ballard, C. (2003). Butyrylcholinesterase and progression of cognitive deficits in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neurology*, 60, 1852–1853.

Piggott, M. A. *et al.* (1998). Nigrostriatal dopaminergic activities in dementia with Lewy bodies in relation to neuroleptic sensitivity: comparisons with Parkinson's disease. *Biological Psychiatry*, 44, 765–774.

Piggott, M. A. *et al.* (1999). Striatal dopaminergic markers in dementia with Lewy bodies, Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases: rostrocaudal distribution. *Brain*, 122, 1449–1468.

Revuelta, G. J., Rosso, A. and Lippa, C. F. (2008). Neuritic pathology as a correlate for synaptic loss in dementia with Lewy bodies. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias*, 23, 97–102.

SantaCruz, K. *et al.* (1999). Lewy body, neurofibrillary tangle and senile plaque pathology in Parkinson's disease

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610208008624 Published online by Cambridge University Press

patients with and without dementia. *Neurology*, 52 (Suppl 2), A476.

Selkoe, D. J. (2008). Soluble oligomers of the amyloid beta-protein impair synaptic plasticity and behavior. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 192, 106–113.

Taki, J., Yoshita, M., Yamada, M. and Tonami, N. (2004). Significance of 123I-MIBG scintigraphy as a pathophysiological indicator in the assessment of Parkinson's disease and related disorders: it can be a specific marker for Lewy body disease. *Annals of Nuclear Medicine*, 18, 453–461.

Tokuda, T. *et al.* (2006). Decreased alpha-synuclein in cerebrospinal fluid of aged individuals and subjects with Parkinson's disease. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 349, 162–166.

Weisman, D. *et al.* (2007). In dementia with Lewy bodies, Braak stage determines phenotype, not Lewy body distribution. *Neurology*, 69, 356–359.

Whitwell, J. L. *et al.* (2007). Focal atrophy in dementia with Lewy bodies on MRI: a distinct pattern from Alzheimer's disease. *Brain*, 130, 708–719.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610208008624 Published online by Cambridge University Press