Table of Cases

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

Ádám and others v. Romania, Applications nos. 81114/17 and 5 others, Judgment of 13 October 2020, 416
Ahmet Yıldırım v. Turkey, Application no. 3111/10, Judgment of 18 December 2012, 168, 318
Amann v. Switzerland [GC], Application no. 27798/95, Judgment of 16 February 2000, 289, 293
Animal Defenders International v. the United Kingdom [GC], Application no. 48876/08, Judgment of 22 April 2013, 250
Antović and Mirković v. Montenegro, Application no. 70838/13, Judgment of 28 November 2017, 289
Appleby and Others v. the United Kingdom, Application no. 44306/98, Judgment of 6 May 2003, ECHR 2003-VI 185, 161
Association BURESTOP 55 et autres c. France, Application no. 56176/18 et al., Judgment of 1 July 2021, 250
Bărbulescu v. Romania [GC], Application no. 61496/08, Judgment of 5 September 2017, 288
Barthold v. Germany, Application no. 8734/79, Judgment of 25 March 1985, 193
Beizaras and Levickas v. Lithuania, Application no. 41288/15, Judgment of 14 January 2020, 91
Bernh Larsen Holding AS and others v. Norway, Application no. 24117/08, Judgment of 14 March 2013, 290
Biancardi v. Italy, Application no. 77419/16, Judgment of 25 November 2021, 25
Botta v. Italy, Application no. 21439/93, Judgment of 24 February 1998, 288
Bowman v. the United Kingdom, Case no. 141/1996/762/959, Judgment of 19 February 1998, 317, 318
Capital Bank AD v. Bulgaria, Application no. 49429/99, Judgment of 24 November 2005, 297
Case 'Relating to certain aspects of the laws on the use of languages in education in Belgium' v. Belgium, Application no 1474/62 et al., Judgment of 23 July 1968, 413

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 17 Nov 2026 at 08:05:13, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009606295

Catan and Others v. the Republic of Moldova and Russia [GC], Application no. 43370/04, 8252/05 and 18454/06, Judgment of 19 October 2012, 414
Cengiz and Others v. Turkey, Application nos. 48226/10 and 14027/11, Judgment of 1 December 201, 318
Centro Europa 7 S.R.L. and Di Stefano v. Italy, Application no. 38433/09, Judgment of 7 June 2012, 250
Chapman v. UK [GC], Application no. 27238/95, Judgment of 18 January 2001, 416
Cicad v. Switzerland, Application no. 7211/06, Judgment of 07 June 2016, 184
Copland v. United Kingdom, Application no. 62617/00, Judgment of 3 April 2007, 293
Cyprus v. Turkey [GC], Application no. 25781/94, Judgment of 10 May 2001, 413, 414
Delfi AS v. Estonia, Application no. 64569/09, Judgment of 16 June 2015, 60, 318
Dink v. Turkey Application No. 2668/07, Judgment of 14 September 2010, 162
Dragojević v. Croatia, Application no. 68955/11, Judgment of 15 January 2015, 292
Džibuti and Others v. Latvia, Application no. 225/20 and 2 others, Judgment of 16 November 2023, 415
Ecodefefence and 60 others v. Russia, Applications nos. 9988/13 and 60 others, Judgment of 14 June 2022, 186
Editorial Board of Pravoye Delo and Shtekel v. Ukraine, Application no. 33014/05, Judgment of 5 May 2011, 82, 183
Erbakan v. Turkey, Application no. 59405/00, Judgment of 6 July 2006, 186
Faber v. Hungary, Application no. 40721/08, Judgment of 24 July 2012, 177
Garaudy v. France, Application no. 65831/01, Decision of 24 June 2003, 186
Gaughran v. the United Kingdom, Application no. 45245/15, Judgment of 13 February 2020, 290
Goodwin v. the United Kingdom, Application no. 17488/90, Judgment of 27 March 1996, 193
Guja v. Moldova, Application no. 14277/04, Judgment of 12 February 2008, 180, 195
Hachette Filipacchi Associés (ICI PARIS) v. France, Application no. 12268/03, Judgment of 23 July 2009, 287
Handyside v.UK, Application no. 5493/72, Judgment of 7 December 1976, 71, 250, 317, 324
Herbecq and the association 'Ligue des droits de l'homme' v. Belgium, Applications no. 32200/96 and 32201/96, Decision of 14 January 1998, 289
Informationsverein Lentia and Others v. Austria (1993) Application nos. 13914/88, 15041/89, 15717/89, 15779/89, 17207/90, Judgment of 24 November 1993, Series A no 276, 162, 174
Jersild v. Denmark, Application no. 15890/89, Judgment of 23 September 1994, 182, 193, 197
Kaya v. Turkey, Application no. 22729/93, Judgment of 19 February 1998, 160
Khadija Ismayilova v. Azerbaijan, Application nos. 65286/13 and 57270/14, Judgment of 10 April 2019, 162
Köpke v. Germany (dec.), Application no. 420/07, Decision of 5 October 2010, 288

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 17 Nov 2026 at 08:05:13, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009606295

Kruslin v. France, Application no. 11801/85, Judgment of 24 April 1990, 305
Leempoel & S.A. ED. Ciné Revue v. Belgium, Application no. 64772/01, Judgment of 9 November 2006, 287
Lingens v. Austria, Application no. 9815/82, Judgment of 8 July 1986, 316, 317
López Ribalda and Others v. Spain [GC], Applications nos. 1874/13 and 8567/13, Judgment of 17 October 2019, 287, 289
Magyar Helsinki Bizottság v. Hungary, Application no. 18030/11, Judgment of 8 November 2016, 183, 193, 194
Magyar Jeti Zrt v. Hungary, Application no. 11257/2016, Judgment of 4 December 2018, 86, 87
Magyar Kétfarkú Kutya Párt v. Hungary, Application no. 201/17, Judgment of 20 January 2020, 92
Magyar Tartalomszolgáltatók Egyesülete and Index.Hu Zrt v. Hungary (*MTE v. Hungary*), Application no. 22947/13, Judgment of 2 February 2016, 60, 184
Manole and Others v. Moldova, Application no. 13936/02, Judgment of 17 September 2009, ECHR 2009-IV 213, 162, 174
Mathieu-Mohin and Clerfayt v. Belgium, Application no. 9267/81, Judgment of 2 March 1987, 317
M'Bala M'Bala v. France, Application no. 25239/13, Decision of 20 October 2015, 186
Melnychuk v. Ukraine, Application no. 17707/02, 19 October 2004, ECHR 2005-IX 397, 162
Merabishvili v. Georgia [GC], Application no. 72508/13, Judgment of 28 November 2017, 188, 195
Mouvement raëlien suisse v. Switzerland [GC], Application no. 16354/06, Judgment of 13 July 2012, 250
Navalnyy v. Russia, Application nos. 29580/12 and 4 others, Judgment of 15 November 2018, 188
Niemietz v. Germany, Application no. 13710/88, Judgment of 16 December 1992, 288
NIT S.R.L v. Moldova, Application no. 28470/12, Judgment of 5 April 2022, 194
Oganezova v. Armenia, Application no. 72961/12, Judgment of 17 May 2022, 186
Öllinger v. Austria, Application no. 76900/01, Judgment of 29 June 2006, 177
OOO Memo v. Russia, Application no. 2840/10, Judgment of 16 June 2022, 183, 184
Oršuš and Others v. Croatia [GC], Application no. 15766/03, Judgment of 16 March 2010, 421
Özgür Gündem v. Turkey, Application no. 23144/93, Judgment of 16 March 2000, ECHR 2000-III 1, 161, 162
P. G. and J. H. v. the United Kingdom, Application no. 44787/98, Judgment of 25 September 2001, 288, 289, 290
Paksas v. Lithuania, Application no. 34932/04, Judgment of 6 January 2011, 175, 187
Party for a Democratic Society (DTP) and Others v. Turkey, Application nos. 3870/10, 3870/10, 3878/10, 15616/10, 21919/10, 39118/10 and 37272/10, Judgment of 12 January 2016, 192

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 17 Nov 2026 at 08:05:13, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009606295

Pastörs v. Germany, Application no. 55225/14, Judgment of 3 October 2019, 186
Peck v. United Kingdom, Application no. 44647/98, Judgment of 28 January 2003, 288, 289, 291
Perincek v. Switzerland, Application no. 27510/08, Judgment of 15 October 2015, 187
Pihl v. Sweden [ECHR], Application no. 74742/14, Judgment of 9 March 2017, 95
Refah Partisi (the Welfare Party) and Others v. Turkey, Application nos. 41340/98, 41342/98, 41343/98, and 41344/98, Judgment of 13 July 2003, 177, 179, 180
Reklos and Davourlis v. Greece, Application no. 1234/05, Judgment of 15 January 2009, 287
Rotaru v. Romania [GC], Application no. 28341/95, Judgment of 4 May 2000, 289
S. and Marper v. the United Kingdom [GC], Applications nos. 30562/04 and 30566/04, Judgment of 4 December 2008, 299
Sanchez v. France [GC], Application no. 45581/15, Judgment of 15 May 2023, 189
Savickis and Others v. Latvia [GC], Application no. 49270/11, Judgment of 9 June 2022, 415
Savva Terentyev v. Russia, Application no. 10692/09, Judgment of 28 August 2018, 90
Schüssel v. Austria (dec.), Application no. 42409/98, Decision of 21 February 2002, 287
Sciacca v. Italy, Application no. 50774/99, Judgment of 11 January 2005, 287
Smith and Grady v. UK, Application nos. 33985/96 and 33986/96, Judgment of 27 September 1999, VI 72, 160
Soering v. the United Kingdom, Application no. 14038/88, Judgment of 7 July 1989, 179
Standard Verlags GmbH v. Austria (no. 2), Application no. 21277/05, Judgment of 4 June 2009, 287
Standard Verlagsgesellschaft mbH v. Austria (no. 3), Application no. 39378/15, Judgment of 07 December 2021, 185
Sürek and Özdemir v. Turkey, Application nos. 23927/94 and 24277/94, Judgment of 8 July 1999, 180
Társaság a Szabadságjogokért v. Hungary, Application no. 37374/05, Judgment of 14 April 2009, 193
Traktörer Aktiebolag v. Sweden, Application no. 10873/84, Judgment of 7 July 1989, 297
Uzun v. Germany, Application no. 35623/05, Judgment of 2 September 2010, 292
Vajnai v. Hungary, Application no. 33629/06, Judgment of 08 July 2008, 181
Valenzuela Contreras v. Spain, Application no. 27671/95, Judgment of 30 July 1998, 305
Valiullina and Others v. Latvia, Applications nos. 56928/19, 7306/20 and 11937/20, Judgment of 14 September 2023, 414
Vereinigung Bildender Künstler v. Austria, Application no. 68354/01, Judgment of 25 January 2007, 211
Vogt v. Germany, Application no. 17851/91, Judgment of 26 September 1995, 177
Von Hannover v. Germany, Application no. 59320/00, Judgment of 24 June 2004, 287, 288

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 17 Nov 2026 at 08:05:13, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009606295

Von Hannover v. Germany (no. 2) [GC], Applications nos. 40660/08 and 60641/08, Judgment of 7 February 2012, 287
Ždanoka v. Latvia, Application no. 58278/00, Judgment of 16 March 2006, 177, 178, 179, 180

EUROPEAN UNION COURTS

Case C-294/83, *Partiécologiste „Les Verts" v. European Parliament* [1986] ECLI:EU:C:1986:166, 77
Case C-131/12, *Google Spain SL and Google Inc. v Agencia Española de Protección de Datos (AEPD) and Mario Costeja González* [2014] ECLI:EU:C:2014:317, 78
Case C-136/17, *G.C. and others v. CNIL* [2019] EU:C:2019:773, 78
Case C-18/18, *Eva Glawischnig-Piesczek v. Facebook Ireland Limited* [2019] ECLI:EU:C:2019:821, 244
Case C-264/14, *Skatteverket v. David Hedqvist* [2015] ECLI:EU:C:2015:718, 87
Case C-314/12, *UPC Telekabel Wien GmbH v. Constantin Film Verleih GmbH and Wega Filmproduktionsgesellschaft mbH* [2014] ECLI:EU:C:2014:192, 162, 243
Case C-324/09, *L'Oréal SA and Others v. eBay International AG and Others* [2011] ECLI:EU:C:2011:474, 243
Case C-360/13, *Public Relations Consultants Association Ltd. v. Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd and Others* [2014] ECLI:EU:C:2014:1195, 88
Case C-362/14, *Maximillian Schrems v. Data Protection Commissioner* [2015] ECLI:EU:C:2015:650, 79
Case C-390/18, *Airbnb Ireland UC* [2019] ECLI:EU:C:2019:1112, 88
Case C-414/16, *Vera Egenberger v. Evangelisches Werk für Diakonie und Entwicklung e.V.* [2018] ECLI:EU:C:2018:257, 77, 257
Case C-43/75, *Defrenne v. Sabena* [1976] ECLI:EU:C:1976:56, 77
Case C-438/05, *International Transport Workers' Federation and Finnish Seamen's Union v. Viking Line ABP and OÜ Viking Line Eesti* [2007] ECLI:EU:C:2007:772, 256
Case C-460/20, *Google (Déréférencement d'un contenu prétendument inexact)* [2022] ECLI:EU:C:2022:962, 247
Case C-460/20, *TU, RE v Google LLC* [2022] ECLI:EU:C:2022:962, 286
Case C-490/20, *V.M.A. v. Stolichna obshtina, rayon 'Pancharevo'* [2021] ECLI:EU:C:2021:1008, 382
Case C-507/17, *Google LLC v. CNIL* [2019] EU:C:2019:772, 78, 169, 247
Case C-62/19, *Star Taxi App SRL v. Unitatea Administrativ Teritorială Municipiul Bucureşti prin Primar General and others* [2020] ECLI:EU:C:2020:980, 89
Case C-68/17, *IR v. JQ* [2018] ECLI:EU:C:2018:696, 77, 257
Case C-684/16, *Max-Planck-Gesellschaft zur Förderung der Wissenschaften e.V. v. Tetsuji Shimizu* [2018] ECLI:EU:C:2018:874, 77
Case C-311/18, *Data Protection Commissioner v. Facebook Ireland Limited and Maximillian Schrems*, ECLI:EU:C:2020:559, 293

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 17 Nov 2026 at 08:05:13, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009606295

Case C-345/17, *Sergejs Buivids v Datu valsts inspekcija* [2019] ECLI:EU:C:2019:122, 286
Case C-176/12, *Association de médiation sociale v. Union locale des syndicats CGT and Others* [2014] ECLI:EU:C:2014:2, 77
Joined Cases C-236/08 to C-238/08, *Google France SARL and Google Inc. v. Louis Vuitton Malletier SA (C-236/08), Google France SARL v. Viaticum SA and Luteciel SARL (C-237/08) and Google France SARL v. Centre national de recherche en relations humaines (CNRRH) SARL and Others (C-238/08)* [2010] EU:C:2010:159, 60
Joined Cases C-569/16 and C-570/16, *Stadt Wuppertal and Volker Willmeroth als Inhaber der TWI Technische Wartung und Instandsetzung Volker Willmeroth e.K. v. Maria Elisabeth Bauer and Martina Broßonn* [2018] ECLI:EU:C:2018:871, 77
Joined cases C-92/09 and C-93/09, *Volker und Markus Schecke GbR and Hartmut Eifert v. Land Hessen* [2010] ECR I-11063, 290
Joined Cases C-293/12 and C-594/12, *Digital Rights Ireland Ltd v Minister for Communications, Marine and Natural Resources and Others and Kärntner Landesregierung and Others*, [2014] ECLI:EU:C:2014:238, 292
Joint Cases C-569/16 and C-570/16, *Stadt Wuppertal v Maria Elisabeth Bauer and Volker Willmeroth v Martina Broßonn* [2018] ECLI:EU:C:2018:871, 257

INTER-AMERICAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

Perozo et al. v. Venezuela, Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgment of 28 January 2009, Series C No. 195, 221
Ríos et al. v. Venezuela, Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs, Judgment of 28 January 2009, Series C n° 194, 221

DOMESTIC COURT DECISIONS

Canada

Carter v. B.C. Federation of Foster Parent Assn. [2005] BCCA 398, 76
Cool World Technologies Inc. v. Twitter Inc. [2022] ONSC 7156, 76
Pritchard v. Van Nes [2016] BCSC 686, 76
RWDSU v. Dolphin Delivery Ltd. [1986] 2 SCR 573, 69

France

Civil Court Paris, *Frédéric X / Facebook*, 15/03/2018, n° 12/12401, 257
Tribunal de Grande Instance de Paris, *Ligue contre le racisme et l'antisémitisme et Union des étudiants juifs de France c. Yahoo! Inc. et Société Yahoo! France*, RG 05308 (2000), 72
Yahoo!, Inc., v. La Ligue Contre Le Racisme Et L'antisemitisme, 169 F. Supp. 2d 1181 (N.D.Cal.2001), 72

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 17 Nov 2026 at 08:05:13, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009606295

Germany

German Federal Court of Justice, II ZR 192/20, III ZR 179/20, 29 July 2021, 257

Ireland

Foley v. Sunday Newspapers Ltd [2005] IEHC 14, 85
Muwema v. Facebook Ireland Limited [2018] IECA 104, 85

US

Abrams v. United States, 250 US 616 (1919), 249, 323
Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition, 535 US 234 (2002), 248
Boos v. Barry, 485 US 312 (1988), 71
Brandenburg v. Ohio, 395 US 444 (1969), 71, 249
The Civil Rights Cases, 109 US 3 (1883), 69
Dipp-Paz v. Facebook, No. 18-CV-9037, WL 3205842 (SDNY 2019), 73
Edwards v. Aguillard, 482 US 578 (1987), 249
FAN v. Facebook, 18-CV-07041-LHK, 20 July 2019, 256
Federal Agency of News LLC v. Facebook, Inc., 395 F. Supp. 3d 1295 (ND Cal 2019), 73
Gonzalez v. Google LLC, 598 US 617 (2023), 73
Google LLC v. Oracle America, Inc., 593 US 1 (2021), 86
Kingsley Int'l Pictures Corp. v. Regents, 360 US 684 (1959), 71
Knight First Amendment Inst. at Columbia Univ. v. Trump, No. 1:17-cv-5205 (SDNY) No. 18-1691 (2d Cir.), 58
Kyllo v. US, 533 US 27 (2001), 291
Matal v. Tam, 582 US 218 (2017), 246
New York Times Co. v. Sullivan, 376 US 254 (1964), 220
Police Dept. v. Mosley, 408 US 92 (1972), 71
R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul, 505 US 377 (1992), 71
Reno v. ACLU, 521 US 844 (1997), 72
Shelley v. Kraemer, 334 US 1 (1948), 70
Simon & Schuster, Inc. v. Members of New York State Crime Victims Board, 502 US 105 (1991), 71
Twitter Inc. v. Taamneh, 598 US 471 (2023), 73
United States v. Alvarez, 567 US 709, 717–8 (2012), 252

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 17 Nov 2026 at 08:05:13, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009606295

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 17 Nov 2026 at 08:05:13, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009606295