Econometric Theory, **15**, 1999, 184–217. Printed in the United States of America.

ASYMPTOTIC INFERENCE FOR NEARLY UNSTABLE AR(p) PROCESSES

TJACCO VAN DER MEER
University of Nijmegen

GYULA PAP
Lajos Kossuth University

MARTIEN C.A. VAN ZUIJLEN
University of Nijmegen

In this paper nearly unstable AR(p) processes (in other words, models with characteristic roots near the unit circle) are studied. Our main aim is to describe the asymptotic behavior of the least-squares estimators of the coefficients. A convergence result is presented for the general complex-valued case. The limit distribution is given by the help of some continuous time AR processes. We apply the results for real-valued nearly unstable AR(p) models. In this case the limit distribution can be identified with the maximum likelihood estimator of the coefficients of the corresponding continuous time AR processes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the autoregressive AR(p) model

$$\begin{cases} X_k = \beta_1 X_{k-1} + \cdots + \beta_p X_{k-p} + \varepsilon_k, & k = 1,2,\ldots, \\ X_0 = X_{-1} = \cdots = X_{1-p} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where ε_k is the (unobservable) random disturbance (noise) at time k and $\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_p$ are unknown parameters. The least-squares estimator (LSE) of the parameter

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_p)'$$

based on the observations $X_1,\ldots,X_n$ is given by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \widetilde{X}_{k-1} \widetilde{X}_{k-1}' \right)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k \widetilde{X}_{k-1}, \tag{2}$$

Part of this work has been carried out while Martien C.A. van Zuijlen was visiting the Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Debrecen, and has been supported by grant ERB-CIPA-CT-92-1512 of the European Economic Community. Address correspondence to: Gyula Pap, Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Lajos Kossuth University, P.O. Box 12, H-4010 Debrecen, Hungary.

 © 1999 Cambridge University Press 0266-4666/99 $9.50

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where

$$\widetilde{X}_k = (X_k, X_{k-1}, \ldots, X_{k-p+1})'.$$

The polynomial φ defined by

$$\varphi(z) = 1 - \beta_1 z - \cdots - \beta_p z^p$$

is called the *characteristic polynomial* of the AR(p) model (1).

When all roots of φ are outside the unit circle, the model (1) is said to be *asymptotically stationary.* Under the assumption that the ε_k's are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) with $\mathbb{E}\varepsilon_k = 0$, $\mathbb{E}\varepsilon_k^2 = \sigma^2$, the LSE of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is asymptotically normal:

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \widetilde{X}_{k-1} \widetilde{X}_{k-1}' \right)^{1/2} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n - \boldsymbol{\beta}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, I), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{3}$$

where $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$ denotes convergence in distribution and I is the unit matrix (see Mann and Wald, 1943; Anderson, 1959). By another normalization

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n - \boldsymbol{\beta}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma^{-1}), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

where the matrix Σ can be expressed by the help of σ^2 and the covariance matrix of the stationary distribution.

When φ has no roots inside the unit circle but has at least one root on the unit circle the model (1) is said to be *unstable.* It was shown by White (1958) that in the case of the unstable AR(1) model $X_k = \beta X_{k-1} + \varepsilon_k$, $k \geq 1$, with $\beta = 1$, the variables $n(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta)$ converge in law to a random variable:

$$n(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\int_0^1 W(t)\, dW(t)}{\int_0^1 W^2(t)\, dt}, \tag{4}$$

where $W(t)$, $t \geq 0$ is a standard Wiener process. In case of the unstable AR(p) model Chan and Wei (1988b) proved that with suitable normalizing matrices δ_n the sequence $\delta_n^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n - \boldsymbol{\beta})$ converges in law and gave the representation of the limit distribution. This representation involves multiple stochastic integrals with respect to Wiener processes and has a very complicated form.

The result (4) led to the study of the following so-called nearly nonstationary (better to call it nearly unstable) AR(1) model:

$$\begin{cases} X_{n,k} = \beta_n X_{n,k-1} + \varepsilon_{n,k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \\ X_{n,0} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where $\beta_n = 1 + h/n$. It was shown by Chan and Wei (1987, 1988a) that

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{n,k-1}^2\right)^{1/2} (\hat{\beta}_n - \beta_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\int_0^1 Y(t)\, dW(t)}{\left(\int_0^1 Y^2(t)\, dt\right)^{1/2}}, \tag{6}$$

where $Y(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$, is an Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process defined as the solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$dY(t) = hY(t)\, dt + dW(t), \qquad Y(0) = 0. \tag{7}$$

By another normalization

$$n(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\int_0^1 Y(t)\, dW(t)}{\int_0^1 Y^2(t)\, dt} \tag{8}$$

(see, e.g., Phillips, 1987; Jeganathan, 1991; Dzhaparidze, Kormos, van der Meer, and van Zuijlen, 1994). The preceding model is also called near integrated and is applied often in economic theory (see Phillips, 1987).

Recently, Jeganathan (1991) has considered nearly unstable AR(p) models, i.e., AR(p) models near to an unstable model:

$$\begin{cases} X_{n,k} = \beta_{1,n} X_{n,k-1} + \cdots + \beta_{p,n} X_{n,k-p} + \varepsilon_{n,k}, \quad k = 1,2,\ldots,n, \\ X_{n,0} = X_{n,-1} = \cdots = X_{n,1-p} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where the vector of parameters

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_n = (\beta_{1,n},\ldots,\beta_{p,n})'$$

is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_n = \boldsymbol{\beta} + \delta_n \mathbf{h}_n,$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_p)'$$

is a vector such that the polynomial

$$\varphi(z) = 1 - \beta_1 z - \cdots - \beta_p z^p$$

corresponds to an unstable AR(p) model, $\{\delta_n\}$ are the same normalizing matrices obtained in Chan and Wei (1988b), and

$$\mathbf{h}_n = (h_{1,n},\ldots,h_{p,n})'$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

is a sequence of vectors with $\mathbf{h}_n \to \mathbf{h}$. Jeganathan (1991) proved that the sequence $\delta_n^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n - \boldsymbol{\beta}_n)$ converges in law and gave a very complicated representation for the limiting distribution in terms of multiple stochastic integrals with respect to Wiener processes.

One of the aims of the present paper is to find a simpler explanation for the asymptotic behavior of the LSE's in the nearly unstable AR(p) model (9). The starting point of our investigation is the following equivalent formulation of (8). We consider h instead of β_n as a parameter. Then the LSE of h is

$$\hat{h}_n = n(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) = n(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta_n) + h,$$

and we have

$$\hat{h}_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\int_0^1 Y(t)\ dW(t)}{\int_0^1 Y^2(t)\,dt} + h = \frac{\int_0^1 Y(t)\,dY(t)}{\int_0^1 Y^2(t)\,dt}, \tag{10}$$

where the limit distribution in (10) turns out to be the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of the parameter h in the model (7) (see, e.g., Arató 1989). So if we use h as a parameter then we do not have to normalize the LSE $\hat{h}_n$. Remark that h is connected with the rate of convergence in $\beta_n \to \beta$.

In the nearly unstable AR(p) model (9) we suggest again using parameters that are connected with the speed of approximation of roots of φ (the characteristic polynomial of the limit unstable model). For the sake of simplicity we suppose that φ has all its roots on the unit circle (purely unstable case). Then φ can be written as

$$\varphi(z) = (1-z)^a(1+z)^b \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} ((1 - e^{i\alpha_j}z)(1 - e^{-i\alpha_j}z))^{m_j},$$

where $a, b, \ell, m_j, j = 1, \ldots, \ell$ are nonnegative integers, $\alpha_j \in (0, \pi), j = 1, \ldots, \ell$. We suggest writing φ in the form

$$\varphi(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{q} (1 - a_j z)^{r_j},$$

where $q = 2 + 2\ell$, $a_j = e^{i\theta_j}$, and $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_q \in (-\pi, \pi]$ are all different. We suppose that in the nearly unstable AR(p) model (9) the characteristic polynomial φ_n can be written as

$$\varphi_n(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{q} \prod_{k=1}^{r_j} (1 - a_{j,k,n} z),$$

where $a_{j,k,n} = e^{h_{j,k,n}/n + i\theta_j}$, $h_{j,k,n}$, $j = 1, \ldots, q$, $k = 1, \ldots, r_j$, $n \geq 1$ are complex numbers such that $h_{j,k,n} \to h_{j,k}$, as $n \to \infty$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The main idea is to introduce another set of parameters, which are in one-to-one linear correspondence with the coefficients $\beta_{1,n},\dots,\beta_{p,n}$. For $j=1,\dots,q$, $k=1,\dots,r_j$, $n\geq 1$ let $c_{j,k,n}\in\mathbb{C}$ be defined (uniquely) by

$$\frac{\varphi_n(z)}{\varphi(z)}=\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\prod_{k=1}^{r_j}(1-a_{j,k,n}z)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q}(1-a_jz)^{r_j}}=1-\sum_{j=1}^{q}\sum_{k=1}^{r_j}\frac{c_{j,k,n}}{n^k}\frac{a_jz}{(1-a_jz)^k},\qquad z\in\mathbb{C}. \tag{11}$$

In Theorem 5 a simple description of the limit distribution of the LSE $\hat{c}_{j,k,n}$ will be given. Under some natural condition (C) on the $\varepsilon_{n,k}$'s, we will prove

$$\hat{c}_{j,k,n}\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}\hat{c}_{j,k},\qquad \text{as } n\to\infty$$

jointly for $j=1,\dots,q$, $k=1,\dots,r_j$, where $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j=(\hat{c}_{j,1},\dots,\hat{c}_{j,r_j})'$ is given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j=S_j^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\int_0^1\overline{Y_j^{(r_j-1)}(t)}\,dY_j^{(r_j-1)}(t)\\ \vdots\\ \int_0^1\overline{Y_j^{(0)}(t)}\,dY_j^{(r_j-1)}(t)\end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$S_j=\begin{pmatrix}\int_0^1|Y_j^{(r_j-1)}(t)|^2\,dt & \dots & \int_0^1\overline{Y_j^{(r_j-1)}(t)}Y_j^{(0)}(t)\,dt\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \int_0^1\overline{Y_j^{(0)}(t)}Y_j^{(r_j-1)}(t)\,dt & \dots & \int_0^1|Y_j^{(0)}(t)|^2\,dt\end{pmatrix}$$

and the processes $Y_j^{(k)}$, $j=1,\dots,q$, $k=1,\dots,r_j$ are given by the stochastic differential equation

$$\begin{cases}dY_j^{(r_j-1)}(t)=(c_{j,1}Y_j^{(r_j-1)}(t)+\dots+c_{j,r_j}Y_j(t))\,dt+dW_j(t),\\ Y_j(0)=Y_j^{(1)}(0)=\dots=Y_j^{(r_j-1)}(0)=0,\end{cases} \tag{12}$$

where $Y_j^{(1)},\dots,Y_j^{(r_j-1)}$ are the derivatives of Y_j and $W_j(t)$, $t\in[0,1]$, $j=1,\dots,q$ are independent standard Wiener processes (which are real valued for $\theta=0$ or $\theta=\pi$ and complex valued otherwise) and the characteristic polynomial of the model (12) is given by

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$1 - c_{j,1}z - \cdots - c_{j,r_j}z^{r_j} = \prod_{k=1}^{r_j}(1 - h_{j,k}z). \tag{13}$$

(For information on continuous time autoregressive processes cf. Arató, 1982.) Roughly speaking, the model (12) can be written as

$$\prod_{k=1}^{r_j}(d - h_{j,k})Y_j(t)\,dt = dW_j(t), \tag{14}$$

where d is the differential operator, and for the LSE of the parameters $h_{j,k,n}$ of the discrete time model (9) we prove the joint convergence for $j = 1, \ldots, q$, $k = 1, \ldots, r_j$

$$\hat{h}_{j,k,n} \to \hat{h}_{j,k} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

where $\hat{h}_{j,k}$ is the MLE of the parameter $h_{j,k}$ in the continuous time model (14).

In the present paper we clarify the relationship between general complex-valued discrete and continuous time AR(p) models. As a consequence we are able to understand and to simplify the complicated expressions of Jeganathan (1991) for the limit distribution of the LSE's in real-valued discrete settings. One of the advantages of our approach of studying complex-valued models is that we avoid complicated formulas with sines and cosines. In Section 7 we show how to use our results for real-valued AR(p) models. Section 8 contains some examples demonstrating how to derive limit theorems for the LSE's of the coefficients of the discrete time models.

2. PRELIMINARIES, NOTATIONS

We shall use the notations

$$\mathbf{X}_n = (X_{n,1}, \ldots, X_{n,n})', \qquad \varepsilon_n = (\varepsilon_{n,1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n,n})'.$$

Let B denote the $n \times n$ backshift matrix, i.e., $B = (b_{jk})$, where

$$b_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = k+1, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The model (9) can be written in the short form

$$\varphi_n(B)\mathbf{X}_n = \varepsilon_n.$$

For $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$ let T_θ be the $n \times n$ rotation matrix with angle $-\theta$, i.e., $T_\theta = (t_{jk})$, where

$$t_{jk} = \begin{cases} e^{-ik\theta} & \text{if } j = k, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

We have the simple commutation relation

$$T_\theta B = e^{-i\theta} B T_\theta. \tag{15}$$

For $n \times n$ matrices A_1 and A_2 with $A_1 A_2^{-1} = A_2^{-1} A_1$ we shall write sometimes A_1/A_2 instead of $A_1 A_2^{-1}$ or $A_2^{-1} A_1$.

For a complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we denote by $\Re(z)$ and $\Im(z)$ the real and the imaginary part, respectively. We shall use the complex d-dimensional space $\mathbb{C}^d$ endowed with the inner product

$$\langle z, w \rangle = z_1 \overline{w}_1 + \cdots + z_d \overline{w}_d$$

and with the norm

$$\|z\| = (|z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_d|^2)^{1/2}$$

for $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$. We denote by $C([0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $C([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^d)$ the spaces of continuous functions with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbb{C}^d$, respectively, endowed with the supremum norm. The supremum norm and the Skorokhod metric on the space $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^d)$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and ρ, respectively.

For measurable mappings $\Phi, \Phi_n : D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^k) \to D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^\ell)$, $n = 1,2,\ldots$ we shall write $\Phi_n \rightsquigarrow \Phi$ if $\|\Phi_n(x_n) - \Phi(x)\|_\infty \to 0$ for all $x_n \in D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^k)$, $x \in C([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^k)$ with $\|x_n - x\|_\infty \to 0$. We shall need the following simple lemma, which is based on the continuous mapping theorem and the Skorokhod construction.

LEMMA 1. *Let $\Phi, \Phi_n : D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^k) \to D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^\ell)$, $n = 1,2,\ldots$ be measurable mappings such that $\Phi_n \rightsquigarrow \Phi$. Let Z, Z_n, $n = 1,2,\ldots$ be stochastic processes with values in $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^k)$ such that $Z_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Z$ in $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^k)$ and almost all trajectories of Z are continuous. Then $\Phi_n(Z_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Phi(Z)$ in $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^\ell)$.*

PROOF. As a result of the Skorokhod construction we can find processes $\tilde{Z}_n$ and a process $\tilde{Z}$, such that $\tilde{Z}_n \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} Z_n$, $\tilde{Z} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} Z$ and

$$\rho(\tilde{Z}_n, \tilde{Z}) \to 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Using the fact that $\tilde{Z}$ has continuous trajectories a.s., we conclude that

$$\|\tilde{Z}_n - \tilde{Z}\|_\infty \to 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Thus we have

$$\|\Phi_n(\tilde{Z}_n) - \Phi(\tilde{Z})\|_\infty \to 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

and hence

$$\Phi_n(\tilde{Z}_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Phi(\tilde{Z}) \quad \text{in } D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^\ell).$$

The last relation implies the desired result. ■

3. PARAMETRIZATIONS OF THE AR(p) MODEL

In addition to the parameters $\beta_{1,n}, \dots, \beta_{p,n}$ and $h_{j,k,n}$, $j = 1, \dots, q$, $k = 1, \dots, r_j$ of the model (9) we introduce two other systems of parameters, which both tend to the limit $c_{j,k}$, $j = 1, \dots, q$, $k = 1, \dots, r_j$ (given in (13)) as $n \to \infty$ and will be useful for the investigation of the LSE.

For $j = 1, \dots, q$, $n \geq 1$ let $d_{j,0,n}, \dots, d_{j,r_j,n} \in \mathbb{C}$ be defined (uniquely) by

$$\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{r_j}(1 - a_{j,k,n}z)}{(1 - a_j z)^{r_j}} = d_{j,0,n} - \sum_{k=1}^{r_j} \frac{d_{j,k,n}}{n^k(1 - a_j z)^k}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{16}$$

LEMMA 2. *For $j = 1, \dots, q$, $k = 1, \dots, r_j$ we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d_{j,k,n} = c_{j,k},$$

where $c_{j,k}$ is defined by (13).

PROOF. Let $u \in \mathbb{C}$, $u \neq 0$. Then substituting

$$z = \frac{1}{a_j}\left(1 - \frac{1}{nu}\right)$$

into (16) we obtain

$$d_{j,0,n} - \sum_{k=1}^{r_j} d_{j,k,n}u^k = \prod_{k=1}^{r_j}\left(\frac{a_{j,k,n}}{a_j} - n\left(\frac{a_{j,k,n}}{a_j} - 1\right)u\right)$$

$$\to \prod_{k=1}^{r_j}(1 - h_{j,k}u) = 1 - c_{j,1}u - \cdots - c_{j,r_j}r^{r_j},$$

because $a_{j,k,n} = e^{h_{j,k,n}/n + i\theta_j} \to e^{i\theta_j} = a_j$ and

$$n\left(\frac{a_{j,k,n}}{a_j} - 1\right) = n(e^{h_{j,k,n}/n} - 1) \to h_{j,k}.$$

■

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

For $j = 1,\dots,q$, $k = 1,\dots,r_j$, $n \geq 1$ let $c_{j,k,n} \in \mathbb{C}$ be defined (uniquely) by

$$\frac{\varphi_n(z)}{\varphi(z)} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\prod_{k=1}^{r_j}(1-a_{j,k,n}z)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q}(1-a_j z)^{r_j}} = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{q}\sum_{k=1}^{r_j}\frac{c_{j,k,n}}{n^k}\frac{a_j z}{(1-a_j z)^k}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad \textbf{(17)}$$

LEMMA 3. *For $j = 1,\dots,q$, $k = 1,\dots,r_j$, $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$c_{j,k,n} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r_j-k}\frac{\psi_{n,j}^{(\ell)}(a_j^{-1})}{n^\ell(-a_j)^\ell \ell!}\, d_{j,k+\ell,n} + \frac{c_{j,k+1,n}}{n},$$

where $c_{j,r_j+1,n} = 0$ and

$$\psi_{n,j}(z) = \prod_{\substack{1\leq m\leq q\\ m\neq j}}\prod_{k=1}^{r_m}\left(\frac{1-a_{m,k,n}z}{1-a_j z}\right) \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For $j = 1,\dots,q$, $k = 1,\dots,r_j$ and sufficiently large $n \geq 1$ we have

$$d_{j,k,n} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r_j-k}\frac{(z\tilde{\psi}_{n,j})^{(\ell)}(a_j^{-1})}{n^\ell(-a_j)^{\ell-1}\ell!}\, c_{j,k+\ell,n},$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_{n,j}(z) = 1/\psi_{n,j}(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

PROOF. For $j = 1,\dots,q$ let Γ_j be a closed curve around the point a_j^{-1}, not containing a_ℓ^{-1}, $\ell \neq j$. Applying Cauchy's integral theorem we obtain for $k = 1,2,\dots,r_j$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_j}\frac{\varphi_n(z)}{\varphi(z)}\, n^{k-1}(1-a_j z)^{k-1}\, dz \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_j}\left(1 - \sum_{m=1}^{q}\sum_{\ell=1}^{r_m}\frac{c_{m,\ell,n}}{n^\ell}\frac{a_m z}{(1-a_m z)^\ell}\right) n^{k-1}(1-a_j z)^{k-1}\, dz \\
&\quad = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_j}\left(\frac{c_{j,k,n}}{n}\frac{a_j z}{1-a_j z} + \frac{c_{j,k+1,n}}{n^2}\frac{a_j z}{(1-a_j z)^2}\right) dz \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{a_j}\left(\frac{c_{j,k,n}}{n} - \frac{c_{j,k+1,n}}{n^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$c_{j,k,n} = \frac{a_j}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_j} n^k(1-a_j z)^{k-1}\frac{\varphi_n(z)}{\varphi(z)}\, dz + \frac{c_{j,k+1,n}}{n}.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_j} n^k(1-a_jz)^{k-1}\,\frac{\varphi_n(z)}{\varphi(z)}\,dz\\
&\quad=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_j} n^k(1-a_jz)^{k-1}\,\frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{r_j}(1-a_{j,\ell,n}z)}{(1-a_jz)^{r_j}}\,\psi_{n,j}(z)\,dz\\
&\quad=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_j} n^k(1-a_jz)^{k-1}\left(d_{j,0,n}-\sum_{\ell=1}^{r_j}\frac{d_{j,\ell,n}}{n^\ell(1-a_jz)^\ell}\right)\psi_{n,j}(z)\,dz\\
&\quad=-\sum_{\ell=k}^{r_j} d_{j,\ell,n}\,\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_j}\frac{\psi_{n,j}(z)}{n^{\ell-k}(1-a_jz)^{\ell-k+1}}\,dz\\
&\quad=-\sum_{\ell=0}^{r_j-k}\frac{\psi_{n,j}^{(\ell)}(a_j^{-1})}{n^\ell(-a_j)^{\ell+1}\ell!}\,d_{j,k+\ell,n}.
\end{aligned}$$

The second statement can be proved similarly. ■

REMARK 1. For $j=1,\dots,q$ we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\psi_{n,j}(a_j^{-1})=1, \tag{18}$$

because for $m\neq j$ we have $\lim_{n\to\infty}(1-a_{m,k,n}a_j^{-1})/(1-a_ma_j^{-1})=1$. Moreover, for $j=1,\dots,q$, $\ell=1,2,\dots$ $\lim_{n\to\infty}\psi_{n,j}^{(\ell)}(a_j^{-1})$ exists. Similarly, for $j=1,\dots,q$ we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\tilde{\psi}_{n,j}(a_j^{-1})=1, \tag{19}$$

and for $j=1,\dots,q$, $\ell=1,2,\dots$ $\lim_{n\to\infty}(z\tilde{\psi}_{n,j})^{(\ell)}(a_j^{-1})$ exists. Consequently we have the following easy corollary.

COROLLARY 1. *For $j=1,\dots,q$, $k=1,\dots,r_j$ we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}c_{j,k,n}=c_{j,k},$$

where $c_{j,k}$ is defined by (13).

4. COMPLEX-VALUED AR(1) PROCESSES

In this section we collect some convergence results for nearly unstable complex-valued AR(1) processes that will be used in the next sections.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

For every $n = 1,2,\ldots$ consider the complex-valued AR(1) model

$$\begin{cases} X_{n,k} = \beta_n X_{n,k-1} + \varepsilon_{n,k}, \quad k = 1,2,\ldots,n, \\ X_{n,0} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

where $\{\varepsilon_{n,k}\}$ is an array of complex random variables and $\beta_n = e^{h_n/n+i\theta}$, where $\{h_n\}$ is a sequence of complex numbers such that $h_n \to h$ and $\theta \in (-\pi,\pi]$. Then $\beta_n \to \beta = e^{i\theta}$.

For $\theta_1 \in (-\pi,\pi]$ the random step functions

$$Y_{n,\theta_1}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\, e^{-i[nt]\theta_1} X_{n,[nt]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,(T_{\theta_1}\mathbf{X}_n)_{[nt]}, \qquad t \in [0,1],$$

$$M_{n,\theta_1}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} e^{-ik\theta_1}\varepsilon_{n,k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} (T_{\theta_1}\varepsilon_n)_k, \qquad t \in [0,1]$$

can be considered as random elements in the complex Skorokhod space $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C})$.

First we investigate convergence of the sequence $Y_{n,\theta}$, $n \geq 1$ in $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C})$.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that* $M_{n,\theta} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} M$ *in* $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C})$, *where* $M(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$ *is a complex-valued continuous semimartingale*.

Then there exist measurable mappings $\Phi, \Phi_n : D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}) \to D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^2)$, $n = 1,2,\ldots$ *such that* $(M_{n,\theta}, Y_{n,\theta}) = \Phi_n(M_{n,\theta})$ *and* $\Phi_n \rightsquigarrow \Phi$, *where* $\Phi(M) = (M,Y)$, *and* $Y(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$ *is the complex-valued Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process defined as the solution of the stochastic differential equation*

$$dY(t) = hY(t)\,dt + dM(t), \qquad Y(0) = 0. \tag{21}$$

Particularly, $Y_{n,\theta} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y$ *in* $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C})$.

REMARK 2. The converse statement is also true and can be proved similarly. We also remark that convergence $M_{n,\theta_1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} M$ for some $\theta_1 \neq \theta$ does not imply convergence of the sequence Y_{n,θ_1}, rotated by a "wrong" angle.

PROOF. The model (20) can be written as

$$(I - e^{h_n/n+i\theta}B)\mathbf{X}_n = \varepsilon_n.$$

Consequently the commutation relation (15) implies that the *rotated* observations $\mathbf{Z}_n = T_\theta \mathbf{X}_n$ again form a nearly unstable complex AR(1) model

$$(I - e^{h_n/n}B)\mathbf{Z}_n = \zeta_n, \tag{22}$$

where $\zeta_n = T_\theta \varepsilon_n$ contains the rotated random disturbances.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

It is known that the process $Y(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$ can be expressed as

$$Y(t) = \int_0^t e^{h(t-s)}\, dM(s), \qquad t \in [0,1].$$

Itô's formula gives also the representation

$$Y(t) = M(t) + h\int_0^t e^{h(t-s)} M(s)\, ds, \qquad t \in [0,1].$$

A similar formula holds for the random step functions $Y_{n,\theta}(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$:

$$Y_{n,\theta}(t) = M_{n,\theta}(t) + h_n \int_0^{[nt]/n} e^{h_n([nt]/n - s)} M_{n,\theta}(s)\, ds, \tag{23}$$

because (22) implies

$$Y_{n,\theta}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} e^{h_n([nt]-k)/n} \zeta_{n,k},$$

and the discrete analogue of the partial integration yields

$$\begin{aligned}
h_n \int_0^{[nt]/n} e^{-h_n s} M_{n,\theta}(s)\, ds &= h_n \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} M_{n,\theta}((j-1)/n) \int_{(j-1)/n}^{j/n} e^{-h_n s}\, ds \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} M_{n,\theta}((j-1)/n)(e^{-h_n(j-1)/n} - e^{-h_n j/n}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \zeta_{n,k}(e^{-h_n(j-1)/n} - e^{-h_n j/n}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{[nt]} \zeta_{n,k}(e^{-h_n(j-1)/n} - e^{-h_n j/n}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]-1} \zeta_{n,k}(e^{-h_n k/n} - e^{-h_n [nt]/n}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \zeta_{n,k}(e^{-h_n k/n} - e^{-h_n [nt]/n}) \\
&= e^{-h_n [nt]/n}(Y_{n,\theta}(t) - M_{n,\theta}(t)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the processes (M,Y) and $(M_{n,\theta}, Y_{n,\theta})$ can be expressed as

$$(M,Y) = \Phi(M), \qquad (M_{n,\theta}, Y_{n,\theta}) = \Phi_n(M_{n,\theta}), \qquad n = 1,2,\ldots,$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where the measurable mappings $\Phi, \Phi_n : D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}) \to D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^2)$, $n = 1,2,\ldots$ are defined as follows:

$$\Phi(x)(t) = \left(x(t), x(t) + h\int_0^t e^{h(t-s)}x(s)\,ds\right),$$

$$\Phi_n(x)(t) = \left(x(t), x(t) + h_n\int_0^{[nt]/n} e^{h_n([nt]/n-s)}x(s)\,ds\right).$$

Applying Lemma 1 we obtain the last statement. ■

Consider now the random step functions

$$U_{n,\theta_1}(t) = \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} e^{-i(k-1)\theta_1}X_{n,k-1},$$

where $\theta_1 \in (-\pi, \pi]$.

THEOREM 2. *Let $\theta_1 \in (-\pi,\pi]$. Let us suppose that $(M_{n,\theta}, M_{n,\theta_1}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (M, M_1)$ in $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^2)$, where $(M(t), M_1(t))$, $t \in [0,1]$ is a continuous semimartingale with values in $\mathbb{C}^2$.*

Then there exist measurable mappings $\Phi, \Phi_n : D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^2) \to D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^3)$, $n = 1,2,\ldots$, such that $(M_{n,\theta}, M_{n,\theta_1}, U_{n,\theta_1}) = \Phi_n(M_{n,\theta}, M_{n,\theta_1})$ and $\Phi_n \rightsquigarrow \Phi$, where

$$\Phi(M, M_1)(t) = \begin{cases} \left(M(t), M(t), \int_0^t Y(s)\,ds\right), & \text{if } \theta_1 = \theta, \\ (M(t), M_1(t), 0), & \text{if } \theta_1 \neq \theta, \end{cases}$$

and $Y(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$ is defined by (21). *Particularly,*

$$\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} e^{-i(k-1)\theta_1}X_{n,k-1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{cases} \int_0^t Y(s)\,ds, & \text{if } \theta_1 = \theta, \\ 0, & \text{if } \theta_1 \neq \theta \end{cases}$$

in $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C})$.

PROOF. If $\theta_1 = \theta$ then

$$U_{n,\theta}(t) = \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} e^{-i(k-1)\theta}X_{n,k-1} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} Y_{n,\theta}((k-1)/n) = \int_0^{[nt]/n} Y_{n,\theta}(s)\,ds,$$

and Theorem 1 gives the result.

If $\theta_1 \neq \theta$ then using

$$X_{n,k} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_n^{k-j}\varepsilon_{n,j}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} e^{-i(k-1)\theta_1} X_{n,k-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} e^{-i(k-1)\theta_1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \beta_n^{k-j-1} \varepsilon_{n,j}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]-1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{[nt]} e^{-i(k-1)\theta_1} \beta_n^{k-j-1} \varepsilon_{n,j}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]-1} \frac{e^{-i[nt]\theta_1} \beta_n^{[nt]-j} - e^{-ij\theta_1}}{e^{-i\theta_1} \beta_n - 1} \varepsilon_{n,j}.$$

Consequently

$$U_{n,\theta_1}(t) = \frac{1}{n(\beta_n - e^{i\theta_1})} (\beta_n e^{i([nt]-1)(\theta-\theta_1)} Y_{n,\theta}(t - n^{-1}) - e^{i\theta_1} M_{n,\theta_1}(t - n^{-1})),$$

and Theorem 1 gives the result. ■

For every $n = 1,2,\ldots$ consider the complex ARMA(1,1) process defined by

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{n,k} - \beta_n \tilde{\varepsilon}_{n,k-1} = \varepsilon_{n,k} - \beta \varepsilon_{n,k-1}, \quad k = 1,2,\ldots,n \\ \tilde{\varepsilon}_{n,0} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

and the random step functions

$$\widetilde{M}_{n,\theta_1}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} e^{-ik\theta_1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{n,k}, \qquad t \in [0,1],$$

where $\theta_1 \in (-\pi, \pi]$.

COROLLARY 2. *Let $\theta_1 \in (-\pi, \pi]$. Let us suppose that $(M_{n,\theta}, M_{n,\theta_1}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (M, M_1)$ in $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^2)$, where $(M(t), M_1(t))$, $t \in [0,1]$ is a continuous semimartingale with values in $\mathbb{C}^2$.*

Then there exist measurable mappings $\Phi, \Phi_n : D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^2) \to D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^3)$, $n = 1,2,\ldots,$ such that $(M_{n,\theta}, M_{n,\theta_1}, \widetilde{M}_{n,\theta_1}) = \Phi_n(M_{n,\theta}, M_{n,\theta_1})$ and $\Phi_n \rightsquigarrow \Phi$, where

$$\Phi(M, M_1) = \begin{cases} (M, M, Y), & \text{if } \theta_1 = \theta \\ (M, M_1, M_1), & \text{if } \theta_1 \neq \theta \end{cases}$$

and $Y(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$ is defined by (21). *Particularly,*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} e^{-ik\theta_1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{n,k} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{cases} Y(t), & \text{if } \theta_1 = \theta \\ M_1(t), & \text{if } \theta_1 \neq \theta \end{cases}$$

in $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C})$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

REMARK 3. Using the notation

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_n = (\tilde{\varepsilon}_{n,1}, \ldots, \tilde{\varepsilon}_{n,n})'$$

we can write the ARMA(1,1) model (24) in the form

$$(I - \beta_n B)\tilde{\varepsilon}_n = (I - \beta B)\varepsilon_n,$$

i.e.,

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_n = \frac{I - \beta B}{I - \beta_n B}\,\varepsilon_n = (I - \beta B)\mathbf{X}_n.$$

Corollary 2 can be interpreted in the following way: the preceding ARMA(1,1) process after a "wrong" rotation has a similar property as the original $\{\varepsilon_{n,k}\}$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$ sequence, whereas after the "appropriate" rotation it is the solution of a stochastic differential equation governed by the process M.

PROOF. If $\theta_1 = \theta$ then using the commutation relation (15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{M}_{n,\theta}(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} (T_\theta \tilde{\varepsilon}_n)_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} (T_\theta (I - e^{i\theta} B)\mathbf{X}_n)_k \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} ((I - B)T_\theta \mathbf{X}_n)_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\, T_\theta X_{n,[nt]} = Y_{n,\theta}(t),\end{aligned}$$

and Theorem 1 gives the result.

If $\theta_1 \neq \theta$ then using

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_{n,k} = ((I - \beta B)\mathbf{X}_n)_k = X_{n,k} - e^{i\theta} X_{n,k-1} = \varepsilon_{n,k} + e^{i\theta}(e^{h_n/n} - 1)X_{n,k-1}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{M}_{n,\theta_1}(t) &= M_{n,\theta_1}(t) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\, e^{i\theta}(e^{h_n/n} - 1) \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} e^{-ik\theta_1} X_{n,k-1} \\ &= M_{n,\theta_1}(t) + n(e^{h_n/n} - 1)e^{i(\theta - \theta_1)} U_{n,\theta_1}(t).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, Theorem 1, Corollary 2, and Lemma 1 imply the statements. ■

5. COMPLEX-VALUED AR(p) PROCESSES

For every $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ consider the complex-valued AR(p) model

$$\begin{cases} X_{n,k} = \beta_{1,n} X_{n,k-1} + \cdots + \beta_{p,n} X_{n,k-p} + \varepsilon_{n,k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \\ X_{n,0} = X_{n,-1} = \cdots = X_{n,1-p} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

where $\{\varepsilon_{n,k}\}$ is an array of complex random variables and $\beta_{1,n}, \ldots, \beta_{p,n}$ are complex numbers. We suppose that the characteristic polynomial of the model has the form

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$\varphi_n(z) = 1 - \beta_{1,n} z - \cdots - \beta_{p,n} z^p = \prod_{j=1}^{q} \prod_{k=1}^{r_j} (1 - a_{j,k,n} z),$$

where $a_{j,k,n} = e^{h_{j,k,n}/n + i\theta_j}$, $h_{j,k,n} \in \mathbb{C}$, $j = 1,\dots,q$, $k = 1,\dots,r_j$, $n \geq 1$, such that $h_{j,k,n} \to h_{j,k}$, as $n \to \infty$, and $\theta_1,\dots,\theta_q \in (-\pi,\pi]$ are different numbers. Clearly

$$\varphi_n(z) \to \varphi(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{q} (1 - a_j z)^{r_j},$$

where $a_j = e^{i\theta_j}$, $j = 1,\dots,q$. Obviously $p = \sum_{j=1}^{q} r_j$.

For $j = 1,\dots,q$, $k = 0,1,\dots,r_j - 1$ consider the random step functions

$$Y_{j,n}^{(k)}(t) = \frac{1}{n^{r_j - k - 1/2}} (T_{\theta_j}(I - a_j B)^{k - r_j} \varphi(B) \mathbf{X}_n)_{[nt]}, \qquad t \in [0,1].$$

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that* $(M_{n,\theta_1},\dots,M_{n,\theta_q}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (M_1,\dots,M_q)$ *in* $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^q)$, *where* $(M_1(t),\dots,M_q(t))$, $t \in [0,1]$ *is a continuous semimartingale with values in* $\mathbb{C}^q$.

Then

$$Y_{j,n}^{(k)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y_j^{(k)}, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \tag{26}$$

jointly for $j = 1,\dots,q$, $k = 0,1,\dots,r_j - 1$ *in* $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^p)$, *where*

$$(Y_j^{(k)}(t); j = 1,\dots,q, k = 0,1,\dots,r_j - 1), \qquad t \in [0,1]$$

is the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process with values in $\mathbb{C}^p$ *defined as the solution of the system of stochastic differential equations*

$$\begin{cases} dY_j^{(r_j-1)}(t) = (c_{j,1} Y_j^{(r_j-1)}(t) + \cdots + c_{j,r_j} Y_j^{(0)}(t))\, dt + dM_j(t) \\ dY_j^{(k)}(t) = Y_j^{(k+1)}(t)\, dt, \qquad k = 0,1,\dots,r_j - 2 \\ Y_j^{(0)}(0) = Y_j^{(1)}(0) = \cdots = Y_j^{(r_j-1)}(0) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

for $j = 1,\dots,q$, *where the complex numbers* $c_{j,1},\dots,c_{j,r_j}$, $j = 1,\dots,q$ *are given by*

$$1 - c_{j,1} z - \cdots - c_{j,r_j} z^{r_j} = \prod_{k=1}^{r_j} (1 - h_{j,k} z), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

PROOF. For $j = 1,\dots,q$, $k = 0,1,\dots,r_j$, $n \geq 1$ consider the random step functions

$$U_{j,k,n}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{[nt]} \left(T_{\theta_j} \prod_{m=1}^{k} \left(\frac{I - a_j B}{I - a_{j,m,n} B} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq u \leq q \\ u \neq j}} \prod_{v=1}^{r_u} \left(\frac{I - a_u B}{I - a_{u,v,n} B} \right) \varepsilon_n \right)_{\ell},$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where

$$\prod_{m=1}^{0}\left(\frac{I-a_jB}{I-a_{j,m,n}B}\right):=I.$$

Repeatedly using Corollary 2 we conclude that there exist measurable mappings $\Phi,\Phi_n: D([0,1]\to\mathbb{C}^q)\to D([0,1]\to\mathbb{C}^{2q+p})$, $n=1,2,\ldots$ such that

$$(M_{n,\theta_1},\ldots,M_{n,\theta_q},U_{1,0,n},\ldots,U_{1,r_1,n},\ldots,U_{q,0,n},\ldots,U_{q,r_q,n})=\Phi_n(M_{n,\theta_1},\ldots,M_{n,\theta_q})$$

and $\Phi_n\rightsquigarrow\Phi$, where

$$\Phi_n(M_1,\ldots,M_q)=(M_1,\ldots,M_q,U_{1,0},\ldots,U_{1,r_1},\ldots,U_{q,0},\ldots,U_{q,r_q})$$

and $U_{j,k}(t)$, $t\in[0,1]$, $j=1,\ldots,q$, $k=0,1,\ldots,r_j$ is given by

$$\begin{cases}dU_{j,k}(t)=h_{j,k}U_{j,k}(t)\,dt+dU_{j,k-1}(t), & j=1,\ldots,q,\quad k=1,\ldots,r_j,\\ U_{j,0}(t)=M_j(t), & j=1,\ldots,q.\end{cases}$$

Particularly,

$$U_{j,k,n}\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}U_{j,k},\quad \text{as } n\to\infty \tag{28}$$

jointly for $j=1,\ldots,q$, $k=0,1,\ldots,r_j$ in $D([0,1]\to\mathbb{C}^{q+p})$. Using

$$U_{j,k-1}(t)=U_{j,k}(t)-h_{j,k}\int_0^t U_{j,k}(s)\,ds$$

for $j=1,\ldots,q$, $k=1,\ldots,r_j$, $t\in[0,1]$, we obtain

$$dU_{j,r_j}(t)=\Bigg(c_{j,1}U_{j,r_j}(t)+c_{j,2}\int_0^t U_{j,r_j}(s)\,ds + \cdots+c_{j,r_j}\int_0^t\int_0^{s_1}\cdots\int_0^{s_{r_j-2}}U_{j,r_j}(s_{r_j-1})\,ds_1\ldots ds_{r_j-1}\Bigg)dt+dM_j(t).$$

On the other hand, by the help of the commutation relation (15) we obtain for $j=1,\ldots,q$

$$Y_{j,n}^{(r_j-1)}(t)=U_{j,r_j,n}(t),\qquad t\in[0,1], \tag{29}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned}Y_{j,n}^{(r_j-1)}(t)&=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,(T_{\theta_j}(I-a_jB)^{-1}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_{[nt]}\\ &=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{\ell=1}^{[nt]}(I-B)(T_{\theta_j}(I-a_jB)^{-1}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_\ell\\ &=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{\ell=1}^{[nt]}(T_{\theta_j}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_\ell=U_{j,r_j,n}(t).\end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Moreover, we have for $j = 1,\dots,q$, $k = 0,1,\dots,r_j - 2$

$$Y_{j,n}^{(k)}(t) = \int_0^{[nt]/n} Y_{j,n}^{(k+1)}(s)\,ds, \tag{30}$$

because by induction

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{j,n}^{(k)}(t) &= \frac{1}{n^{r_j-k-1/2}}\,(T_{\theta_j}(I - a_j B)^{k-r_j}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_{[nt]} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{r_j-k-1/2}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{[nt]} ((I-B)T_{\theta_j}(I - a_j B)^{k-r_j}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_\ell \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{r_j-k-1/2}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{[nt]} (T_{\theta_j}(I - a_j B)^{k-r_j+1}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_\ell = \int_0^{[nt]/n} Y_{j,n}^{(k+1)}(s)\,ds. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence (28) implies

$$(U_{1,r_1,n},\dots,U_{q,r_q,n}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (U_{1,r_1},\dots,U_{q,r_q}), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

from which together with (29) and (30) we conclude (26). ■

For $j,\ell \in \{1,\dots,q\}$, $j \neq \ell$, $k = 1,\dots,r_j - 1$ consider the random step functions

$$Y_{j,\ell,n}^{(k)}(t) = \frac{1}{n^{r_j-k+1/2}}\,(T_{\theta_\ell}(I - a_\ell B)^{-1}(I - a_j B)^{k-r_j}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_{[nt]}, \qquad t \in [0,1].$$

THEOREM 4. *Suppose that* $(M_{n,\theta_1},\dots,M_{n,\theta_q}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (M_1,\dots,M_q)$ *in* $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^q)$, *where* $(M_1(t),\dots,M_q(t))$, $t \in [0,1]$ *is a continuous semimartingale with values in* $\mathbb{C}^q$.

Then

$$Y_{j,\ell,n}^{(k)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \tag{31}$$

jointly for $j,\ell \in \{1,\dots,q\}$, $j \neq \ell$, $k = 1,\dots,r_j - 1$.

PROOF. For $k = r_j - 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{j,\ell,n}^{(r_j-1)}(t) &= \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\,(T_{\theta_\ell}(I - a_\ell B)^{-1}(I - a_j B)^{-1}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_{[nt]} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} ((I-B)T_{\theta_\ell}(I - a_\ell B)^{-1}(I - a_j B)^{-1}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_m \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} (T_{\theta_\ell}(I - a_j B)^{-1}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_m, \end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

and we can apply Theorem 2 (using $\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n$ instead of ε_n), because Theorem 3 implies

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{m=1}^{[nt]}(T_{\theta_j}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_m = Y_{j,n}^{(r_j-1)}(t) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y_j^{(r_j-1)}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{m=1}^{[nt]}(T_{\theta_\ell}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_m = Y_{\ell,n}^{(r_\ell-1)}(t) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y_\ell^{(r_\ell-1)}.$$

For $k = r_j - 2, r_j - 3, \ldots, 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{j,\ell,n}^{(k)}(t) &= \frac{1}{n^{r_j-k+1/2}}(T_{\theta_\ell}(I-a_\ell B)^{-1}(I-a_j B)^{k-r_j}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_{[nt]} \\
&= \frac{1}{n^{r_j-k+1/2}}\sum_{m=1}^{[nt]}((I-B)T_{\theta_\ell}(I-a_\ell B)^{-1}(I-a_j B)^{k-r_j}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_m \\
&= \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\sum_{m=1}^{[nt]}(T_{\theta_\ell}(I-a_j B)^{-1}n^{k-r_j+1}(I-a_j B)^{k-r_j+1}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_m,
\end{aligned}$$

and we can apply Theorem 2 again (using $n^{k-r_j+1}(I-a_j B)^{k-r_j+1}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n$ instead of ε_n), because

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{m=1}^{[nt]}(T_{\theta_j}n^{k-r_j+1}(I-a_j B)^{k-r_j+1}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_m \\
&\quad= \frac{1}{n^{r_j-k-1/2}}\sum_{m=1}^{[nt]}(T_{\theta_j}(I-a_j B)^{k-r_j+1}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_m \\
&\quad= \frac{1}{n^{r_j-k-1/2}}\sum_{m=1}^{[nt]}((I-B)T_{\theta_j}(I-a_j B)^{k-r_j}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_m \\
&\quad= \frac{1}{n^{r_j-k-1/2}}(T_{\theta_j}(I-a_j B)^{k-r_j}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_{[nt]} = Y_{j,n}^{(r_j-k)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y_j^{(r_j-k)}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{m=1}^{[nt]}(T_{\theta_\ell}n^{k-r_j+1}(I-a_j B)^{k-r_j+1}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_m \\
&\quad= \frac{1}{n^{r_j-k-1/2}}\sum_{m=1}^{[nt]}(T_{\theta_\ell}(I-a_j B)^{k-r_j+1}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_m \\
&\quad= \frac{1}{n^{r_j-k-1/2}}\sum_{m=1}^{[nt]}((I-B)T_{\theta_\ell}(I-a_\ell B)^{-1}(I-a_j B)^{k-r_j+1}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_m \\
&\quad= \frac{1}{n^{r_j-k-1/2}}(T_{\theta_\ell}(I-a_\ell B)^{-1}(I-a_j B)^{k-r_j+1}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_{[nt]} = Y_{j,\ell,n}^{(k+1)},
\end{aligned}$$

hence we can use induction for $k = r_j - 2, r_j - 3, \ldots, 1$. ■

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

6. CONVERGENCE OF LEAST-SQUARES ESTIMATORS

The LSE of the parameter $\boldsymbol{\beta}_n = (\beta_{1,n}, \dots, \beta_{p,n})'$ of the model (25) can be obtained by minimizing the sum of squares

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |X_{nk} - \beta_{1,n} X_{n,k-1} - \cdots - \beta_{p,n} X_{n,k-p}|^2$$

$$= \|\mathbf{X}_n - \beta_{1,n} B\mathbf{X}_n - \cdots - \beta_{p,n} B^p \mathbf{X}_n\|^2.$$

It is known that the LSE $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n = (\hat{\beta}_{1,n}, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_{p,n})'$ is the unique solution of the Yule–Walker equations

$$\langle \mathbf{X}_n - \hat{\beta}_{1,n} B\mathbf{X}_n - \cdots - \hat{\beta}_{p,n} B^p \mathbf{X}_n, B^\ell \mathbf{X}_n \rangle = 0, \qquad \ell = 1, \dots, p, \tag{32}$$

and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n$ can be written in the form (2).

LEMMA 4. *The LSE's $\hat{c}_{j,k,n}$, $j = 1, \dots, q$, $k = 1, \dots, r_j$ are the unique solutions of the system of linear equations*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q} \sum_{k=1}^{r_j} \langle q_{j,k}(B)\mathbf{X}_n, q_{u,v}(B)\mathbf{X}_n \rangle \frac{\hat{c}_{j,k,n} - c_{j,k,n}}{n^{k+v}} = \frac{1}{n^v} \langle \varepsilon_n, q_{u,v}(B)\mathbf{X}_n \rangle$$

for $u = 1, .., q$, $v = 1, \dots, r_u$, where

$$q_{j,k}(z) = a_j z (1 - a_j z)^{-k} \varphi(z), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

PROOF. The existence of the unique LSE $\hat{c}_{j,k,n}$ follows from the one-to-one correspondence between the parameters $c_{j,k,n}$, $j = 1, \dots, q$, $k = 1, \dots, r_j$ and $\beta_{n,\ell}$, $\ell = 1, \dots, p$.

Introduce the notation

$$\hat{\varphi}_n(z) = 1 - \hat{\beta}_{1,n} z - \cdots - \hat{\beta}_{p,n} z^p, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad n \geq 1.$$

The model (25) can be written as $\varphi_n(B)\mathbf{X}_n = \varepsilon_n$, whereas the Yule–Walker equation (32) has the form $\langle \hat{\varphi}_n(B)\mathbf{X}_n, B^\ell \mathbf{X}_n \rangle = 0$, $\ell = 1, \dots, p$. Consequently, the LSE $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n$ can be obtained from the system of equations

$$\langle (\varphi_n(B) - \hat{\varphi}_n(B))\mathbf{X}_n, B^\ell \mathbf{X}_n \rangle = \langle \varepsilon_n, B^\ell \mathbf{X}_n \rangle, \qquad \ell = 1, \dots, p,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\langle (\varphi_n(B) - \hat{\varphi}_n(B))\mathbf{X}_n, q_{u,v}(B)\mathbf{X}_n \rangle$$

$$= \langle \varepsilon_n, q_{u,v}(B)\mathbf{X}_n \rangle, \qquad u = 1, \dots, q, \qquad v = 1, \dots, r_u,$$

because the polynomials $q_{u,v}$, $u = 1, \dots, q$, $v = 1, \dots, r_u$ are linearly independent. From the definition of the parameters $c_{j,k,n}$, $j = 1, \dots, q$, $k = 1, \dots, r_j$ it follows

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$\varphi(z) - \varphi_n(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \sum_{k=1}^{r_j} \frac{c_{j,k,n}}{n^k} q_{j,k}(z), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{33}$$

Obviously we have

$$\varphi(z) - \hat{\varphi}_n(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \sum_{k=1}^{r_j} \frac{\hat{c}_{j,k,n}}{n^k} q_{j,k}(z), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{34}$$

Consequently we conclude the statement. ■

From now on we shall put the following condition on the random disturbances $\{\varepsilon_{n,k}\}$.

(C) The system $\varepsilon_{n,k}$, $k = 1,\ldots,n$, $n \geq 1$ is a triangular array of real square integrable martingale differences with respect to the filtrations $(\mathcal{F}_{nk})_{k=0,1,\ldots,n;n\geq 1}$ such that for all $t \in [0,1]$

$$\frac{1}{nt} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{nk}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 1, \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

and

$$\forall \alpha > 0 \qquad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{nk}^2 \chi_{\{|\varepsilon_{nk}| > \alpha\sqrt{n}\}} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

We shall say that $W(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$, is a *standard complex-valued Wiener process*, if $W(t) = W_1(t) + iW_2(t)$, where $(\sqrt{2}W_1(t), \sqrt{2}W_2(t))$, $t \in [0,1]$ is a standard Wiener process with values in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

LEMMA 5. *Suppose that the array* $\varepsilon_{n,k}$, $k = 1,\ldots,n$, $n \geq 1$ *satisfies the condition* (C). *Then*

$$(M_{n,\theta_1},\ldots,M_{n,\theta_q}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (W_1,\ldots,W_q)$$

in $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^q)$, *where* $W_j(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$, $j = 1,\ldots,q$ *are standard Wiener processes*, *real valued for* $\theta_j = 0$ *or* $\theta_j = \pi$ *and complex valued otherwise*. *Moreover*, W_j *and* W_k *are independent if* $\theta_j \neq -\theta_k$ *and* $W_j = \overline{W}_k$ *if* $\theta_j = -\theta_k$.

PROOF. The statement follows from a version of the functional central limit theorem on the space $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^p)$ (Liptser and Shiryayev, 1989, Theorem 7.11), remarking the facts that for $\theta \neq 0$, $\theta \neq \pi$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \cos^2 k\theta = \frac{1}{2},$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sin^2 k\theta = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sin k\theta \cos k\theta = 0$$

and for $\theta, \alpha \in (-\pi, \pi]$, $\theta \neq \pm\alpha$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \cos k\theta \cos k\alpha = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sin k\theta \sin k\alpha$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sin k\theta \cos k\alpha = 0. \qquad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 6. *Suppose that the array* $\varepsilon_{n,k}$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$, $n \geq 1$ *satisfies the condition* (C). *Then*

$$\frac{1}{n^{k+v}} \langle q_{j,k}(B)\mathbf{X}_n, q_{u,v}(B)\mathbf{X}_n \rangle \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_0^1 Y_j^{(r_j-k)}(t)\, \overline{Y_j^{(r_j-v)}(t)}\, dt, & \text{if } j = u, \\ 0, & \text{if } j \neq u, \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{1}{n^{v}} \langle \varepsilon_n, q_{u,v}(B)\mathbf{X}_n \rangle \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \int_0^1 \overline{Y_u^{(r_u-v)}(t)}\, dW_u(t)$$

jointly for $j = 1, \ldots, q$, $k = 1, \ldots, r_j$, $u = 1, \ldots, q$, $v = 1, \ldots, r_u$.

PROOF. If $j = u$ then

$$\frac{1}{n^{k+v}} \langle q_{j,k}(B)\mathbf{X}_n, q_{j,v}(B)\mathbf{X}_n \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^{k+v}} \langle a_j B(I - a_j B)^{-k} \varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n, a_j B(I - a_j B)^{-v} \varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} Y_{j,n}^{(r_j-k)}((\ell-1)/n)\, \overline{Y_{j,n}^{(r_j-v)}((\ell-1)/n)}$$

$$= \int_0^1 Y_{j,n}^{(r_j-k)}(t)\, \overline{Y_{j,n}^{(r_j-v)}(t)}\, dt,$$

and the convergence follows from Theorem 3.

If $j \neq u$ then using the decomposition

$$q_{u,v}(z) = (1 - a_u z)^{-v} \varphi(z) - (1 - a_u z)^{-v+1} \varphi(z)$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{n^{k+v}}\langle q_{j,k}(B)\mathbf{X}_n, q_{n,v}(B)\mathbf{X}_n\rangle \\
&\quad= \frac{1}{n^{k+v}}\left\langle T_{\theta_j}\frac{a_j B\varphi(B)}{(I-a_jB)^k}\mathbf{X}_n, T_{\theta_j}\left(\frac{\varphi(B)}{(1-a_uz)^v}-\frac{\varphi(B)}{(1-a_jz)^{v-1}}\right)\mathbf{X}_n\right\rangle \\
&\quad= \left\langle BT_{\theta_j}\frac{\varphi(B)}{n^{k-1/2}(I-a_jB)^k}\mathbf{X}_n, T_{\theta_j}\frac{\varphi(B)}{n^{v+1/2}(I-a_uB)^v}\mathbf{X}_n\right\rangle \\
&\qquad- \frac{1}{n}\left\langle BT_{\theta_j}\frac{\varphi(B)}{n^{k-1/2}(I-a_jB)^k}\mathbf{X}_n, T_{\theta_j}\frac{\varphi(B)}{n^{v-1/2}(I-a_uB)^{v-1}}\mathbf{X}_n\right\rangle \\
&\quad= \left\langle BT_{\theta_j}\frac{\varphi(B)}{n^{k-1/2}(I-a_jB)^k}\mathbf{X}_n, (I-B)T_{\theta_j}\frac{\varphi(B)}{n^{v+1/2}(I-a_jB)(I-a_uB)^v}\mathbf{X}_n\right\rangle \\
&\qquad- \frac{1}{n}\left\langle BT_{\theta_j}\frac{\varphi(B)}{n^{k-1/2}(I-a_jB)^k}\mathbf{X}_n,\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\left.(I-B)T_{\theta_j}\frac{\varphi(B)}{n^{v-1/2}(I-a_jB)(I-a_uB)^{v-1}}\mathbf{X}_n\right\rangle \\
&\quad= \int_0^1 Y_{j,n}^{(r_j-k)}(t)\,d\overline{Y_{u,j,n}^{(r_u-v)}}(t)-\frac{1}{n}\int_0^1 Y_{j,n}^{(r_j-k)}(t)\,d\overline{Y_{u,j,n}^{(r_u-v+1)}}(t),
\end{aligned}$$

and the convergence follows from Theorems 3 and 4 combined with Proposition 6 in Jeganathan (1991).

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n^v}\langle\varepsilon_n, q_{u,v}(B)\mathbf{X}_n\rangle &= \frac{1}{n^v}\sum_{\ell=1}^n \varepsilon_{n\ell}\overline{(a_uB(I-a_uB)^{-v}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_\ell} \\
&= \sum_{\ell=1}^n n^{-1/2}(T_{\theta_u}\varepsilon_n)_\ell\,\overline{n^{-v+1/2}(BT_{\theta_u}(I-a_uB)^{-v}\varphi(B)\mathbf{X}_n)_\ell} \\
&= \sum_{\ell=1}^n (M_n^{(\theta_u)}(\ell/n)-M_n^{(\theta_u)}((\ell-1)/n))\,\overline{Y_{u,n}^{(r_u-v)}((\ell-1)/n)} \\
&= \int_0^1 \overline{Y_{u,n}^{(r_u-v)}}(t)\,dM_n^{(\theta_u)}(t),
\end{aligned}$$

and the convergence follows again from Theorem 3 combined with Proposition 6 in Jeganathan (1991). ■

THEOREM 5. *Suppose that the array* $\varepsilon_{n,k}$, $k=1,\ldots,n$, $n\geq 1$ *satisfies the condition* (C). *Then*

$$\hat{c}_{j,k,n}\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}\hat{c}_{j,k},\quad \text{as } n\to\infty$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

jointly for $j = 1,\dots,q$, $k = 1,\dots,r_j$, *where* $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j = (\hat{c}_{j,1},\dots,\hat{c}_{j,r_j})'$ *is given by*

$$\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j = S_j^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 \overline{Y_j^{(r_j-1)}(t)}\, dY_j^{(r_j-1)}(t) \\ \vdots \\ \int_0^1 \overline{Y_j^{(0)}(t)}\, dY_j^{(r_j-1)}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{35}$$

where

$$S_j = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 |Y_j^{(r_j-1)}(t)|^2\, dt & \dots & \int_0^1 \overline{Y_j^{(r_j-1)}(t)} Y_j^{(0)}(t)\, dt \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \int_0^1 \overline{Y_j^{(0)}(t)} Y_j^{(r_j-1)}(t)\, dt & \dots & \int_0^1 |Y_j^{(0)}(t)|^2\, dt \end{pmatrix}$$

and the processes $Y_j^{(k)}$, $j = 1,\dots,q$, $k = 1,\dots,r_j$ *are given by* (27).

PROOF. Using Itô's formula we can write

$$\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j = \mathbf{c}_j + S_j^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 \overline{Y_j^{(r_j-1)}(t)}\, dW_j(t) \\ \vdots \\ \int_0^1 \overline{Y_j^{(0)}(t)}\, dW_j(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{c}_j = (c_{j,1},\dots,c_{j,r_j})'$.

From Lemma 4, Corollary 1, and Lemma 6 we can derive

$$\hat{c}_{j,k,n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \hat{c}_{j,k}, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

jointly for $j = 1,\dots,q$, $k = 1,\dots,r_j$. ■

REMARK 4. It is known that $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j$ is the MLE of $\mathbf{c}_j = (c_{j,1},\dots,c_{j,r_j})'$ in the complex-valued continuous time r_j-order autoregressive model

$$\begin{cases} dY_j^{(r_j-1)}(t) = (c_{j,1}Y_j^{(r_j-1)}(t) + \dots + c_{j,r_j}Y_j^{(0)}(t))\, dt + dW_j(t), \\ dY_j^{(k)}(t) = Y_j^{(k+1)}(t)\, dt, \qquad k = 0,1,\dots,r_j-2, \\ Y_j^{(0)}(0) = Y_j^{(1)}(0) = \dots = Y_j^{(r_j-1)}(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $W_j(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$ is a standard complex-valued Wiener process (see, e.g., Arató, 1989).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

7. APPLICATIONS FOR REAL-VALUED AR(p) PROCESSES

Consider now for every $n = 1,2,\ldots$ the real-valued AR(p) model

$$\begin{cases} X_{n,k} = \beta_{1,n} X_{n,k-1} + \cdots + \beta_{p,n} X_{n,k-p} + \varepsilon_{n,k}, \quad k = 1,2,\ldots,n, \\ X_{n,0} = X_{n,-1} = \cdots = X_{n,1-p} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\varepsilon_{n,k}\}$ is an array of real random variables and $\beta_{1,n},\ldots,\beta_{p,n}$ are real numbers. For the sake of simplicity we suppose that the characteristic polynomial of the limit unstable model has all roots on the unit circle. Then the characteristic polynomial has the form

$$\varphi_n(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{r_1} (1 - e^{h_{1,k,n}/n} z) \prod_{k=1}^{r_2} (1 + e^{h_{2,k,n}/n} z) \times \prod_{j=3}^{\ell} \prod_{k=1}^{r_j} ((1 - e^{h_{j,k,n}/n + i\theta_j} z)(1 - e^{\bar{h}_{j,k,n}/n - i\theta_j} z)),$$

where $r_1,\ldots,r_\ell$ are nonnegative integers, $r_1 + r_2 + 2(r_3 + \cdots + r_\ell) = p$, $h_{j,k,n} \in \mathbb{C}$, $j = 1,\ldots,\ell$, $k = 1,\ldots,r_j$, $n \geq 1$, such that $h_{j,k,n} \to h_{j,k}$, as $n \to \infty$, and

$$\prod_{k=1}^{r_1} (1 - e^{h_{1,k,n}/n} z), \qquad \prod_{k=1}^{r_2} (1 + e^{h_{2,k,n}/n} z)$$

are polynomials with real coefficients and $\theta_j \in (0,\pi)$, $j = 3,\ldots,\ell$ are pairwise different. We remark that for the complex conjugate pairs of roots we had to put complex conjugate pairs of parameters $h_{j,k,n}$ and $\bar{h}_{j,k,n}$, $j = 3,\ldots,\ell$, $k = 1,\ldots,r_j$ to assure that the polynomial φ has real coefficients. Obviously it implies that in the other two parametrizations we again have complex conjugate pairs $d_{j,k,n}$, $\bar{d}_{j,k,n}$, and $c_{j,k,n}$, $\bar{c}_{j,k,n}$, $j = 3,\ldots,\ell$, $k = 1,\ldots,r_j$. We shall write $\theta_1 = 0$, $\theta_2 = \pi$. Lemma 5 has the following obvious corollary.

COROLLARY 3. *Suppose that the array $\varepsilon_{n,k}$, $k = 1,\ldots,n$, $n \geq 1$ satisfies the condition* (C). *Then*

$$(M_{n,\theta_1},\ldots,M_{n,\theta_\ell}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (W_1,\ldots,W_\ell)$$

in $D([0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^\ell)$, where $W_j(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$, $j = 1,\ldots,\ell$ are independent standard Wiener processes, real valued for $j = 1,2$ and complex valued for $j = 3,\ldots,\ell$.

Theorem 5 has the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4. *Suppose that the array $\varepsilon_{n,k}$, $k = 1,\ldots,n$, $n \geq 1$ satisfies the condition* (C). *Then*

$$\hat{c}_{j,k,n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \hat{c}_{j,k}, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

jointly for $j = 1,\dots,\ell$, $k = 1,\dots,r_j$, *and for all* $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\prod_{k=1}^{r_j}(1-\hat{h}_{j,k,n}z) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 1-\hat{c}_{j,1}z-\cdots-\hat{c}_{j,r_j}z^{r_j}, \quad \text{as } n\to\infty$$

jointly for $j = 1,\dots,\ell$, *where* $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j = (\hat{c}_{j,1},\dots,\hat{c}_{j,r_j})'$ *is given by* (35). *In other words*, $\hat{c}_{j,k}$, $j = 1,\dots,\ell$, $k = 1,\dots,r_j$ *are the* MLE *of the parameters* $c_{j,k}$, $j = 1,\dots,\ell$, $k = 1,\dots,r_j$ *in the continuous time model* (27). *Loosely speaking*, $\hat{h}_{j,k,n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \hat{h}_{j,k}$.

REMARK 5. From Corollary 4 we can derive a convergence theorem for the LSE of the coefficients $\beta_{1,n},\dots,\beta_{p,n}$ (see Jeganathan, 1991, Theorem 1; the stable case, i.e., when $h_{j,k,n} = 0$, $j = 1,\dots,\ell$, $k = 1,\dots,r_j$ is treated in Chan and Wei, 1988b).

From (33) we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\beta_{1,n}-\beta_1)z+\cdots+(\beta_{p,n}-\beta_p)z^p &= \sum_{k=1}^{r_1}\frac{c_{1,k,n}}{n^k}\frac{z\varphi(z)}{(1-z)^k}-\sum_{k=1}^{r_2}\frac{c_{2,k,n}}{n^k}\frac{z\varphi(z)}{(1+z)^k}\\ &\quad+\sum_{j=3}^{\ell}\sum_{k=1}^{r_j}\left(\frac{c_{j,k,n}}{n^k}\frac{e^{i\theta_j}z\varphi(z)}{(1-e^{i\theta_j}z)^k}+\frac{\bar{c}_{j,k,n}}{n^k}\frac{e^{-i\theta_j}z\varphi(z)}{(1-e^{-i\theta_j}z)^k}\right)\end{aligned}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Obviously for all $n \geq 1$ there is an invertible real $p \times p$ matrix A_n such that

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_n - \boldsymbol{\beta} = A_n\mathbf{C}_n,$$

where

$$\mathbf{C}_n = (\mathbf{c}'_{1,n},\dots,\mathbf{c}'_{\ell,n})'$$

and

$$\mathbf{c}_{j,n} = \begin{cases}(c_{j,1,n},\dots,c_{j,r_j,n})' & \text{for } j = 1,2,\\ (\Re(c_{j,1,n}),\Im(c_{j,1,n}),\dots,\Re(c_{j,r_j,n}),\Im(c_{j,r_j,n}))' & \text{for } j = 3,\dots,\ell.\end{cases}$$

Clearly we also have

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n - \boldsymbol{\beta} = A_n\hat{\mathbf{C}}_n,$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{C}}_n$ contains the LSE. Using Corollaries 1 and 4 we conclude

$$A_n^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n - \boldsymbol{\beta}_n) = \hat{\mathbf{C}}_n - \mathbf{C}_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \hat{\mathbf{C}} - \mathbf{C} = (\hat{\mathbf{c}}'_1 - \mathbf{c}'_1,\dots,\hat{\mathbf{c}}'_\ell - \mathbf{c}'_\ell)',$$

where

$$\mathbf{c}_j = \begin{cases}(c_{j,1},\dots,c_{j,r_j})' & \text{for } j = 1,2,\\ (\Re(c_{j,1}),\Im(c_{j,1}),\dots,\Re(c_{j,r_j}),\Im(c_{j,r_j}))' & \text{for } j = 3,\dots,\ell.\end{cases}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The vector $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j$ contains the MLE, which is given by (35). Corollary 3 implies that now the processes

$$\{(Y_j^{(0)}(t), Y_j^{(1)}(t), \ldots, Y_j^{(r_j)}(t)),\ t \in [0,1]\}, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, \ell$$

are mutually independent and real valued for $j = 1,2$, complex valued for $j = 3, \ldots, \ell$. Furthermore, $\hat{c}_{j,k}, j = 1, \ldots, \ell, k = 1, \ldots, r_j$ are the MLE of the parameters $c_{j,k}, j = 1, \ldots, \ell, k = 1, \ldots, r_j$ in the continuous time model (27). We remark that Jeganathan (1991) and Chan and Wei (1988b) used a slightly different normalization of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n - \boldsymbol{\beta}_n$, but the results are obviously equivalent.

8. EXAMPLES

For illustration first we shall study real-valued AR(2) models near to an unstable model given by

$$\begin{cases} X_{n,k} = \beta_{1,n} X_{n,k-1} + \beta_{2,n} X_{n,k-2} + \varepsilon_{n,k}, & k = 1,2,\ldots,n, \\ X_{n,0} = X_{n,-1} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

where $\{\varepsilon_{n,k}\}$ is an array of real random variables satisfying the condition (C) and $\beta_{1,n}, \beta_{2,n}$ are real numbers.

First consider the case when the limit unstable model has complex roots, i.e., its characteristic polynomial is

$$\varphi(z) = (1 - e^{i\theta}z)(1 - e^{-i\theta}z) = 1 - 2z\cos\theta + z^2.$$

Then we have $\beta_1 = 2\cos\theta$ and $\beta_2 = -1$. The characteristic polynomial of the model (36) has the form

$$\varphi_n(z) = (1 - e^{h_n/n + i\theta}z)(1 - e^{\bar{h}_n/n - i\theta}z),$$

where $h_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $h_n \to h$, as $n \to \infty$ and $\theta \in (0, \pi)$. Remark that (13) implies $c = h$. From (33) we have

$$(\beta_{1,n} - \beta_1)z + (\beta_{2,n} - \beta_2)z^2 = \frac{1}{n}\left(c_n e^{i\theta}z(1 - e^{-i\theta}z) + \bar{c}_n e^{-i\theta}z(1 - e^{i\theta}z)\right)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Comparing the coefficients of z and z^2 we obtain

$$n(\beta_{1,n} - \beta_1) = 2(\Re(c_n)\cos\theta - \Im(c_n)\sin\theta),$$

$$n(\beta_{2,n} - \beta_2) = -2\Re(c_n).$$

Applying Theorem 5 we conclude

$$n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n - \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \begin{pmatrix} n(\hat{\beta}_{1,n} - \beta_1) \\ n(\hat{\beta}_{2,n} - \beta_2) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{pmatrix} 2(\Re(\hat{c})\cos\theta - \Im(\hat{c})\sin\theta) \\ -2\Re(\hat{c}) \end{pmatrix},$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where

$$\hat{c} = \frac{\int_0^1 \overline{Y(t)}\, dY(t)}{\int_0^1 |Y(t)|^2\, dt}$$

and $Y(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$ is the continuous time complex-valued AR(1) process given by

$$dY(t) = hY(t)\, dt + dW(t), \qquad Y(0) = 0,$$

where $W(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$ is a standard complex-valued Wiener process. Moreover, $\hat{c}$ can be interpreted as the MLE of the parameter h. We have also

$$n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n - \boldsymbol{\beta}_n) = \begin{pmatrix} n(\hat{\beta}_{1,n} - \beta_{1,n}) \\ n(\hat{\beta}_{2,n} - \beta_{2,n}) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{pmatrix} 2(\Re(\hat{c} - c)\cos\theta - \Im(\hat{c} - c)\sin\theta) \\ -2\Re(\hat{c} - c) \end{pmatrix},$$

where by Itô's formula we can derive

$$\hat{c} - c = \frac{\int_0^1 \overline{Y(t)}\, dW(t)}{\int_0^1 |Y(t)|^2\, dt}.$$

The preceding convergence statement can be reformulated as

$$n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n - \boldsymbol{\beta}_n) = \begin{pmatrix} n(\hat{\beta}_{1,n} - \beta_{1,n}) \\ n(\hat{\beta}_{2,n} - \beta_{2,n}) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{2}{s_Y^2} \begin{pmatrix} r_{YW}^+ \cos\theta - r_{YW}^- \sin\theta \\ -r_{YW}^+ \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$s_Y^2 = \int_0^1 (Y_1^2(t) + Y_2^2(t))\, dt,$$

$$r_{YW}^+ = \int_0^1 (Y_1(t)\, dW_1(t) + Y_2(t)\, dW_2(t)),$$

$$r_{YW}^- = \int_0^1 (Y_1(t)\, dW_2(t) - Y_2(t)\, dW_1(t)),$$

$W_1(t), W_2(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$ are independent real-valued standard Wiener processes, and the process $(Y_1(t), Y_2(t))$, $t \in [0,1]$ is given by

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$\begin{pmatrix} dY_1(t) \\ dY_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & -\omega \\ \omega & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(t)\,dt \\ Y_2(t)\,dt \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} dW_1(t) \\ dW_2(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

with initial values $Y_1(0) = Y_2(0) = 0$, where $\lambda = \Re(h)$ and $\omega = \Im(h)$. We remark that Corollary 3.3.8 in Chan and Wei (1988b) contains convergence of $n(\hat{\beta}_{2,n} + 1)$ in the stable case, i.e., when $h_n \equiv 0$.

Now consider the case when the limit unstable model has double roots equal to 1, i.e., its characteristic polynomial is

$$\varphi(z) = (1 - z)^2 = 1 - 2z + z^2,$$

and we have $\beta_1 = 2$ and $\beta_2 = -1$. The characteristic polynomial of the model (36) has the form

$$\varphi_n(z) = (1 - e^{h_{1,n}/n}z)(1 - e^{h_{2,n}/n}z),$$

where $h_{k,n} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $h_{k,n} \to h_k$, as $n \to \infty$ for $k = 1, 2$, and the polynomial φ_n has real coefficients. This implies that $h_{1,n}$ and $h_{2,n}$ are real numbers or conjugated complex numbers. The same is valid for h_1 and h_2. Remark that (13) now has the form

$$1 - c_1 z - c_2 z^2 = (1 - h_1 z)(1 - h_2 z), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence $c_1 = h_1 + h_2$ and $c_2 = -h_1 h_2$. From (33) we have

$$(\beta_{1,n} - \beta_1)z + (\beta_{2,n} - \beta_2)z^2 = \frac{1}{n}\, c_{1,n} z(1 - z) + \frac{1}{n^2}\, c_{2,n} z$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Comparing the coefficients of z and z^2 we obtain

$$c_{1,n} = -n(\beta_{2,n} - \beta_2),$$

$$c_{2,n} = n^2(\beta_{1,n} - \beta_1) + n^2(\beta_{2,n} - \beta_2).$$

Applying Corollary 4 as in Remark 4 we conclude

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -n \\ n^2 & n^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{1,n} - \beta_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_{2,n} - \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{c}_{1,n} \\ \hat{c}_{2,n} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{c}_1 \\ \hat{c}_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{c}_1 \\ \hat{c}_2 \end{pmatrix} = S^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 \dot{Y}(t)\, d\dot{Y}(t) \\ \int_0^1 Y(t)\, d\dot{Y}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad S = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 (\dot{Y}(t))^2\, dt & \int_0^1 \dot{Y}(t) Y(t)\, dt \\ \int_0^1 Y(t)\dot{Y}(t)\, dt & \int_0^1 (Y(t))^2\, dt \end{pmatrix}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

and $(Y(t),\dot{Y}(t))$, $t \in [0,1]$ is the continuous time real-valued AR(2) process

$$\begin{cases} d\dot{Y}(t) = ((h_1+h_2)\dot{Y}(t) - h_1h_2Y(t))\,dt + dW(t), \\ dY(t) = \dot{Y}(t)\,dt, \\ Y(0) = \dot{Y}(0) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

where $W(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$ is a standard real-valued Wiener process. Moreover, $\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2$ can be interpreted as the MLE of $c_1 = h_1 + h_2$ and $c_2 = -h_1h_2$. By Itô's formula we can also derive

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -n \\ n^2 & n^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{1,n} - \beta_{1,n} \\ \hat{\beta}_{2,n} - \beta_{2,n} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} S^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 \dot{Y}(t)\,dW(t) \\ \int_0^1 Y(t)\,dW(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The case when the limit unstable model has double roots equal to -1, i.e., its characteristic polynomial is

$$\varphi(z) = (1+z)^2 = 1 + 2z + z^2,$$

can be handled similarly, and we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -n \\ -n^2 & n^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{1,n} - \beta_{1,n} \\ \hat{\beta}_{2,n} - \beta_{2,n} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} S^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 \dot{Y}(t)\,dW(t) \\ \int_0^1 Y(t)\,dW(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $(Y(t),\dot{Y}(t))$, $t \in [0,1]$ is the continuous time real-valued AR(2) process given by (37).

Now consider the case when the limit unstable model has the roots 1 and -1, i.e., its characteristic polynomial is

$$\varphi(z) = (1-z)(1+z) = 1 - z^2,$$

and we have $\beta_1 = 0$ and $\beta_2 = 1$. The characteristic polynomial of the model (36) has the form

$$\varphi_n(z) = (1 - e^{h_{1,n}/n}z)(1 + e^{h_{2,n}/n}z),$$

where $h_{k,n} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $h_{k,n} \to h_k$, as $n \to \infty$ for $k = 1,2$. Remark that (13) implies $c_k = h_k$, $k = 1,2$. From (33) we have

$$(\beta_{1,n} - \beta_1)z + (\beta_{2,n} - \beta_2)z^2 = \frac{1}{n}\,(c_{1,n}z(1+z) - c_{2,n}z(1-z))$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Comparing the coefficients of z and z^2 we obtain

$$2c_{1,n} = n((\beta_{1,n} - \beta_1) + (\beta_{2,n} - \beta_2)),$$
$$2c_{2,n} = n(-(\beta_{1,n} - \beta_1) + (\beta_{2,n} - \beta_2)).$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Applying Corollary 4 as in Remark 4 we conclude

$$\begin{pmatrix} n & n \\ -n & n \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{1,n} - \beta_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_{2,n} - \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\hat{c}_{1,n} \\ 2\hat{c}_{2,n} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{pmatrix} 2\hat{c}_1 \\ 2\hat{c}_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\hat{c}_k = \frac{\int_0^1 Y_k(t)\, dY_k(t)}{\int_0^1 Y_k^2(t)\, dt}$$

and $Y_k(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$, $k = 1,2$ are the (independent) continuous time real-valued AR(1) processes given by

$$dY_k(t) = h_k Y_k(t)\, dt + dW_k(t), \qquad Y_k(0) = 0, \qquad k = 1,2,$$

where $W_k(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$, $k = 1,2$ are independent standard real-valued Wiener processes. Moreover, $\hat{c}_k$, $k = 1,2$ can be interpreted as the MLE of the parameters h_k, $k = 1,2$. We also have

$$\begin{pmatrix} n & n \\ -n & n \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{1,n} - \beta_{1,n} \\ \hat{\beta}_{2,n} - \beta_{2,n} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{pmatrix} 2\int_0^1 Y_1(t)\, dW_1(t) \Big/ \int_0^1 Y_1^2(t)\, dt \\ 2\int_0^1 Y_2(t)\, dW_2(t) \Big/ \int_0^1 Y_2^2(t)\, dt \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next we investigate complex-valued AR(2) models near to an unstable model given by

$$\begin{cases} X_{n,k} = \beta_{1,n} X_{n,k-1} + \beta_{2,n} X_{n,k-2} + \varepsilon_{n,k}, \quad k = 1,2,\ldots,n, \\ X_{n,0} = X_{n,-1} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{38}$$

where $\beta_{1,n}, \beta_{2,n}$ are complex numbers and $\{\varepsilon_{n,k}\}$ is an array of real-valued random variables satisfying the condition (C).

First consider the case where the limit unstable model has different (complex) roots, i.e., its characteristic polynomial is

$$\varphi(z) = (1 - e^{i\theta_1} z)(1 - e^{-i\theta_2} z),$$

where $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in (-\pi, \pi]$, $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$. The characteristic polynomial of the model (38) has the form

$$\varphi_n(z) = (1 - e^{h_{1,n}/n + i\theta_1} z)(1 + e^{h_{2,n}/n + i\theta_2} z),$$

where $h_{k,n} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $h_{k,n} \to h_k$, as $n \to \infty$ for $k = 1,2$. Remark that (13) implies $c_k = h_k$, $k = 1,2$. From (33) we have

$$(\beta_{1,n} - \beta_1) z + (\beta_{2,n} - \beta_2) z^2 = \frac{1}{n}\left(c_{1,n} e^{i\theta_1} z(1 - e^{i\theta_2} z) + c_{2,n}\, e^{i\theta_2} z(1 - e^{i\theta_1} z)\right)$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Comparing the coefficients of z and z^2 we obtain

$$(e^{i\theta_1} - e^{i\theta_2})c_{1,n} = n((\beta_{1,n} - \beta_1) + (\beta_{2,n} - \beta_2)e^{-i\theta_1}),$$
$$(e^{i\theta_1} - e^{i\theta_2})c_{2,n} = n(-(\beta_{1,n} - \beta_1) - (\beta_{2,n} - \beta_2)e^{-i\theta_2}).$$

Applying Theorem 5 we conclude

$$\begin{pmatrix} n & ne^{-i\theta_1} \\ -n & -ne^{-i\theta_2} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{1,n} - \beta_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_{2,n} - \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} = (e^{i\theta_1} - e^{i\theta_2})\begin{pmatrix} \hat{c}_{1,n} \\ \hat{c}_{2,n} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (e^{i\theta_1} - e^{i\theta_2})\begin{pmatrix} \hat{c}_1 \\ \hat{c}_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\hat{c}_k = \frac{\int_0^1 \overline{Y_k(t)}\, dY_k(t)}{\int_0^1 |Y_k(t)|^2\, dt}$$

and $Y_k(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$, $k = 1,2$ are continuous time AR(1) processes given by

$$dY_k(t) = h_k Y_k(t)\, dt + dW_k(t), \qquad Y_k(0) = 0, \qquad k = 1,2,$$

where $W_k(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$, $k = 1,2$ are standard Wiener processes, real valued if $\theta_k = 0$ or $\theta_k = \pi$ and complex valued otherwise. Further, W_1 and W_2 are independent if $\theta_1 \neq -\theta_2$, and $W_1 = \overline{W}_2$ if $\theta_1 = -\theta_2$.

Moreover, $\hat{c}_k$, $k = 1,2$ can be interpreted as the MLE of the parameters h_k, $k = 1,2$. We also have

$$\begin{pmatrix} n & ne^{-i\theta_1} \\ -n & -ne^{-i\theta_2} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{1,n} - \beta_{1,n} \\ \hat{\beta}_{2,n} - \beta_{2,n} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (e^{i\theta_1} - e^{i\theta_2})\begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 \overline{Y_1(t)}\, dW_1(t) \Big/ \int_0^1 |Y_1(t)|^2\, dt \\ \int_0^1 \overline{Y_2(t)}\, dW_2(t) \Big/ \int_0^1 |Y_2(t)|^2\, dt \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now consider the case when the limit unstable model has double (complex) roots equal to $e^{i\theta}$, i.e., its characteristic polynomial is

$$\varphi(z) = (1 - e^{i\theta}z)^2,$$

where $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$. The characteristic polynomial of the model (38) has the form

$$\varphi_n(z) = (1 - e^{h_{1,n}/n + i\theta}z)(1 - e^{h_{2,n}/n + i\theta}z),$$

where $h_{k,n} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $h_{k,n} \to h_k$, as $n \to \infty$ for $k = 1,2$. Remark that (13) implies $c_1 = h_1 + h_2$ and $c_2 = -h_1 h_2$. From (33) we have

$$(\beta_{1,n} - \beta_1)z + (\beta_{2,n} - \beta_2)z^2 = \frac{1}{n}\, c_{1,n} e^{i\theta} z(1 - e^{i\theta}z) + \frac{1}{n^2}\, c_{2,n} e^{i\theta} z$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Comparing the coefficients of z and z^2 we obtain

$$c_{1,n} = -n(\beta_{2,n} - \beta_2)e^{-2i\theta},$$

$$c_{2,n} = n^2(\beta_{1,n} - \beta_1)e^{-i\theta} + n^2(\beta_{2,n} - \beta_2)e^{-2i\theta}.$$

Applying Theorem 5 we conclude

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ne^{-2i\theta} \\ n^2e^{-i\theta} & n^2e^{-2i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{1,n} - \beta_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_{2,n} - \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{c}_{1,n} \\ \hat{c}_{2,n} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{c}_1 \\ \hat{c}_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{c}_1 \\ \hat{c}_2 \end{pmatrix} = S^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 \overline{\dot{Y}(t)}\, d\dot{Y}(t) \\ \int_0^1 \overline{Y(t)}\, d\dot{Y}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad S = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 |\dot{Y}(t)|^2\, dt & \int_0^1 \overline{\dot{Y}(t)} Y(t)\, dt \\ \int_0^1 \overline{Y(t)} \dot{Y}(t)\, dt & \int_0^1 |Y(t)|^2\, dt \end{pmatrix}$$

and $(Y(t), \dot{Y}(t))$, $t \in [0,1]$ is the continuous time AR(2) process

$$\begin{cases} d\dot{Y}(t) = ((h_1 + h_2)\dot{Y}(t) - h_1 h_2 Y(t))\, dt + dW(t), \\ dY(t) = \dot{Y}(t)\, dt, \\ Y(0) = \dot{Y}(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $W(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$ is a standard Wiener process, real valued if $\theta = 0$ or $\theta = \pi$ and complex valued otherwise.

Moreover, $\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2$ can be interpreted as the MLE of $c_1 = h_1 + h_2$ and $c_2 = -h_1 h_2$. By Itô's formula we can also have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ne^{-2i\theta} \\ n^2e^{-i\theta} & n^2e^{-2i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{1,n} - \beta_{1,n} \\ \hat{\beta}_{2,n} - \beta_{2,n} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} S^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 \overline{\dot{Y}(t)}\, dW(t) \\ \int_0^1 \overline{Y(t)}\, dW(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Comparing the complex-valued AR(2) models with the real-valued AR(2) models we observe that convergence of LSE's in the real-valued models can be derived from the complex-valued case by taking into account the extra requirement that the coefficients should be real numbers. However, the formulations in the context of complex-valued models are remarkably simpler.

As we have seen, a multiple root in the model implies a higher order autoregressive component in the corresponding continuous time model. Different but not conjugated roots imply components driven by independent Wiener processes in the continuous time model. In case the roots are conjugated pairs, then the components are driven by conjugated complex-valued Wiener processes. A real root is connected to a real-valued Wiener process, and a complex root is connected to a complex-valued Wiener process, even if the model has real coefficients!

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press

We finally note that convergence of LSE's in models with complex-valued disturbances $\{\varepsilon_{n,k}\}$ can be handled similarly (see the AR(1) case in Kormos, van der Meer, Pap, and van Zuijlen, 1995).

REFERENCES

Ahtola, J. & G.C. Tiao (1987) Distribution of least squares estimators of autoregressive parameters for a process with complex roots on the unit circle. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 8, 1–14.

Anderson, T.W. (1959) On asymptotic distributions of estimates of parameters of stochastic difference equations. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 30, 676–687.

Arató, M. (1982) *Linear Stochastic Systems with Constant Coefficients. A Statistical Approach*. Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, vol. 45. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. (In Russian, Moscow: Nauka, 1989.)

Billingsley, P. (1968) *Convergence of Probability Measures.* New York: Wiley.

Chan, N.H. & C.Z. Wei (1987) Asymptotic inference for nearly nonstationary AR(1) processes. *Annals of Statistics* 15, 1050–1063.

Chan, N.H. & C.Z. Wei (1988a) The parameter inference for nearly nonstationary time series. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 83, 857–862.

Chan, N.H. & C.Z. Wei (1988b) Limiting distributions of least squares estimates of unstable autoregressive processes. *Annals of Statistics* 16, 367–401.

Dickey, D.A. & W.A. Fuller (1979) Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 74, 427–431.

Dzhaparidze, K., J. Kormos, T. van der Meer, & M. van Zuijlen (1994) Parameter estimation for nearly nonstationary AR(1) processes. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 19, 29–41.

Jeganathan, P. (1991) On the asymptotic behavior of least-squares estimators in AR time series with roots near the unit circle. *Econometric Theory* 7, 269–306.

Kormos, J. (1991) Hypothesis testing for nearly nonstationary AR(1) models with Gaussian autoregressive innovation. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 21, 129–136.

Kormos, J., T. van der Meer, G. Pap, & M. van Zuijlen (1995) Asymptotic inference of nearly nonstationary complex-valued AR(1) processes. In *Exploring Stochastic Laws*, Festschrift in honour of the 70th birthday of academician V.S. Korolyuk, pp. 201–218. The Netherlands: VSP.

Kormos, J. & G. Pap (1994) Nearly nonstationary multidimensional AR(1) processes. In A.V. Balakrishnan (ed.), *4th International Conference on Advances in Communication and Control*. Proceedings, Rhodes, Greece, 1993. Las Vegas: University of Nevada.

Kormos, J. & V.I. Piterbarg (1989) Criterion of nonstationarity of Gaussian autoregressive time series in case near alternatives. *Problemy Peredachi Informatsii* 27, 70–75.

Liptser, R.Sh. & A.N. Shiryayev (1989) *Theory of Martingales.* Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Mann, H.B. & A. Wald (1943) On the statistical treatment of linear stochastic difference equations. *Econometrica* 11, 173–220.

Pap, G. & M.C.A. van Zuijlen (1996) Asymptotic inference for nearly unstable multidimensional AR processes. *Theory of Probability and Its Applications* 41, 703–710.

Phillips, P.C.B. (1987) Towards a unified asymptotic theory for autoregression. *Biometrika* 74, 535–547.

Rao, M.M. (1978) Asymptotic distribution of an estimator of the boundary parameter of an unstable process. *Annals of Statistics* 6, 185–190.

White, J.S. (1958) The limiting distribution of the serial correlation coefficient in the explosive case. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 29, 1188–1197.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699152034 Published online by Cambridge University Press