Index

Abbott, Greg, 1, 14–15
addiction issues, social media as influence on, 41–47
adolescents, social media influences on, 41–47
  body image issues, 45–46, 50
  legislative regulations regarding, 42
  mental health issues, 43–45
advertising revenue
  data privacy for children and, 161–62
  hate speech content as influence on, 109–10
  for Meta, 146–48
  for TikTok, 147
  for Twitter, 146–48
  for YouTube, 147
The Age of Surveillance Capitalism (Zuboff), 51
algorithms. *See* platform algorithms
Alito, Samuel (Justice), 23, 63, 72, 77–79
anchorman/network reporters, as gatekeepers, 99. *See also specific people*
antisemitism, 19
Apple, data privacy issues and, 53–54
Auchincloss, Jake, 178

Bache, Benjamin Franklin, 101
Baker, Gerard, 17
Baldwin, Adam, 34–35
Balkin, Jack, 57
Bambauer, Jane, 40, 114, 157
Bannon, Steve, 9–10, 34–35
  data privacy and, 51
Barrett, Amy Coney (Justice), 142, 145
Bezos, Jeff, 57–58
Biden, Hunter, 107
Biden, Joseph, 11–12. *See also* 2020 presidential election
  COVID-19 policies, 31
  on Section 230, 124
Big Data, 51–54
  surveillance capitalism, 52–53, 57–58
  targeted advertising with, 53–54
Big Tech, 13–14. *See also* social media
  data privacy and, 51
body image issues, social media as influence on, 3–4
  among adolescent girls, 45–46, 50
  progressive response to, 41–47
Boy Scouts of America v. Dale, 78–79
Brandeis, Louis (Justice), 108, 193
Breitbart, 34–35
broadcast media. *See also specific news organizations*
  anchorman/network reporters as gatekeepers in, 99
  Federal Communications Commission and establishment of, 98–99
    Fairness Doctrine, 22–23, 101–3
    news organization rules under, 22–23
  as institutional gatekeepers, 98–100
  radio, 98
  television news broadcasters, 98–100
ByteDance, 58–59, 168

California Age-Appropriate Design Code Act (CAADCA), U.S., 161–62
California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), U.S., 51–52, 149–52, 158–60, 183–84
  data transfer and sales under, 186–88
  regulatory scope of, 151–52
Cambridge Analytica scandal, 9–10, 51
Candeub, Adam, 69–70, 122
capitalism, surveillance, 52–53, 57–58
Carlson, Tucker, 104
Catholic Church, Index of Prohibited Books, 4–5
CCPA. *See* California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), U.S.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 00:56:01, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009547703.011

censorship, social media and
through deplatforming, 9
after January 6th Capitol attacks, 9
misinformation and, during COVID-19 pandemic, 11–12
prohibition of hate speech, 18–19
Twitter Files, 16–19
children. *See also* adolescents, social media influences on
data privacy for, 160–63
under General Data Protection Regulation, 162
NetChoice v. Bonta, 161–62
public disclosure limits, 163
targeted advertising, 161–62
China
data privacy issues and, 55
TikTok as spying platform for, 58–59
Citron, Danielle Keats, 33–34, 127–28, 145, 176–79
CJEU. *See* Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
Clinton, Bill, 2, 27
Clinton, Hilary, 27. *See also* 2016 presidential election
use of social media by, 9
CNN, 21–22
common carriage status
Alito on, 77–79
in English common law, 64–67
Federal Communications Act of 1934, 64
historical scope of, 64–67
holding out approach to, 66
Interstate Commerce Act of 1887, 64
public interest issues and, 68–69
during technological revolutions, 64–65
for telecommunications companies, 74
Thomas on, 65–66, 68–70, 72, 77–79, 87
common carriers, social media platforms as
conceptual approach to, 62–63
in court cases, 62–63, 65–66, 72
constitutional issues in, 71
NARUC I/II cases, 66, 68
NetChoice cases, 62–63, 71–83, 85
critiques of, 86–91
in Florida, 89–91
for illegal content, 88
for lawful-but-awful content, 88–89
in Texas, 89–91
definition of, 63–70
deplatforming of users, 63–64, 67, 76
regulatory proposals against, 86, 89–90
editorial rights issues, 70–77, 79–81
First Amendment protection arguments, 71–74, 78–80, 82–83, 85
ideological content and, 77, 83
transparency requirements, 83
viewpoint neutrality and, 90
Facebook and, 67–68
NetChoice cases, 62–63, 71–81, 85
regulatory proposals for, 84–86
against deplatforming of users, 86, 89–90
for hate speech, 85–86, 90–91
terms of service for, 76–77
TikTok and, 67–68
common law, common carriage status in, 64–67
Communications Decency Act, U.S., 31–32. *See also* Section 230, of Communications Decency Act
Conservative Political Action Conference (CPAC), 18–19
conservatives, conservative media and. *See also* Fox News
Breitbart, 34–35
CPAC convention, 18–19
4Chan, 34–35
hate speech and, 19
Musk role in, 24
Section 230 reforms by, 125–26
Texas Republican Party, 18–19
Twitter support of, 20, 24
"War on Big Tech" and, 15
"War on Woke" and, 13–15
content moderation, for hate speech
on Facebook, 96, 110
incentives for, 115–17
by government, 115–16
by private platforms, 116–17
Zuckerberg's influence on, 96
Corren, Ella, 188–89
Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU), 152–55
COVID-19 pandemic
during Biden Administration, 31
Fox News misinformation on, 31
gatekeepers during
government as, 92–93, 96
social media as, 107
history of, 28
misinformation through social media, 10–12
anti-misinformation policies and, 30
censorship claims and, 11–12
claims of social media censorship and, 11–12
on Facebook, 19–20
of false cures, 29–30
progressives' response to, 28–33
on Twitter, 19–20
Section 230 during, 92–93
CPAC. *See* Conservative Political Action Conference (CPAC)
Cronkite, Walter, 99, 102
Cruz, Ted, 9–12

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 00:56:01, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009547703.011

data erasure requirements, 160
data integrity, 155–57
data privacy, social media companies and, 155–57. *See also* General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), EU
  analysis of, 163
  Apple, 53–54
  Big Data and, 51–54
    surveillance capitalism, 52–53, 57–58
    targeted advertising with, 53–54
  Big Tech and, 51
  Cambridge Analytica scandal and, 9–10, 51
  for children, 160–63
    under General Data Protection Regulation, 162
    NetChoice v. Bonta, 161–62
    public disclosure limits, 163
    targeted advertising, 161–62
  China and, 55
    TikTok as spying platform for, 58–59
  conceptual approach to, 51–52
  counter considerations to, 58–61
  data erasure requirements, 160
  data integrity strategies, 155–57
  data transfers and sales and, 185–86
    under California Consumer Privacy Act, 186–88
    under General Data Protection Regulation, 186–88
  dignitary privacy and, 153
  in EU, 54
    in CJEU cases, 152–55
    Google Spain case, 152–55, 157
  Facebook and, 9–10, 51, 160
  free speech and, 157–60
    First Amendment protections for, 158–60
  network effects and, 156
  reform proposals, 183–90
  restriction of access to, 60–61
  risks and threats to, 54–58
    in data storage, 54–55
    financial information, 59–60
    information leaks, 54–55, 59–60
  Russia and, 55
  social manipulation through, 60
  on TikTok, 56, 160
    banning of, 58–59
    as spying platform, 58–59
  transparency strategies, 155–57, 159
  Uber, 55–56
  in U.S., 54
    under California Age-Appropriate Design Code Act, 161–62
    under California Consumer Privacy Act, 51–52, 149–52, 158–60, 183–84
  on YouTube, 160
data transfers and sales, regulation of, 185–86
  under California Consumer Privacy Act, 186–88
  under General Data Protection Regulation, 186–88
deepfakes, 176
  under *Intimate Privacy Protection Act*, 178
deplatforming, of users
  common carriers and, 63–64, 67, 76
    regulatory proposals against, 86, 89–90
  of Trump, D., 9
    First Amendment arguments against, 15
    after January 6th Capitol attacks, 12–13
DeSantis, Ron, 1, 3
  claims of social media bias, 13–14
  political polarization and, 41
  "War on Woke" and, 13–14
Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA), U.S., 136, 177
Digital Services Act (DSA), EU, 137–38, 148, 175
dignitary privacy, 153
disinformation. *See* misinformation, disinformation and
DMCA. *See* Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA), U.S.
doom scrolling, 42
doxing, 33
DSA. *See* Digital Services Act (DSA), EU

The Economist, 42
editorial rights
  for social media, 70–77, 79–81
    First Amendment protection arguments, 71–74, 78–80, 82–83, 85
    ideological content and, 77, 83
    legal implications of, 82–84
    viewpoint neutrality and, 90
  for traditional media, 74–75
election fraud, 2020 presidential election and, 12
Epstein, Richard, 29–30
European Union (EU). *See also* General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), EU
  data privacy issues in, 54
    in CJEU cases, 152–55
    Google Spain case, 152–55, 157
  Digital Services Act, 137–38, 148, 175

Facebook, 4, 24
  Cambridge Analytica scandal, 9–10, 51
  Community Standards, 17–19
  data privacy issues, 9–10, 51, 160
  hate speech controls, 18–19
    progressive responses to, 35–36
  misinformation on
    claims of political pressure on, 20–21
    during COVID-19 pandemic, 19–21
  prohibition of hate speech on, 18–19

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 00:56:01, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009547703.011

Fairness Doctrine, 22–23, 101
  repeal of, 102–1
FCC. *See* Federal Communications Commission (FCC)
Federal Communications Act of 1934, U.S., 64
Federal Communications Commission (FCC)
  establishment of, 98–99
  Fairness Doctrine and, 22–23, 101
    repeal of, 102–3
  news organization rules under, 22–23
Federal Trade Commission (FTC), 171–72
Fight Online Sex Trafficking Act (FOSTA), U.S. (2018), 176–77
films and cinema
  early negative reactions of, 5
  First Amendment protections for, 5
filter bubbles, 38–41
First Amendment
  data privacy under, 158–60
  editorial rights protections, 71–74, 78–80, 82–83, 85
  government gatekeepers and, 93–95
  hate speech and, 36
  protections for film and cinema, 5
Florida
  common carrier issues in, 89–91
  legislation on social media in, 13–14
FOSTA. *See* Fight Online Sex Trafficking Act (FOSTA), U.S. (2018)
4Chan, 34–35
Fox News, 21–23
  conservative slant of, 22
  COVID-19 misinformation on, 31
  political polarization influenced by, 2, 50
  social media compared to, 23
Franks, Mary Anne, 34–35, 127–28, 145
FTC. *See* Federal Trade Commission (FTC)

Gab, 24, 31
Gaetz, Matt, 18
Gamergate, 33
gatekeepers
  definition of, 92
  for disinformation, 94–95
  government as, 92–96
    during COVID-19 pandemic, 92–93, 96
    First Amendment protections in, 93–95
    under Section 230, 92–95
  for hate speech prohibitions, 94–95
  institutional, 96–104
    anchorman/network reporters as, 99
    collapse of, 102–4
    Murrow–Cronkite Effect, 102, 104
    newspapers, 98, 101
    objectivity of, 100–2
    radio, 98
    television news broadcasters, 98–100
  new technologies as influence on, 102–4
  political polarization influenced by, 104
  social media as, 103–8
    during COVID-19 pandemic, 107
    economic incentives for, 106
    lack of expertise and, 107–8
    lack of training, 106–7
    misinformation and, 107
  traditional media as, 6, 97–99
General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), EU, 167
  Article 6, 149–50
  data privacy rights under, 149–52, 156–57, 159, 162, 183–84
  data processing under, 150
  data transfer and sales under, 186–88
  regulatory scope of, 150–51
Germany
  hate speech in, 111
    under NetzDG law, 36–37, 112
    progressives' response to, 36–37
  NetzDG law, 36–37, 112, 166
Gianforte, Greg, 58–59
Gillespie, Tarleton, 143
Gingrich, Newt, 2, 41
girls. *See* adolescents
Goldman, Eric, 46–47, 88
Google Spain case, 152–55, 157
Gorsuch, Neil (Justice), 23, 63, 72
Graham, Linsey, 124
Greeley, Horace, 101
Greene, Marjorie Taylor, 18, 41
Grewal, Gurbir S., 36, 93
Gutenberg, Johannes, 4

Haidt, Jonathan, 39, 42, 113–14
harassment. *See* online harassment
Harris, Kamala, 60
hate speech, on social media, 108–12
  antisemitism and, 19
  conservatives and, 19
  on Facebook, 18–19
    for content moderation, 96, 110
    progressives' response to, 35–36
  in Germany, 111
    under NetzDG law, 36–37, 112
    progressives' response to, 36–37
  progressives' response to, 35–38
    Facebook and, 35–36
    First Amendment protections, 36
    in Germany, 36–37
    legislative regulations and, 35–37
  regulation for common carriers, 85–86, 90–91
  on Twitter, 18–19, 109–10

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 00:56:01, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009547703.011

advertising revenue influenced by, 109–10
progressive critics of, 109
in U.S.
First Amendment protections, 36
under Section 230 of Communications Decency Act, 112
in Supreme Court case law, 36
hateful conduct. *See* hate speech, on social media
Haugen, Francine, 45–47
Hawley, Josh, 1, 42–43, 126, 139
Healey, Maura, 52
Hearst, William Randolph, 98, 100
Henry VIII, 4–5
Hinson, Ashley, 178
Hippocrates, 164–65
Hofstadter, Richard J., 49
Holmes, Oliver Wendell (Justice), 108
Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual Group of Boston, 78–79
Huxley, Aldous, 194

illegal content, 88
immunity, for speech, under Section 230, 131–40
for content moderation, 122, 133, 135, 138–40, 143–44
relationship to First Amendment, 121–22, 131–34, 139–40, 145
for third-party content, 131–38
Index of Prohibited Books, 4–5
India, 138, 166
Information Technology Act, India (2000), 138, 166
Ingraham, Laura, 75
Instagram, 24
institutional gatekeepers, 96–104. *See also* media, traditional
anchorman/network reporters as, 99
collapse of, 102–4
Murrow–Cronkite Effect, 102, 104
newspapers, 98, 101
objectivity of, 100–2
radio, 98
television news broadcasters, 98–100
Interstate Commerce Act of 1887, U.S., 64
Intimate Privacy Protection Act, U.S., 178

Jackson, Ketanji Brown (Justice), 72
Jackson, Robert (Justice), 69
John Birch Society, 49
Johnson, Lyndon, 99
Jones, Meg Leta, 149
Jordan, Jim, 20–21
journalism, journalists and
Code of Ethics for, 100
as institutional gatekeepers, 98, 101
Society of Professional Journalists, 100

Kagan, Elena (Justice), 72
Kaminski, Margot, 149
Kennedy, Anthony M. (Justice), 7
Kennedy, Robert F., Jr., 104
Klobuchar, Amy, 1, 31–32, 84–85, 92, 126–27

lawful-but-awful content, 88–89
Lawrence, Jennifer, 54
Lemmon v. Snap, 129–30
Levin, Mark, 22
liberal bias
in social media, 2–3, 9
DeSantis on, 13–14
Twitter Files and, 16–19
"War on Woke," 13–14
Limbaugh, Rush, 22
Lujan, Ben Ray, 31–32
Luther, Martin, 4

Maddow, Rachel, 75
Madison, James, 89–90
manipulation. *See* political manipulation, through social media
McCarthy, Joseph, 99
media, traditional. *See also* broadcast media; print media; *specific news organizations*
editorial rights for, 74–75
as gatekeepers, 6, 97–99
social media compared to, 23–25, 182–83
displacement in media sphere by, 103–4
Fox News and, 23
mental health, social media as influence on, progressives' response to, 42–45
for adolescents, 43–45
suicidal ideation and, 43
Meta. *See also* Facebook; Instagram
targeted advertising revenue for, 146–48
Miers, Jess, 88
misinformation, disinformation and
anti-misinformation policies, 30
during COVID-19 pandemic, 10–12
anti-misinformation policies, 30
claims of social media censorship and, 11–12
on Facebook, 19–21
of false cures, 29–30
progressives' response to, 28–33
on Twitter, 19–20
progressives' response to, 48
during COVID-19 pandemic, 28–33
on political manipulation, 26–28
2020 presidential election and, of election fraud, 12

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 00:56:01, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009547703.011

Modi, Narendra, 138
Moody v. NetChoice (*NetChoice* cases), 62–63, 71–81
MSNBC, 21–22
Murrow, Edward R., 99, 102
Murthy, Vivek, 31, 44–45
Musk, Elon, 3, 15–16, 19–20, 30, 168–69. *See also* Twitter
  conservative messaging favored by, 24
  global impact and power of, 57–58, 60, 166–67
  Trump, Donald, support of, 57
MySpace, 4

NARUC I/II cases, 66, 68
NetChoice cases, 62–63, 71–81, 85
  Section 230 and, 132–33, 140, 145
NetChoice v. Bonta, 161–62
NetChoice v. Paxton. *See NetChoice* cases
network effects, data privacy and, 156
NetzDG law, in Germany, 36–37, 112
  Section 230 compared to, 135–36
New York Times v. Sullivan, 131–33
newspapers, as institutional gatekeepers, 98, 101
Nunes, Devin, 13
Nunziato, Dawn, 137

Ochs, Adolph, 98, 100
online harassment, 33–35, 108–12
  against African Americans, 33–34
  doxing, 33
  4Chan, 34–35
  through threats of violence, 33–34
  of women, 33–35
    Gamergate, 33
    revenge porn, 33

The Paranoid Style in American Politics (Hofstadter), 49
Parler, 24, 31
Pelosi, Nancy, 1
platform algorithms
  political manipulation through, 114–15
  political polarization influenced by, 40
  TikTok, 173
polarization, political. *See also* political manipulation, through social media
  Fox News and, 2, 50
  gatekeepers as influence on, 104
  progressives' response to, 38–41
    arguments against social media influence, 40–41
    platform algorithms as influence on, 40
  social media as influence on, 2
political manipulation, through social media, 113–15
  political polarization and, 113–15
    platform algorithms, 114–15
  of progressives
    through misinformation, 26–28
    by Russian actors, 26–28
    during 2016 presidential election, 26–28
  by Russia
    of progressives, 26–28
    in U.S. presidential elections, 113
pornography. *See also* deepfakes; illegal content
  under *Intimate Privacy Protection Act*, 178
Post, Robert, 152
presidential elections, in U.S.
  2016 election, 26–28
  2020 election, 12
Prigozhin, Yevgeny, 27
print media. *See also* journalism, journalists and
  early negative response to, 4–5
  Index of Prohibited Books, 4–5
  newspapers, 98, 101
  origins of, 4–5
privacy. *See* data privacy, social media companies and
procedural rights, for social media users, 179–83
  for content moderation, 180
  under Digital Services Act, 183
  in *NetChoice* cases, 180–82
progressives, social media and
  addiction and, 41–47
  body image issues influenced by, 41–47
    among adolescent girls, 45–46, 50
  children and adolescent issues and, 41–47
    body image issues, 45–46, 50
    legislative regulations for, 42
    mental health concerns, 43–45
  disinformation and, 48
  filter bubbles and, 38–41
  hate speech controls and, 35–38
    Facebook and, 35–36
    First Amendment protections, 36
    in Germany, 36–37
    legislative regulations, 35–37
    under NetzDG law, 36–37
  ideological silos and, 38–41
  mental health issues and, 42–45
    for adolescents, 43–45
    suicidal ideation and, 43
  misinformation and disinformation, 48
    during COVID-19 pandemic, 28–33
    political manipulation through, 26–28
  online harassment and, 33–35
    against African Americans, 33–34
    doxing, 33
    revenge porn, 33

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 00:56:01, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009547703.011

through threats of violence, 33–34
against women, 33–35
political manipulation of
through misinformation, 26–28
by Russian actors, 26–28
during 2016 presidential election, 26–28
political polarization influenced by, 38–41
arguments against, 40–41
platform algorithms as influence on, 40
Section 230 reforms, 126–28
Protestant Reformation, 4
public accommodation laws. *See also* common carriage status
common carriers and, 78–79
Pulitzer, Joseph, 98, 100
Putin, Vladimir, 27

QAnon conspiracy, 49, 104

radio, as institutional gatekeeper, 98
Rand, David G., 20
Reddit, 24
#Republic (Sunstein), 38
Republic.com (Sunstein), 38
revenge porn, 33
Ricks, Morgan, 70
Rogers, Yvonne Gonzales, 130–31
Roosevelt, Franklin Delano, 98
Rubio, Marco, 126, 139
Russia, social media use by
data privacy issues and, 55
political manipulation in U.S., 26–28

Salk, Jonas, 102
Scalia, Antonin (Justice), 5
Section 230, of Communications Decency Act
Barrett's opinions on, 142, 145
Biden, J., on, 124
common carriers and, 69–70
regulatory proposals regarding, 85–86
conceptual approach to, 118
during COVID-19 pandemic, 92–93
criticism of, 118–19, 123–28
defense of, 123, 131–41
analysis of, 141, 143
First Amendment in relation to, 121–22, 131–34, 139–40, 145
hate speech on social media under, 112
historical development of, 119–23
immunity under, 131–40
for content moderation, 122, 133, 135, 138–40, 143–44
for third-party content, 131–38
key provisions of, 120–23
Lemmon v. Snap and, 129–30
liability under, 135–36
limits of, 128–31
NetChoice cases, 132–33, 140, 145
NetzDG law compared to, 135–36
New York Times v. Sullivan and, 131–33
reform proposals for, 118–19, 125–28, 175–79
by conservatives, 125–26
by progressives, 126–28
repeal proposals, 125
social media platforms and, 128–30, 173
protections for algorithms, 141–45
take-down regimes and, 136–38
Thomas objections to, 125
Trump, Donald, on, 124–26, 128
Zeran v. American Online, 120–21
Shapiro, Ben, 22
Singh, Manvir, 47–48
Sitaram, Ganesh, 70
1619 Project, 49
social harms, from social media, 164–70
do no harm principle, 164–65
social media. *See also* common carriers; of Communications Decency Act; deplatforming of users; Section 230, *specific people*; *specific topics*
body image issues influenced by, 3–4
congressional reaction to, 5
cost of speech and, 6
COVID-19 misinformation through, 10–12
claims of social media censorship and, 11–12
on Facebook, 19–21
on Twitter, 19–20
critics of, 1–2, 7–8
complaints about liberal bias, 2–3
data privacy practices, 9–10
gatekeepers on, 103–8
during COVID-19 pandemic, 107
economic incentives for, 106
lack of expertise and, 107–8
lack of training, 106–7
misinformation and, 107
in Germany, 36–37
as global revolution, 191–94
historical context for, 1–8
MySpace, 4
offensive material and, 5
political polarization influenced by, 2
as primary source of news, 21
regulation of, 23–24, 170–75
antitrust scrutiny in, 170–72
through contracts, 172–73
under family law, 175
through state legislation, 13–15
under tort law, 173
traditional media compared to, 23–25, 182–83
displacement in media sphere, 103–4
Fox News, 23

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 00:56:01, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009547703.011

Society of Professional Journalists, 100
Stein, Jill, 27
suicide, suicidal ideation and, social media influences on, 43
Sunstein, Cass, 38–39
Supreme Court, U.S. *See also specific cases; specific justices*
  common carrier cases, 62–63, 65–66, 72
    NetChoice cases, 62–63, 71–83, 85
  hate speech on social media and, 36
surveillance capitalism, 52–53, 57–58

Taibbi, Matt, 16–17
take-down regimes, 136–38
Telecommunications Act of 1996, U.S., 119
Telegram, 24, 67
Texas
  common carrier issues in, 89–91
  legislation on social media in, 13–14
Thiel, Peter, 3
Thomas, Clarence (Justice), 15, 23, 62–63
  on common carriage status, 65–66, 68–70, 72, 77–79, 87
  objections to Section 230, 125
TikTok, 24
  common carrier issues, 67–68
  data privacy issues and, 56, 160
    banning of TikTok, 58–59
    as spying platform, 58–59
  global expansion of, 168
  platform algorithms for, 173
  targeted advertising revenue for, 147
tort law, 173
traditional media. *See* media, traditional
transparency, data privacy and, 155–57, 159
Trump, Donald. *See also* 2016 presidential election; 2020 presidential election
  deplatforming of, 9
    First Amendment arguments against, 15
    after January 6th Capitol attacks, 12–13
  Musk support of, 57
  political polarization and, 41
  on Section 230, 124–26, 128
  Truth Social, 13, 24, 67–68
  use of social media, 9–13
    COVID-19 misinformation and, 10–12
Trump, Donald, Jr., 13
Truth Social, 13, 24, 67–68
Twain, Mark, 69
Twitter (X), 4, 24
  anti-progressive bias on, 20, 24
  conservative support on platform, 20, 24
  content rules, 17–19
  hate speech on, 18–19, 109–10
    advertising revenue influenced by, 109–10
    progressive critics of, 109
  Musk purchase of, 15–16, 19–20, 30, 168–69
  political dialogue on, 168–69
  prohibition of hateful conduct on, 18–19
  targeted advertising revenue for, 146–48
2016 presidential election, political manipulation during, 26–28
2020 presidential election
  election fraud claims, 12
  misinformation after, 12

Volokh, Eugene, 6, 122

"War on Woke," 13–15
Warner, Mark, 31–32
Warren, Elizabeth, 1, 32, 92, 126–27
Weiss, Bari, 16–17
women, online harassment of, 33–35
  Gamergate, 33
  revenge porn, 33
Wu, Brianna, 33

X. *See* Twitter

Yoo, Christopher, 65–66
YouTube, 24
  data privacy issues and, 160
  targeted advertising revenue for, 147

Zeran v. American Online, 120–21
Zittrain, Jonathan, 57
Zuboff, Shoshana, 51, 57–58
Zuckerberg, Mark, 10, 20–21, 50, 126–27. See also Facebook
  common carriage status and, 67–68
  on content moderation, 180
  content moderation decisions by, 96
  global impact and power of, 57–58, 60, 166–67
  political impact of, 21

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 00:56:01, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009547703.011