*Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* (1999), **19**, 657–669
*Printed in the United Kingdom* © *1999 Cambridge University Press*

# Convergence of the transfer operator for rational maps

NICOLAI HAYDN
*Mathematics Department, University of Southern California,*
*Los Angeles, 90089-1113, USA*
*(e-mail: nhaydn@math.usc.edu)*

(*Received* 10 *April* 1997 *and accepted in revised form* 20 *March* 1998)

*Abstract.* We prove that the transfer operator for a general class of rational maps converges exponentially fast in the supremum norm and in Hölder norms, for small enough Hölder exponents, to its principal eigendirection.

## 1. *Introduction*

Let $T : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a rational map of degree $d \geq 2$, and denote by $J$ its Julia set. If $f : J \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, then we would like to consider the action of the associated transfer operator $\mathcal{L}_f$. It is well known that for real $f$ the operator $\mathcal{L}_f$ has a largest simple eigenvalue whose associated eigenfunction and eigenfunctional define an invariant measure $\mu$ on $J$ which is conformal with respect to $P(f) - f$, where $P(f)$ is the pressure of $f$. If the function $f$ is Hölder continuous and satisfies the condition $P(f) - f > 0$, then it is shown [**1**] that $\mu$ is in fact the equilibrium state for $f$; that is, it realizes the maximum in the variational principle

$$P(f) = \sup_{\nu}(h(\nu) + \mu(f)),$$

where the supremum is over all $T$-invariant probability measures $\nu$ on $J$, and $h(\nu)$ denotes the metric entropy of $\nu$.

For $f : J \to \mathbb{R}$, one defines the transfer operator $\mathcal{L}$ by

$$\mathcal{L}\phi(x) = \sum_{y \in T^{-1}x} e^{f(y)}\phi(y),$$

where $\phi$ are functions on $J$ and $x \in J$. In order to use the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{C}$ (rather than the spherical metric on $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$) let us assume that $\infty \notin J$, and denote by $C^\alpha(J), \alpha > 0$, the Hölder continuous functions on $J$ with Hölder exponent $\alpha$, that is, if $f \in C^\alpha(J)$ then there exists a smallest constant $|f|_\alpha$ so that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f|_\alpha |x - y|^\alpha$, for all $x, y \in J$. If we denote by $|f|_\infty$ the supremum norm on $J$, then the natural norm on $C^\alpha(J)$ is given

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385799130190 Published online by Cambridge University Press

by $\|\cdot\|_\alpha = |\cdot|_\alpha + |\cdot|_\infty$. The main result in this paper is to show that $\mathcal{L}$ contracts to the eigenspace spanned by $\mu$ exponentially fast in the supremum norm (Theorem 10) and in the Hölder norm for small enough Hölder exponents $\kappa < \alpha$ (Theorem 11). It was previously shown in [**2**] that the contraction is subexponential at the rate $\vartheta^{\sqrt{n}}$ for some $\vartheta < 1$, where $n$ is the number of times the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is iterated. Clearly, in general we cannot expect the convergence to be exponential in the $C^\alpha$-norm, since this remains the privilege of the case when the map $T$ is (uniformly) hyperbolic in which case the operator $\mathcal{L} : C^\alpha \to C^\alpha$ has a spectral gap which is essential to effect exponential convergence in $C^\alpha$.

Quasicompactness of the transfer operator acting on Sobolev spaces has been shown by Smirnov [**7**].

In a forthcoming paper we use these results to prove that the normalized return times of rational maps are Poisson distributed for all orders and that rational maps are weakly Bernoulli.

## 2. *Inverse branches*

We shall need the following theorem (where $|\mathcal{S}|$ denotes the cardinality of the finite set $\mathcal{S}$).

THEOREM 1. *Let $J$ not contain any critical periodic points of $T$ and let $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, $\eta \in (0,1)$, a sequence of simply connected regions $\Omega_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and a disjoint decomposition of the inverse branches of $T^n$ on $\Omega_n$ into two subsets $\mathcal{S}'_n = \mathcal{S}'_n(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{S}''_n = \mathcal{S}''_n(\lambda)$ so that:*

(a) $|\mathcal{S}''_n| \le r\lambda^{-n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *for some constant $r$;*

(b) $|\varphi'(z)| \le \eta^n$ *for $z \in \Omega_n$ and in particular* $\operatorname{diam}(\varphi(\Omega_n)) \le \eta^n$, $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}'_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

(c) $\operatorname{dist}(z, \Omega_n) \le C_1 e^{-n\varepsilon}$ *for all $z \in J$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for some constant $C_1 > 0$.*

The following lemma enables us to obtain in Lemma 3 an estimate on the boundary behaviour of the Riemann map for a polygon, which will then be used to get uniform bounds on the Köbe constant of polygonal regions (Lemma 5).

LEMMA 2. *Let $g(z)$ be analytic in some simply connected region $\Omega$ and $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$. Moreover, let $h(z) = (g(z) - g(x_0))^{1/\gamma}$ (with suitable branch cuts), where $0 < \gamma < 2$. Then there exists a constant $C_2 \ge 1$, so that*

$$|g(z) - g(x)| \le C_2 |h(z) - h(x)|^\gamma .$$

*Proof.* We consider two cases, namely $x = x_0$ and $x \ne x_0$.

(i) Let us assume that $x = x_0$. Then we have $|g(z) - g(x)| = |h(z)|^\gamma = |h(z) - h(x)|^\gamma$, as $h(x) = h(x_0) = 0$.

(ii) Let us now assume that $x \ne x_0$ and put $d = |h(x) - h(x_0)| = |h(x)|$. Let us first consider the case when $|h(z) - h(x)| \le d/2$, that is when $|h(z)| \ge d/2$. Since $|h(\zeta)| \le |h(x)| + |h(x) - h(z)| \le 3d/2$ for $\zeta \in [z, x]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |g(z) - g(x)| &\le \int_{h(z)}^{h(x)} \left| \frac{dh^\gamma}{dh} \right| |dh| \\ &\le \gamma \max_{\zeta \in [z,x]} |h(\zeta)|^{\gamma-1} |h(z) - h(x)| \\ &\le \gamma 3^{\gamma-1} |h(z) - h(x)|^\gamma , \end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385799130190 Published online by Cambridge University Press

as $|h(x) - h(z)| \le |h(x) - h(z)|^{\gamma} (d/2)^{1-\gamma}$.

In the case $|h(z) - h(x)| > d/2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |g(z) - g(x)| &\le |h(z)^{\gamma} - h(x_0)^{\gamma}| + |h(x_0)^{\gamma} - h(x)^{\gamma}| \\ &\le |h(z)|^{\gamma} + d^{\gamma} \\ &\le (3^{\gamma} + 2^{\gamma}) |h(z) - h(x)|^{\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $|h(z)| \le d + |h(z) - h(x)| \le 3|h(z) - h(x)|$.

Thus, the lemma is proven, if we put $C_2 = \max(3^{\gamma} + 2^{\gamma}, \gamma 3^{\gamma})$. □

LEMMA 3. *Let $\gamma > 0$ and $N \ge 3$. Then there exists a constant $C_3$ (depending on $\gamma$ and $N$) so that for every polygon $P$ with at most $N$ vertices and interior angles greater than $\pi\gamma$ the following estimate holds:*

$$\operatorname{dist}(f(z), \partial P) \le C_3 (1 - |z|)^{\gamma^N} (\operatorname{diam}(P))^{\gamma^{-2N}},$$

*where $f : D \to P$ is the Riemann map from the unit disc $D$ to $P$.*

*Proof.* Let $x_j \in \partial D$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, be the prevertices of the polygon $P$ (assume $0 \in P$) under the map $f$. We can assume that $\operatorname{Arg}(x_j) < \operatorname{Arg}(x_{j+1})$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, N$ ($x_{N+1} = x_1$). For every $j$, the map $f$ has by the Schwarz reflection principle an analytic continuation to the slit plane $\mathbb{C} \setminus S_j$, which it maps conformally to $P$ and its reflection along the edge $f(S_j)$ which lie in a branched cover of the complex plane, where $S_j \subset \partial D$ is the arcsegment whose endpoints are the prevertices $x_j, x_{j+1}$ and which does not contain any other prevertices. Let $x \in \partial D$ and $B_{\delta}(x)$ be the ball of radius $\delta$ centred at $x$. Then $f(B_{\delta}(x)) \subset B_{2p}(0)$, $\delta < 1$, where $p = \operatorname{diam}(P)$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $x_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, M$, $M < N$ are those prevertices of $P$ which lie in the ball $B_{\delta}(x)$. Put $g_0(z) = f(z)$ and define inductively

$$g_j(z) = (g_{j-1}(z) - g_{j-1}(x_j))^{1/\gamma_j}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, M,$$

where $\pi\gamma_j$ is the interior angle at the vertex $f(x_j)$. These maps are analytic in $B_{\delta}(x) \cap D$ and $g_M$ can, by the Schwarz reflection principle, be holomorphically extended to the entire ball $B_{\delta}(x)$. From the estimates

$$\sup_{B_{\delta}(x) \cap D} |g_j(z)| \le 2^{1/\gamma_j} \sup_{B_{\delta}(x) \cap D} |g_{j-1}(z)|^{1/\gamma_j},$$

$j = 1, \ldots, M$, and the fact that $\sup_{B_{\delta}(x) \cap D} |g_0(z)| \le p$, we conclude that

$$\sup_{B_{\delta}(x) \cap D} |g_M(z)| \le (2^M p)^{1/\gamma^M} \le (2^N p)^{\gamma^{-N}}.$$

Since, by the reflection principle $g_M$ can be analytically extended to the entire ball $B_{\delta}(x)$ we obtain $\sup_{B_{\delta}(x)} |g_M(z)| \le 2(2^N p)^{\gamma^{-N}}$. For $z \in B_{\delta/2}(x)$ a Cauchy estimate provides $|g_M'(z)| \le c_1 p^{\gamma^{-N}}$, where $c_1 = 4 \cdot 2^{N\gamma^{-N}}$, and therefore $|g_M(z) - g_M(x)| \le c_1 p^{\gamma^{-N}} |x - z|$. A repeated application of Lemma 2 yields the estimate

$$|g_0(z) - g_0(x)| \le (C_2^N c_1)^{1/\gamma'} p^{\gamma^{-N}} |x - z|^{\gamma'},$$

where $\gamma' = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \ldots \gamma_M \ge \gamma^N$. This proves the lemma with $C_3 = (C_2^N c_1)^{\gamma^{-N}}$. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385799130190 Published online by Cambridge University Press

LEMMA 4. (Köbe distortion theorem [**3**]) *A univalent function $g$ on the unit disc satisfies*

$$\left(\frac{\delta'}{2-\delta'}\right)^4 \le \left|\frac{g'(w)}{g'(w')}\right| \le \left(\frac{2-\delta'}{\delta'}\right)^4,$$

*for all $|w|, |w'| < 1-\delta'$, where $\delta' > 0$.*

LEMMA 5. *Let $P$ (as above) be a polygon with at most $N$ vertices whose interior angles are greater than $\pi\gamma$, and let $\varphi$ be a univalent function on $P$. Then for every (small) $\delta > 0$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{256}\left(\frac{\delta}{C_3}\right)^{8/\gamma^N} \le \left|\frac{\varphi'(z)}{\varphi'(z')}\right| \le 256\left(\frac{C_3}{\delta}\right)^{8/\gamma^N}$$

*for all $z, z' \in P$ for which $\operatorname{dist}(z, \partial P), \operatorname{dist}(z', \partial P) \ge \delta$, where $C_3$ is a constant (determined by Lemma 3).*

*Proof.* Let $f : D \to P$ be the Riemann map and put $\delta' = (\delta/C_3)^{1/\gamma^N}$. This ensures that

$$P \setminus B_\delta(\partial P) \subset f(B_{1-\delta'}(0)).$$

As $g = \varphi \circ f$ is univalent on the unit disc $D$ it satisfies the Köbe distortion inequality

$$\frac{\delta'^4}{16} \le \left|\frac{g'(w)}{g'(w')}\right| \le \frac{16}{\delta'^4}$$

for all $w, w' \in B_{1-\delta'}(0)$. Since $g'(w) = \varphi'(z)f'(w)$, where $z = f(w) \in P$, we obtain

$$\left|\frac{\varphi'(z)}{\varphi'(z')}\right| = \left|\frac{g'(w)}{g'(w')}\right| \times \left|\frac{f'(w')}{f'(w)}\right|,$$

and, since $f$ also satisfies the distortion estimate of Lemma 4, we obtain

$$\frac{\delta'^8}{256} \le \left|\frac{\varphi'(z)}{\varphi'(z')}\right| \le \frac{256}{\delta'^8},$$

for all $z, z' \in P \setminus B_\delta(\partial P)$. The estimate in the lemma now follows readily. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Denote by $\Lambda_n$ the set of critical values of $T^n$ (critical points of the inverse maps) and let $U$ be a topological disc in $\mathbb{C}$ which avoids all periodic critical points of $T$. We shall assume that $U$ is a finite region. Denote by $\pi_x$ the projection of $\mathbb{C}$ onto the real axis ($x$-axis) and by $\pi_y$ the projection onto the imaginary axis ($y$-axis). Let (without counting multiplicities) $\{x_j : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be the (finite) set $\pi_x\Lambda_n$, labelled in such a way that $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots$. Put $a_j = x_{j+1} - x_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$.

Let $\lambda \in (0,1)$, put $\delta = -\log\lambda/8192$ and choose $\varepsilon \in (0, \delta)$. Put $\varepsilon_n = e^{-\varepsilon n}$ and $\delta_n = e^{-\delta n}$.

Let us assume that $\sum_j a_j > dn^2\varepsilon_n$ (the points in $\pi_x\Lambda_n$ are spread out). In this case we can find a gap $\tilde{a} > 0$ so that $|\tilde{a} - a_j| > \varepsilon_n \ \forall j$. Since $|\Lambda_n| \le dn$, we can choose $\tilde{a} \le n\varepsilon_n$. We say two points $x_j, x_{j+1}$ are close if $a_j = x_{j+1} - x_j < \tilde{a} - \varepsilon_n$ and are apart if $a_j > \tilde{a} + \varepsilon_n$. A set of points $\{x_j : j = k, k+1, \dots, k+k_0\} \subset \pi_x\Lambda_n$ (some $k, k_0$) form a *cluster* if $a_j < \tilde{a} - \varepsilon_n$ for $j = k, \dots, k+k_0-1$, and $a_{j-s}, ak+k_0 > \tilde{a} + \varepsilon_n$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385799130190 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The set $\pi_x \Lambda_n$ consists of a finite number of (disjoint) clusters. In the case when $\sum_j a_j \le dn^2\varepsilon_n$ (the points in $\pi_x\Lambda_n$ are closely packed) the entire set $\pi_x\Lambda_n$ might form a single cluster. We shall now make 'branch cuts' to remove all the critical values $\Lambda_n$ in $U$ by cutting out a cluster at a time.

For large enough $n$ there exists a number $\tilde{b} \in (0,1)$ so that $|\pi_y(z) - \tilde{b}| > \varepsilon_n$ for every point $z \in \Lambda_n$. Let $\Gamma$ be a cluster of critical values. If $\pi_y\Gamma \cap (-\infty, \tilde{b}) \neq \emptyset$, then we make a rectangular cut so that the short side is parallel to the $x$-axis at height $\max_{z\in\Gamma, \pi_y(z)<\tilde{b}} \pi_y(z) \le \tilde{b} - \varepsilon_n$ and the long sides are parallel to the $y$-axis and extend all the way in negative $y$-direction to the boundary of $U$ and are made to enclose the portion of the cluster $\Gamma$ which lies in the halfplane $\{(x, y) : y < \tilde{b}\}$. To remove the portion of the cluster $\Gamma$ which lies in the halfplane $\{(x, y) : y > \tilde{b}\}$ we make a similar rectangular cut so that the short side has the $y$-coordinate $\min_{z\in\Gamma, \pi_y(z)>\tilde{b}} \pi_y(z) \ge \tilde{b} + \varepsilon_n$ and the long sides reach in the positive $y$-direction to the boundary $\partial U$. Notice that the widths of these 'cuts' are less than or equal to $|\Lambda_n|\tilde{a} \le dn^2\varepsilon_n$ (the absolute values denote the cardinality of the finite set).

In this way we remove every cluster of critical values and denote by $U_n$ the region $U$ minus the rectangular cuts. It has the property that any two points $z, z' \in U_n$ which satisfy $\operatorname{dist}(z, \partial U_n), \operatorname{dist}(z', \partial U_n) \ge \delta_n$, can be connected by a polygonal path $\mathcal{C}$, with at most three straight lines parallel to the coordinate axes and which lies inside a polygonal region $P \subset U_n$ whose edges are parallel to the coordinate axes and satisfies $\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{C}, \partial P) \ge (1/\sqrt{2})\delta_n$. Therefore, if $\varphi$ is a univalent function of $U_n$, then by Lemma 5

$$K_n^{-1} \le \left|\frac{\varphi'(z)}{\varphi'(z')}\right| \le K_n,$$

where $K_n \le 2^{200} C_2^{2048} \delta_n^{-2048}$ (with $N = 8$, $\gamma = 1/2$ and $\operatorname{diam}(P) = \operatorname{diam}(\Omega_n)$), for $z, z' \in U_n \setminus B_{\delta_n}(\partial U_n)$.

Put $\Omega_n = U_n \setminus B_{\delta_n}(\partial U_n)$. To construct the inverse branches of $T^n$ on $\Omega_n$ we shall follow [**1**] and [**5**]. Let $\mathcal{S}_n$ be the (univalent) inverse branches of $T^n$ on $\Omega_n$ and denote by $|V|$ the normalized spherical measure of a measurable set $V \subset \mathbb{C}$. Define $\mathcal{S}_n'' = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_n : |\varphi(\Omega_n)| > \lambda^n\}$ and $\mathcal{S}_n' = \mathcal{S}_n \setminus \mathcal{S}_n''$ (for the set of 'good' branches). Since the multiplicity of the family $\{\varphi(\Omega_n) : \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_n\}$ is bounded uniformly in $n$ by some number $r$ which is the largest degree of the critical values in $J$ of the iterates of $T$ (that is for every point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ there are at most $r$ branches $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ so that $z \in \varphi(\Omega_n)$), we obtain $|\mathcal{S}_n''| \le r\lambda^{-n}$. This proves part (a) of the theorem.

To estimate the diameter of the sets $\varphi(\Omega_n)$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_n'$ from their spherical measures we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(\Omega_n)| &\ge |\Omega_n| \inf_{z\in\Omega_n} |\varphi'(z)|^2 \\ &\ge K_n^{-2} |\Omega_n| \sup_{z\in\Omega_n} |\varphi'(z)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Together with the assumption $|\varphi(\Omega_n)| \le \lambda^n$, this yields ($c_1 > 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z\in\Omega_n} |\varphi'(z)| &\le K_n \sqrt{\frac{|\varphi(\Omega_n)|}{|\Omega_n|}} \\ &\le c_1 \lambda^{n/2} \delta_n^{-2048}. \end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385799130190 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Since $\delta = -\log\lambda/8192$ we obtain $\operatorname{diam}(\varphi(\Omega_n)) \le \eta^n$, where $\eta = \sqrt[4]{\lambda}$. This concludes the proof of part (b) of the theorem.

To prove the last part of the theorem, let us observe that by construction of the inverse branches $\operatorname{dist}(z, U_n \setminus B_{\delta_n}(U_n)) \le \varepsilon_n + \delta_n$, which immediately leads to the estimate $\operatorname{dist}(z, \Omega_n) \le \sqrt{2}(\varepsilon_n + \delta_n) \le C_1 e^{-\varepsilon n}$, for some $C_1$ and all $z \in J \setminus \Omega_n$. □

*Remark.* In the proof of Theorem 1 we describe making the thickened branch cuts parallel to the imaginary axis. Naturally the branch cuts can of course be made at any angle with respect to the imaginary axis. In particular, given any two points $x, x' \in \Omega$ which lie outside the set $B_{C_1 e^{-\varepsilon n}}(\Lambda_n)$, we can arrange the branch cuts in such a way that they avoid $x, x'$; that is we can assume that $x, x' \in \Omega_n$ whenever $x, x' \notin B_{C_1 e^{-\varepsilon n}}(\Lambda_n)$.

## 3. *Main result*

LEMMA 6. *Let $f \in C^\alpha$ satisfy $P(f) > \sup f$, and $\mu$ be its equilibrium state on $J$. Let $\Omega_n$ be as constructed in Theorem 1 and $\lambda \in (\rho, 1)$, where $\rho = e^{\sup f - P(f)}$. Put $B_n = \bigcup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}''_n(\lambda)} \varphi(\Omega_n)$, then*

$$|\mu(B_n)| \le (\rho/\lambda)^n.$$

*Proof.* The equilibrium state $\mu$ of a function $f \in C^\alpha$ is of the form $h\nu$, where $h$ is Hölder continuous [**2**] and an eigenfunction for the largest eigenvalue $e^P$ ($P = P(f)$) of the transfer operator $\mathcal{L}_f$. The measure $\nu$ is an eigenfunctional for the same eigenvalue of the dual operator, or, equivalently, an $(e^{f-P})$-conformal measure [**1**], which means that

$$\nu(TA) = \int_A e^{P-f}\, d\nu,$$

for measurable sets $A$ on which $T$ is injective. Hence,

$$\nu(\varphi(\Omega_n)) = \int_{\Omega_n} e^{f^n - nP}\, d\nu \le \rho^n,$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, where $f^n = f + f \circ T + \cdots + f \circ T^{n-1}$ is the $n$th ergodic sum of $f$. As $h$ is Hölder continuous $\mu(\varphi(\Omega_n)) \le c_1 \rho^n$, for some $c_1 > 0$, and therefore by Theorem 1(a)

$$\mu(B_n) \le \sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}''(\lambda)_n} \mu(\varphi(\Omega_n)) \le c_1 |\mathcal{S}''(\lambda)_n| \rho^n \le c_1 r (\rho/\lambda)^n,$$

where $\rho/\lambda$ is less than 1. This proves the lemma. □

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n$ denote univalent extensions of the inverse branches $\mathcal{S}_n$ to simply connected regions $\tilde{\Omega}_n$ ($\Omega_n \subset \tilde{\Omega}_n$) which are the topological disk $U$ minus a finite number of regular branch cuts.

LEMMA 7. [**2**] *There exists a constant $C_4 > 1$ and $\gamma_0, \xi > 0$, so that $\operatorname{diam}(\varphi(B_\gamma(x))) \le C_4^n \gamma^\xi$ for all $x \in J$, $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n$, $n \ge 0$ and $\gamma \le \gamma_0$, provided $B_\delta(x) \subset \tilde{\Omega}_n$.*

We shall need the following result which is proven in [**6**] and [**2**] and which is based on the previous lemma.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385799130190 Published online by Cambridge University Press

LEMMA 8. ([**6**] and [**2**, 3.1]) *There exist constants $C_5$ and $\beta > 0$ (depending on $\alpha$ and $T$) so that $\|\mathcal{L}_f^n \psi\|_\beta \le C_5 \|\psi\|_\alpha$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\psi \in C^\alpha$.*

A consequence of this result is that the eigenfunction $h$ to the largest eigenvalue $e^P$ of the transfer operator $\mathcal{L}_f : C^\alpha \to C^\beta$, for real $f \in C^\alpha$, is Hölder continuous with exponent $\beta$ which is given by Lemma 8. As $h$ is bounded away from 0 and $\infty$, we can introduce a normalized transfer operator $\hat{\mathcal{L}} : C^\alpha \to C^\gamma$ by $\hat{\mathcal{L}} = e^{-P(f)} \mathcal{L}_{f+\log h - \log h \circ T}$, where the Hölder exponent $\gamma$ depends on $\beta$ and $T$ and is given by Lemma 8. The normalized transfer operator has the property that the principal eigenvalue has been rescaled to 1 with the associated eigenfunctions being the constants, that is $\hat{\mathcal{L}}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$.

Consider $\chi(x, x')$ as a function of the first variable $x \in J$ where the second entry $x' \in J$ is assumed to be a parameter, and let

$$\|\chi(x')\|_\gamma = \sup_x |\chi(x, x')| + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|\chi(x, x') - \chi(y, x')|}{|x - y|^\gamma},$$

be its $\gamma$-Hölder norm ($\gamma > 0$) for the parameter value $x'$. Then we denote by $\|\chi\|_\gamma = \sup_{x'} \|\chi(x')\|_\gamma$ the norm of $\chi$.

The transfer operator $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ acts on the function $\chi$ as:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}\chi(x, x') = \sum_{\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1} g_1(\varphi x) \chi(\varphi x, \varphi x'),$$

where $g_1 = (h/h \circ T) e^{f - P(f)}$.

The following statement is a slight generalization of Lemma 8 and is proven in the same way. (The supremum norm estimate is straightforward and the estimates on the variation do not depend on the 'parameter' $x'$.)

LEMMA 9. [**6, 2**] *There exist constants $C_6$ and $\delta \in (0, \gamma)$ (depending on $\gamma$ and $T$) so that*

$$\|\hat{\mathcal{L}}_f^n \chi\|_\delta \le C_5 \|\chi\|_\gamma,$$

*for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\chi(x, x')$ is as above a function on $J \times J$ and $\|\chi\|_\gamma$ its $\gamma$-Hölder norm with respect to the variable $x$.*

Let us now prove the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 10. *Let $f \in C^\alpha$ be such that $e^{\sup f - P(f)} < 1$, and $\mu$ its equilibrium state. Then there exists $\sigma < 1$ and a constant $C_7$, such that for all $k \ge 1$ and $\psi \in C^\alpha$:*

$$|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^k \psi - \mu(\psi)|_\infty \le C_7 \sigma^k \|\psi\|_\alpha.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that $\mu(\psi) = 0$. Let $\rho = e^{\sup f - P(f)}$ and choose $\lambda \in (\rho, 1)$. Let $\eta$ be the contraction rate of the 'good' inverse branches of Theorem 1. Let $C_4$ be as in Lemma 7, $\beta \in (0, \alpha)$ (depending on $\alpha$ and $T$) as given by Lemma 8 and choose $\eta' \in (\eta^\beta, 1)$. Moreover, choose $\sigma < 1$ so that $\sigma > \max(\vartheta, \eta^\beta)$, where $\vartheta = \max(\eta', e^{-\delta' \varepsilon}, \rho/\lambda)$, where $\delta' < \delta$ is an arbitrary positive number and $\delta < \gamma$ is given by Lemma 9 with $\gamma = \xi\beta$ ($\xi$ as in Lemma 7). Finally, choose a number $p > 1$ close enough to 1 so that

$$p < 1 + \frac{\log(\eta'/\eta)}{\beta \log |T'|_\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad C_4^{\beta(p-1)/p} < \sigma/\vartheta.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385799130190 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Let $N$ be an integer which will be specified below. Then we define a sequence of integers $n_j = [n(1-p^{-j})]$ ($[\cdot]$ denotes integer part), $j = 1, \dots, M$, where $M$ is chosen so that $N \le np^{-M} \le pN$. Put $m_j = n_j - n_{j-1}$, $\psi_0 = \psi$ and $\psi_j = \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{n_j}\psi = \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{m_j}\psi_{j-1}$, $j = 1, \dots, M$. Observe that $np^{-j} \le n - n_j \le np^{-j} + 1$ and moreover, $m_j \le (p-1)(n-n_j)+1$. We also have the lower bound $m_j \ge (p-1)np^{-j} = (p-1)np^{-M}p^{M-j} \ge (p-1)Np^{M-j}$.

The theorem will be proven by induction, where the induction hypothesis shall be

$$|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^k\psi|_\infty \le C_7\sigma^k\|\psi\|_\alpha,$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. We shall show that the estimate also holds for $k = n$. In particular, we have $|\psi_j|_\infty \le C_7\sigma^{n_j}\|\psi\|_\alpha$ for $j = 0, \dots, M$.

Let $\Omega_n$ be the regions for the inverse branches of $T^n$ given by Theorem 1 and let $x, x' \in J \cap \Omega_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi(x) - \hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi(x') &= \sum_{\varphi\in\mathcal{S}_n}(g_n(\varphi x)\psi(\varphi x) - g_n(\varphi x')\psi(\varphi x')) \\ &= I + II,\end{aligned}$$

where $g_n = e^{f^n + h - h\circ T^n - nP}$. In the first term,

$$I = \sum_{\varphi\in\mathcal{S}_n} g_n(\varphi x)(\psi(\varphi x) - \psi(\varphi x')),$$

we get by Theorem 1(b) for the contracting branches $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_n'$:

$$|\psi(\varphi x) - \psi(\varphi x')| \le |\psi|_\alpha|\varphi x - \varphi x'|^\alpha \le |\psi|_\alpha\eta^{n\alpha}|x - x'|^\alpha.$$

On the other hand, we obtain for the non-contracting branches that

$$\sum_{\varphi\in\mathcal{S}_n''} g_n(\varphi x)|\psi(\varphi x) - \psi(\varphi x')| \le 2|\psi|_\infty c_1\left(\frac{\rho}{\lambda}\right)^n,$$

which implies (with some $c_2$):

$$\begin{aligned}I &\le |\psi|_\alpha\eta^{n\alpha}|x - x'|^\alpha + 2|\psi|_\infty c_1\left(\frac{\rho}{\lambda}\right)^n \\ &\le c_2\|\psi\|_\alpha\left(\max\left(\eta^\alpha, \frac{\rho}{\lambda}\right)\right)^n \\ &\le \frac{C_7}{8}\|\psi\|_\alpha\sigma^n,\end{aligned}$$

provided $n$ is large enough.

Let us now consider the second term for which we use the following telescoping sums (note: $g_{n-n_{j-1}}(\varphi x) = g_{n-n_j}(T^{m_j}\varphi x)g_{m_j}(\varphi x)$):

$$\begin{aligned}II &= \sum_{\varphi\in\mathcal{S}_n}\psi(\varphi x')(g_n(\varphi x) - g_n(\varphi x')) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{M}\sum_{\varphi\in\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{n-n_{j-1}}}\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{n_{j-1}}\psi(\varphi x')(g_{n-n_{j-1}}(\varphi x) - g_{m_j}(\varphi x')g_{n-n_j}(T^{m_j}\varphi x)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{M}\sum_{\varphi\in\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{n-n_{j-1}}}\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{n_{j-1}}\psi(\varphi x')g_{n-n_{j-1}}(\varphi x)\left(1 - \frac{g_{m_j}(\varphi x')}{g_{m_j}(\varphi x)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385799130190 Published online by Cambridge University Press

In order to estimate the second sum for a given value of $j$, let us consider two cases.

(i) If the point $x$ lies in $J\setminus(\Omega_{n-n_{j-1}}\setminus B_{C_1e^{-\varepsilon(n-n_{j-1})}}(\Lambda_{n-n_{j-1}}))$ then following the remark made at the end of §2, we can execute the branch cuts at some angle to the imaginary axis rather than parallel to it so that $x$ and $x'$ come to lie outside the cut in the region $\Omega_{n-n_{j-1}}$. Put $y = x$.

(ii) If on the other hand $x \in (J \setminus \Omega_{n-n_{j-1}}) \cap B_{C_1e^{-\varepsilon(n-n_{j-1})}}(\Lambda_{n-n_{j-1}})$, then let us use the fact that the Julia set is uniformly perfect [**4**] which means that there exists a constant $c_3$ which only depends on the map $T$ so that for every $r > 0$ and $x \in J$ the distance $\operatorname{dist}(x, J \setminus B_r(x))$ is bounded by $c_3 r$. Since the number of critical values for $T^{n-n_{j-1}}$ is bounded by $c_4(n - n_{j-1})$ (for some constant $c_4$), we can find a point $y \in J \cap \Omega_{n-n_{j-1}}$ so that $|x - y| \le 2c_3c_4(n - n_{j-1})e^{-\varepsilon(n-n_{j-1})}$.

In a similar way if $x' \in (J \setminus \Omega_{n-n_{j-1}}) \cap B_{C_1e^{-\varepsilon(n-n_{j-1})}}(\Lambda_{n-n_{j-1}})$, then there is a point $y' \in J \cap \Omega_{n-n_{j-1}}$ so that $|x' - y'| \le 2c_3c_4(n - n_{j-1})e^{-\varepsilon(n-n_{j-1})}$ (and otherwise we put $y' = x'$).

Now, since $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{n_{j-1}}\psi = \psi_{j-1}$ and $p > 1$ was chosen so that $|T'|_\infty^{\beta m_j}\eta^{\beta(n-n_{j-1})} \le |T'|_\infty^{\beta}(\eta')^{n-n_{j-1}}$ we obtain, considering only the contracting branches, ($c_6 \le c_5C_7$):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\sum_{\varphi\in\mathcal{S}'_{n-n_{j-1}}} \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{n_{j-1}}\psi(\varphi y')g_{n-n_{j-1}}(\varphi y)\left(1-\frac{g_{m_j}(\varphi y')}{g_{m_j}(\varphi y)}\right)\right| \\
&\qquad \le c_5|\psi_{j-1}|_\infty|T'|_\infty^{\beta m_j}\eta^{\beta(n-n_{j-1})}|y-y'|^\beta \sum_{\varphi\in\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{n-n_{j-1}}} g_{n-n_{j-1}}(\varphi y) \\
&\qquad \le c_6\|\psi\|_\alpha\sigma^{n_{j-1}}|T'|_\infty^{\beta}(\eta')^{n-n_{j-1}} \\
&\qquad \le c_6\|\psi\|_\alpha\sigma^{n}|T'|_\infty^{\beta}\left(\frac{\eta'}{\sigma}\right)^{n-n_{j-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|1-\frac{g_m(\varphi y')}{g_m(\varphi y)}\right| &= |1-e^{f^m(\varphi y')-f^m(\varphi y)+h(\varphi y')-h(\varphi y)+h(T^m\varphi y)-h(T^m\varphi y')}| \\
&\le c_5|T'|_\infty^{m\beta}|\varphi y-\varphi y'|^\beta, \\
&\le c_5|T'|_\infty^{m\beta}\eta^{\beta n'}|y-y'|^\beta,
\end{aligned}$$

for $\varphi \in S'_{n'}$ ($n' > m$) and some constant $c_5$ which depends on the functions $f$ and $h$, as $|T^m\varphi y' - T^m\varphi y| \le |T'|_\infty^m|\varphi y' - \varphi y|$.

For the non-contracting branches we proceed as above in estimating term $I$:

$$\left|\sum_{\varphi\in\mathcal{S}''_{n-n_{j-1}}} \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{n_{j-1}}\psi(\varphi y')g_{n-n_{j-1}}(\varphi y)\left(1-\frac{g_{m_j}(\varphi y')}{g_{m_j}(\varphi y)}\right)\right| \le 2c_1|\psi_{j-1}|_\infty\left(\frac{\rho}{\lambda}\right)^{n-n_{j-1}},$$

since $|g_{n-n_{j-1}}(\varphi y) - g_{n-n_j}(T^{m_j}\varphi y)g_{m_j}(\varphi y')| \le 2c_1\rho^{n-n_{j-1}}$.

In order to estimate the error we made when replacing $x$ by $y \in \Omega_{n-n_{j-1}} \cap J$, put

$$\chi_j(x, y) = \sum_{\varphi\in\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{m_j}} \psi_{j-1}(\varphi y)(g_{m_j}(\varphi x) - g_{m_j}(\varphi y)),$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385799130190 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(note that $\chi_j(y, y) = 0$) and apply Lemma 9 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Bigg| \sum_{\varphi\in\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{n-n_{j-1}}} \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{n_{j-1}}\psi(\varphi y) g_{n-n_{j-1}}(\varphi x)\left(1-\frac{g_{m_j}(\varphi y)}{g_{m_j}(\varphi x)}\right)\Bigg| \\ &= |\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{n-n_j}\chi_j(x, y)| \\ &\le C_6\|\chi_j\|_\gamma |x-y|^\delta \\ &\le C_6\|\chi_j\|_\gamma 2c_3c_4(n-n_{j-1})e^{-\delta\varepsilon(n-n_{j-1})},\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \xi\beta$ and $\delta < \gamma$ was given by Lemma 9. If we let $\delta' < \delta$, then we get with some constant $c_7$,

$$|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{n-n_j}\chi_j(x, y)| \le c_7\|\chi_j\|_\gamma e^{-\delta'\varepsilon(n-n_{j-1})}.$$

It remains to estimate the $\gamma$-norm of $\chi_j$. By the induction hypothesis, $|\chi_j|_\infty \le 2|\psi_{j-1}|_\infty \le 2C_7\sigma^{n_{j-1}}\|\psi\|_\alpha$. Since, by Lemma 7 $|T^\ell\varphi z - T^\ell\varphi z'| \le C_4^{m-\ell}|z-z'|^\xi$, $\ell = 0, \dots, m$, $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_m$, for any two points $z, z' \in J$ (that are close enough), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}|\chi_j(z, y) - \chi_j(z', y)| &\le |\psi_{j-1}|_\infty \sum_{\varphi\in\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{m_j}} |g_{m_j}(\varphi z) - g_{m_j}(\varphi z')| \\ &\le |\psi_{j-1}|_\infty \sum_{\varphi\in\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{m_j}} g_{m_j}(\varphi z)\left|1-\frac{g_{m_j}(\varphi z')}{g_{m_j}(\varphi z)}\right| \\ &\le c_8|\psi_{j-1}|_\infty C_4^{\beta m_j}|z-z'|^{\beta\xi},\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c_8$. One concludes that

$$\|\chi_j\|_\gamma \le c_8 C_4^{\beta m_j}|\psi_{j-1}|_\infty \le c_8C_7C_4^{\beta m_j}\sigma^{n_{j-1}}\|\psi\|_\alpha.$$

Therefore,

$$|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{n-n_j}\chi_j(x, y)| \le c_9C_7C_4^{\beta m_j}\|\psi\|_\alpha\sigma^n\left(\frac{e^{-\delta'\varepsilon}}{\sigma}\right)^{n-n_{j-1}},$$

for some constant $c_9$, and we arrive at the estimate ($c_{10} > 0$ and recall that $m_j \le (p-1)(n-n_j)+3$ and $n-n_j \ge np^{-j} \ge Np^{M-j} = Np^k$ if we put $k = M-j$)

$$\begin{aligned}II &\le (c_6|T'|_\infty^\beta + 2c_1 + c_9C_7)\|\psi\|_\alpha\sigma^n \sum_{j=1}^{M} C_4^{\beta m_j}\left(\frac{\vartheta}{\sigma}\right)^{n-n_{j-1}} \\ &\le c_{10}C_7\|\psi\|_\alpha\sigma^n\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{C_4^{\beta(p-1)}\vartheta}{\sigma}\right)^{Np^k},\end{aligned}$$

where $\vartheta = \max(\eta', e^{-\delta'\varepsilon}, \rho/\lambda) < \sigma$. Since the number $p > 1$ was chosen so that the expression inside the brackets is less than 1 we can make the sum over $k$ arbitrarily small if we only choose $N$ large enough. In particular, we can achieve that $II \le C_7/8\|\psi\|_\alpha\sigma^n$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385799130190 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Finally, we obtain the estimate

$$|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi(x) - \hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi(x')| \le I + II \le \frac{C_7}{4}\|\psi\|_\alpha\sigma^n,$$

for $x, x' \in J \cap \Omega_n$.

Now suppose $y, y' \in J \setminus \Omega_n$, then, in the same way as above (according to the remark), we can assume that in fact $y, y'$ lie in a $C_1e^{-n\varepsilon}$-neighbourhood of the critical values $\Lambda_n$. Since $J$ is a uniformly perfect set, we can find, as above, $x, x' \in J \cap \Omega_n$ so that $|x - y|, |x' - y'| \le n2c_4c_3C_1e^{-n\varepsilon}$. Therefore, since by Lemma 8 $|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi|_\tau \le C_5\|\psi\|_\alpha$, we obtain that

$$|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi(y) - \hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi(y')| \le \frac{C_7}{4}\|\psi\|_\alpha\sigma^n + 4nC_5c_3c_4C_1\|\psi\|_\alpha e^{-n\varepsilon} \le \frac{C_7}{2}\|\psi\|_\alpha\sigma^n,$$

for all $n$, provided $C_7 > 6C_5c_3c_4C_1 \sup_{n>0} ne^{-n\varepsilon}\sigma^{-n}$. Since $\mu(\psi) = \mu(\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi) = 0$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi$ is continuous, this implies that $|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi|_\infty \le C_7\|\psi\|_\alpha\sigma^n$. □

THEOREM 11. *Let $f \in C^\alpha$ be such that $e^{\sup f - P(f)} < 1$, and, as above, $\mu$ its equilibrium state. Let $\beta < \alpha$ be as in Lemma 8 and $\xi$ as in Lemma 7. For every (positive) small enough $\kappa < \xi\beta$ there exist a $\varsigma < 1$ and a constant $C_8$, such that for all $n \ge 1$ and $\psi \in C^\alpha$:*

$$\|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi - \mu(\psi)\|_\kappa \le C_8\varsigma^n\|\psi\|_\alpha.$$

*Proof.* We have to show that the Hölder constants $|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi|_\kappa$ goes exponentially fast to zero with some rate $\varsigma < 1$. For simplicity's sake we shall, as in the previous theorem, assume that $\mu(\psi) = 0$. To estimate the variation of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi$ let $x, x' \in J$ and put $\epsilon = |x - x'|$. Assume $\epsilon > 0$. To get bounds on the variation for large $n$ we shall use Theorem 10 and for small $n$ we use Lemma 7. Let $\beta$, $\xi$ and $C_4 > 1$ be as in Lemmas 7 and 8 and choose $\kappa < \xi\beta$ small enough so that $\sigma C_4^{(2\kappa\beta)/(\xi\beta-\kappa)}$ is less than 1. Put

$$P(\epsilon) = \frac{\xi\beta - \kappa}{2\beta}\frac{|\log\epsilon|}{\log C_4},$$

and consider the two cases (I) and (II).

(I) If $n \ge P(\epsilon)$ then, by Theorem 10,

$$|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi(x) - \hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi(x')| \le 2|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi|_\infty \le 2C_7\|\psi\|_\alpha\sigma^n \le C_8\|\psi\|_\alpha\varsigma^n\epsilon^\kappa,$$

where the last inequality holds with $C_8 \ge 2C_7$ provided

$$\frac{\varsigma}{\sigma} \ge C_4^{(2\kappa\beta)/(\xi\beta-\kappa)}.$$

(II) If $n < P(\epsilon)$ then we have by Lemma 7 that $|\varphi x - \varphi x'| \le C_4^n\epsilon^\xi$ for all $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n$. This implies

$$|\psi(\varphi x) - \psi(\varphi x')| \le |\psi|_\alpha C_4^{n\alpha}\epsilon^{\xi\alpha}$$

and

$$\left|1 - \frac{g_n(\varphi x)}{g_n(\varphi x')}\right| \le c_1C_4^{n\beta}\epsilon^{\xi\beta}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385799130190 Published online by Cambridge University Press

for some constant $c_1$ which depends on the Hölder norms of $f$ and $h$. We can therefore estimate in the following (rough) manner:

$$\begin{aligned}|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi(x)-\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi(x')| &\le \sum_{\varphi\in\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n} g_n(\varphi x)|\psi(\varphi x)-\psi(\varphi x')| \\ &\quad + \sum_{\varphi\in\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n} g_n(\varphi x')|\psi(\varphi x')|\left|1-\frac{g_n(\varphi x)}{g_n(\varphi x')}\right| \\ &\le |\psi|_\alpha C_4^{n\alpha}\epsilon^{\xi\alpha}+|\psi|_\infty c_1 C_4^{n\beta}\epsilon^{\xi\beta} \\ &\le \|\psi\|_\alpha c_2 C_4^{n\beta}\epsilon^{\xi\beta}, \\ &\le \|\psi\|_\alpha c_2 C_4^{-n\beta}\epsilon^{\delta},\end{aligned}$$

with $c_2=\max((\operatorname{diam} J)^{\alpha/\beta}, c_1)$, where in the last inequality we replaced $n$ by $P$.

Cases (I) and (II) together yield

$$|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi(x)-\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n\psi(x')|\le C_8\|\psi\|_\alpha\varsigma^n\epsilon^\kappa,$$

for all integers $n$, where $C_8=\max(2C_7, c_2)$ and where by assumption

$$\varsigma=\max(C_4^{-\beta}, \sigma C_4^{(2\kappa\beta)/(\xi\beta-\kappa)})$$

is less than 1. □

COROLLARY 12. *Let $E$ be a bounded measurable function on $J$ and $F$ be an $\alpha$-Hölder continuous function on $J$. Then there exists a constant $C_9$ such that for all $n\ge 0$:*

$$|\mu(F\cdot E\circ T^n)-\mu(E)\mu(F)|\le C_9\sigma^n,$$

*where $\sigma<1$ is given by Theorem 10.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that the function $F$ has average zero, i.e. that $\mu(F)=0$. Then, by Theorem 10, $|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n F|_\infty\le C_7\|F\|_\alpha\sigma^n$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}|\mu(F\cdot E\circ T^n)| &= |\mu(\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n(F\cdot E\circ T^n))| \\ &= |\mu(E\cdot\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n F)| \\ &\le |E|_\infty|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^n F|_\infty \\ &\le |E|_\infty\|F\|_\alpha C_7\sigma^n.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the corollary with $C_9=|E|_\infty\|F\|_\alpha C_7$. □

*Acknowledgements.* These results were made possible by the many conversations I have had with M. Urbanski. Work on this paper was begun while visiting the SFB 170 at the University of Göttingen.

## REFERENCES

**[1]** M. Denker and M. Urbanski. Ergodic theory of equilibrium states for rational maps. *Nonlinearity* **4** (1991), 103–134.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385799130190 Published online by Cambridge University Press

**[2]** M. Denker, F. Przytycki and M. Urbanski. On the transfer operator for rational functions on the Riemann sphere. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **16** (1996), 255–266.
**[3]** E. Hille. *Analytic Function Theory*, vol. 2. Chelsea, New York, 1962.
**[4]** A. Hinkkanen. Julia sets of rational functions are uniformly perfect. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **113** (1993), 543–559.
**[5]** R. Mañé. On the Bernoulli property for rational maps. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **5** (1985), 71–88.
**[6]** F. Przytycki. On the Perron–Frobenius–Ruelle operator for rational maps on the Riemann sphere and for Hölder continuous functions. *Boll. Bras. Soc. Mat.* **20** (1990), 95–125.
**[7]** S. K. Smirnov. Spectral analysis of Julia sets. *PhD Thesis*, Caltech, 1996.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385799130190 Published online by Cambridge University Press