Index

Please note: Page numbers in **bold type** indicate figures or boxes, those in *italics* indicate tables, and those in ***bold italic*** indicate notes.

AAP (American Academy of Pediatrics), criticisms of HHS review of treatment paediatric gender dysphoria, 54
abortion, 4
  COVID-19 and the permanent adoption of telemedicine for abortion care, 104
  European Parliament's resolution on decriminalisation of, 99, 112
  narrative framings of abortion seekers, 127
  public health vs. human rights narratives, 6
  requirements for quality abortion care, 96
  self-managed medication abortion (SMA), 94
Abortion Care Guideline (WHO, 2022), 7–8, 9
  appeals to universality, 98
  comparison with 2012 guideline, 94
  on conscientious objection, 110
  declared aims and principles, 94–96
  demonstration of the principle of contextual accountability, 126
  development process, 112
  displacement of moral, religious, and ideological conflicts, 98
  distribution of agency, 101–108
  dual framing of abortion, 98
  embryological perspective of arguments against, 110
  emplotment of abortion within a care context, 98
  endorsement of self-managed medication abortion (SMA), 94
  European Parliament's invocation of recommendations, 99
  framing of protagonists in supporting and opposing narratives, 108
  GRADE framing, 96–97, *97*
  human rights perspective, 95, 97–98, 100, 109, 110
  implicit central protagonist, 101
  inclusive language used in, 101–103
  moral framework, 109
  narrative authority of the WHO, 96–100
  narrative power and the politics of abortion care, 114–115
  narrative tone, 96
  oppositional narratives, 96, 100–108
    Calum Miller, 96, 109
    Catholic News Agency, 96
    Center for Family and Human Rights (C-Fam), 110
    Center for Reproductive Rights, 96, 99
    Elyssa Koren, 100, 110, 113
    emplotment in, 113
    Geneva Consensus Declaration, 107, 110, 115
    Ipas Partners for Reproductive Health, **99**, 99
    National Catholic Register, 110
    Political Network for Values, 100–101, 110, 113
    politicisation of the issue, 114
    selective appropriation patterns, 110–111
    temporal pattern, 113–114
    Wesley J. Smith, 100, 113
  political co-option of framing, 123–124
  recommendations regarding conscientious objection, 96
  recommendations regarding telemedicine, 96, 104
  requirements for quality abortion care, 96
  Roe v. *Wade* and, 112

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 09 Nov 2026 at 19:50:46, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009539166

selective appropriation patterns, 98, 105, 108–111
supportive narratives, 96, 99–100
'technocratic moral voice', 97
temporality and emplotment, 112–114
on the barriers to accessing quality abortion care, 105
on the impact of restrictions and criminalisation, 109
abstraction, 9, 120
affiliation, function of in narrative medicine's conceptual framework, 24
Affleck, Ben, ***91***
AIDS movement, activists seen as credible narrators, 26–27
Alabama Supreme Court, 1
Alliance Defending Freedom International (ADF), 100
Amnesty International, response to the Cass Review, 54
Andersen, L. E., 26
array comparative genomic hybridisation (aCGH), 74
ASRM (American Society for Reproductive Medicine), 7, 9
committee opinion on the use of PGT-A, 80
evolving opinions on PGT-A and mosaic embryos, 79–82
Practice Committee opinions on mosaicism, 72
attention
donation of by clinician to patient, 22
function of in narrative medicine's conceptual framework, 21

Baker, M., 25, 31
Bakhtin, M., 24
Barthes, R., 12
Beckham, David, ***91***
the bedside, as traditional focus of narrative medicine, 116
Bell, Keira, 62
Bell and Another v. *The Tavistock and Portman NHS Foundation Trust* (*Bell* v. *Tavistock*), 61–62, 64
'bench-to-bedside' linear model, 35–36, 42
limitations, 117
BePRECISE (Better Precision-data Reporting of Evidence from Clinical Intervention Studies and Epidemiology), guidelines on precision medicine research, 45
Berg, M., 38
bias
elimination of as purpose of evidence-based medicine, 28
influence of biases related to gender, race, and socio-economic power, 5
ranking of studies according to presumed risk of, 38
role of in narration, 28
systematic reviews and reduced risk of, 55
vulnerability of traditional clinical decision-making approaches to, 38
Biden, Joe, 1
biomedical narratives, configuring of hormonal contraceptives compared to patient narratives, 27
BMA (British Medical Association), assessment of the Cass Review, 47, 52
BMJ (*British Medical Journal*), 52
Braverman, Jeffrey, 71
Brockmeier, J., 16
Bruner, J., 29, 31
Burke, Kenneth, 24

Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), 40
Cass, Hilary, 46, 51
impact of publication of the Cass Report on, 55
Cass Review, 7, 8–9
advice on puberty blocking medications, 63, 64
alignment with dominant biomedical model, 69
Amnesty International's response, 54
commissioning of and remit, 51
competing narratives, 69–70
consequences of different interpretations and re-narrations, 54–55
context of commissioning, 61
criticisms, 52–53, 67–68
critique of WPATH 8, 57
data linkage study plans, 56
defenders, 52
domination of adult expert concerns about uncertainty and safety, 66
Endocrine Society's response, 63
endorsements and support, 53

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 09 Nov 2026 at 19:50:46, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009539166

Cass Review(cont.)
focus groups with trans youth, 66
framing of the evidence base, 56
Grijseels' critique, 58
Horton's critique, 56, 58, 59, 68
as illustration of the risks of premature narrative closure, 126
inclusion in SHE Corpus, 56
institutional endorsements, 52
and intertextual understanding of textuality, 46–47
NHS England's response, 59
Noone et al.'s critique, 58, 66, 68
political co-option of findings, 123
research team composition, 67–68
Rigby's critique, 66, 69
selective appropriation pattern, 58, 59–60
stated aim, 51
Stonewall's response, 54, 59
'Catecholamines and Neurologic Diseases' (Wurtman), 35
Catholic News Agency, 96
CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), 72
Center for Family & Human Rights, 109
Center for Reproductive Rights, 96, 99
Centre for Sustainable Healthcare Education, 7
Chabner, B. A., 36
Charon, R., 16–17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 68, 69
Charters, E., 14
chronicles, disqualification from being considered narratives, 28
clinical guidelines
definition, 40
systematic reviews and, 40
typical development of, 40
clinical trials
exclusion of certain groups from, 27–28
as principal instrument of translational research, 36
clinicians
donation of attention to patient, 22
obligation to bear witness to patients' suffering, 22
close reading
Charon's claim, 21
the concept, 7
as 'narrative medicine's laboratory and training ground', 21
role in development of narrative competence, 22, 24
Cochrane Collaboration, 39
Columbia University, Division of Narrative Medicine, 17, 24
conceptual narratives
constraints on, 29
definition, 25
translation into public narratives, 86
conscientious objection to abortion provision
C-Fam's defence of, 110
human rights and, 110
potential for regulation, 98
UN member states' defence of, 107
WHO's negative framing, 9, 96, 99, 101, 106, 109, 123
controversies, as stress tests for evidence-based medicine, 5
conversion therapy
potential exclusion of trans people from legislation on, 65
recommendations of the Cass Review and, 65
TransActual's commentary, 65
courtrooms, role in shaping narratives, 1, 6, 92
COVID-19
bridging the divide between data and narrative, 16
conflicting narratives, 25
narratives around and surge in anti-Asian racism, 30
temporal framework provision, 15
and the permanent adoption of telemedicine for abortion care, 104
Currie, Mark, 18
The Cut, 71

Dagognet, F., 48
DasGupta, S., 22, 24
Day, Ally, 18
Derrida, J., 48
de Vries, A. L. C., 62, 64
Dhaliwal, S. K., 30
Diffusion of Innovations (Rogers), 34
disease, history of racialisation, 30
Division of Narrative Medicine, Columbia University, 17, 24
Duffy, S., 62

embryos
Alabama Supreme Court ruling on frozen embryos, 1
narrative framings of, 127
normal/abnormal binary of embryonic viability, 71, 74, 76
PGDIS's championing of the precision toolkit

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 09 Nov 2026 at 19:50:46, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009539166

for embryo selection, 76–77
selection tools, 74
See also mosaic embryos; PGT-A (preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy)
emplotment, 7
of abortion care, 9, 98, 108, 109, 110, 124
abstraction and, 120
configuration and, 23
consequences of the elaborated pattern of, 30
definition, 23
of mosaic embryos, 77, 82, 84, 121
narratives around puberty blockers, 67, 123
provision of to transgender youth, 9, 51, 58, 64
as primary mode of knowledge intelligibility, 120–121
re-emplotment of IVF following Alabama Supreme Court ruling, 1
responsibility, allocation of as key element, 31
role of selective appropriation in, 29–31, 46
role of temporality in, 29–31
in WHO *Abortion Care Guidelines*, 106, 112–114
Endocrine Society (US), 52
Endocrine Society, response to the Cass Review, 63
endometriosis
GnRH analogues as treatment for, 51
impact of counter narratives about, 25
racialised perspective, 5
Engebretsen, E., 25
Engelmann, L., 14
EPATH (European Professional Association for Transgender Health), 52
epidemics, focus of narratives on, 14
The Epoch Times, 100
Epstein, S., 26, 27
European Parliament, resolution on decriminalisation of abortion (2022), 99, 112
Evans, David, 61
Evans, Sue, 61
evidence-based guidelines, impact of narrative framing on public perceptions, 6
evidence-based medicine (EBM)
aim of, 38
challenges of precision medicine for, 44–46
Cochrane Collaboration and, 39
controversies functioning as stress tests for, 5
development of formal hierarchies of evidence, 38
emergence of, 38
guidelines on RCTs, 28
initially envisioned as clinician-centred practice, 38
and the genres of translation, 38–40
and the privileging of the RCT as 'gold standard', 38

Feminist Gender Equality Network, response to the Cass Review, 52
FISH (fluorescence in situ hybridisation), as tool for embryo selection, 74
Fisher, W. R., 4, 120
Frank, Arthur, 18
Freeman, Allison, 92
frozen embryos, Alabama Supreme Court ruling on, 1

gender-affirming care
dangers of standard approach to justify provision of, 67
proliferation of uncertainty around, 6
temporal emplotting of the improvement narrative, 67
gender dysphoria
Cass Review on
guidelines critique, 56
recommendations on the use of puberty blockers, 52
standards of care, 52
need to incorporate patient stories into the narrative, 66
prescription of GnRH analogues for, 51
Sajid Javid on, 65
gender dysphoria clinics (GDCs), 56
Gender Exploratory Therapy, 68
Gender Identity Research & Education Society (GIRES), 59
genericness, 7, 26, 31, **37**, 119
genetic testing, and the persistent metanarrative of race, 25
Genette, G., 18
Geneva Consensus Declaration, 107, 110, 115
genomic testing in IVF treatment, 9
background narrative, 71–73
ASRM's evolving opinions on PGT-A and mosaic embryos, 79–82

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 09 Nov 2026 at 19:50:46, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009539166

genomic testing (cont.)
critics' narrative on PGT-A, 88–93
emergence of, 74–76
PGDIS guidance on mosaic embryo transfer, 77–79
precision vs. prudence narratives on guidance, 82–85
proponents' narrative on PGT-A, 85–88
uncertainty and, 6
See also ASRM (American Society for Reproductive Medicine), IVF treatment; mosaic embryos; PGDIS (Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis International Society); PGT-A (preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy)
Gerdts, C., 104
GIDS (gender identity development service), 56, 58–59, 61–62, 63, 68
Gillick competence, and consent to treatment with puberty blocking medications, 62
Giordano, S., 60, 63
Gleicher, N., 89
'global evidence', WHO's concept of, 44
Glujovsky, Demián, 90
GnRH analogues
licensed for use in young children for precocious puberty, 59
as treatment for endometriosis, 51
See also puberty blocking medications (GnRH analogues)
Good Law Project, 69
Goupy, F., 20
Goyal, R. K., 49
GRADE framework for evaluating evidence (WHO), 96–97, *97*
Graham, Robert, ***91***
Greco, Ermanno, 72, 75
Grijseels, D. M., 58
Grimshaw, J. M., 42
guidelines, association with a particular paradigm of medicine, 31

Hajek, K., 12, 29
Halem, Monica, 71, 74
Hall, Stephen, 71
The Handmaid's Tale (Atwood), ***91***
health care, growing calls for acknowledging uncertainty in medicine and, 13
Heitman, K., 14
HHS (US Department of Health and Human Services), Review of Treatment of Paediatric Gender Dysphoria, 53–54, 60
Holm, S., 60–61
hormonal contraceptives, differing configurations in biomedical and patient narratives, 27
Horton, C., 53, 56, 57, 58, 59, 68–69
human embryos, as legal persons with full moral standing, 1
Human Genome Diversity Project, 15
Hurwitz, B., 30

illness narratives, as example of personal narrative, 25
imitation, Tarde's law of, 34
IMReD format of research articles, 29
inequality in healthcare, marginalised groups affected by, 69
informed consent, 61, 62–63, 78, 84
innovation, Rogers' diffusion theory, 34
inscription
Latour and Woolgar's concept, 48
the power of, 48
Institute of Development Studies, 43
intertextuality, Kristeva's theory, 46
Ipas Partners for Reproductive Health, **99**, 99
IVF treatment
early embryo assessment methods, 71
impact of NGS on, 74–75
narrative reframing as child-welfare domain, 1
normal/abnormal binary of embryonic viability, 71, 74, 76
proliferation of add-on services, 91
suspension of after Alabama Supreme Court ruling, 1, 2
See also genomic testing in IVF treatment; mosaic embryos; PGT-A (preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy)

JAMA (*Journal of the American Medical Association*), 38
Javid, Sajid, 65
Jefferson, T., 43
Jørgensen, L., 43

knowledge, dichotomy between narrative and non-narrative forms of, 16

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 09 Nov 2026 at 19:50:46, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009539166

knowledge integration, 45
knowledge-to-action (KTA) framework, 41–42, 46
  limitations, 117–118
knowledge translation (KT)
  anchoring of the process of knowledge creation in systematic review, 42–43
  articulation of a narrative model, 9–10. *See also* narrative model of knowledge translation
  'barriers' responsible for failure of KT to achieve its ends in LMICs, 33
  'bench-to-bedside' linear model, 35–36, 42, 117
  bringing narrative medicine's insights to bear on, 3–4
  CIHR
    formal definition introduced by, 40
    pioneering role, 40
  comparison of KT with translational research, 40–41
  comparison with narrative medicine, 2–4
  context handling, 118
  emplotment of empirical findings, 121–122
  epistemic politics of, 40–46
  epistemological constraints, 44
  failure of traditional KT approaches to interrogate the nature of evidence itself, 118
  as form of governance, 6
  function of, 2
  importance of a narrative approach to KT, 6
  inscription and, 48–49
  interactive, context-sensitive framing, 40
  as interpretive act, 33
  interpretive shifts and political co-option, 122–124
  limitations of mainstream models, 117–118
  literature on KT in precision medicine, 45–46
  marginalising of local, indigenous and Global South epistemologies, 43
  narratively constructed nature of knowledge, 120–122
  narratives of, 32–34
  narrative transformation, 4, 118–119
  paradox at the heart of, 9, 49–50, 119–120
  positioning of the narrative approach to KT as meta-translational practice, 127
  principles of deep knowledge translation, 125–127
    1. narrative constitutivity, 125
    2. epistemic reflexivity, 125
    3. narrative plurality, 126
    4. contextual accountability, 126
    5. translational traceability, 126
    6. ethical responsibility, 127
    7. supplementarity, 127
  proposed narrative reconfiguration, 34
  reorienting the aims of KT with a narrative approach, 124–125
  technocratic nature, 118
  and textual productivity, 46–49
  TMH's challenge to dominant models of, 117
  undervaluing and exclusion of other forms of knowledge production, 43
  view of barriers compared with a narrative-informed approach, 118
  WHO definition, 32–33, 40, 118
Koren, Elyssa, 100, 110, 113
Krauss, A., 21
Kress, G., 29
Kristeva, Julia, 46–47

Langton, Tilly, 68
Latour, B., 48
Laws of Imitation (Tarde), 34
LGB Alliance, response to the Cass Review, 53
literary narratives, analytical adaptation to narratives in the field of medicine, 26
lived experience
  the Cass Review's narrative and, 9, 123, 126
  consequences of narrative medicine's foregrounding of, 16
  generation of meaning through narration, 11

mathematical proofs, and perceptions of the narrator/author, 26
Maugham, Jolyon, 69
Mazzuca, S. A., 35
medical journals, linear order of research articles published in, 29
medical knowledge
  fluidity of, 3
  impact of evidence-based medicine on, 38, 40
  place of narrative in, 3
  shaping of through narrative framing, 8–10, 14, 127

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 09 Nov 2026 at 19:50:46, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009539166

medical knowledge (cont.)
sustainable healthcare and, 7
translational medical humanities and, 116
medical narratives, dimensions relevant to, 26
MEDRA (Medicalisation of Democratic Rights in the Debate about Abortion), 7
Mercator map, 29
Meretoja, H., 16
Mermaids, response to the Cass Review, 54
metanarratives, 8, 29, 30, 117
definition and impact, 15, 25
migrant populations, exclusion from clinical trials, 27
Miller, B., 13
Miller, C., 96, 109
mimesis, triad of sequential and simultaneous movements, 23–24
Morgan, Mary, 12
mosaic embryos, 2, 7
accumulation of data on, 77, 80
ASRM
cautionary approach, 84
committee opinion (2020), 80–81
committee opinion (2024), 82
evolution of opinions on PGT-A and, 79–82
Practice Committee opinions on, 72
revised committee opinion (2023), 81
scepticism about mosaic percentage thresholds, 81
classification pre- and post-NGS, 72
convergence of ASRM's and PGDIS's core recommendations on transfer, 82
dilemma for clinicians and patients, 74–75
divergent philosophies of IVF practitioners regarding the use of, 76
emergence of professional guidelines, 76
ethical uncertainties around, 9
first reports of healthy live births from, 72
guidelines' interpretation of the evidence, 73
healthy birth narratives, 71, 90
implications for embryo selection in IVF, 75
importance of counselling and informed consent, 78, 81, 82
influence of precision medicine, 73
'International Do No Harm Group in IVF' narrative framing, 89
narrative divergences of ASRM and PGDIS, 83–84
narrative emplotment of empirical findings, 121
narrative reclassification, 9
PGDIS
cautious stance towards clinical implications, 77
formal definition of mosaicism, 76–77
guidance on transfer of, 77–79
guideline protocols and ranking systems, 84
position statement (2019), 77–78
updated position statement (2021), 78, 79
pivotal moment in reframing mosaicism, 75
professional bodies' responses to the entry of mosaicism into the clinical lexicon, 72–73
and risks of a chromosomal condition in the newborn, 81–82
sudden visibility of mosaicism, 74
unknowability of the true risk profile of children born from, 89
The Mother Machine (Corea), ***91***
MSI Reproductive Choices, 96

narrative
Barthes' argument, 12
bridging the divide between data and, 15–16
criticisms of the use of for medicine and the medical humanities, 15
definitions, 11–16
variation in, 11
diffuse conceptualisation, 12, 14–16
distinction between different types of, 13–16, 25
fully articulated story conceptualisation of, 11
functions for scientists, 12
importance of temporal configuration, 28–29
importance of understanding at the translational level, 6
primary mode of being in the world conceptualisation, 11–12
Princes' suggestion for scientific interest in, 13

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 09 Nov 2026 at 19:50:46, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009539166

selective appropriation as inherent feature of, 28
shaping of scientific activity by 'narrative reasoning', 12
narrative competence, narrative humility vs., 24
narrative diachronicity, Bruner's discussion of time and space under, 29
narrative evidence-based medicine (NEBM)
Goyal et al.'s proposal, 49
and translational medicine, 49–50
narrative framing, and distribution of vulnerability and agency, 127
narrative humility, DasGupta's concept of, 22, 24
narrative medicine
classical narratology perspective, 17–18
Columbia University and, 17, 24
commodification of patient narratives, 18
comparison with knowledge translation, 2–4
concept of, 16–21
conceptual framework, 21–24. *See also* attention; representation; affiliation
emergence of as corrective to the dominance of universals, 16
humanistic and cultural focus, 2, 16
importance of widening its remit beyond illness narratives, 54
literary bias, 17
mission of, 17
narrow understanding of in studies, 17
Neilson's critique, 22
potential consequences of deploying narrative expertise within neoliberal medical practices, 18–19
potential integrative function, 2
power of for clinicians, 19–20
presentation of as 'part of the medico-scientific toolkit', 21
reception by students of an elective in, 20
and reliability or otherwise of personal narratives, 19
restricted understanding of what counts as narrative, 17
traditional focus, 5, 116
narrative reconfiguration
political and ethical consequences, 124
role of in the knowledge translation process, 124
narrativity, reliance of literary and scientific genres on, 26
narrators, 7
criteria selection/deselection, 28–29
experience of as focus of personal narratives, 25
identity, 17, 26
interpretive role, 30
and perceptions of authority and recognition, 26–27
potential for 'unauthorised' narrators to be accepted as credible, 27
reframing of Cass Report by different narrators, 47, 54
of scientific narratives, 27
and the introduction of alterations to a narrative, 19
WHO's narration of *Abortion Care Guidelines*, 31, 96–100, 101–102, 104, 114
Natera, 93
National Cancer Institute (NCI), 35
National Catholic Register, 110
National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR), 56
National Survivor User Network (NSUN), 53
Neilson, S., 22
neoliberal medical practices, potential consequences of deploying narrative expertise within, 18–19
neutrality, as mainstream-supporting narrative position, 68
New England Journal of Medicine, 35, 75
next-generation sequencing (NGS)
classification of mosaic embryos pre- and post-NGS, 72
impact on IVF medicine, 74–75
introduction of to PGT-A, 71, 74
NHS England
impact of current policy on gender affirming medication, 59
response to the Cass Review, 59
Noone, C., 58

obesity, 30–31
stigma engendered by cultural and clinical narratives, 30
Oesthoek, E. D., 67

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 09 Nov 2026 at 19:50:46, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009539166

Of Grammatology (Derrida), 48
Ostherr, K., 15

paediatric gender dysphoria, US review of treatment, 53
pain, racial bias in recognition of, 5
Paris-Descartes Medical School, 20
patterns of selective appropriation, 7
  see selective appropriation
Pediatric Endocrine Society (US), 52
Penzias, A., 80
Perng, W., 30
personalised medicine, evolving conceptual-cum-public narrative of, 26
personal narratives
  flexibility in temporal structure, 29
  typical focus of, 25
person-to-person interaction, narrative engagement and, 16, 17
Petersen, Shannon, 90
Peters Projection map, 29
PGDIS (Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis International Society), 7, 9
  championing of the precision toolkit for embryo selection, 76–77
  function of, 72
  issues first formal guidance on PGT-A, 76
  membership, 76
  mosaic embryos
    guidance on transfer, 77–79
    position statement (2019), 77–78
    updated position statement (2021), 78, 79
  political co-option of guidelines, 122
PGS (preimplantation genetic screening), tools for embryo selection, 74
PGT-A (preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy)
  proponents' narrative, 85–86
    empowerment, choice and the complexity paradox, 87–88
    selective evidentiary framing, 86–87
  ASRM's evolving opinions on mosaic embryos and, 79–82
  'bottom-up eugenics' narrative, 91–92
  critics' narrative, 88–93
    ethical arguments, 91–93
    the false positive problem and epistemic fragility, 90
    risk to embryos and the black box of long-term effects, 89
    scientific scepticism and the evidence gap, 88–89
  'designer babies' narrative, 91
  emergence of professional guidelines, 76
  impact of commercialisation, 91–92
  introduction of NGS to, 71, 74
  lawsuits against providers of, 92–93
  narrative divergences of ASRM and PGDIS, 80, 83–85
    and the principle of epistemic reflexivity, 126
  political co-option of PGDIS guidelines, 122
  precision medicine approach, 72
  social injustice narrative, 92
Pink News, response to a statement by Health Secretary Sajid Javid, 65
Political Network for Values, 100–101, 110, 113
power imbalances, entrenching of in medical settings, 22
precautionary narrative, potential consequences, 125
precision medicine
  aims of the approach, 71
  BePRECISE consortium guidelines, 45
  challenges for evidence-based medicine, 44–46
  challenges for RCTs, 45
  influence on the stratification of mosaic embryos, 73
  literature on knowledge translation in, 45–46
  and the persistent metanarrative of race, 25
precocious puberty, 51, 59
prefiguration, 23
Prince, G., 13
protagonists, 7
  assignment of specific roles to, 58, 60
  barriers as, 33
  in critiques of PGT-A, 72, 84–85
  introduction of in a study, 29
  in scientific narratives, 27
  in Tarde's *Laws of Imitation*, 34

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 09 Nov 2026 at 19:50:46, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009539166

in transgender identity narratives, 65
in WHO *Abortion Care Guidelines*, 101–108, 114
puberty blocking medications (GnRH analogues), 2, 4
Cass's advice, 63, 64
caution vs. affirmation narratives, 6
challenges of an RCT for, 60–61
comparison of evidence requirements with other paediatric medications, 59
competence and gender identity narratives of transgender youth, 61–65
conclusion of the Cass Review, 53
conflicting narratives of scientific evidence, 55–61
emplotment of competing narratives, 51
equality and justice narratives, 68–70
Gillick competency and consent to treatment with, 62
government-imposed ban on sale or supply of, 65, 66, 69
HHS review, 53
lived experience narratives of amplified and silenced protagonists, 65–68
narrative emplotment empirical findings, 121
political co-option of Cass Review's findings, 123
recommendations of the Cass Review, 51
as treatment for precocious puberty and endometriosis, 51
uncertainty and, 6
public narratives
examples of, 25
flexibility in temporal structure, 29

race
biological category narrative, 15
history of racialisation of disease, 30
racialised perspective of endometriosis, 5
ramifications of persistent metanarratives in the field of health, 25
role of pharmaceutical companies in reviving narratives of, 26
RCTs (randomised controlled trials)
assumptions about, 20
Cass Review's analysis, 56–58, 59
challenges of precision medicine for, 45
Charon's conception of, 21
Cochrane Collaboration and, 39
criticisms, 44
debate on methodological suitability in the context of gender dysphoria, 60
debate on relaxing strict requirements of in the case of AIDS, 27
'gold standard' status, 20, 31, 38, 43
guidelines on what should or should not be included in reports of, 28
major changes in the conduct of introduced by AIDS activists, 27
marginalising of local, indigenous and Global South epistemologies, 43
methodology for a systematic review of, **39**
of PGT-A, 87, 88
as privileged standardised genre, 8, 38
privileging of in systematic reviews, 55
selective appropriation in, 21, 43
unsuitability for testing puberty blocking medications, 60–61
representation
configuration stage, 23
function of in narrative medicine's conceptual framework, 22
as interpretive gesture, 22
refiguration and, 23
reproductive and sexual health
as domain of exploration, 4
as harbingers of challenges for medical practice, 6
implications of decisions about, 4
narrative shaping of knowledge translation in the domain of, 118
shaping of scientific narratives by bias, 5
toolkit for critical analysis of narratives, 25–31
research articles
IMReD format, 29
linear order in publication of, 29
Ricoeur, Paul, 23
Rigby, F., 69
rights-based narrative, potential consequences, 125
Rimmon-Kenan, S., 18
Roberts, D. L., 25–26, ***91***, 92
Roberts, I., 28
Rogers, Everett, 34
Royal College of General Practitioners,

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 09 Nov 2026 at 19:50:46, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009539166

response to the Cass Review, 52
Royal College of Psychiatrists, response to the Cass Review, 52
Ryan, M. L, 13

Sackett, D. L., 38
Saketopoulou, A., 61
SART (Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology), 72
  function of, 72
Saussure, F. de, 48
Schmitt, T., 33
science, Francis Bacon's vision of, 34
scientific narratives
  dimensions relevant to, 26
  typical narrators, 27
  typical protagonists, 27
scientists, functions of narrative for, 12
selective appropriation, 7
  in Cass Review, 58–60
  the concept of, 28
  in critiques of PGT-A, 86
  implications of in systematic reviews, 28
  as inherent feature of narratives, 28, 86
  in practices of evidence synthesis, 118
  in RCTs, 21, 26, 43
  role of in emplotment, 29
  in WHO *Abortion Care Guidelines*, 98, 105, 108–111
self-managed medication abortion (SMA), 94, 104, 112
Shyam, A., 28
Smith, Wesley J., 100, 113
spatial organisation, importance of in assigning significance to the different elements of a visual, 29
Spencer, D., 21
Spivak, G. C., 48
SPORE (Specialized Programs of Research Excellence), 35
Steinberg, Jeffrey, 91
Stonewall
  public bodies reviewing long-standing associations with, 59
  response to the Cass Review, 54, 59
Strain, David, 30
Straus, S. E., 42
Sustainability and Health (SHE) Corpus, 7–8, 56, 57, ***102***
sustainable development goals (SDGs), 7
  and framing of WHO *Abortion Care Guidelines*, 95
systematic reviews, 39
  anchoring of the process of knowledge creation in, 42–43
  Cass Review's analysis, 9, 52–53, 55–58, 59
  and clinical guidelines, 40
  dependence on RCTs, 45
  implications of selective appropriation in, 28
  knowledge translation and, 44, 46, 47–48, 55, 117, 120
  methodology for a systematic review of RCTs, **39**
  as privileged standardised genre, 8, 37–39, 42, 43, 49
  role of the Cochrane Collaboration, 39
  selective appropriation in, 28
  Straus et al.'s observation, 42
  as thresholds for action, 42
  WHO *Abortion Care Guidelines* and, 96, 101–102, 105, 109
  WHO on, 44

Tarde, G., 34
technocratic narrative, potential consequences, 125
telemedicine
  COVID-19 and the permanent adoption of for abortion care, 104
  WHO recommendations for medical abortion care, 96, 104
temporality, 7
  concept of, 28
  as key requirement of narrative, 28–29
  role of in emplotment, 29–31
  temporal plotting as feature of all trials, 21
  in WHO *Abortion Care Guideline*, 106, 112–114
textual productivity, knowledge translation and, 46–49
Timmermans, S., 38
TransActual, responses to the Cass Review, 52, 53, 63, 65, 67
Transgender Trend
  comments on the HHS review of treatment of paediatric gender dysphoria, 54
  description of the Cass Review, 53
transgender youth
  narrative framing of puberty blocker research, 51
  narrative framings of, 127
  Oesthoeck et al. on the dangers of adopting a 'logic of improvement' in the case of, 67
  potential consequences of narrative casting for, 65

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 09 Nov 2026 at 19:50:46, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009539166

questions around fair treatment of, 68
view of clinicians, 67
translation
appearance of the term in academic articles in medicine and healthcare, 35
benefits of recognising narrative as constitutive element of, 124
emplotment of success or failure in terms of speed, 35
evidence-based medicine and the genres of, 38–40
framing of as purely scientific and objective process, 32
reframing from temporal to contextual concern, 35
temporal structure, 32. *See also* knowledge translation; translational medical humanities (TMH); translational medicine; translational research
translational medical humanities (TMH), 9
central concept and rhetorical repertoire, 116
challenge to dominant models of knowledge translation, 117
emerging field of, 2, 116
'third wave' status, 2, 116
translational medicine, narrative evidence-based medicine and, 49–50
translational research
accompanying paradox of bidirectionality, 36
bench-to-bedside metaphor, 35–36, 42
Chabner's refinement of the concept, 36
crystallisation of into staged pipeline model, 36
designation of the clinical trial as principal instrument of, 36
formal emergence as distinct concept, 35
KT's departure from, 40
the rise of, 34–38
role of genericness, **37**
'translational blocks' hindering progress, 36–37
translation in medicine, stages of, 3–4
Trump, Donald J, 1, 53

UiO Democracy initiative, 7
uncertainty
EBM's promise of reduction in, 6
growing calls for acknowledgement of, 13
as normal backdrop of medical practice, 6
University of Bristol Law School Blog, 62
University of York, 55–56
work on the Cass Review, 52, 55

van Leewuen, T., 29
in vitro fertilisation, 34, *see* IVF treatment

What the Trans, critique of Cass Review, 68
White, Hayden, 3
WHO (World Health Organization)
casting of as impartial voice of truth, 99
GRADE framework, 96–97, *97*
narration style as strategy to command trust, 101
as 'norms setting agency', 94
Wesley J. Smith's description, 100
Woods, A., 15, 19
Woolgar, S., 48
The Wounded Storyteller (Frank), 18
WPATH (World Professional Association for Transgender Health) Standards of Care 8, Cass Review's critique, 52, 57
Wurtman, R. J., 35

Yale Integrity Project, critique of Cass Review, 67

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 09 Nov 2026 at 19:50:46, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009539166

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 09 Nov 2026 at 19:50:46, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009539166