Contents

List of Figures *page* vii
List of Tables viii
Acknowledgments ix

1 Introduction 1
1.1 The Legal Foundation of the Corpus: The Federal Court System and *Miranda* Case Law 3
1.1.1 *The Federal Courts* 3
1.1.2 *Grounds for Criminal Appeals in Federal Courts* 5
1.2 The Law and Police Interrogation in the United States 7
1.3 The Strategic Linguistic Game of Police Interrogation: Invoking Counsel in Custodial Settings 9
1.4 Moving Forward: Tackling Police Interrogation and Legal Reforms in the United States 10

2 In the Judges' Own Words: The Law and Custodial Interrogation in the United States 14
2.1 Pre-*Miranda*: The Fifth and Sixth Amendments and Police Interrogation 14
2.2 *Miranda* v. *Arizona* (1966): A Prophylactic Rule Riddled with Dissent 16
2.3 1966–1981: Post-*Miranda* and *Edwards* 19
2.4 Post-*Edwards* to *Davis*: 1981–1994 27
2.5 Post-*Davis* to Present: 1994–2021 46
2.5.1 *Invoking Counsel: Where Do Judges "Draw the Line"?* 46
2.5.2 *A Closer Look at Post-Davis Rulings: Invocations for Counsel, Police "Clarifications," and Voluntariness of Waiver* 52
2.5.3 *Invocations for Counsel with Added "Layers": Written versus Oral Waivers* 60
2.5.4 *Invocations for Counsel and L2 Speakers of English* 63

3 Police Interrogation in the United States: From the Reid Technique to the High-Value Detainee Interrogation Group Report 68
3.1 The Reid Technique of Interrogation: A Look at the "Manuals" 69
3.1.1 *Setting the Tone of the Interrogation: Reading of Rights and Invocation of Counsel* 72
3.1.2 *Confronting the Suspect* 74
3.1.3 *Time-Limited Offers* 76

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 10:42:20, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009052047

3.1.4 *Empathy* 77
3.2 Core Principles of the Reid Technique 80
3.2.1 *The Matter of False Confessions and the Reid Technique* 80
3.3 Information-Gathering Interview Approach 82
3.4 The High-Value Detainee Interrogation Group Report 84
3.4.1 *What Is in the HIG Report?* 85
3.5 Reid, PEACE, and the HIG Report: Additional Considerations in Light of United States Law 89

4 The Invocation Game: The Prelude to Custodial Interrogation 92
4.1 Invoking Counsel: A Constitutional Right Handicapped by the Law 93
4.1.1 *Invocations As a Type of Speech Act* 93
4.1.2 *Maintaining Asymmetry in Police Interrogations* 97
4.1.3 *Mutuality of Understanding in Police Interrogation* 99
4.2 Communication As a Type of Game 101
4.3 Hypergame Dynamics 107
4.3.1 *Hypergame Notation of the Invocation Game* 108
4.4 Two Hypergames 109
4.4.1 *Type 1 Hypergame: CS Initiates* x_3' 109
4.4.2 *Type 2 Hypergame: I Initiates* x_3' 110
4.5 Analyzing the Invocation Game and Its Hypergames 111
4.6 Implications of the Invocation Game: Identifying Manipulation of Preferences in Police Interrogation 115

5 Invocations for Counsel, the Rulings, and the Courts: A Statistical Analysis of the Corpus 116
5.1 The Corpus 116
5.2 The Variables Tested 118
5.3 Method and Research Questions 129
5.4 Results 130
5.5 Interpreting the Results in the Context of the Invocation Game 135

6 Police Interrogation Reform in the United States: Paths to Consider 136
6.1 Police Interrogation Reform: Examining Other Paths Taken 137
6.2 From Interrogation to Interviewing: Changing the Perspective in the United States 142
6.2.1 *Vulnerable Populations* 144
6.3 Legal Reforms 148
6.3.1 *The Elusive Right to Counsel and Overcoming Legal Barriers: The Inherent Limitations of Miranda and Davis* 149
6.3.2 *Moving Forward in Light of Case Law: A Look at Some Approaches* 152
6.4 Concluding Remarks 157

Appendix: Jurisdictions and Case Counts 163
Glossary 166
References 169
Index 182

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 10:42:20, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009052047