Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. (2007), **27**, 1509–1524
doi: 10.1017/S0143385707000363
© *2007 Cambridge University Press*
Printed in the United Kingdom

Chain recurrence, growth rates and ergodic limits

FRITZ COLONIUS†, ROBERTA FABBRI‡ and RUSSELL JOHNSON‡

† *Institut für Mathematik, Universität Augsburg, 86135 Augsburg, Germany*
(e-mail: fritz.colonius@math.uni-augsburg.de)
‡ *Dipartimento di Sistemi ed Informatica, Via S. Marta 3, 50139 Firenze, Italy*

(*Received* 6 *August* 2004 *and accepted in revised form* 20 *January* 2005)

Abstract. Averages of functionals along trajectories are studied by evaluating the averages along chains. This yields results for the possible limits and, in particular, for ergodic limits. Applications to Lyapunov exponents and to concepts of rotation numbers of linear Hamiltonian flows and of general linear flows are given.

1. *Introduction*

The purpose of this paper is to describe a topological construction by which we obtain characteristics of dynamical systems via ergodic limits obtained by averages along trajectories. We replace limits along trajectories with limits along (ε, T)-chains as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and $T \to \infty$. The numbers which are defined by an ergodic limit procedure are then replaced by intervals, each of them corresponding to a maximal chain transitive set, i.e. a chain recurrent component. Therefore, as part of the construction, the chain recurrent components of the relevant flow have to be determined.

In particular, we consider linear flows on vector bundles. For Lyapunov exponents, the relevant flow is then the induced flow on the projective bundle and the construction yields the Morse spectrum as introduced in [**4**]. For rotation numbers of linear Hamiltonian flows in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ (e.g. [**7**]) the induced flow on the n-dimensional Lagrange subspaces is the relevant one. For rotation numbers in the sense of San Martin [**11**] (for the stochastic case see also Arnold [**1**]) the induced flow on the bundle of oriented 2-planes has to be considered. In all cases the corresponding maximal chain transitive sets are described, since they determine the numbers of intervals of Lyapunov exponents and of rotation numbers.

The contents of the paper are as follows. Section 2 presents the construction of limit intervals corresponding to chain transitive sets. Section 3 discusses rotation numbers for linear Hamiltonian flows and §4 discusses rotation numbers of oriented planes for arbitrary linear flows.

2. *Growth rates and chain transitivity*

This section describes a general construction for flows on fiber bundles of metric spaces which relates growth rates along trajectories and, in particular, ergodic limits, to evaluations along chains.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000363 Published online by Cambridge University Press

In the following a (locally trivial) fiber bundle with typical fiber E is considered which is given by a continuous map $\pi : X \to B$ of metric spaces and a finite open cover (U_α) of B together with homeomorphisms

$$\varphi_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \to U_\alpha \times E, \quad z \mapsto (\varphi_\alpha^1(z), \varphi_\alpha^2(z)),$$

satisfying $\varphi_\alpha^1(z) = \pi(z)$. We will always assume that the base space B is compact.

Let Φ be a (continuous) fiber-preserving flow on the fiber bundle $\pi : X \to B$ given by $\Phi_t(x) = \Phi(t, x)$. By local triviality, Φ induces a flow $\pi\Phi$ on the base space which, for simplicity, is denoted by $b \cdot t$, $b \in B$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be continuous. We are interested in the growth rates along trajectories for $t \to \infty$ given by

$$\frac{1}{t}[f(\Phi(t, x)) - f(x)]. \tag{1}$$

We impose the following two basic assumptions.

The map

$$f_B : \mathbb{R} \times B \to \mathbb{R}^m, \quad (t, b) \mapsto f(\Phi(t, x)) - f(x) \quad \text{with } \pi x = b, \tag{2}$$

is well defined and the growth rates are uniformly bounded, i.e.

$$C := \sup_{x \in X} \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} |f(\Phi(t, x)) - f(x)| < \infty, \tag{3}$$

with some norm $|\cdot|$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Assumption (2) means that the difference $f(\Phi(t, x)) - f(x)$ is independent of the choice of x with $\pi x = b$. In view of (2), assumption (3) is equivalent to

$$C = \sup_{b \in B} \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} |f_B(t, b)| < \infty.$$

Assumption (2) immediately implies that cluster points for $t \to \infty$ of (1) are independent of the element x in the fiber over πx.

Remark 2.1. For a linear flow Φ on a vector bundle $\mathcal{V} \to \Omega$ with compact metric base space Ω write $\|v\| = \|(\omega, x)\| = \|x\|$. Then the Lyapunov exponent of v is given by the limit as $t \to \infty$ (if it exists) of $(1/t) \log \|\Phi(t, v)\|$. Clearly, $\mathcal{V}$ is not compact, while the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{V} \to \Omega$ is. With

$$f : \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad f(v) := \log \|v\|$$

one sees that

$$f(\Phi(t, v)) - f(v) = \log \|\Phi(t, v)\| - \log \|v\|$$

only depends on t and $\mathbb{P}v \in \mathbb{P}\mathcal{V}$. Thus condition (2) is satisfied if we consider Φ as the fiber-preserving flow in the fiber bundle $\mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{P}\mathcal{V}$ with base space $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{V}$. Furthermore, condition (3) is satisfied, since there are constants $K, \alpha > 0$ with

$$1/K e^{-\alpha t} \|v\| \le \|\Phi(t, v)\| \le K e^{\alpha t} \|v\|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This implies for t large enough

$$\frac{1}{t}[\log \|\Phi(t, v)\| - \log \|v\|] \le \frac{1}{t} \log K + \alpha \le \alpha + 1,$$

and an analogous lower bound is valid.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000363 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Remark 2.2. The general problem above includes ergodic limits on a compact metric space B. In fact, consider for a flow $b \mapsto b \cdot t : B \to B$ and a continuous functional $g : B \to \mathbb{R}$ ergodic limits for $t \to \infty$ of

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t g(b \cdot \tau)\, d\tau. \tag{4}$$

Define on the fiber bundle $\mathbb{R} \times B \to B$ the fiber-preserving flow $\Phi_t(s, b) = (s - t, b \cdot t)$ and the functional

$$f : \mathbb{R} \times B \to \mathbb{R}, \quad f(s, b) = \int_s^0 g(b \cdot \tau)\, d\tau.$$

Then for $x = (s, b)$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\Phi_t(x)) - f(x) &= f(s - t, b \cdot t) - f(s, b) \\ &= \int_{s-t}^0 g(b \cdot (t + \tau))\, d\tau - \int_s^0 g(b \cdot \tau)\, d\tau \\ &= \int_s^t g(b \cdot \tau)\, d\tau - \int_s^0 g(b \cdot \tau)\, d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t g(b \cdot \tau)\, d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Thus this difference is independent of the element (s, b) in the fiber over $b \in B$, as required in (2); furthermore, (3) is also satisfied, since g is bounded on the compact space B.

In order to describe the limiting behavior of (1) as $t \to \infty$, it is helpful to relax this problem. Instead of studying directly the evaluation along trajectories, we study the evaluation along (ε, T)-chains, and then let $\varepsilon \to 0$, $T \to \infty$.

For an (ε, T)-chain ζ in B given by $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $b_0 = b$, $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in B$, $T_0, \ldots, T_{n-1} > T$ with

$$\mathrm{d}(b_i \cdot T_i, b_{i+1}) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } i = 0, \ldots, n - 1,$$

pick arbitrary points x_i in X with $\pi x_i = b_i$. Then

$$f(\zeta) := \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T_i \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [f(\Phi(T_i, x_i)) - f(x_i)] \tag{5}$$

is independent of the choice of x_i. An easy consequence of (3) is that, for all (ε, T)-chains with T large enough,

$$|f(\zeta)| < C + 1. \tag{6}$$

Recall that a set M is chain transitive, if for any two points $a, b \in M$ and for all $\varepsilon, T > 0$ there is an (ε, T)-chain from a to b. For a compact invariant chain transitive set $M \subset B$ define

$$\begin{aligned} F(M) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m,\ &\text{there are } \varepsilon^k \to 0,\ T^k \to \infty \text{ and } (\varepsilon^k, T^k)\text{-chains} \\ &\zeta^k \text{ in } M \text{ with } f(\zeta^k) \to \lambda\}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

It is our aim to describe the set $F(M)$ and its relation to the asymptotic growth rates (1) as $t \to \infty$.

We note a number of observations.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000363 Published online by Cambridge University Press

LEMMA 2.3. *The map f_B defined by (2) is continuous.*

Proof. By local triviality of the fiber bundle, there exists for $b \in B$ a local continuous section $\sigma : U \to X$ defined on a neighborhood U of b with $\sigma \circ \pi = \mathrm{id}_U$. Thus for $t_n \to t$, $b_n \to b$ one has with $x_n = \sigma(b_n)$

$$f_B(t_n, b_n) = f(\Phi(t_n, x_n)) - f(x_n) \to f(\Phi(t, \sigma(b))) - f(\sigma(b)) = f_B(t, b),$$

as claimed. □

The following lemma [**5**, Lemma B.2.23] gives a uniform upper bound for the time needed to connect any two points in a chain transitive set. For the convenience of the reader we sketch the proof.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let M be a compact invariant chain transitive set and fix $\varepsilon, T > 0$. Then there exists $\bar{T}(\varepsilon, T) > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in M$ there is an (ε, T)-chain from x to y with total length at most $\bar{T}(\varepsilon, T)$.*

Proof. By assumption, one finds for all $x, y \in M$ an $(\varepsilon/2, T)$-chain in M from x to y. Using continuous dependence on initial values and compactness, one finds finitely many (ε, T)-chains connecting every $x \in M$ with a fixed $z \in M$. One also finds finitely many (modulo their endpoints) (ε, T)-chains connecting z with arbitrary elements $y \in M$. Thus one ends up with finitely many (ε, T)-chains connecting all points in M. The maximum of their total lengths is the desired upper bound $\bar{T}(\varepsilon, T)$. □

The growth rates of concatenated chains are a convex combination of the individual growth rates.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let ξ, ζ be (ε, T)-chains in B of total lengths σ and τ, respectively, such that the initial point of ζ coincides with the final point of ξ. Then for the concatenated chain $\zeta \circ \xi$ one has*

$$f(\zeta \circ \xi) = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \tau} f(\xi) + \frac{\tau}{\sigma + \tau} f(\zeta).$$

Proof. Let the chains ξ and ζ be given by $\pi x_0, \ldots, \pi x_k$ and $\pi y_0 = \pi x_k, \ldots, \pi y_n$, with times $S_0, \ldots, S_{k-1}$ and $T_0, \ldots, T_{n-1}$, respectively. Thus the total times are $\sigma = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} S_i$ and $\tau = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T_i$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &f(\zeta \circ \xi) \\ &= [\sigma + \tau]^{-1} \left[\frac{\sigma}{\sigma} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} [f(\Phi(S_i, x_i)) - f(x_i)] + \frac{\tau}{\tau} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [f(\Phi(T_i, y_i)) - f(y_i)] \right] \\ &= [\sigma + \tau]^{-1} [\sigma f(\xi) + \tau f(\zeta)] \\ &= \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \tau} f(\xi) + \frac{\tau}{\sigma + \tau} f(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

□

The following proposition shows that it is sufficient to consider periodic chains.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let $M \subset B$ be a compact invariant chain transitive set. Then*

$$F(M) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m, \text{ there are } \varepsilon^k \to 0,\ T^k \to \infty \text{ and periodic } (\varepsilon^k, T^k)\text{-chains } \zeta^k \text{ in } M \text{ with } f(\zeta^k) \to \lambda \text{ as } k \to \infty\}.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000363 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. Let $\lambda \in F(M)$ and fix $\varepsilon, T > 0$. It suffices to prove that for every $\delta > 0$ there exists a periodic (ε, T)-chain ζ' with $|\lambda - f(\zeta')| < \delta$. By Lemma 2.4 there exists $\bar{T}(\varepsilon, T) > 0$ such that for all $\pi x, \pi y \in M$ there is an (ε, T)-chain ξ in M from πx to πy with total time at most $\bar{T}(\varepsilon, T)$. For $S > T$ choose an (ε, S)-chain ζ with $|\lambda - f(\zeta)| < \delta/2$ given by, say, $\pi x_0, \ldots, \pi x_m$ with times $S_0, \ldots, S_{m-1} > S$ and with total time $\sigma = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} S_i$. Concatenate this with an (ε, T)-chain ξ from πx_n to πx_0 with points $\pi y_0 = \pi x_n, \ldots, \pi y_m = \pi x_0$, with times $T_0, \ldots, T_{m-1} > T$ and total time $\tau = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} T_i \le \bar{T}(\varepsilon, T)$. The periodic (ε, T)-chain $\zeta' = \xi \circ \zeta$ has the desired approximation property: since the chain ξ depends on ζ, τ also depends on ζ. However, the total length of ξ is bounded as $\tau = \tau(\xi) \le \bar{T}(\varepsilon, T)$. Lemma 2.5 implies

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\zeta) - f(\xi \circ \zeta)| &= \left| f(\zeta) - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \tau} f(\zeta) - \frac{\tau}{\sigma + \tau} f(\xi) \right| \\ &\le \left[1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \tau} \right] |f(\zeta)| + \frac{\tau}{\sigma + \tau} |f(\xi)|. \end{aligned}$$

By (6) there is a uniform bound for $|f(\xi)|$ and $|f(\zeta)|$ for all considered chains ξ and ζ. Since τ remains bounded for chains ζ with total length σ tending to ∞, the right-hand side tends to 0 as $S \to \infty$. □

The next theorem describes the set of asymptotic growth rates; part (ii) shows that the cluster points for chains in the chain recurrent set comprise all asymptotic growth rates for arbitrary initial points. Together with Proposition 2.6 it is our main result on growth rates.

THEOREM 2.7.

(i) *Assume that $M \subset B$ is a compact invariant set and that the flow $b \mapsto b \cdot t$ restricted to M is chain transitive. Then the set $F(M)$ is compact and convex.*

(ii) *For all $x \in X$ and all sequences $t_k \to \infty$ we have*

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{t_k} f(\Phi(t_k, x)) \in F(\omega(\pi x)) \subset F(M),$$

if the limit exists; here M is the maximal chain transitive set containing $\omega(\pi x) \subset B$.

Proof. (i) The proof is based on a 'mixing' of growth rates. It is clear that $F(M)$ is closed; it is also bounded by (6), and hence compact. Thus it suffices to show that for all $\lambda \in \mathrm{co}F(M)$, all $\delta > 0$ and all $\varepsilon, T > 0$ there is a periodic (ε, T)-chain ζ in M with

$$|f(\zeta) - \lambda| < \delta. \tag{8}$$

So let $\lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha^i \lambda^i$ with $\lambda^i \in F(M)$ and $\alpha^i > 0$, $\sum \alpha^i = 1$. For fixed $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon, T > 0$, there are periodic (ε, T)-chains ζ^i in M with

$$|f(\zeta^i) - \lambda^i| < \delta.$$

Denote the initial (and final) point of ζ^i by πx^i. By chain transitivity there are (ε, T)-chains ξ^i from πx^i to πx^{i+1} and ξ^N from πx^n to πx^0. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\zeta^{i,k}$ be the k-fold concatenation of ζ^i. Then for $k^1, \ldots, k^N \in \mathbb{N}$ the concatenation $\zeta(k^1, \ldots, k^N) = \xi^N \circ \zeta^{N,k^N} \circ \cdots \circ \xi^1 \circ \zeta^{1,k^1}$ is a periodic (ε, T)-chain in M. Recall from Lemma 2.5 that f-values of concatenated chains are convex combinations of the individual f-values.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000363 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Hence one finds numbers $k^1, \dots, k^N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f(\zeta(k^1, \dots, k^N)) - \lambda| < \delta$. This proves (8).

(ii) Here we start from an *arbitrary* initial point $x \in X$ and have to show that the corresponding limit points can be approximated by chains in $\omega(\pi x)$. Recall that ω-limit sets are connected and contained in the chain recurrent set. Hence the maximal chain transitive set $M \subset B$ containing $\omega(\pi x)$ is well defined for $x \in X$ and the inclusion is obvious. Thus it suffices to show the following.

Let $\lambda(x)$ be a cluster point of $(1/t) f(\Phi(t, x))$ for $t \to \infty$. For all $\delta > 0$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$, $T > 1$ there exists a periodic (ε, T)-chain ζ in $\omega(\pi x)$ with

$$|f(\zeta) - \lambda(x)| < \delta. \tag{9}$$

Fix $\delta > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T > 1$. By uniform continuity of $\pi\Phi$ on the compact set $[0, 2T] \times B$, one finds $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\delta, \varepsilon, T) > 0$ such that for all $\pi y, \pi z \in B$ it follows from $d(\pi y, \pi z) < \delta_1$ that

$$d(\pi y \cdot t, \pi z \cdot t) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \tag{10}$$

Invoking Lemma 2.3 one can also require that

$$|f(\Phi(t, y)) - f(y) - [f(\Phi(t, z)) - f(z)]| = |f_B(t, \pi y) - f_B(t, \pi z)| < \frac{\delta}{4} \tag{11}$$

holds for all $t \in [0, 2T]$. Furthermore, one may assume that

$$d(\pi x \cdot t, \omega(\pi x)) < \delta_1 \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \tag{12}$$

By definition there are $t_n \to \infty$ with

$$\lambda(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{t_n} f(\Phi(t_n, x)),$$

where one can assume without loss of generality that $\pi x \cdot t_n$ converges for $t_n \to \infty$. Now fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$

$$d(\pi x \cdot t_n, \pi x \cdot t_N) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \tag{13}$$

Setting $\sigma_n = t_n - t_N$ we have

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda(\Phi(t_N, x)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_n} f(\Phi(\sigma_n, \Phi(t_N, x))).$$

Choose n large enough such that with $T_0 = \sigma_n$ we have $T_0 > 2T$ and

$$\left| \lambda(x) - \frac{1}{T_0} f(\Phi(T_0, \Phi(t_N, x))) \right| < \frac{\delta}{2}. \tag{14}$$

Clearly, (12) remains valid, with $\Phi(t_N, x)$ instead of x. Hence, writing x instead of $\Phi(t_N, x)$ in (13) and (14), we obtain in addition to (12)

$$d(\pi x \cdot T_0, \pi x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \tag{15}$$

and

$$\left| \lambda(x) - \frac{1}{T_0} f(\Phi(T_0, x)) \right| < \frac{\delta}{2}. \tag{16}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000363 Published online by Cambridge University Press

We partition the interval $[0, T_0]$ into pieces of length τ_j with $T \le \tau_j < 2T$ for $j = 0, \dots, l-1$. Thus

$$T_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \tau_j \quad \text{and} \quad T_0 \ge l. \tag{17}$$

Set $y_0 = x$ and $y_{j+1} = \Phi(\tau_j, y_j)$ for $j = 0, \dots, l-1$. Then $\Phi(T_0, x) = y_l$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T_0} f(\Phi(T_0, x)) &= \frac{1}{T_0} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} [f(y_{j+1}) - f(y_j)] \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \tau_j \right)^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} [f(\Phi(\tau_j, y_j)) - f(y_j)]. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Define an $(\varepsilon/3, T)$-chain $\tilde{\zeta}$ in X by $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau_0, \dots, \tau_{l-1} \ge T$, $\pi y_0, \dots, \pi y_{l-1}, \pi y_0 \in X$, noting that from (15) we have

$$d(\pi y_{l-1} \cdot \tau_{l-1}, \pi y_0) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \tag{19}$$

Using (18) we obtain

$$f(\tilde{\zeta}) = \frac{1}{T_0} f(\Phi(T_0, x)). \tag{20}$$

However, the chain $\tilde{\zeta}$ is not necessarily contained in $\omega(\pi x)$. In order to obtain an appropriate chain ζ in $\omega(\pi x)$, we use (10) and (12). For $y_j = \Phi(\tau_j, y_{j-1})$, $j = 0, \dots, l-1$, we find points $z_0, \dots, z_{l-1}, , z_l = z_0$ with $\pi z_i \in \omega(\pi x)$ with

$$d(\pi y_j \cdot t, \pi z_j \cdot t) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 2T].$$

Hence we obtain for $j = 0, \dots, l-1$

$$\begin{aligned} &d(\pi z_j \cdot \tau_j, \pi z_{j+1}) \\ &\quad \le d(\pi z_j \cdot \tau_j, \pi y_j \cdot \tau_j) + d(\pi y_j \cdot \tau_j, \pi y_{j+1}) + d(\pi y_{j+1}, \pi z_{j+1}) \\ &\quad < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where for $j = l-1$ we have used (19). Thus $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{l-1} \ge T$ and $\pi z_0, \dots, \pi z_{l-1}, \pi z_l = \pi z_0 \in X$ define a periodic (ε, T)-chain in $\omega(\pi x)$. For the chain ζ the estimates (16) and (20) yield

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda(x) - f(\zeta)| &\le \left| \lambda(x) - \frac{1}{T_0} f(\Phi(T_0, x)) \right| + |f(\tilde{\zeta}) - f(\zeta)| \\ &< \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{1}{T_0} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \{ f(\Phi(\tau_j, y_j)) - f(y_j) - [f(\Phi(\tau_j, z_j)) - f(z_j)] \}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (11), (12) and (17) yield

$$|\lambda(x) - f(\zeta)| < \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{1}{T_0} l \frac{\delta}{2} < \delta.$$

This proves (9) and concludes the proof of the theorem. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000363 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The special case of the average functional (4) has the advantage that one can also use methods from ergodic theory for its analysis leading to the following results.

THEOREM 2.8. *Consider the average functional (4) given by*

$$\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t g(\Phi_s(x))\,ds,$$

where $g : B \to \mathbb{R}^m$ *is continuous.*

(i) *Let* ν *be an ergodic measure and let* M *be the maximal chain transitive set containing the topological support of* ν. *Then*

$$\nu(f) := \int f\,d\nu \in F(M).$$

(ii) *Every extremal point of* $F(M)$ *is attained for an appropriate ergodic measure.*

Proof. (i) follows from the fact that

$$\int f\,d\nu = \lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t f(\Phi_t(x))\,dx$$

for almost all $\pi x \in \operatorname{supp}\nu$. The proof of (ii) follows the arguments in Johnson *et al* [**9**]. □

Remark 2.9. For Lyapunov exponents of linear flows as discussed in Remark 2.1, we get back the main results on the Morse spectrum from [**4**] which is a special case of the construction above. Since by Selgrade's theorem there are at most $d = \dim \mathcal{V}$ maximal chain transitive sets in the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{V}$, the Morse spectrum consists of at most d (possibly overlapping) compact intervals, each of them corresponding to a maximal chain transitive set in the projective bundle. If we use the integral representation for Lyapunov exponents (which is based on an embedding of the (continuous) linear flow Ψ into a subflow of a smooth linear flow), we also recover the results on the ergodic representation of the boundary points of the spectral intervals.

Remark 2.10. An analogous construction gives the Morse spectrum on flag bundles and on Grassmann bundles (instead of the projective bundle) as presented in [**6**].

3. *Rotation numbers for linear Hamiltonian systems*

In this section, we will apply the general results above to linear Hamiltonian flows and rotation numbers. For the definition of the rotation number, we follow the exposition in Fabbri *et al* [**7**, §2] without, however, specifying an ergodic measure on the base.

Consider a family of linear Hamiltonian systems

$$J\dot{z} = H(\xi\cdot t)z, \quad \xi \in \Omega. \tag{21}$$

Here

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_n is the identity matrix, Ω is a compact metric space with continuous flow σ abbreviated by $\sigma(\xi, t) = \xi\cdot t$ and H is a continuous $2n \times 2n$ matrix-valued function on Ω

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000363 Published online by Cambridge University Press

with values $H(\xi)$ in the real symmetric $2n \times 2n$ matrices (equivalently, $J^{-1}H \in \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$, the algebra of infinitesimally symplectic matrices). The solutions induce a skew product flow on $\Omega \times \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$ where $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the space of all real n-dimensional Lagrangian planes of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. This space is a compact manifold of dimension $n(n+1)/2$. Let $U(t, \omega)$ be the fundamental solution of (21). Then $U(t, \omega)l_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$ for $l_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Hence we obtain a linear skew product flow Φ on $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}} = \Omega \times \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$ given by

$$\Phi(t, \omega, l_0) = (\omega \cdot t, U(t, \omega)l_0), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{22}$$

Recall that the space $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of Lagrange planes can be identified with $U(n)/O(n)$. Following Arnold [**2**] define

$$\mathrm{Det}^2 : U(n)/O(n) \to \mathbb{S}^1, \quad \mathrm{Det}^2(u \cdot O(n)) = -(\det u)^2.$$

Note that this is independent of the representation by u, since $u \cdot O(n) = u' \cdot O(n)$ implies $u = u'O$ for an orthogonal matrix O, and hence

$$(\det u)^2 = [\det(u'O)]^2 = [\det u' \det O]^2 = (\det u')^2.$$

Finally, for an ergodic measure μ on Ω, define the *rotation number* α by

$$\alpha(\mu) = \frac{1}{2t} \lim_{t \to \infty} \arg \mathrm{Det}^2 U(t, \omega)l_0, \tag{23}$$

where arg is any argument of a complex number. Then μ-almost everywhere this limit exists and is constant. (Note that the argument here is independent of the branch, but follows one of them; thus we may choose $\arg \mathrm{Det}^2 l_0$ as the principal value in $[0, 2\pi)$.) Furthermore, the limit in (23) can also be written in the form (4) as an ergodic limit.

The limit in (23) fits into the framework of §2. Define the continuous function

$$f : X = \Omega \times \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad f(\omega, l_0) = \arg \mathrm{Det}^2 l_0.$$

Our previous results yield the following.

COROLLARY 3.1. *Consider a non-autonomous linear Hamiltonian differential system of the form (21) and the associated linear Hamiltonian skew product flow on $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}} = \Omega \times \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$ given by (22). Then for every compact chain transitive set M of this flow the set of chain rotation numbers over M is a compact interval,*

$$\rho(M) = [\alpha^*(M), \alpha(M)].$$

For every ergodic invariant measure ν on $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$ the corresponding rotation number satisfies

$$\alpha(\nu) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \arg \frac{1}{2t} \mathrm{Det}^2 U(t, \omega)l_0 \in \rho(M),$$

where M is the maximal chain transitive set containing the support of ν. Furthermore, the boundary points $\alpha^*(M)$ and $\alpha(M)$ are attained for certain ergodic invariant measures on $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Remark 3.2. In order to analyze rotation numbers corresponding to ergodic measures μ on the base space Ω, we have to lift them to ergodic measures ν on $\Omega \times \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$ projecting down

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000363 Published online by Cambridge University Press

to μ. This is always possible; however, there may be several possibilities for ν (depending, in particular, on the choice of the maximal chain transitive set containing the support of ν).

The next step is the classification of the maximal chain transitive sets in $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$. If the flow on Ω is chain transitive and *locally transitive* this has been done by Braga Barros and San Martin [**3**], who show in particular that their number is bounded by 2^n. Their setting is as follows.

The space $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of n-dimensional Lagrangian subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is a compact manifold embedded in the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_n(2n)$ of n-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The group $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$. We cite the following definition.

Definition 3.3. The local group of local homeomorphisms of a metric space X is locally transitive with parameters c, $\rho > 0$ if, for every $x \in X$ and every y in the ball $\mathbf{B}(x, \rho)$ of radius ρ around x, there is a local homeomorphism ξ of X with $\xi(x) = y$ and $\mathrm{d}(\xi, \mathrm{id}) = \sup \mathrm{d}(\xi(z), z) \leq c\mathrm{d}(x, y)$ where the supremum is taken over all elements in the domain of the definition of ξ.

Under the assumption of local transitivity the chain recurrent components of Φ can be described by fixed point sets (in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$) of diagonalizable matrices in $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Consider a diagonal matrix of the form

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Lambda = \mathrm{diag}\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$, a $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with $\lambda_i > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Such a matrix belongs to $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Put

$$\mathcal{O}(\Lambda) = \{gDg^{-1} : g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})\}$$

for the adjoint orbit of D. To have this orbit we can choose $\Lambda = \mathrm{diag}\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$ so that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \geq 1$ (since there are matrices $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ such that the conjugation gDg^{-1} just permutes the entries of Λ). The chain recurrent components of Φ are described in terms of $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$ as follows [**3**].

THEOREM 3.4. *Assume that the base flow on Ω is chain transitive and that the local group of local homeomorphisms on Ω is locally transitive. Then there exist $\Lambda_\Phi \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and a map $D_\Phi : \Omega \to \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_\Phi)$ such that any chain recurrent component has the form $\bigcup_{x\in\Omega} \mathrm{fix}\, D_\Phi(\omega)$ where $\mathrm{fix}\, D_\Phi(\omega)$ is a fixed point set of $D_\Phi(\omega)$ in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

The fixed point set which enters in each union is taken in a compatible way. The following example in the regular situation clarifies the meaning of compatible. Suppose that, for a given flow Φ,

$$\Lambda_\Phi = \mathrm{diag}\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$$

is such that $\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_n \geq 1$. Denote by $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n, f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ the basis which diagonalizes $D_\Phi(\omega)$. There are 2^n fixed points of $D_\Phi(\omega)$ in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$, namely the n-dimensional Lagrangian subspaces spanned by basic elements (that is, $\mathrm{span}\{e_{i(1)}, \ldots, e_{i(k)}\} \cup \{f_{j(1)}, \ldots, f_{j(n-k)}\}$ with $\{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \cap \{j_1, \ldots, j_{n-k}\} = \emptyset$). Thus there are 2^n chain transitive components of the flow in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$. To see how they are built above the base space Ω

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000363 Published online by Cambridge University Press

note that Λ_Φ has just one attractor, namely $\mathrm{att}(\Lambda_\Phi) = \mathrm{span}\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$. In the same way, $D_\Phi(\omega)$ also has one attractor $\mathrm{att}(D_\Phi(\omega))$. This way,

$$\bigcup_{\omega\in\Omega} \mathrm{att}(D_\Phi(\omega))$$

is a chain transitive component, which turns out to be an attractor of Φ. Also, Λ_Φ (and each $D_\Phi(\omega)$) has just one repeller ($\mathrm{span}\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$) fixed point and these repellers are combined together to give a repeller component of Φ.

Analogously, the other fixed points of $D_\Phi(\omega) \in \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_\Phi)$, $\omega \in \Omega$, can be labelled (in terms of bases like $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n, f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$) in a consistent way to give all the 2^n components.

In the case when Λ_Φ is not regular (that is, there is repetition of eigenvalues) the picture is similar. The main difference is that a repetition of the eigenvalues forces a collapsing of the components.

This implies the following result.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Consider a non-autonomous linear Hamiltonian differential system (21) and the associated linear Hamiltonian skew product flow $\mathcal{K}_\mathbb{R}$ given by (22). Assume that the base flow on Ω is chain transitive and that the local group of local homeomorphisms on Ω is locally transitive. Then there are at most 2^n compact intervals of rotation numbers, each of them corresponding to a chain recurrent component M of the flow Φ on $\mathcal{K}_\mathbb{R}$.*

Since the rotation number is specified by the behavior of a Lagrange plane, the corresponding Lyapunov exponent also has to be specified for Lagrange planes. Thus, one has to look at the growth rates in the corresponding exterior product space. For the Morse spectrum this was analyzed in [**6**]. One can either study these Lyapunov exponents separately or, and this appears to be more adequate, study the exponential growth rates (of the Lagrange planes) and the rotation numbers *simultaneously*. Thus we define the continuous map

$$f : X = \mathcal{K}_\mathbb{R} = \Omega \times \mathcal{L}_\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2, \quad f(\omega, l_0) = (\log |l_0|, \arg \mathrm{Det}^2\, l_0). \tag{24}$$

Conditions (2) and (3) are satisfied, and we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 3.6. *Consider a non-autonomous linear Hamiltonian differential system of the form (21) and the associated linear Hamiltonian skew product flow on $\mathcal{K}_\mathbb{R}$ given by (22). Assume that the base flow on Ω is chain transitive and that the local group of local homeomorphisms on Ω is locally transitive. Then, for each of the (at most) 2^n chain recurrent components M_i of the flow Φ on $\mathcal{K}_\mathbb{R}$, the set*

$$F(M_i) = \{(\lambda, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \textit{ there are } \varepsilon^k \to 0,\ T^k \to \infty \textit{ and } (\varepsilon^k, T^k)\textit{-chains}$$
$$\zeta^k \textit{ with } f(\zeta^k) \to (\lambda, \alpha)\}$$

is a compact and convex set. For each ergodic measure ν on the base Ω there is a chain recurrent component M in $\mathcal{K}_\mathbb{R}$ such that the corresponding Lyapunov exponent and the rotation number

$$\lambda(\nu) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log |\Phi(t, \omega)l_0|, \quad \alpha(\nu) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \arg \frac{1}{2t} \mathrm{Det}^2\, U(t, \omega)l_0,$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000363 Published online by Cambridge University Press

respectively, satisfy

$$(\lambda(\nu), \alpha(\nu)) \in F(M).$$

Remark 3.7. It may appear more natural to consider f in (24) as a map into the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ where the Lyapunov exponent is the real part and the rotation number is the imaginary part. Thus $F(M_i)$ is a compact convex subset of $\mathbb{C}$.

Remark 3.8. Braga Barros and San Martin [**3**] show that local transitivity holds, e.g. if X is a compact Riemannian manifold. It is not clear if local transitivity holds for the closure of an almost periodic function or for the set $\mathcal{U} = \{u \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m),\ u(t) \in U$ for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of functions with values in a compact and convex set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, endowed with the weak* topology on L_∞. The latter space is of relevance in control theory (cf. [**5**]).

Remark 3.9. If we omit the assumption of local transitivity, we conjecture that the methods of Salamon and Zehnder [**10**] combined with [**6**] (concerning general linear flows on vector bundles) can be modified so that they yield the existence of the finest Morse decomposition for the flow on Lagrange planes. It would therefore follow that the number of maximal chain transitive sets is finite.

Remark 3.10. A possible application of the framework developed above concerns autonomous linear Hamiltonian systems of the form

$$J\dot{z} = H_0 z,$$

and their non-autonomous perturbations

$$J\dot{z} = \left[H_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} H_i u_i(t)\right] z, \quad u(t) \in \rho U,$$

where $\rho \geq 0$ is a parameter and U is a compact and convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$. We can then take as the base flow the shift on the space $\mathcal{U}$ of perturbation (or control) functions. One will expect semicontinuity results of rotation numbers and Lyapunov exponents depend on ρ. Under an inner-pair condition (cf. Gayer [**8**] for methods of verification) continuous dependence on ρ may also be expected.

4. *Rotation numbers in planes*

An alternative generalization of rotation numbers has been proposed by San Martin [**11**]. It relies on the restriction of the flow to oriented planes. We follow the presentation of Arnold [**1**].

Recall that the free operation $G \times E \to E$ of a finite group G on a Hausdorff space E defines a principal G-bundle (e.g. tom Dieck [**12**, Example 6.5])

$$p : E \to E/G.$$

For rotation numbers the Grassmann manifold $\mathbb{G}_2^+(d)$ of oriented 2-planes has to be considered. It is a twofold covering of the Grassmann manifold $\mathbb{G}_2(d)$. It is helpful to also consider the Stiefel manifold $\mathrm{St}_2(d)$ of orthonormal 2-frames which is a principal

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000363 Published online by Cambridge University Press

bundle over $\mathbb{G}_2(d)$ with structure group $SO(2, \mathbb{R})$. One also has the principal fiber bundle over the (1, 2)-flags

$$\mathrm{St}_2(d) \to \mathbb{F}_{(1,2)} \tag{25}$$

with structure group $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2$, the Kleinian group of four elements. More explicitly, for a flag $(V_1 \subset V_2) \in \mathbb{F}_{(1,2)}$ an element $n = (u, v) \in \mathrm{St}_2(d)$ is given by $u \in V_1$ with $\|u\| = 1$ and $v \in V_2$ with $\|v\| = 1$ and $\langle u, v\rangle = 0$. These two vectors give rise to four orthonormal frames, namely $n_1 = n = (u, v)$, $n_2 = (-u, v)$, $n_3 = (-u, -v)$ and $n_4 = (u, -v)$. Here n_1 and n_3 have the same orientation while n_2 and n_4 have the same, but opposite, orientation. The action of $G = \{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4\}$ is defined by $g_i n = n_i,\ i = 1, \ldots, 4$. Thus (25) is a fourfold covering.

This generalizes to vector bundles

$$\pi : \mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega \to \Omega$$

with fibers $\mathbb{R}^d \times \{\omega\}$ where $\mathbb{R}^d$ is endowed with the Euclidean inner product. Along with $\mathcal{V}$ come the Grassmann bundles $\mathbb{G}_2(\mathcal{V}) = \mathbb{G}_2(d) \times \Omega$ and $\mathbb{G}_2^+(\mathcal{V}) = \mathbb{G}_2^+(d) \times \Omega$, the Stiefel bundle $\mathrm{St}_2(\mathcal{V}) = \mathrm{St}_2(d) \times \Omega$ and the flag bundle $\mathbb{F}_{(1,2)}(\mathcal{V}) = \mathbb{F}_{(1,2)}(d) \times \Omega$.

Remark 4.1. Analogous definitions can be given for the Stiefel manifold over a Riemannian manifold (cf. Arnold [**1**]). Note that here the rotation number (defined below) depends on the Riemannian metric.

Let Φ be a smooth linear flow Φ on $\mathcal{V}$. This induces smooth flows on the oriented 2-planes $\mathbb{G}_2^+(\mathcal{V})$ and on the flag bundle $\mathbb{F}_{(1,2)}(\mathcal{V})$. It also induces a smooth flow on the Stiefel bundle which is defined as follows. Take a frame $n = (u, v)$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. Then for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ define the image at time t as the orthonormalized pair

$$\perp(\Phi_t u, \Phi_t v) = \left(\frac{\Phi_t u}{\|\Phi_t u\|}, \frac{\Phi_t v - \langle \Phi_t v, \Phi_t u\rangle \Phi_t u}{\|\Phi_t v - \langle \Phi_t v, \Phi_t u\rangle \Phi_t u\|} \right).$$

This defines a flow on $\mathrm{St}_2(\mathcal{V})$ which, as for those on the Grassmann bundles and on the flag bundle, we also denote for simplicity by Φ. Then

$$\mathrm{St}_2(\mathcal{V}) \to \mathbb{F}_{(1,2)}(\mathcal{V})$$

is a fiber bundle with structure group $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ and compact base space. The induced flows are equivariant with respect to G.

The next proposition relates the maximal chain transitive sets in the bundle and in the base.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Consider a principal G-fiber bundle $\pi : E \to B$ with finite structure group G, $|G| = l$, and assume that B is compact. Let Φ be a fiber-preserving flow on E which is equivariant with respect to G. Then for every compact maximal chain transitive set M_B of the induced flow on the base B, there are $k \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ maximal chain transitive sets $M_i \subset E$ of Φ with $\pi(M_i) = M_B$ and every compact maximal chain transitive set of Φ is of this form.*

Proof. Consider a maximal chain transitive set $M_B \subset B$ and let $b \in M_B$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider for b and $b' \in M_B$ the sets $\pi^{-1}(b) = \{e_1, \ldots, e_l\}$ and $\pi^{-1}(b') = \{e'_1, \ldots, e'_l\}$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000363 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Then for $\delta > 0$ small enough, a δ-chain in M_B from b to b' gives rise to ε-chains from each of the e_i to some $e'_{j(i)}$. Since $\pi^{-1}(M_B)$ is compact invariant, it contains a maximal chain transitive set which is then invariant. Hence there is a maximal chain transitive set M_E in $\pi^{-1}(M_B)$ which projects to M_B. By uniform continuity, a chain transitive set in E projects down to a chain transitive set in B. Thus, by maximality of M_B, the set M_E is also a maximal chain transitive set in E (not just in $\pi^{-1}(M_B)$). For every $g \in G$ the set gM_E is also a maximal chain transitive set.

Every maximal chain transitive set maps down into a maximal chain transitive set in B. The arguments above show that it is of the form above and hence the projection is onto; thus the assertion follows. □

As a consequence of this result one can give estimates on the number of maximal chain transitive sets in Stiefel bundles and in oriented 2-plane bundles.

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let Φ be a linear flow on a d-dimensional vector bundle $\pi : \mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega \to \Omega$ with compact chain transitive base space Ω and let l be the number of chain recurrent components of the induced flow on the flag bundle $\mathbb{F}_{(1,2)}(\mathcal{V})$. Then $l \leq d(d-1)$ and the numbers of chain recurrent components of the induced flows on the Stiefel bundle $\mathrm{St}_2(\mathcal{V})$ and on the oriented Grassmann bundle $\mathbb{G}_2^+(\mathcal{V})$ are bounded above by $4l$ and $2l$, respectively.*

Proof. By [**6**, Theorem 5], the number of chain recurrent components in $\mathbb{F}_{(1,2)}(\mathcal{V})$ is at most $d(d-1)$. Thus the estimate in the Stiefel bundle follows from Proposition 4.2 and the fact that the structure group has four elements. By [**6**, Proposition 2], the number of chain recurrent components in $\mathbb{G}_2(\mathcal{V})$ is at most $d(d-1)$. Thus the estimate in $\mathbb{G}_2^+(\mathcal{V})$ follows from Proposition 4.2 and the fact that the structure group has two elements. □

Remark 4.4. If the base space Ω satisfies the local transitivity condition (Definition 3.3, Braga Barros and San Martin [**3**]), then one will be able to give more precise estimates of l using the theory of semi-simple Lie groups.

The rotation number (cf. [**1**]) can now be defined in the following way.

Definition 4.5. For a linear differential equation $\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$, with $d \geq 2$ and locally integrable $t \mapsto A(t)$, the rotation number of the oriented 2-plane $p \in \mathbb{G}_2^+(d)$ is

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \langle A(t)\Phi_t^u n, \Phi_t^v n\rangle \, dt$$

(provided the limit exists), where $n(t) = (\Phi_t^u n, \Phi_t^v n) = \Phi_t(n)$ is the flow induced in $\mathrm{St}_2(d)$ with arbitrary initial frame $n = (u, v) \in \mathrm{St}_2(d)$ in the fiber over p.

Note that the limit (if it exists) is independent of the choice of n; if an ergodic measure in the base space is given and additional assumptions are satisfied, existence of the limit can be guaranteed almost everywhere (cf. [**1**]). The chain construction introduced above leads us to the following definition.

Consider a smooth linear flow Φ on a vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega$ with compact base space Ω and let

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_t(x, \omega) = A(\omega \cdot t)\Phi_t(x, \omega), \quad (x, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega,$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000363 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where $\omega \cdot t$ denotes the induced flow on the base. For a chain ζ in $\mathrm{St}_2(\mathcal{V})$ given by

$$(u_0, v_0, \omega_0), \ldots, (u_n, v_n, \omega_n) \in \mathrm{St}_2(\mathcal{V}), \quad T_0, \ldots, T_n > T,$$

define the rotation number of ζ as

$$\rho(\zeta) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T_i\right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{T_i} \langle A(\omega_i \cdot t)\Phi_t^u n_i, \Phi_t^v n_i\rangle \, dt.$$

Recall that the chain recurrent components in $\mathrm{St}_2(\mathcal{V})$ project down to the chain recurrent components in $\mathbb{G}_2^+(\mathcal{V})$.

Definition 4.6. For a chain recurrent component M of the induced flow on the Grassmann bundle $\mathbb{G}_2^+(\mathcal{V})$ of oriented 2-planes, define the chain rotation numbers as

$$\rho(M) = \{\rho \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ there are } \varepsilon^k \to 0,\ T^k \to \infty \text{ and } (\varepsilon^k, T^k)\text{-chains } \zeta^k \text{ with } \rho(\zeta^k) \to \lambda\};$$

here the chains ζ^k are taken in the chain recurrent components in $\mathrm{St}_2(\mathcal{V})$ which project down to M.

The general theory above and the estimates on the numbers of chain recurrent components in $\mathbb{G}_2^+(\mathbb{V})$ imply the following result.

THEOREM 4.7. *Let Φ be a smooth linear flow on a vector bundle $\mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega$ with compact and chain transitive base space Ω. Then, for every compact chain transitive set M of the induced flow on the Grassmann bundle $\mathbb{G}_2^+(\mathcal{V})$, the set of chain rotation numbers over M is a compact interval*

$$\rho(M) = [\rho^*(M), \rho(M)].$$

For every ergodic invariant measure ν on $\mathbb{G}_2^+(\mathcal{V})$ with support contained in the maximal chain transitive set M, the corresponding rotation number satisfies

$$\rho(\nu) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \langle A(\omega \cdot t)\Phi_t^u n, \Phi_t^v n\rangle \, dt \in \rho(M). \tag{26}$$

Furthermore, the boundary points $\rho^*(M)$ and $\rho(M)$ are attained for certain ergodic invariant measures. There are k intervals of rotation numbers with $k \in \{1, \ldots, 2d(d-1)\}$.

Proof. For maximal chain transitive sets in the Stiefel bundle $\mathrm{St}_2(\mathcal{V})$, Corollary 4.3 and Theorem 2.8 yield the assertions above. It remains to show that, for every chain recurrent component in $\mathrm{St}_2(\mathcal{V})$ projecting down to M, one obtains the same interval I. Let ρ^* be a boundary point of I. Then ρ^* is attained in an ergodic measure on $\mathrm{St}_2(\mathcal{V})$. Hence there is an orthonormal frame in $\mathrm{St}_2(\mathcal{V})$ for which the limit in (26) exists and coincides with ρ^*. Then it follows (cf. [**1**]) that the limit does not change if we start in another orthonormal frame in the same fiber over $\mathbb{G}_2^+(\mathcal{V})$. Thus the boundary point ρ^* is also attained for each other chain recurrent component in $\mathrm{St}_2(\mathcal{V})$ projecting down to M. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000363 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Acknowledgement. We thank Luiz A. B. San Martin for making [**3**] available as a preprint and for his help in understanding Theorem 3.4.

REFERENCES

[1] L. Arnold. *Random Dynamical Systems*. Springer, Berlin, 1998.
[2] V. I. Arnold. On a characteristic class entering in a quantum condition. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **1** (1967), 1–14.
[3] C. J. Braga Barros and L. A. B. San Martin. Chain transitive sets for flows on flag bundles. *Forum Math.* **19** (2007), 19–60.
[4] F. Colonius and W. Kliemann. The Morse spectrum for linear flows on vector bundles. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **348** (1996), 4355–4388.
[5] F. Colonius and W. Kliemann. *The Dynamics of Control*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000.
[6] F. Colonius and W. Kliemann. Morse decompositions and spectra on flag bundles. *J. Dynam. Differential Equations* **14** (2002), 719–741.
[7] R. Fabbri, R. Johnson and C. Nunez. Disconjugacy and the rotation number for linear, nonautonomous Hamiltonian systems. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **185** (2006), S3–S21.
[8] T. Gayer. Control sets and their boundaries under parameter variation. *J. Differential Equations* **201** (2004), 177–200.
[9] R. Johnson, K. J. Palmer and G. R. Sell. Ergodic properties of linear dynamical systems. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **18** (1987), 1–33.
[10] D. Salamon and E. Zehnder. Flows on vector bundles and hyperbolic sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **291** (1988), 623–649.
[11] L. A. B. San Martin. Rotation numbers in higher dimensions. *Report* 199, Institut für Dynamische Systeme, Universität Bremen, 1988.
[12] T. tom Dieck. *Topologie*. De Gruyter, Berlin, 1991.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000363 Published online by Cambridge University Press