References

About the U.S. Courts of Appeals. Retrieved June 12, 2022 from www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/court-role-and-structure/about-us-courts-appeals.

Ainsworth, J. (1993). In a different register: The pragmatics of powerlessness in police interrogation. *The Yale Law Journal*, *103*(2), 259–322.

Ainsworth, J. (2008). You have the right to remain silent . . . but only if you ask for it just so: The role of linguistic ideology in American police interrogation law. *International Journal of Speech, Language & The Law*, *15*(1), 1–21.

Ainsworth, J. (2012). The meaning of silence in the right to remain silent. In L. Solan & P. Tiersma (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of language and law* (pp. 287–298). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Ainsworth, J. (2020). Miranda rights: Curtailing coercion in police interrogation: The failed promise of Miranda v. Arizona. In M. Coulthard, A. May, & R. Sousa-Silva (Eds.), *The Routledge handbook of forensic linguistics* (pp. 95–111). London: Routledge.

Alison, L. J., Alison, E., Noone, G., Elntib, S., & Christiansen P. (2013). Why tough tactics fail and rapport gets results: Observing Rapport-Based Interpersonal Techniques (ORBIT) to generate useful information from terrorists. *Psychology, Public Policy and Law*, *19*, 411–431.

Alison, L. J., Alison, E., Shortland, N., & Surmon-Bohr, F. (2020). *ORBIT: The science of rapport-based interviewing for law enforcement, security, and military*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Antaki, C., Richardson, E., Stokoe, E., & Willott, S. (2015). Dealing with the distress of people with intellectual disabilities reporting sexual assault and rape. *Discourse Studies*, *17*(4), 415–432.

Asher, N., & Lascarides, A. (2013). Strategic conversation. *Semantics and Pragmatics*, *6*, 1–58.

Baldwin, J. (1993). Police interview techniques. *The British Journal of Criminology*, *33*, 325–352.

Basar, T., & Olsder, G. J. (1999). *Dynamic noncooperative game theory*. Philadelphia, PA: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.

Bazelon, A. S. (2007). Adding (or reaffirming) temporal element to the Miranda warning "you have the right to an attorney." *Marquette Law Review*, *90*(4), 1009–1042.

Bennett, P. G. (1977). Toward a theory of hypergames. *Omega*, *5*, 749–751.

Bennett, P. G. (1980). Hypergames, the development of an approach to modeling conflicts. *Futures*, *12*, 489–507.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 21:43:31, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009052047.009

Berk-Seligson, S. (2009). *Coerced confessions: The discourse of bilingual police interrogations*. New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

Blakemore, D. (1994). Echo questions: A pragmatic account. *Lingua. International Review of General Linguistics. 94*(4), 197–211.

Blutner, R. (2000). Some aspects of optimality in natural language interpretation. *Journal of Semantics*, *17*(3), 189–216.

Brimbal, L., Kleinman, S. M., Oleszkiewicz, S., & Meissner, C. A. (2019). Developing rapport and trust in the interrogative context: An empirically-supported and ethical alternative to customary interrogation practices. In S. J. Barela, M. J. Fallon, G. Gaggioli, & J. D. Ohlin (Eds.), *Interrogation and torture: Integrating efficacy with law and morality* (pp. 141–196). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Brimbal, L., Meissner, C. A., Kleinman, S. M., et al. (2021). Evaluating the benefits of a rapport-based approach to investigative interviews: A training study with law enforcement investigators. *Law and Human Behavior*, *45*(1), 55–67.

Brooks, P. (2000). *Troubling confessions*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Broscheid, A. (2011). Comparing circuits: Are some US Courts of Appeals more liberal or conservative than others? *Law & Society Review*, *45*(1), 171–194.

Brown, P., & Levinson, S. C. (1987). *Politeness: Some universals in language usage*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Buckley, J. P. (2012). The Reid technique of interviewing and interrogation. Presentation. https://static.secure.website/wscfus/869641/uploads/oneday_-_master_current_Reid.pdf

Bull, R., & Baker, L. (2020). Obtaining valid discourse from suspects PEACE-fully: What role for rapport and empathy. In M. Mason & F. Rock (Eds.), *The discourse of police interviews* (pp. 42–64). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Burnett, H. (2019). Signalling games, sociolinguistic variation and the construction of style. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, *42*, 419–450.

Busse, U. (2002). Changing politeness strategies in English requests: A diachronic investigation. In J. Fisiak (Ed.), *Studies in English historical linguistics and philology* (pp. 17–35). Frankfurt: Peter Lang.

Calderon, S., Mac Giolla, E., Ask, K., & Granhag, P. A. (2018). Drawing what lies ahead: False intentions are more abstractly depicted than true intentions. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, *32*(4), 518–522.

Cason, T. N., & Plott, C. R. (2014). Misconceptions and game form recognition: Challenges to theories of revealed preference and framing. *Journal of Political Economy*, *122*(6), 1235–1270.

Cassell, P. G. (1999). The guilty and the "innocent": An examination of alleged cases of wrongful conviction from false confessions. *Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy*, *22*(2), 523–580.

Chan, J., & Dixon, D. (2007). The politics of police reform: Ten years after the royal commission into the New South Wales Police Service. *Criminology & Criminal Justice*, *7*(4), 443–468.

Chen, T. (1996). Davis v. United States: Maybe should talk to lawyer means maybe Miranda is unraveling. *Pepperdine Law Review*, *23*(2), 607–650.

Cho, I. K., & Kreps, D. M. (1987). Signaling games and stable equilibria. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *102*(2), 179–221.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 21:43:31, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009052047.009

Clarke, C., Milne, B., & Bull, R. (2011). Interviewing suspects of crime: The impact of PEACE training, supervision and the presence of a legal advisor. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, *8*, 149–162.

Cleary, H. (2014). Police interviewing and interrogation of juvenile suspects: A descriptive examination of actual cases. *Law and Human Behavior*, *38*(3), 271–282.

Cleary, H. M. D., & Warner, T. C. (2016). Police training in interviewing and interrogation methods: A comparison of techniques used with adult and juvenile suspects. *Law and Human Behavior*, *40*(3), 270–284.

Collins, R., Lincoln, R., & Frank, M. G. (2002). The effect of rapport in forensic interviewing. *Psychiatry, Psychology, and Law*, *9*, 69–78.

Crawford, V. P., & Sobel, J. (1982). Strategic information transmission. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, *50*(6), 1431–1451.

Daly, Y., & Conway, V. (2021). Selecting a lawyer: The practical arrangement of police station legal assistance. *Journal of Law and Society*, *48*(4), 618–644.

Davis, D., Leo, R. A., & Follette, W. C. (2010). Selling confession: Setting the stage with the "sympathetic detective with a time-limited offer." *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, *26*(4), 441–457.

Dekker, P., & Van Rooy, R. (2000). Bi-directional optimality theory: An application of game theory. *Journal of Semantics*, *17*(3), 217–242.

DePaulo, B. M., Lindsay, J. L., Malone, B. E., Muhlenbruck, L., Charlton, K., & Cooper, H. (2003). Cues to deception. *Psychological Bulletin*, *129*, 74–118.

Dixon, D. (2010). Questioning suspects: A comparative perspective. *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, *26*(4), 426–440.

Dixon, D., & Maher, L. (2004). Containment, quality of life and crime control: Policy transfers in the policing of a street heroin market. In T. Newburn & R. Sparks (Eds.), *Criminal justice and political cultures* (pp. 234–266). Cullompton: Willan.

Drizin, S. A., & Reich, M. J. (2004). Heeding the lessons of history: The need for mandatory recording of police interrogations to accurately assess the reliability and voluntariness of confessions. *Drake Law Review*, *52*(4), 619–646.

Dunlap, B. (2014). Anyone can think like lawyer: How the lawyers' monopoly on legal understanding undermines democracy and the rule of law in the United States. *Fordham Law Review*, *82*(6), 2817–2842.

Ensor, B., & White, M. (2022, April 6). Police: Top detective defends controversial CIPEM interviewing method. *Stuff*. www.stuff.co.nz/national/crime/128241902/police-top-detective-defends-controversial-cipem-interviewing-method

European Court of Human Rights: *Annual Report* (2008). www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Annual_report_2008_ENG.pdf

Fabian, J. M. (2005). Life, death, and IQ: It's much more than just a score: The dilemma of the mentally retarded on death row. *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, *5*(4), 1–36.

Fahsing, I. A., & Rachlew, A. (2013). Investigative interviewing in the Nordic region. In T. Williamson, B. Milne, and S. Savage (Eds.), *International developments in investigative interviewing* (pp. 65–91). London: Routledge.

Farrell, J. (1993). Meaning and credibility in cheap-talk games. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 5(4), 514–531.

Farrell, J., & Gibbons, R. (1989). Cheap talk with two audiences. *The American Economic Review*, 79(5), 1214–1223.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 21:43:31, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009052047.009

Feld, B. C. (2006). Police interrogation of juveniles: An empirical study of policy and practice. *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, *97*(1), 219–317.

Fox, B. (2014). On the notion of pre-request. *Discourse Studies*, *17*(1), 41–63.

Fraiser, N. M., & Hipel, K. W. (1984). *Conflict analysis moves and resolution*. New York: North-Holland.

Frank, M. C., & Goodman, N. D. (2012). Predicting pragmatic reasoning in language games. *Science*, *336*(2084), 998.

Fudenberg D., & Tirole J. (1991). *Game theory*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Gaines, P. (2020). The discourse structure of blame mitigation in a police interrogation. In M. Mason & F. Rock (Eds.), *The discourse of police interviews* (pp. 85–112). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Garrett, B. L. (2015, October 8). Serving life for a lie? *HuffPost*. www.huffpost.com/entry/serving-life-for-a-lie_b_82660129

Gee, H. (2009). An ambiguous request for counsel before and not after a Miranda waiver: United States v. Rodriguez, United States v. Fry and State v. Blackburn. *American University Criminal Law Brief*, *5*(1), 51–68.

Geiselman, R. E. (2012). The cognitive interview for suspects (CIS). *American Journal of Forensic Psychology*, *30*(3), 5–20.

Geiselman, R. E., Fisher, R. P., Firstenberg, I., Hutton, L., Sullivan, S. J., Avetissian, I. V., & Prosk, A. L. (1984). Enhancement of eyewitness memory: An empirical evaluation of the cognitive interview. *Journal of Police and Science Administration*, *12*, 74–80.

Gharesifard, B., & Cortés J. (2010). Evolution of the perception about the opponent in hypergames, *Proceedings of the 49th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, 1076–1081.

Gharesifard, B., & Cortes, J. (2012). Evolution of players' misperceptions in hypergames under perfect observations. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, *57*(7), 1627–1640.

Gharesifard, B., & Cortes, J. (2014). Stealthy deception in hypergames under informational asymmetry. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, *6*(44), 785–795.

Gibbons, R. (1997). An introduction to applicable game theory. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *11*(1), 127–149.

Gibbs, R. W. (1983). Do people always process the literal meanings of indirect requests? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *9*, 524–533.

Gibbs, R. W. (1999). *Intentions in the experience of meaning*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Gibbs, R. W., Buchalter, D., Moise, J., & Farrar, W. (1993). Literal meaning and figurative language. *Discourse Processes*, *16*, 387–403.

Goffman, E. (1959). *The presentation of self in everyday life*. New York: Doubleday.

Goffman, E. (1967). *Interaction ritual: Essays on face-to-face behavior*. New York: Random House.

Goffman, E. (1981). *Forms of talk*. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press.

Granhag, P. A., Oleszkiewicz, S., Sakrisvold, M. L., & Kleinman, S. M. (2020). The Scharff technique: Training military intelligence officers to elicit information from small cells of sources. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, *26*(5), 438–460.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 21:43:31, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009052047.009

Grice, H. P. (1975). Logic and conversation. In P. Cole and J. L. Morgan (Eds.), *Syntax and semantics III: Speech acts* (pp. 41–58). New York: Academic Press.

Griffiths, L., & Milne, B. (2006). Will it all end in tiers? Police interviews with suspects in Britain. In T. Williamson (Ed.), *Investigative interviewing: Rights, research, regulation* (pp. 167–189). Cullompton: Willan.

Griffiths, A., Milne, B., & Cherryman, J. (2011). A question of control? The formulation of suspect and witness interview question strategies by advanced interviewers. *International Journal of Police Science and Management*, *13*, 255–267.

Griffiths, A., & Rachlew, A. (2018). From interrogation to investigative interviewing: The application of psychology. In A. Griffiths & R. Milne (Eds.), *The psychology of criminal investigation* (pp. 154–178). London: Routledge.

Grisso, T. (1998). *Instruments for assessing understanding & appreciation of Miranda rights*. Professional Resource Press/Professional Resource Exchange.

Gudjonsson, G., & Pearse, J. (2011). Suspect interviews and false confessions. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *20*(1), 33–37.

Guidelines for Communicating Rights to Non-Native Speakers (2012). www.aaal.org/guidelines-for-communication-rights

Harsanyi, J. (1967). Games with incomplete information played by "Bayesian players": The basic model, *Management Science*, *14*, 159–182.

Hartwig, M., Meissner, C. A., & Semel, M. D. (2014). Human intelligence interviewing and interrogation: Assessing the challenges of developing an ethical, evidence-based approach. In R. Bull (Ed.), *Investigative interviewing* (pp. 209–228). New York: Springer.

Herman, L. (1987). The Supreme Court, the attorney general, and the good old days of police interrogation. *Ohio State Law Journal*, *48*(3), 733–756.

Heydon, G. (2005). *The language of police interviewing*. Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan.

Heydon, G. (2008). The art of deception: Myths about lie detection in written confessions. In L. Smets & A. Vrij (Eds.), *Cahiers police studies: Special investigative interviewing techniques: The use of written and oral analyses* (pp. 173–186). Gent: Politeia.

Heydon, G. (2012). Helping the police with their enquiries: Enhancing the investigative interview with linguistic research. *The Police Journal*, *85*, 101–122.

High-value detainee interrogation group: Interrogation a review of the science (2016, September). www.fbi.gov/file-repository/hig-report-interrogation-a-review-of-the-science-september-2016.pdf/view

Holtgraves, T. M. (1994). Communication in context: The effects of speaker status on the comprehension of indirect requests. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and Cognition*, *20*, 1205–1218.

Holtgraves, T. M. (2002). *Language as social action: Social psychology and language use*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Holtgraves, T. M. (2005). Comprehending conversational indirectness in a social context. In A. Katz & H. Colston (Eds.), *Social and cultural factors involved in figurative language processing* (pp. 73–98). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Holtgraves, T. M., & Ashley, A. (2001). Comprehending illocutionary force. *Memory & Cognition*, *29*, 83–90.

Hulstijn, J. (2011). Language proficiency in native and nonnative speakers: An agenda for research and suggestions for second-language assessment. *Language Assessment Quarterly 8*(3), 229–249.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 21:43:31, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009052047.009

Hulstijn, J. (2015). *Language proficiency in native and non-native speakers: Theory and research*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Inbau, F. E., Reid, J. E., Buckley, J. P., & Jayne, B. C. (2001). *Criminal interrogation and confessions* (4th ed.). Gaithersberg, MD: Aspen.

Inbau, F. E., Reid, J. E., Buckley, J. P., & Jayne, B. C. (2005). *Criminal interrogation and confessions: Essentials of the Reid technique* (1st ed.). Sudbury, MA: Jones & Bartlett.

Inbau, F. E., Reid, J. E., Buckley, J. P., & Jayne, B. C. (2013). *Criminal interrogation and confessions* (5th ed.). Burlington, MA: Jones & Bartlett Learning.

Jäger, G. (2008). The evolution of convex categories. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, *30*(5), 551–564.

Jaszczolt, K. M. (2019). Rethinking being Gricean: New challenges for metapragmatics. *Journal of Pragmatics*, *145*, 15–24.

Johnson, A. (2020). "Are you saying you were stabbed . . . ?": Multimodality, embodied action, and dramatized formulations in "fixing" the facts in police interviews with suspects. In M. Mason & F. Rock (Eds.), *The discourse of police interviews* (pp. 268–298). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Jones, J. B. (2004, June) Access to counsel. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention. *Juvenile Justice Bulletin 1*, 34. www.ojp.gov/pdffiles1/ojjdp/204063.pdf

Kassin, S. M. (1997). The psychology of confession evidence. *American Psychologist*, *52*, 221–233.

Kassin, S. M., & Gudjonsson, G. H. (2004). The psychology of confessions: A review of the literature and issues. *Psychological science in the public interest*, *5*(2), 33–67.

Kassin, S. M., & Kiechel, K. L. (1996). The social psychology of false confessions: Compliance, internalization, and confabulation. *Psychological Science*, 7, 125–128.

Kassin, S. M., & Norwick, R. J. (2004). Why people waive their Miranda rights: The power of innocence. *Law and Human Behavior*, *28*(2), 211–221.

Kassin, S., Drizin, S., Grisso, T., Gudjonsson, G., Leo, R., & Redlich, A. (2010). Police induced confessions: Risk factors and recommendations. *Law and Human Behavior*, *34*(1), 3–38.

Kassin, S. M., Leo, R. A., Meissner, C. A., Richman, K. D., Colwell, L. H., Leach, A. M., & LaFon, D., (2007). Police interviewing and interrogation: A self-report survey of police practices and beliefs. *Law and Human Behavior*, *31*(4), 381–400.

Keysar, B., Barr, D. J., Balin, J. A., & Brauner, J. S. (2000). Taking perspective in conversation: The role of mutual knowledge in comprehension. *Psychological Science*, *11*(1), 32–38.

Klopf, G., & Tooke, A. (2003). Statement analysis examination technique. *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin*, *72*(4), 6–14.

Kostelnik, J. O., & Reppucci, N. D. (2009). Reid training and sensitivity to developmental maturity in interrogation: Results from a national survey of police. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law*, *27*, 361–379.

Kovach, N. S., Gibson, A. S., & Lamont, G. B. (2015). Hypergame theory: A model for conflict, misperception, and deception. *Game Theory*, 1–20.

Kozinski, W. (2017). The Reid interrogation technique and false confessions: A time for change. *Seattle Journal for Social Justice*, *16*(2), 301–345.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 21:43:31, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009052047.009

Lamer Commission of Inquiry: Pertaining to the cases of Ronald Dalton, Gregory Parsons, Randy Druken (2006, June). www.gov.nl.ca/jps/files/publications-lamerreport.pdf

Landers, P. (2000, October 6). A false confession jailed Mr. Yakushiji; then fate intervened. *The Wall Street Journal*, pp. A1, A7–A8.

Language Assistance for Law Enforcement (2006, March 31). https://najit.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/LanguageforLawEnforcement2006.pdf

Leiber, M. J., & Stairs, J. M. (1999). Race, contexts, and the use of intake diversion. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, *36*(1), 56–86.

Leo, R. A. (1996). Miranda's revenge: Police interrogation as a confidence game. *Law & Society Review*, *30*(2), 259–288.

Leo, R. A. (2001). Questioning the relevance of Miranda in the Twentieth-Century. *Michigan Law Review*, *99*(5): 1000–1029.

Leo, R. A. (2008). *Police interrogation and American justice*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Leo, R. A., & Ofshe, R. J. (1998). Consequences of false confessions: Deprivations of liberty and miscarriages of justice in the age of psychological interrogation. *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, *88*(2), 429–496.

Leo, R. A., & White, W. S. (1999). Adapting to Miranda: Modern interrogators' strategies for dealing with the obstacles posed by Miranda. *Minnesota Law Review*, *84*(2): 397–472.

Leo, R. A., Neufeld, P. J., Drizin, S. A., & Taslitz, A. E. (2013). Promoting accuracy in the use of confession evidence: An argument for pretrial reliability assessments to prevent wrongful convictions. *Temple Law Review*, *85*(4), 759–838.

Levenberg, T. O. (1995). Fifth Amendment: Responding to ambiguous requests for counsel during custodial interrogations. *Journal of Criminal Law & Criminology*, *85*(4), 962–988.

Levinson S. (1983). *Pragmatics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Marcus, P. (1993). A return to the "bright line rule" of Miranda. *William & Mary Law Review*, *35*(4), 93–146.

Mason, M. (2013). Can I get a lawyer? A suspect's use of indirect requests in a custodial setting. *International Journal of Speech, Language & the Law*, *20*(2), 203–227.

Mason, M. (2016). The "preparatory" and "argumentation" stages of police interrogation: A linguistic analysis of a criminal investigation. *Language & Communication*, *48*, 79–87.

Mason, M. (2020). The guilt-presumptive nature of custodial interrogations in the United States: The use of confrontation, appeals to self-interest, and sympathy/minimization in the Reid technique. In M. Mason & F. Rock (Eds.), *The discourse of police interviews* (pp. 65–84). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Mason, R. D., & Mason, M. (2021, November 20–22). The game of police interrogations [Conference presentation]. *Southern Economics Association, 91st Annual Meeting*, Houston, TX.

Mason, R. D., & Mason, M. (2023). Reconsidering Miranda rights: Modeling strategic action during the invocation stage of a police interrogation. *Rationality and Society.*

McFeeley, N. D. (2016). The historical development of habeas corpus. *Southwestern Law Journal*, *30*(3), 585–600.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 21:43:31, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009052047.009

McGurk, B., Carr, J., & McGurk, D. (1993). Investigative interviewing courses for police officers: An evaluation. *Police Research Series Paper*, 4. London: Home Office.

Meissner, C. A., Surmon-Böhr, F., Oleszkiewicz, S., & Alison, L. J. (2017). Developing an evidence-based perspective on interrogation: A review of the US government's high-value detainee interrogation group research program. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, *23*(4), 438.

Meissner, C. A., Redlich, A. D., Michael, S. W., Evans, J. R., Camilletti, C. R., Bhatt, S., & Brandon, S. (2014). Accusatorial and information-gathering interrogation methods and their effects on true and false confessions: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Experimental Criminology*, *10*, 459–486.

Mendez, J. E., & Areh, I. (Eds.). (2021). *Principles on effective interviewing for investigations and information gathering*. APT, Association pour la prévention de la torture.

Meyer, J. R., & Reppucci, N. D. (2007). Police practices and perceptions regarding juvenile interrogation and interrogative suggestibility. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law*, *25*, 757–780.

Milne, R. J. (1997). *Analysis and application of the cognitive interview* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Portsmouth.

Mosteller, R. P. (2007). Police deception before Miranda warnings: The case for per se exclusion of an entirely unjustified practice at a particularly sensitive moment. *Texas Tech Law Review*, *39*(4), 1239–1273.

National Children's Advocacy Center. (2019, February). *National Children's Advocacy Center's Child Forensic Interview Structure*. www.nationalcac.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/NCAC_CFIS_Feb-2019.pdf

National Juvenile Defender Center, Access Denied: A National Snapshot of States' Failure to Protect Children's Right to Counsel. (2017, May). https://njdc.info/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Snapshot-Final_single-4.pdf

Noh, E. (1998). Echo questions: Metarepresentation and pragmatic enrichment. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, *21*(6), 603–628.

Noh, E. (2001). *Metarepresentation: A relevance-theory approach*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Ofshe, R. J. (2007). Defending the innocent: Interrogation and false confession. *The Champion*, 10–15.

Parikh, P. (1991). Communication and strategic inference. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, *14*(5), 473–514.

Parikh, P. (2000). Communication, meaning, and interpretation. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, *23*, 185–212.

Parikh, P. (2001). *The use of language*. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.

Paulo, R. M., Albuquerque, P. B., & Bull, R. (2013). The enhanced cognitive interview: Towards a better use and understanding of this procedure. *International Journal of Police Science & Management*, *15*(3), 190–199.

Pavlenko, A. (2008). "I'm very not about the law part": Nonnative speakers of English and the Miranda warnings. *Tesol Quarterly*, *42*(1), 1–30.

Pavlenko, A., Hepford, E., & Jarvis, S. (2019). An illusion of understanding: How native and non-native speakers of English understand (and misunderstand) their Miranda rights. *International Journal of Speech Language and the Law*, *26*(2), 181–207.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 21:43:31, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009052047.009

Pinker, S., Nowak, M.A., & Lee, J.J. (2008). The logic of indirect speech. *Proceedings of the National Academy of sciences 105*(3), 833–838.

Pöchhacker, F. (2012). Interpreting participation: Conceptual analysis and illustration of the interpreter's role in interaction. In C. Baraldi & L. Gavioli (Eds.), *Coordinating participation in dialogue interpreting* (pp. 45–69). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Prates, R. C. (2014). Power in game theory. *Contributions to Game Theory and Management*, *7*, 282–289.

Rabin M. (1990). Communication between rational agents. *Journal of Economic Theory*, *51*, 144–170.

Raevaara L., & Sorjonen, M. (2014). Requesting for a product as an embodied action: Grammatical form of requests at convenience stores. In P. Drew & E. Couper-Kuhlen (Eds.), *Requesting in social interaction* (pp. 243–268). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Reid. (2018, November 1). A description of the Reid technique. https://reid.com/resources/investigator-tips/a-description-of-the-reid-technique

Richardson, B. H., Taylor, P. J., Snook, B., Conchie, S. M., & Bennell, C. (2014). Language style matching and police interrogation outcomes. *Law and Human Behavior*, *38*(4), 1–10.

Robinson, L. O. (2020). Five years after Ferguson: Reflecting on police reform and what's ahead. *ANNALS, AAPSS*, *687*, 228–239.

Rogers, R., & Drogin, E. Y. (2015). Miranda rights and wrongs: Matters of justice. *Court Review: The Journal of the American Judges Association*, *51*, 150–156.

Rogers, R., Rogstad, J. E., Gillard, N. D., Drogin, E. Y., Blackwood, H. L., & Shuman, D. W. (2010). "Everyone knows their Miranda rights": Implicit assumptions and countervailing evidence. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, *16*(3), 300–318.

Salduz Act, *Belgian Law Gazette*, September 5, 2011.

Sangero, B. (2007). Miranda is not enough: A new justification for demanding strong corroboration to a confession. *Cardozo Law Review*, *28*(6), 101–139.

Savage, S., and Milne, R. (2007). Miscarriages of justice: The role of the investigative process. In T. Newburn, T. Williamson, & A. Wright (Eds.), *Handbook of Criminal Investigation* (pp. 610–627). Cullompton: Willan.

Schegloff, E. (2007). *Sequence organization in interaction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Schlangen, D. (2004). Causes and strategies for requesting clarification in dialogue. *Proceedings of the 5th SIG dial Workshop on Discourse and Dialogue at HLT-NAACL*, 136–143.

Schollum, M. (2017). Bringing PEACE to the United States: A framework for investigative interviews. *International Association of Chiefs of Police Journal*, *10*, 30–37.

Schoovaerts, H., Vanderhallen, M., & McIntyre, S. J. (2021). Lawyers and children: Is there a need for mandatory legal assistance in suspect interviews? *International Journal of Police Science & Management*, *23*(1), 55–72.

Seidmann, D. J., & Stein, A. (2000). The right to silence helps the innocent: A game-theoretic analysis of the Fifth Amendment privilege. *Harvard Law Review*, *114*, 430–510.

Shuy, R. W. (1998). *The language of confession, interrogation, and deception*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 21:43:31, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009052047.009

Simon, D. (2012). *In doubt: The psychology of the criminal justice process*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Skelton, M. S., & Connell III, J. G. (2004). The routine booking question exception to Miranda. *University of Baltimore Law Review*, *34*(1), 55–91.

Snook, B., Eastwood, J., Stinson, M., Tedeschini, J., & House, J. C. (2010). Reforming investigative interviewing in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Criminology and Criminal Justice*, *52*(2), 215–229.

Stevens, J., Benz, A., Reuße, S., & Klabunde, R. (2015). A strategic reasoning model for generating alternative answers. In *Proceedings of the 53rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 7th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing*, *1*, 534–542.

Stevens, J. S. (2016). When do we think strategically? *Zeitschrift für Sprachwissenschaft*, *35*(1), 97–108.

St-Yves, Michel. (2009). Police interrogation in Canada: From the quest for confession to the search for the truth. In T. Williamson, B. Milne, & S. P. Savage (Eds.), *International developments in investigative interviewing* (pp. 92–110). Portland, OR: Willan Publishing.

Sundby, S. E. (2020). The Court and the suspect: Human frailty, the calculating criminal, and the penitent in the interrogation room. *Washington University Law Review*, *98*, 123–187.

Taylor, H. (2002, March 20). Nationwide love affair with NYPD has not changed nationwide attitudes toward the police. *The Harris Poll #13*.

Tkacukova, T., & Oxburgh, G. E. (2020). Patterns of cooperation between police interviewers with suspected sex offenders. In M. Mason & F. Rock (Eds.), *The discourse of police interviews* (pp. 136–155). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Tedeschini, D. J. (2008). "But we've always done it this way!" A progressive approach to police interview and interrogation training. *The Wisconsin Defender*, *16*(1), 1–11.

Terkourafi, M. (2011). The puzzle of indirect speech. *Journal of Pragmatics*, *43*, 2861–2865.

Tomasi, T., & Velona, J. (1987). All the Presidents Men? A study of Ronald Reagan's appointments to the US Courts Of Appeals. *Columbia Law Review*, *87*(4), 766–793.

Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1986). Rational choice and the framing of decisions. *The Journal of Business*, *59*(4), 251–278.

Urbanik, P., & Pavlenko, A. (2021). Securing understanding in a second language: Communication of rights in investigative interviews in the US and Norway. In R. Blackwood, & U. Røyneland (Eds.), *Spaces of multilingualism* (pp. 92–112). London: Routledge.

van Rooij R. (2004). Signaling games select Horn strategies. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, *27*(4), 493–527.

van Rooij R. (2008). Games and quantity implicatures. *Journal of Economic Methodology*, *15*(3), 261–274.

Varsos, C., Flouris, G., Bitsaki, M., & Fasoulakis, M. (2021). A study of misinformation games. *Pacific Rim International Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 76–87.

Vrij, A. (2015). Verbal lie detection tools: Statement Validity Analysis, Reality Monitoring and Scientific Content Analysis. In P. A. Granhag, A. Vrij, & B. Verschuere (Eds.), *Detecting deception: Current challenges and cognitive approaches* (pp. 3–36). Chichester: Wiley Blackwell.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 21:43:31, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009052047.009

Vrij, A. (2018). Deception and truth detection when analyzing nonverbal and verbal cues. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, *33*(2), 160–167.
Walsh, D. W., & Milne, R. (2008). Keeping the PEACE? A study of investigative interviewing practices in the public sector. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, *13*, 39–57.
Walton, D. (2003). The interrogation as a type of dialogue. *Journal of Pragmatics*, *35*, 1771–1802.
Wang, M., Hipel, K. W., & Fraser, N. M. (1989). Solution concepts in hypergames. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, *34*(3), 147–171.
Weisselberg, C. D. (2008). Mourning *Miranda*. *California Law Review*, *96*(6), 1521–1601.
Williamson, T. (2006). Towards greater professionalism: Minimizing miscarriages of justice. In T. Williamson (Ed.), *Investigative interviewing. Rights, research, regulation* (pp. 147–166). Portland, OR: Willan Publishing.
Working group on the prevention of miscarriages of justice of the federal–provincial–territorial heads of prosecutions committee (2004). *Report on the Prevention of Miscarriages of Justice*. Ottawa: Department of Justice (FPT Report).
Yuncker, T. J. (1995). Davis v. United States: The unambiguous decline of ambiguous requests for counsel during custodial interrogation. *Widener Journal of Public Law*, *4*(2), 711–754.
Zalman, M., & Smith, B. (2007). The attitudes of police executives toward Miranda and interrogation policies. *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, *97*, 873–942.

Legal Cases

Atkins v. *Virginia*, 536 U.S. 304 (2002).
Alvarez v. *Gomez*, 185 F.3d 995 (9th Cir. 1999).
Ball v. *State*, 347 Md. 156, 172, 699 A.2d 1170 (1997).
Berghuis v. *Thompkins*, 560 U.S. 370 (2010).
Brecht v. *Abrahamson*, 507 U.S. 619 (1993).
Cannady v. *Dugger*, 931 F.2d 752 (11th Cir. 1991).
Cape v. *Francis*, 741 F.2d 1287 (1984).
Clanton v. *Cooper*, 129 F.3d 1147 (10th Cir. 1997).
Clark v. *Murphy*, 317 F.3d 1038 (9th Cir. 2003).
Connecticut v. *Barrett*, 479 U.S. 523 (1987).
Davis v. *United States*, 512 U.S. 452 (1994).
Devenpeck v. *Alford*, 543 U.S. 146 (2004).
Dickerson v. *United States*, 530 U.S. 428 (2000).
Edwards v. *Arizona*, 451 U.S. 477 (1981).
Fare v. *Michael C.*, 442 U.S. 707 (1979).
Flores v. *Muniz*, 1:16-cv-01475-LJO-MJS (HC), E.D. Cal. (2018).
Frazier v. *Cupp*, 394 U.S. 731 (1969).
Fry v. *Pliler*, 551 U.S. 112 (2007).
Harris v. *New York*, 401 U.S. 222 (1971).
Illinois v. *Perkins*, 496 U.S. 292 (1990).
Johnson v. *Booker*, 2:09-CV-10108, E.D. Mich. (2014).
Kyger v. *Carlton*, 146 F.3d 374 (6th Cir. 1998).

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 21:43:31, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009052047.009

Lopez v. *Janda*, EDCV 13–1196-DOC (MAN), C.D. Cal. (2015).
Lord v. *Duckworth*, 29 F.3d 1216 (7th Cir. 1994).
Maryland v. *Shatzer*, 559 U.S. 98 (2010).
McNeil v. *Wisconsin*, 501 U.S. 171 (1991).
Michigan v. *Mosley*, 423 U.S. 96 (1975).
Michigan v. *Tucker*, 417 U.S. 433 (1974).
Miranda v. *Arizona*, 384 U.S. 436 (1966).
Missouri v. *Seibert*, 542 U.S. 600 (2004).
Moran v. *Burbine*, 475 U.S. 412 (1986).
Nash v. *Estelle*, 597 F.2d 513 (5th Cir. 1979).
Newell v. *Ryan*, CV-12–02038-PHX-JJT, D. Ariz. (2019).
New York v. *Quarles*, 467 U.S. 649 (1984).
Oregon v. *Elstad*, 470 U.S. 298 (1985).
Owen v. *Alabama*, 849 F.2d 536 (11th Cir. 1988).
People v. *Burton*, 6 Cal. 3d 375, 491 P.2d 793 (1971).
People v. *Portelli*, 15 N.Y.2d 235 (1965).
Poyner v. *Murray*, 964 F.2d 1404 (4th Cir. 1992).
Renteria v. *Foulk*, NO. CV 14–2104-AB (KLS), C.D. Cal. (2016).
Rhode Island v. *Innis*, 446 U.S. 291 (1980).
Robinson v. *Borg*, 918 F.2d 1387 (9th Cir. 1990).
Rodriguez v. *McDonald*, No. 12–56594 (9th Cir. 2017).
Salduz v. *Turkey*, ECHR 36391/02, Grand Chamber, (2008).
Sessoms v. *Runnels*, 691 F.3d 1054 (9th Cir. 2012).
Smith v. *Endell*, 860 F.2d 1528 (9th Cir. 1988).
Smith v. *Illinois*, 469 U.S. 91 (1984).
Soffar v. *Cockrell*, 300 F.3d 588 (5th Cir. 2002).
Stansbury v. *California*, 511 U.S. 318 (1994).
State v. *Blackburn*, 766 N.W.2d 177, 182 (S.D. 2009).
State v. *Carrillo*, 156 Ariz. 125 (Ariz. 1988).
State v. *Harris*, 305 S.W.3d 482 (Mo. Ct. App. 2010).
State v. *Melton*, 239 Neb. 506 (Neb. 1991).
State v. *Rettenberger*, 1999 UT 80 (Utah 1999).
Taylor v. *Jackson*, No. 17–1762 (6th Cir. 2017). (Ga. 2017).
United States v. *Alamilla-Hernandez*, 8:08CR451, D. Neb. (2009).
United States v. *Al-Saimari*, 982 F. Supp. 2d 1285 (D. Utah 2013).
United States v. *Boyce*, 594 F.2d 1246 (9th Cir. 1979).
United States v. *Brown,* 01-6072. (10th Cir. 2002).
United States v. *Brown*, CR05-73-S-EJL, D. Idaho (2005).
United States v. *Cheely*, 36 F.3d 1439 (9th Cir. 1994).
United States v. *Crawford*, 372 F.3d 1048 (9th Cir. 2004).
United States v. *Davis*, No. GJH-17-493 (D. Md 2018).
United States v. *De La Jara*, 973 F.2d 746 (9th Cir. 1992).
United States v. *Feyler*, 55 F. Supp. 2d 55 (D. Me. 1999).
United States v. *Fouche*, 833 F.2d 1284 (9th Cir. 1987).
United States v. *Fry*, CR-09–44-N-JLQ, 2009 WL 1687958, D. Idaho (2009).
United States v. *Garibay*, 143 F.3d 534 (9th Cir. 1998).
United States v. *Gray*, R-15–08076-PCT-DGC (D. Arizona 2015).

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 21:43:31, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009052047.009

United States v. *Hunter*, 708 F.3d 938 (7th Cir. 2013).
United States v. *Jacques*, 744 F.3d 804 (1st Cir. 2014).
United States v. *Jardina*, 747 F.2d 945 (5th Cir. 1984).
United States v. *Lux*, 905 F.2d 1379 (10th Cir. 1990).
United States v. *March*, 999 F.2d 456 (10th Cir. 1993).
United States v. *Monroe*, 264 F. Supp. 3d 376 (D.R.I. 2017).
United States v. *Ogbuehi*, 18 F.3d 807 (9th Cir. 1994).
United States v. *Posada–Rios*, 158 F.3d 832 (5th Cir. 1998).
United States v. *Prestigiacomo*, 504 F. Supp. 681 (E.D.N.Y. 1981).
United States v. *Priest* (1969), 409 F.2d 491 (5th Cir. 1969).
United States v. *Rodriguez*, 07–10217 2008 (9th Cir. 2008).
United States v. *Rutledge*, 900 F.2d 1127 (7th Cir. 1990).
United States v. *Salazar Orellana*, 1:09-CR-475-WSD-CCH-3 (N.D. Georgia 2010).
United States v. *Santistevan*, 701 F.3d 1289 (10th Cir. 2012).
United States v. *Smith*, CR. 07–30097, 2008 DSD 5 (D.S.D. 2008).
United States v. *Thompson*, 866 F.2d 268, 272 (8th Cir . 1989).
United States v. Williams, 2:15-cr-00069-JDL-1 (D. Me. 2015).
Vega v. *Tekoh*, 597 U.S. ___ (2022).
Wolf v. *Hennessy*, CV 06–0084-PHX-JAT. (D. Arizona 2008).
Wood v. *Ercole*, 644 F.3d 83 (2d Cir. 2011).
Woosley v. *McEwen*, DCV 09–2335–PA (SH) (C.D. California 2014).

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 21:43:31, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009052047.009