*Parasitology*

**cambridge.org/par**

# Evolution of tick vaccinology

José de la Fuente[1,2] and Srikant Ghosh[3,4]

[1]SaBio. Instituto de Investigación en Recursos Cinegéticos IREC-CSIC-UCLM-JCCM, Ronda de Toledo 12, 13005 Ciudad Real, Spain; [2]Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, Center for Veterinary Health Sciences, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA; [3]Entomology Laboratory, Parasitology Division, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar 243122, Bareilly, UP, India and [4]Eastern Regional Station- Indian Veterinary Research Institute, 37 Belgachia Road, Kolkata-700037, West Bengal, India

**Review Article**

**Cite this article:** de la Fuente J, Ghosh S (2024). Evolution of tick vaccinology. *Parasitology* **151**, 1045–1052. https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118202400043X

Received: 8 January 2024
Revised: 2 April 2024
Accepted: 2 April 2024
First published online: 8 April 2024

**Keywords:**
adjuvant; subolesin; tick; tick-borne disease; vaccine; vaccinomics

**Corresponding author:**
José de la Fuente;
Email: jose_delafuente@yahoo.com

## Abstract

Ticks represent a major concern for society worldwide. Ticks are also difficult to control, and vaccines represent the most efficacious, safe, economically feasible and environmentally sustainable intervention. The evolution of tick vaccinology has been driven by multiple challenges such as (1) Ticks are difficult to control, (2) Vaccines control tick infestations by reducing ectoparasite fitness and reproduction, (3) Vaccine efficacy against multiple tick species, (4) Impact of tick strain genetic diversity on vaccine efficacy, (5) Antigen combination to improve vaccine efficacy, (6) Vaccine formulations and delivery platforms and (7) Combination of vaccines with transgenesis and paratransgenesis. Tick vaccine antigens evolved from organ protein extracts to recombinant proteins to chimera designed by vaccinomics and quantum vaccinomics. Future directions will advance in these areas together with other novel technologies such as multiomics, AI and Big Data, mRNA vaccines, microbiota-driven probiotics and vaccines, and combination of vaccines with other interventions in collaboration with regions with high incidence of tick infestations and tick-borne diseases for a personalized medicine approach.

## Challenge 1: ticks are difficult to control

Ticks and tick-borne pathogens constitute a growing problem with increasing social and economic concern worldwide (e.g. de la Fuente *et al.*, 2023*a*). Ticks are difficult to control, and traditional control methods are mainly based on the use of chemical acaricides with partial success and drawbacks such as selection of resistant ticks and negative impact on animal health and production and environmental contamination (Agwunobi *et al.*, 2021; Githaka *et al.*, 2022; Gonzaga *et al.*, 2023). A number of reports of establishment of multiacaricides resistant ticks in different parts of the world (Bishop *et al.*, 2023) and growing global public concern of environment pollution due to high use of chemical acaricides has posed serious challenges on continuation the use of conventional methods for tick management.

Under the One Health and sustainability perspective, vaccines are the most effective and safe intervention to reduce tick populations and risks associated with transmitted pathogens (de la Fuente, 2018; reviewed by Estrada-Peña *et al.*, 2022). However, although a number of reports of significant efficacy of other vaccine formulations have been reported (de la Fuente and Kocan, 2003; de la Fuente and Contreras, 2015; Bishop *et al.*, 2023; Parizi *et al.*, 2023), only Bm86/Bm95-based vaccines TickGARD in Australia and Gavac in Cuba were registered and commercialized for the control of *Rhipicephalus microplus* tick infestations (de la Fuente *et al.*, 2007; Rodríguez-Mallon, 2023). Currently, only Gavac (CIGB, Havana, Cuba; https://www.cigb.edu.cu/en/product/gavac-2/) and Bovimune Ixovac (Lapisa, La Piedad, Michoacán, Mexico; https://lapisa.com/productos/bovimune-ixovac) with Bm86 antigen are still commercially available in some Latin American countries.

Based on the evolution of vaccinology (Andreano *et al.*, 2019), this review approached the evolution of tick vaccinology to face challenges and advance in the development of new effective anti-tick vaccines and other control interventions (Fig. 1).

## Challenge 2: vaccines control tick infestations by reducing ectoparasite fitness and reproduction

The proof-of-concept of anti-tick vaccine was proposed by Allen and Humphreys (1979) using organ specific protein extracts. The first challenge was then approached with the discovery of *R. microplus* Bm86/Bm95 antigen and the development, registration and commercialization of TickGARD and Gavac vaccines for the control of cattle tick infestations (Willadsen *et al.*, 1988, 1995; Rodríguez *et al.*, 1994; reviewed by de la Fuente and Kocan, 2003; de la Fuente *et al.*, 2007; Rodríguez-Mallon, 2023). The protective mechanism was associated with antibody production in response to vaccine and antibody-antigen interactions in the midgut lumen of ticks feeding on immunized host (Willadsen and Kemp, 1988). This interaction affected tick protein function, which translated into reduction in the number of ticks completing life cycle, weight, oviposition and fertility (de la Fuente and Kocan, 2014). Considering the role of cattle hosts in tick-borne diseases (TBD), these vaccines may not only reduce tick infestations and incidence

© Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas CSIC, 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.





**Figure 1.** Tick vaccine research in the context of the evolution of vaccinology. Key advances in tick vaccinology are highlighted in red with tick stickers.

of TBD in cattle but also in humans and other animal species (Chakraborty *et al.*, 2023). However, due to significant variation in vaccine efficacy reported of 0–100% (de la Fuente and Kocan, 2014; Parizi *et al.*, 2023) against different strains of *R. microplus*, these vaccines have not been approved in most countries.

## Challenge 3: vaccine efficacy against multiple tick species

Despite the advances on anti-tick *R. microplus* vaccines with Bm86/Bm95 antigens, conserved protective antigens across different tick genera needed to be identified. To address this challenge, Subolesin (SUB; originally named 4D8 and ortholog of Akirin) was discovered by expression library immunization in *Ixodes scapularis* mouse model (Almazán *et al.*, 2003). The SUB-vaccine protective responses were not only mediated by anti-SUB antibodies entering tick cells by unknown mechanisms and blocking protein translocation to the nucleus to exert its regulatory function, but also through activation of other immune protective mechanisms (de la Fuente *et al.*, 2011, 2021; Merino *et al.*, 2011; Artigas-Jerónimo *et al.*, 2020). The immune response to SUB affects multiple biological processes, which translates in various hosts (e.g. cattle, deer, sheep, dog, rabbit, mouse, chicken) into reduction of fitness and reproduction of different tick species (e.g. *Ornithodoros*, *Ixodes*, *Haemaphysalis*, *Amblyomma*, *Dermacentor*, *Hyalomma*, *Rhipicephalus*) and other arthropod vectors (e.g. mosquito, sand fly, poultry red mite) and vector-borne pathogens (e.g. *Anaplasma*, *Babesia*, *Borrelia*, *Plasmodium*) (Artigas-Jerónimo *et al.*, 2018; Parizi *et al.*, 2023) (Table 1). The efficacy and effectiveness of vaccines with SUB antigens have been evaluated not only under pen-controlled conditions (Shakya *et al.*, 2014; Artigas-Jerónimo *et al.*, 2018), but also in field trials (Torina *et al.*, 2014; Mendoza-Martínez *et al.*, 2021). Under field conditions in vaccinated cattle and sheep, the results showed 63% of sheep tick infestations, 8-fold reduction in the per cent of infested cattle, 32–55% reduction in tick weight, reduction in acaricide treatments and in the prevalence of *Anaplasma marginale* tick-transmitted genotypes (Torina *et al.*, 2014). Recently, SUB vaccine provided a 67% efficacy in cattle infested with *R. microplus* (Mendoza-Martínez *et al.*, 2021) and 83–90% efficacy in cattle vaccinated with *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* SUB and infested with *R. appendiculatus*, *Rhipicephalus decoloratus* and *Amblyomma variegatum* (Kasaija *et al.*, 2020).

Taken together, these results support the efficacy of SUB vaccines against different tick genera and other arthropod vector species. Additionally, other antigens such as p29, Aquaporin, Metalloprotease, Potassium ion channels, Protease inhibitors, Calreticulin, P0, Ferritin 2 and Tropomyosin have shown protection against different tick species (de la Fuente and Kocan, 2003; de la Fuente and Contreras, 2015; Manjunathachar *et al.*, 2019; Abbas *et al.*, 2023; Parizi *et al.*, 2023; de la Fuente *et al.*, 2023*b*; Nepveu-Traversy *et al.*, 2024).

## Challenge 4: impact of tick strain genetic diversity on vaccine efficacy

Even if tick vaccine antigens such as SUB have shown efficacy against multiple tick species, the challenge related to strain genetic diversity and other factors needs to be considered. To face this challenge, a 'personalized medicine' approach was proposed considering regional, tick species/strains and host factors.

An example of this approach is the SUB antigen from *R. appendiculatus*, *R decoloratus* and *A. variegatum*, main tick species infesting *Bos indicus* and crossbred cattle in Uganda (Kasaija *et al.*, 2020). Vaccine formulations with antigens from these tick species were evaluated under controlled pen conditions in both cattle breeds to select *R. appendiculatus*-derived SUB as the antigen with higher cross-species protection (Kasaija *et al.*, 2020). This vaccine is now under field trial in Uganda (Kabi *et al.*, 2022). Other personalized SUB vaccines have been evaluated against different Indian tick species (Parthasarathi *et al.*, 2023).

These results highlight the importance of personalizing vaccines considering tick, host and livestock farm management factors to improve effectiveness under field conditions.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118202400043X Published online by Cambridge University Press

**Table 1.** Examples of the efficacy of animal immunization with SUB tick protective antigen

| Tick species | Expression system | Host | Dose and delivery | Adjuvant | Efficacy (E) against infestation (%) | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *I. scapularis* | *E. coli* | Rabbit | 500 $\mu$g 2 doses; S/C | Freund's incomplete adjuvant | 46%, *I. scapularis* | Almazán *et al.* (2005) |
| *R. microplus* | *P. pastoris* | Chicken | 50 $\mu$g 3 doses; S/C | Montanide ISA 50 V | 35.1%, *Dermanyssus gallinae gallinae* | Harrington *et al.*, 2009 |
| *R. microplus* | *E. coli* | Cattle | 100 $\mu$g 3 doses; I/M | Montanide ISA 50 V | *R. annulatus* larvae, adults, 37–48% | Almazán *et al.* (2010) |
| *A. americanum* | *E .coli* | Cattle | 100 $\mu$m 3 doses; S/C | Montanide ISA 50 V | 55% | de la Fuente *et al.*, (2010) |
| *I. scapularis* | Vaccinia virus | Mice | $10^8$ pfu given orally | No adjuvant used | 52% | Bensaci *et al.*, 2012 |
| *R. microplus* | *E. coli* | White-tailed deer | 100 $\mu$g 3 doses; I/M | Montanide ISA 50 V | *83%* | Carreón, *et al.*, 2012 |
| R. microplus | *P. pastoris* | Mice | 25 $\mu$g 3 doses; I/M | Montanide ISA 50V2 | *I. ricinus* larvae, 54% | Moreno-Cid *et al.*, 2013 |
| *R. microplus* | *E. coli* | Cattle | 100 $\mu$g 3 doses; I/M | Montanide ISA 50 V | 60% | Merino *et al.*, 2013 |
| *R. microplus* | *E. coli* | Cattle | 100 $\mu$g 2 doses; I/M | Montanide 888 | 44%. | Shakya *et al.*, 2014 |
| *R. appendiculatus* | *E. coli* | Cattle | 100 $\mu$g 3 doses; I/M | Montanide ISA 50V2 | *R. appendiculatus*, 47–90%; *A. variegatum*, 50–89%; *R. decoloratus*, 51% | Kasaija *et al.*, 2020 |
| *A. variegatum* | *E. coli* | Cattle | 100 $\mu$g 3 doses; I/M | Montanide ISA 50V2 | *R. appendiculatus*, 83–86%; *A. variegatum*, 47–76%; *R. decoloratus*, 72% | Kasaija *et al.*, 2020 |
| *R. decoloratus* | *E. coli* | Cattle | 100 $\mu$g 3 doses; I/M | Montanide ISA 50V2 | *R. appendiculatus* 66–89%; *A. variegatum* 50–89%; *R. decoloratus*, 51% | Kasaija *et al.*, 2020 |
| *H. longicornis* | *E.coli* | Rabbit | 500 $\mu$g 2 doses; I/M | TiterMax Gold | 37.4% | Lee *et al.*, 2020 |
| *R. microplus* SUB peptide | *E. coli* | Cattle | 100 $\mu$g 3 doses; S/C | Montanide ISA 50 | 67% | Mendoza-Martínez *et al.*, 2021 |
| *R. microplus* | *E. coli* | Cattle | oral | Montanide ISA 50V2 | *R. decoloratus*, 7196%; *R. appendiculatus*, 87–99% | Kasaija *et al.*, 2022 |

Abbreviations: S/C, subcutaneous; I/M, intramuscular; pfu, plaque forming units.

## Challenge 5: antigen combination to improve vaccine efficacy

Antigen combinations have been considered to improve vaccine efficacy and results of experimental trials provided support for this approach (e.g. Vitellin-degrading cysteine endopeptidase (VTDCE), *Boophilus* yolk pro-cathepsin (BYC) and Glutathione S-transferase (GST-Hl), Parizi *et al.*, 2012; Bm86, SUB and Tropomyosin (TPM), Parthasarathi *et al.*, 2023; Bm86 and P0 peptide, Rodríguez-Mallon *et al.*, 2023) (Table 2). A comparatively higher efficacy was noted when compared with single antigen immunization. However, the main limitation of this approach is that protein-protein physical and immunological interactions may affect protective immune response in vaccinated hosts and thus additional experiments are required to eliminate the possible constraints in developing vaccine formulation using multiple antigens.

To approach this limitation, the possibility of combining SUB DNA and protein in a vaccine formulation was considered (Hassan *et al.*, 2020). However, recent research has focused on quantum vaccinomics algorithms for the combination of antigen protective epitopes or immunological quantum (Artigas-Jerónimo *et al.*, 2020; Contreras *et al.*, 2022*a*, 2022*b*). As recently proposed (de la Fuente *et al.*, 2023*b*), in this approach, the prediction, identification and validation of protective epitopes is based on the combination of *in vitro*, *in silico*, *in music* and epitope mapping approaches with systems biology integration of omics datasets, artificial intelligence (AI) and Big Data (Villar *et al.*, 2017; de la Fuente *et al.*, 2018; de la Fuente and Contreras, 2023).

Vaccinomics is based on the integrations of omics dataset for the identification of candidate vaccine protective antigens (Poland *et al.*, 2013; de la Fuente and Merino, 2013; Contreras *et al.*, 2016, 2017, 2019*a*). The proposal of quantum vaccinomics originated from vaccinomics and the random processes such as immunoglobulin recombination events, direct correlation between atomic coordination and peptide immunogenicity and quantum dynamics of the immune response that has been subjected to optimizing evolution within living organisms supporting quantum immunology (reviewed by de la Fuente and Contreras, 2021). Then, in reference to Albert Einstein quantum of light,

https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118202400043X Published online by Cambridge University Press

**Table 2.** Examples of the efficacy of vaccination of animals with SUB combined with other tick/parasite antigens

| Antigen I | Antigen II | Antigen III | Host | Dose and delivery | Adjuvant | Efficacy | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *R. microplus* BM86 | *H. anatolicum* SUB | *H.anatolicum* tropomyosin (TPM) | Cattle | Each antigen 100 $\mu$g 3 doses; I/M; Co-vaccination | Montanide ISA 50V2 | 87.2% and 86.2% against *H. anatolicum* larvae and adults; 86.7% against *R. microplus* | Parthasarathi *et al*., 2023 |
| *R. microplus* BM86 | SUB peptide of *R. microplus* | ---- | Cattle | 100 $\mu$g 3 doses; S/C; dual vaccine | Montanide ISA 50V2 | 49% | Mendoza-Martínez *et al*., 2021 |
| *R. microplus* SUB | *Anaplasma marginale* Major surface protein-1 | ---- | Cattle | 120 $\mu$g 3 doses; S/C; chimeric vaccine | Montanide ISA 50V2 | 81% | Almazán et al. (2012) |
| *R. appendiculatus* SUB | *A. variegatum* SUB | *R. decoloratus* SUB | Cattle | Cocktail of 100$\mu$g each protein prepared 3 doses; S/C; Cocktail vaccine | Montanide ISA 50V2 | *R. appendiculatus* 74–92; *A. variegatum* 51–69%; *R. decoloratus* 71% | Kasaija *et al*., 2020 |
| *R. microplus SUB* | heat inactivated *Mycobacterium bovis* (IV) | ---- | Cattle | 200 $\mu$g of SUB mixed with $6 \times 10^6$cfu IV in 18 ml PBS for SUB + IV 2 doses; Oral | IV acts as adjuvant | 65% | Contreras *et al*. (2019*a*, 2019*b*) |
| Subolesin-Akirin chimera (Q38) | | ----- | Roe deer | 100 $\mu$g 3 dose I/M; chimeric vaccine | Montanide ISA 50V2 | More than 95% against *I. ricinus* and 46.4% *Dermacentor reticulatus* larvae | Contreras *et al*., 2020 |
| Protective epitopes of *I. scapularis* SUB | Protective epitopes of *A. albopictus* Akirin | | | | | | |
| SUB-MSP1a construct | | ---- | Cattle | 100 $\mu$g 2 doses; I/M; chimeric vaccine | Montanide ISA 50V2 | 60% | Almazán et al. (2012) |
| *R. microplus SUB* | *Anaplasma marginale* Major Surface Protein 1a (MSP1a) | | | | | | |

https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118202400043X Published online by Cambridge University Press

immune protective epitopes were proposed as immunological quantum and quantum vaccinomics as the identification and combination of antigen immunological quantum for vaccine development (Artigas-Jerónimo *et al*., 2020).

Antigens such as Q38 with SUB protective epitopes (Artigas-Jerónimo *et al*., 2020; de la Fuente *et al*., 2023*b*) have shown protection against tick infestations and other arthropod vectors (Merino *et al*., 2013; Moreno-Cid *et al*., 2013; Contreras *et al*., 2020; Letinić *et al*., 2021) with correlation between SUB-reactive epitopes and vaccine efficacy (Contreras *et al*., 2022*a*). The chimeric antigen RmSEI composed of *R. microplus* Subtilisin inhibitor 7 (RmSI-7), a Trypsin inhibitory like serine protease inhibitor, an interdomain region from the Kunitz inhibitor BmTI-A, and a cysteine-rich AMP-like Microplusin (RmSEI) was designed and showed anti-tick and antimicrobial activities (Costa et al., 2023). This approach can also be used to combine tick with pathogen derived antigens (Shrivastava *et al*., 2020). Two multiepitopic peptides using amino acid sequences of ferritin-2 (FER2) and tropomyosin (TPM) vitellogenin receptor (VgR) were synthesized and tested against *H. anatolicum* infestations with more than 80% efficacy (Nandi *et al*., 2023) (Table 2).

Quantum vaccinomics also considers immune mechanisms mediated by protein post-translational modifications such as carbohydrate alpha-gal (Gal$\alpha$1-3Gal$\beta$1-4GlcNAc) present in glycoproteins (Galili, 2021) to address limitations of reductionists methods such as reverse vaccinology (Van Regenmortel, 2018; de la Fuente *et al*., 2023*b*). Accordingly, quantum vaccinomics covers some of the proposed top biotechnology trends in 2024 (https://www.startus-insights.com/innovators-guide/top-10-biotech-industry-trends-innovations-in-2021/) including AI, Big Data, gene editing, precision medicine, gene sequencing, biomanufacturing and synthetic biology.

In this way, quantum vaccinomics for protective antigen design considers vaccine efficacy and safety, geographic, environmental and population factors, host-tick-pathogen interactions and derived factors and host immunity for vaccinomics and adversomics.

## Challenge 6: vaccine formulations and delivery platforms

Even when protective antigens are identified or designed, formulations and delivery are the key components of vaccine efficacy. Regarding tick control, recent advances in vaccine formulations targeting vector gut microbiota commensal bacteria was found effective (Mateos-Hernández *et al*., 2020, 2021). Experimental manipulation of the microbiota has been achieved by antibiotic exposure or sterile-rearing conditions of the vector. Anti-microbiota vaccine impacted tick physiology by increasing tick weight during feeding and modulated tick microbiota composition and diversity in a taxon-specific manner. The impact of anti-microbiota vaccines on pathogen development was shown in *Plasmodium relictum* and the mosquito vector *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Aželytė *et al*., 2022), and recently it was reported that perturbations of tick microbiota can impact highly sensitive *Borrelia* spp. with departure from the modulation induced by the pathogen in the vector microbiota posing a high cost to the spirochete (Wu-Chuang *et al*., 2021). However, these methods induce global changes in the microbiota and make the depletion of specific bacteria difficult. Recently, anti-microbiota vaccines were proposed as a precise tool for microbiota manipulation (Wu-Chuang *et al*., 2021; Maitre *et al*., 2022). Other advances including probiotics and formulations with high alpha-gal content (Cabezas-Cruz and de la Fuente, 2017; Hodžić *et al*., 2020; Bamgbose *et al*., 2021) and adjuvants with heat-inactivated alpha-gal-containing bacteria for oral vaccine administration (Contreras *et al*., 2019*b*; Kasaija *et al*., 2022). Oral vaccine formulations combining *R. appendiculatus*-derived SUB with heat-inactivated mycobacteria resulted in 96% and 99% efficacy against *R. decoloratus* and *R. appendiculatus*, respectively (Kasaija *et al*., 2022).

Tick vaccines have mainly been designed with recombinant antigens, but recent research includes advances in mRNA vaccines (Sajid *et al*., 2021; Boulanger and Wikel, 2023; Matias *et al*., 2023). For antigen combination, chimeric antigens on microparticles and mRNA-lipid nanoparticles may be considered for vaccine delivery (Sajid *et al*., 2021; Matias *et al*., 2023).

## Challenge 7: combination of vaccines with transgenesis and paratransgenesis

Recently, Cas9-mediated gene editing was implemented in ticks by embryo injection and ReMOT Control (Sharma *et al*., 2022). The CRISPR-Cas molecular machines also provide interventions for paratransgenesis to manipulate tick microbiome and virome composition and function (Ramachandran and Bikard, 2019).

More recently, Frankenbacteriosis was developed for paratransgenic manipulation of tick commensal *Sphingomonas* bacterium to reduce tick fitness and *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* pathogen infection (Mazuecos *et al*., 2023*a*, 2023*b*; de la Fuente *et al*., 2023*b*).

Transgenesis and paratransgenesis may be combined with anti-tick vaccines and other control interventions including the proposed Suicidalbacteriosis in which tick commensal bacteria are manipulated to produce and secrete antigens protective against ticks and tick-borne pathogens to immunize hosts during blood feeding (de la Fuente *et al*., 2023*b*). For example, genetic manipulation of tick microbiome and virome composition and function may produce ticks more susceptible to tick vaccine induced host immune response thus improving vaccine efficacy for the control of tick infestations and vector capacity.

However, application of gene editing technology involves risks since it may produce off target deleterious mutations. A high frequency of off-target effects has been reported in human cells but low in mice and zebrafish (Hwang *et al*., 2013; Yang *et al*., 2013). Large genomes may contain identical or homologous DNA sequences to intended target DNA sequence. Gene editing technology may delete these unintended sequences causing mutations which may cause cell death or transformation. Efforts have been made to reduce off-target mutations, but further improvement is required. Another problem is efficient safe delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 into cell types that are hard to transfect. If there is a risk of transferring genes to other species, there is risk of transferring modified sequences. It is difficult to control dispersion of gene driven trait. Moreover, disappearance of whole populations targeted by gene drive may have serious consequences in the ecosystem equilibrium. All these risk factors demand careful assessment of each potential application and need for critical regulatory norms.

## Conclusions and future directions

Tick vaccine antigens evolved from organ specific protein extracts to recombinant proteins to vaccinomics algorithms for designing chimeric antigens. Recent advances in tick vaccinology and future directions include discovery of novel protective antigens (de la Fuente and Contreras, 2015; Abbas *et al*., 2023) including the application of AI and Big Data analytic techniques (de la Fuente *et al*., 2018), novel vaccine formulations and delivery platforms (Ndawula, 2021; Tabor, 2021; Pereira *et al*., 2022), mRNA vaccines (Sajid *et al*., 2021; Matias *et al*., 2023; Boulanger and Wikel, 2023), vaccinomics and quantum vaccinomics (Poland *et al*., 2013; de la Fuente and Contreras, 2021, 2023; Contreras

https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118202400043X Published online by Cambridge University Press

*et al.*, 2022*b*). Other methods include use of formulations with combined protective antigens (Ndawula and Tabor, 2020; Parthasarathi *et al.*, 2021), probiotics and other formulations targeting tick microbiota (Cabezas-Cruz and de la Fuente, 2017; Hodžić *et al.*, 2020; Mateos-Hernández *et al.*, 2020, 2021; Wu-Chuang *et al.*, 2023). To improve vaccine efficacy, post-translational modifications such as alpha-gal have also been considered to improve vaccine efficacy (Hodžić *et al.*, 2020). Moreover, characterization of tick-host-pathogen interactions, immune protective and acaricide-resistance mechanisms (Bhowmick and Han, 2020; Bishop *et al.*, 2023; Waldman *et al.*, 2023), transgenesis and paratransgenesis for the genetic manipulation of commensal bacteria and ticks (Sharma *et al.*, 2022; Mazuecos *et al.* 2023*a*; de la Fuente *et al.*, 2023*b*) and combination of vaccines with other interventions such as natural plant and animal-derived compounds and cultural practices among other interventions (Showler and Saelao, 2022) were considered as possible alternatives. International collaborations with regions with high incidence of tick infestations and TBD (Estrada-Peña and de la Fuente, 2023), personalized medicine approach based on regional, tick species/strains and host-driven variables (Kasaija *et al.*, 2020) are also proposed for sustainable management of the relevant vector.

**Data availability statement.** All data used in the study is disclosed in the paper and corresponding references.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank all our collaborators worldwide for their contribution to advance in tick vaccinology.

**Authors' contributions.** JF and SG conceived and wrote the article.

**Financial support.** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests.** None.

**Ethical standards.** Not applicable.

## References

**Abbas MN, Jmel MA, Mekki I, Dijkgraaf I and Kotsyfakis M** (2023) Recent advances in tick antigen discovery and anti-tick vaccine development. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **24**, 4969.

**Agwunobi DO, Yu Z and Liu J** (2021) A retrospective review on ixodid tick resistance against synthetic acaricides: implications and perspectives for future resistance prevention and mitigation. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* **173**, 104776.

**Allen JR and Humphreys SJ** (1979) Immunisation of guinea pigs and cattle against ticks. *Nature* **280**, 491–493.

**Almazán C, Kocan KM, Bergman DK, Garcia-Garcia JC, Blouin EF and de la Fuente J** (2003) Identification of protective antigens for the control of *Ixodes scapularis* infestations using cDNA expression library immunization. *Vaccine* **21**, 1492–1501.

**Almazán C, Blas-Machado U, Kocan KM, Yoshioka JH, Blouin EF, Mangold AJ and de la Fuente J** (2005) Characterization of three *Ixodes scapularis* cDNAs protective against tick infestations. *Vaccine* **23**, 4403–4416.

**Almazán C, Lagunes R, Villar M, Canales M, Rosario-Cruz R, Jongejan F and de la Fuente J** (2010) Identification and characterization of Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus candidate protective antigens for the control of cattle tick infestations. *Parasitology Research* **106**, 471–479.

**Almazán C, Moreno-Cantú O, Moreno-Cid JA, Galindo RC, Canales M, Villar M and de la Fuente J** (2012) Control of tick infestations in cattle vaccinated with bacterial membranes containing surface-exposed tick protective antigens. *Vaccine* **30**, 265–272.

**Andreano E, D'Oro U, Rappuoli R and Finco O** (2019) Vaccine evolution and its application to fight modern threats. *Frontiers in Immunology* **10**, 1722.

**Artigas-Jerónimo S, Villar M, Cabezas-Cruz A, Valdés JJ, Estrada-Peña A, Alberdi P and de la Fuente J** (2018) Functional evolution of subolesin/akirin. *Frontiers in Physiology* **9**, 1612.

**Artigas-Jerónimo S, Comín JJP, Villar M, Contreras M, Alberdi P, Viera IL, Soto L, Cordero R, Valdés JJ, Cabezas-Cruz A, Estrada-Peña A and de la Fuente J** (2020) A novel combined scientific and artistic approach for the advanced characterization of interactomes: the akirin/subolesin model. *Vaccines* **8**, 77.

**Aželytė J, Wu-Chuang A, Žiegytė R, Platonova E, Mateos-Hernandez L, Maye J, Obregon D, Palinauskas V and Cabezas-Cruz A** (2022) Anti-microbiota vaccine reduces avian malaria infection within mosquito vectors. *Frontiers in Immunology* **13**, 841835.

**Bamgbose T, Anvikar AR, Alberdi P, Abdullahi IO, Inabo HI, Bello M, Cabezas-Cruz A and de la Fuente J** (2021) Functional food for the stimulation of the immune system against malaria. *Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins* **13**, 1254–1266.

**Bensaci M, Bhattacharya D, Clark R and Hu LT** (2012) Oral vaccination with vaccinia virus expressing the tick antigen subolesin inhibits tick feeding and transmission of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Vaccine* **30**, 6040–6046.

**Bhowmick B and Han Q** (2020) Understanding tick biology and its implications in anti-tick and transmission blocking vaccines against tick-borne pathogens. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science* **7**, 319.

**Bishop LJ, Stutzer C and Maritz-Olivier C** (2023) More than three decades of Bm86: what we know and where to go. *Pathogens (Basel, Switzerland)* **12**, 1071.

**Boulanger N and Wikel S** (2023). Vaccines against tick-borne diseases: a big step forward?. *Trends in Parasitology* **39**, 989–990.

**Cabezas-Cruz A and de la Fuente J** (2017). Immunity to $\alpha$-Gal: toward a single-antigen pan-vaccine to control major infectious diseases. *ACS Central Science* **3**, 1140–1142.

**Carreón D, de la Lastra JM, Almazán C, Canales M, Ruiz-Fons F, Boadella M, Moreno-Cid JA, Villar M, Gortázar C, Reglero M, Villarreal R and de la Fuente J** (2012) Vaccination with BM86, subolesin and akirin protective antigens for the control of tick infestations in white tailed deer and red deer. *Vaccine* **30**, 273–279.

**Chakraborty S, Gao S, Allan BF and Smith RL** (2023). Effects of cattle on vector-borne disease risk to humans: a systematic review. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **17**, e0011152. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0011152.

**Contreras M, Villar M, Alberdi P and de la Fuente J** (2016) Vaccinomics approach to tick vaccine development. *Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton, N.J.)* **1404**, 275–286.

**Contreras M, Alberdi P, Fernández De Mera IG, Krull C, Nijhof A, Villar M and de La Fuente J** (2017). Vaccinomics approach to the identification of candidate protective antigens for the control of tick vector infestations and *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* infection. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology* **7**, 360.

**Contreras M, Villar M and de la Fuente J** (2019a) A vaccinomics approach for the identification of tick protective antigens for the control of *Ixodes ricinus* and *Dermacentor reticulatus* infestations in companion animals. *Frontiers in Physiology* **10**, 977.

**Contreras M, Kasaija PD, Merino O, de la Cruz-Hernandez NI, Gortazar C and de la Fuente J** (2019b) Oral vaccination with a formulation combining *Rhipicephalus microplus* subolesin with heat inactivated *Mycobacterium bovis* reduces tick infestations in cattle. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology* **9**, 45.

**Contreras M, San José C, Estrada-Peña A, Talavera V, Rayas E, Isabel León C, Luis Núñez J, García Fernández de Mera I and de la Fuente J** (2020) Control of tick infestations in wild roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) vaccinated with the Q38 subolesin/akirin chimera. *Vaccine* **38**, 6450–6454.

**Contreras M, Kasaija PD, Kabi F, Mugerwa S and de la Fuente J** (2022a) The correlation between subolesin-reactive epitopes and vaccine efficacy. *Vaccines* **10**, 1327.

**Contreras M, Artigas-Jerónimo S, Pastor Comín JJ and de la Fuente J** (2022b) A quantum vaccinomics approach based on protein-protein interactions. *Methods in Molecular Biology* **2411**, 287–305.

**Costa GCA, Silva FAA, Manzato VM, Torquato RJS, Gonzalez YG, Parizi LF, da Silva Vaz Junior I and Tanaka AS** (2023) A multiepitope chimeric antigen from *Rhipicephalus microplus*-secreted salivary proteins elicits anti-tick protective antibodies in rabbit. *Veterinary Parasitology*. **318**, 109932.

**de la Fuente J** (2018) Controlling ticks and tick-borne diseases…looking forward. *Ticks and Tick-Borne Diseases* **9**, 1354–1357.

**de la Fuente J and Contreras M** (2015) Tick vaccines: current status and future directions. *Expert Review of Vaccines* **14**, 1367–1376.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118202400043X Published online by Cambridge University Press

**de la Fuente J and Contreras M** (2021) Vaccinomics: a future avenue for vaccine development against emerging pathogens. *Expert Review of Vaccines* **20**, 1561–1569.

**de la Fuente J and Contreras M** (2023) Quantum vaccinomics platforms to advance in vaccinology. *Frontiers in Immunology* **14**, 1172734.

**de la Fuente J and Kocan KM** (2003) Advances in the identification and characterization of protective antigens for recombinant vaccines against tick infestations. *Expert Review of Vaccines* **2**, 583–593.

**de la Fuente J and Kocan KM** (2014) Development of vaccines for control of tick infestations and interruption of pathogen transmission. In Sonenshine D, Roe M (Eds), *Biology of Ticks*, 2nd Edn. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 333–352.

**de la Fuente J and Merino O** (2013) Vaccinomics, the new road to tick vaccines. *Vaccine* **31**, 5923–5929.

**de la Fuente J, Almazán C, Canales M, Pérez de la Lastra JM, Kocan KM and Willadsen P** (2007) A ten-year review of commercial vaccine performance for control of tick infestations on cattle. *Animal Health Research Reviews* **8**, 23–28.

**de la Fuente J, Manzano-Roman R, Naranjo V, Kocan KM, Zivkovic Z, Blouin EF, Canales M, Almazán C, Galindo RC, Step DL and Villar M** (2010) Identification of protective antigens by RNA interference for control of the lone star tick, *Amblyomma americanum*. *Vaccine* **28**, 1786–1195.

**de la Fuente J, Moreno-Cid JA, Canales M, Villar M, de la Lastra JM, Kocan KM, Galindo RC, Almazán C and Blouin EF** (2011) Targeting arthropod subolesin/akirin for the development of a universal vaccine for control of vector infestations and pathogen transmission. *Veterinary Parasitology* **181**, 17–22.

**de la Fuente J, Villar M, Estrada-Peña A and Olivas JA** (2018) High throughput discovery and characterization of tick and pathogen vaccine protective antigens using vaccinomics with intelligent big data analytic techniques. *Expert Review of Vaccines* **17**, 569–576.

**de la Fuente J, Artigas-Jerónimo S and Villar M** (2021) Akirin/subolesin regulatory mechanisms at host/tick-pathogen interactions. *microLife* **3**, uqab012.

**de la Fuente J, Estrada-Peña A, Rafael M, Almazán C, Bermúdez S, Abdelbaset AE, Kasaija PD, Kabi F, Akande FA, Ajagbe DO, Bamgbose T, Ghosh S, Palavesam A, Hamid PH, Oskam CL, Egan SL, Duarte-Barbosa A, Hekimoğlu O, Szabó MPJ, Labruna MB and Dahal A** (2023a) Perception of ticks and tick-borne diseases worldwide. *Pathogens (Basel, Switzerland)* **12**, 1258.

**de la Fuente J, Mazuecos L and Contreras M** (2023b) Innovative approaches for the control of ticks and tick-borne diseases. *Ticks and Tick-Borne Diseases* **14**, 102227.

**Estrada-Peña A and de la Fuente J** (2023) Evolution of tick vaccinology highlights changes in paradigms in this research area. *Vaccines*,**11**, 253.

**Estrada-Peña A, Mallón AR, Bermúdez S, de la Fuente J, Domingos A, García MPE, Labruna MB, Merino O, Mosqueda J, Nava S, Cruz RL, Szabó M, Tarragona E and Venzal JM** (2022) One health approach to identify research needs on *Rhipicephalus microplus* ticks in the Americas. *Pathogens (Basel, Switzerland)* **11**, 1180.

**Galili U** (2021) Increasing efficacy of enveloped whole-virus vaccines by *in situ* immune-complexing with the natural anti-gal antibody. *Medical Research Archives* **9**, 2481.

**Githaka NW, Kanduma EG, Wieland B, Darghouth MA and Bishop RP** (2022) Acaricide resistance in livestock ticks infesting cattle in Africa: current status and potential mitigation strategies. *Current Research in Parasitology and Vector-Borne Diseases* **2**, 100090.

**Gonzaga BCF, Barrozo MM, Coutinho AL, Pereira E Sousa LJM, Vale FL, Marreto L, Marchesini P, de Castro Rodrigues D, de Souza EDF, Sabatini GA, Costa-Júnior LM, Ferreira LL, Lopes WDZ and Monteiro C** (2023) Essential oils and isolated compounds for tick control: advances beyond the laboratory. *Parasites and Vectors*, **16**, 415.

**Harrington D, Canales M, de la Fuente J, de Luna C, Robinson K, Guy J and Sparagano O** (2009) Immunisation with recombinant proteins subolesin and Bm86 for the control of *Dermanyssus gallinae* in poultry. *Vaccine* **27**, 4056–4063.

**Hassan IA, Wang Y, Zhou Y, Cao J, Zhang H and Zhou J** (2020) Cross protection induced by combined Subolesin-based DNA and protein immunizations against adult *Haemaphysalis longicornis*. *Vaccine* **38**, 907–915.

**Hodžić A, Mateos-Hernández L, de la Fuente J and Cabezas-Cruz A** (2020) $\alpha$-Gal-based vaccines: advances, opportunities, and perspectives. *Trends in Parasitology* **36**, 992–1001.

**Hwang WY, Fu Y, Reyon D, Maeder ML, Tsai SQ, Sander JD, Peterson RT, Yeh JR and Joung JK** (2013) Efficient genome editing in zebrafish using a CRISPR-Cas system. *Nature Biotechnology* **31**, 227–229.

**Kabi F, Dhikusooka M, Matovu M, Mugerwa S, Kasaija P, Emudong P, Kirunda H, Contreras M, Gortazar C and de la Fuente J** (2022) Monitoring the subolesin vaccine field trial for safer control of cattle ticks amidst increasing acaricide resistance in Uganda. *Vaccines* **10**, 1594.

**Kasaija PD, Contreras M, Kabi F, Mugerwa S and de la Fuente J** (2020) Vaccination with recombinant subolesin antigens provides cross-tick species protection in *Bos indicus* and crossbred cattle in Uganda. *Vaccines* **8**, 319.

**Kasaija PD, Contreras M, Kabi F, Mugerwa S, Garrido JM, Gortazar C and de la Fuente J** (2022) Oral vaccine formulation combining tick subolesin with heat inactivated mycobacteria provides control of cross-species cattle tick infestations. *Vaccine* **40**, 4564–4573.

**Lee SH, Li J, Moumouni PFA, Okado K, Zheng W, Liu M, Ji S, Kim S, Umemiya-Shirafuji R and Xuan X** (2020) Subolesin vaccination inhibits blood feeding and reproduction of *Haemaphysalis longicornis* in rabbits. *Parasites & Vectors* **13**, 478.

**Letinić BD, Contreras M, Dahan-Moss Y, Linnekugel I, de la Fuente J and Koekemoer LL** (2021) Additional evidence on the efficacy of different akirin vaccines assessed on *Anopheles arabiensis* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Parasites & Vectors* **14**, 209.

**Maitre A, Wu-Chuang A, Aželytė J, Palinauskas V, Mateos-Hernández L, Obregon D, Hodžić A, Valiente Moro C, Estrada-Peña A, Paoli JC, Falchi A and Cabezas-Cruz A** (2022) Vector microbiota manipulation by host antibodies: the forgotten strategy to develop transmission-blocking vaccines. *Parasites & Vectors* **15**, 4.

**Manjunathachar HV, Kumar B, Saravanan BC, Choudhary S, Mohanty AK, Nagar G, Chigure G, Ravi Kumar GVPPS, de la Fuente J and Ghosh S** (2019) Identification and characterization of vaccine candidates against *Hyalomma anatolicum*-Vector of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases* **66**, 422–434.

**Mateos-Hernández L, Obregón D, Maye J, Borneres J, Versille N, de la Fuente J, Estrada-Peña A, Hodžić A, Šimo L and Cabezas-Cruz A** (2020) Anti-tick microbiota vaccine impacts *Ixodes ricinus* performance during feeding. *Vaccines* **8**, 702.

**Mateos-Hernández L, Obregón D, Wu-Chuang A, Maye J, Bornères J, Versillé N, de la Fuente J, Díaz-Sánchez S, Bermúdez-Humarán LG, Torres-Maravilla E, Estrada-Peña A, Hodžić A, Šimo L and Cabezas-Cruz A** (2021) Anti-microbiota vaccines modulate the tick microbiome in a taxon-specific manner. *Frontiers in Immunology* **12**, 704621.

**Matias J, Cui Y, Tang X, Sajid A, Arora G, Wu MJ, DePonte K, Muramatsu H, Tam YK, Narasimhan S, Pardi N, Weissman D and Fikrig E** (2023) Specific mRNA lipid nanoparticles and acquired resistance to ticks. *Vaccine* **41**, 4996–5002.

**Mazuecos L, Alberdi P, Hernández-Jarguín A, Contreras M, Villar M, Cabezas-Cruz A, Simo L, González-García A, Díaz-Sánchez S, Neelakanta G, Bonnet SI, Fikrig E and de la Fuente J** (2023a) Frankenbacteriosis targeting interactions between pathogen and symbiont to control infection in the tick vector. *iScience* **26**, 106697.

**Mazuecos L, González-García A and de la Fuente J** (2023b) Genetic modification, characterization, and co-infection of Franken Sphingomonas and *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in tick cells. *STAR Protocols* **4**, 102557.

**Mendoza-Martínez N, Alonso-Díaz MA, Merino O, Fernández-Salas A and Lagunes-Quintanilla R** (2021) Protective efficacy of the peptide subolesin antigen against the cattle tick *Rhipicephalus microplus* under natural infestation. *Veterinary Parasitology* **299**, 109577.

**Merino O, Almazán C, Canales M, Villar M, Moreno-Cid JA, Estrada-Peña A, Kocan KM and de la Fuente J** (2011) Control of Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus infestations by the combination of subolesin vaccination and tick autocidal control after subolesin gene knockdown in ticks fed on cattle. *Vaccine* **29**, 2248–2254.

**Merino O, Antunes S, Mosqueda J, Moreno-Cid JA, Pérez de la Lastra JM, Rosario-Cruz R, Rodríguez S, Domingos A and de la Fuente J** (2013) Vaccination with proteins involved in tick-pathogen interactions reduces vector infestations and pathogen infection. *Vaccine* **31**, 5889–5896.

**Nandi A, Manisha Solanki V, Tiwari V, Sajjanar B, Sankar M, Saini M, Shrivastava S, Bhure SK and Ghosh S** (2023) Protective efficacy of multiple epitope-based vaccine against *Hyalomma anatolicum*, vector of *Theileria annulata* and Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever Virus. *Vaccines* **11**, 881.

**Ndawula C Jr** (2021) From bench to field: a guide to formulating and evaluating anti-tick vaccines delving beyond efficacy to effectiveness. *Vaccines* **9**, 1185.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118202400043X Published online by Cambridge University Press

**Ndawula C Jr and Tabor AE** (2020) Cocktail anti-tick vaccines: the unforeseen constraints and approaches toward enhanced efficacies. *Vaccines* **8**, 457.

**Nepveu-Traversy ME, Fausther-Bovendo H and Babuadze GG** (2024) Human tick-borne diseases and advances in anti-tick vaccine approaches: a comprehensive review. *Vaccines* **12**, 141.

**Parizi LF, Reck, J Jr., Oldiges DP, Guizzo MG, Seixas A, Logullo C, de Oliveira PL, Termignoni C, Martins JR and Vaz Ida S Jr.** (2012) Multi-antigenic vaccine against the cattle tick *Rhipicephalus* (*Boophilus*) *microplus*: a field evaluation. *Vaccine* **30**, 6912–6917.

**Parizi LF, Githaka NW, Logullo C, Zhou J, Onuma M, Termignoni C and da Silva Vaz I Jr** (2023). Universal tick vaccines: candidates and remaining challenges. *Animals: an Open Access Journal from MDPI* **13**, 2031.

**Parthasarathi BC, Kumar B and Ghosh S** (2021) Current status and future prospects of multi-antigen tick vaccine. *Journal of Vector Borne Diseases* **58**, 183–192.

**Parthasarathi BC, Kumar B, Bhure SK, Sharma AK, Manisha, Nagar G, Kumar, S, Nandi, A, Manjunathachar, HV, Chigure, GM, Shakya, M, Sankar, M, Fuente, J and Ghosh S** (2023) Co-immunization efficacy of recombinant antigens against *Rhipicephalus microplus* and *Hyalomma anatolicum* tick infestations. *Pathogens (Basel, Switzerland)* **12**, 433.

**Pereira DFS, Ribeiro HS, Gonçalves AAM, da Silva AV, Lair DF, de Oliveira DS, Boas DFV, Conrado IDSS, Leite JC, Barata LM, Reis PCC, Mariano RMDS, Santos TAP, Coutinho DCO, Gontijo NF, Araujo RN, Galdino AS, Paes PRO, Melo MM, Nagem RAP, Dutra WO, Silveira-Lemos DD, Rodrigues DS and Giunchetti RC** (2022) *Rhipicephalus microplus*: an overview of vaccine antigens against the cattle tick. *Ticks and Tick-Borne Diseases* **13**, 101828.

**Poland GA, Kennedy RB, McKinney BA, Ovsyannikova IG, Lambert ND, Jacobson RM and Oberg AL** (2013) Vaccinomics, adversomics, and the immune response network theory: individualized vaccinology in the 21st century. *Seminars in Immunology* **25**, 89–103.

**Ramachandran G and Bikard D** (2019) Editing the microbiome the CRISPR way. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences* **374**, 20180103.

**Rodríguez-Mallon A** (2023). The Bm86 discovery: a revolution in the development of anti-tick vaccines. *Pathogens (Basel, Switzerland)* **12**, 231.

**Rodríguez-Mallon A, Encinosa Guzmán PE, Bello Y, Domingos A, Antunes S, Kopacek P, Santos AS, Velez R, Perner J, Ledesma Bravo FL, Frantova H, Erhart J, Rodríguez R, Fuentes A, Diago D, Joglar M, Méndez L and Estrada MP** (2023) Efficacy of the vaccine candidate based on the P0 peptide against *Dermacentor nitens* and *Ixodes ricinus* ticks. *Pathogens (Basel, Switzerland)* **12**, 1365.

**Rodríguez M, Rubiera R, Penichet M, Montesinos R, Cremata J, Falcón V, Sánchez G, Bringas R, Cordovés C, Valdés M, Lleonart R, Herrera L and de la Fuente J** (1994) High level expression of the *B. microplus* Bm86 antigen in the yeast *Pichia pastoris* forming highly immunogenic particles for cattle. *Journal of Biotechnology* **33**, 135–146.

**Sajid A, Matias J, Arora G, Kurokawa C, DePonte K, Tang X, Lynn G, Wu MJ, Pal U, Strank NO, Pardi N, Narasimhan S, Weissman D and Fikrig E** (2021) mRNA vaccination induces tick resistance and prevents transmission of the Lyme disease agent. *Science Translational Medicine* **13**, eabj9827.

**Shakya M, Kumar B, Nagar G, de la Fuente J and Ghosh S** (2014). Subolesin: a candidate vaccine antigen for the control of cattle tick infestations in Indian situation. *Vaccine* **32**, 3488–3494.

**Sharma A, Pham MN, Reyes JB, Chana R, Yim WC, Heu CC, Kim D, Chaverra-Rodriguez D, Rasgon JL, Harrell RA, 2nd, Nuss AB and Gulia-Nuss M** (2022) Cas9-mediated gene editing in the black-legged tick, *Ixodes scapularis*, by embryo injection and ReMOT control. *iScience*, **25**, 103781.

**Showler AT and Saelao P** (2022). Integrative alternative tactics for Ixodid control. *Insects* **13**, 302.

**Shrivastava N, Verma A and Dash PK** (2020) Identification of functional epitopes of structural proteins and in-silico designing of dual acting multiepitope anti-tick vaccine against emerging Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus. *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences: Official Journal of the European Federation for Pharmaceutical Sciences* **151**, 105396.

**Moreno-Cid JA, Pérez de la Lastra JM, Villar M, Jiménez M, Pinal R, Estrada-Peña A, Molina R, Lucientes J, Gortázar C and de la Fuente J and SUB/AKR Vaccine Study Group** (2013) Control of multiple arthropod vector infestations with subolesin/akirin vaccines. *Vaccine* **31**, 1187–1196.

**Tabor AE** (2021). A review of Australian tick vaccine research. *Vaccines* **9**, 1030.

**Torina A, Moreno-Cid JA, Blanda V, Fernández de Mera IG, de la Lastra JM, Scimeca S, Blanda M, Scariano ME, Briganò S, Disclafani R, Piazza A, Vicente J, Gortázar C, Caracappa S, Lelli RC and de la Fuente J** (2014) Control of tick infestations and pathogen prevalence in cattle and sheep farms vaccinated with the recombinant subolesin-major surface protein 1a chimeric antigen. *Parasites & Vectors* **7**, 10.

**Van Regenmortel MHV** (2018) Development of a preventive HIV vaccine requires solving inverse problems which is unattainable by rational vaccine design. *Frontiers in Immunology* **8**, 2009.

**Villar M, Marina A and de la Fuente J** (2017) Applying proteomics to tick vaccine development: where are we? *Expert Review of Proteomics* **14**, 211–221.

**Waldman J, Klafke GM, Tirloni L, Logullo C and da Silva Vaz I Jr** (2023) Putative target sites in synganglion for novel ixodid tick control strategies. *Ticks and Tick-Borne Diseases* **14**, 102123.

**Willadsen P and Kemp DH** (1988). Vaccination with 'concealed' antigens for tick control. *Parasitology Today* **4**, 196–198.

**Willadsen P, McKenna RV and Riding GA** (1988) Isolation from the cattle tick, *Boophilus microplus*, of antigenic material capable of eliciting a protective immunological response in the bovine host. *International Journal for Parasitology* **18**, 183–189.

**Willadsen P, Bird P, Cobon GS and Hungerford J** (1995). Commercialisation of a recombinant vaccine against *Boophilus microplus*. *Parasitology* **110** Suppl, S43–S50.

**Wu-Chuang A, Hodžić A, Mateos-Hernández L, Estrada-Peña A, Obregon D and Cabezas-Cruz A** (2021) Current debates and advances in tick microbiome research. *Current Research in Parasitology & Vector-Borne Diseases* **1**, 100036.

**Wu-Chuang A, Mateos-Hernandez L, Maitre A, Rego ROM, Šíma R, Porcelli S, Rakotobe S, Foucault-Simonin A, Moutailler S, Palinauskas V, Aželytė J, Šimo L, Obregon D and Cabezas-Cruz A** (2023) Microbiota perturbation by anti-microbiota vaccine reduces the colonization of *Borrelia afzelii* in *Ixodes ricinus*. *Microbiome* **11**, 151.

**Yang H, Wang H, Shivalila CS, Cheng AW, Shi L and Jaenisch R** (2013) One-step generation of mice carrying reporter and conditional alleles by CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering. *Cell* **154**, 1370–1379.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118202400043X Published online by Cambridge University Press