Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (1999), **125**, 321
Printed in the United Kingdom © 1999 *Cambridge Philosophical Society*

Finite order variational bicomplexes

By RAFFAELE VITOLO†
Department of Applied Mathematics 'G. Sansone', University of Florence, Via S. Marta 3, 50139 *Florence, Italy*
e-mail: vitolo@dma.unifi.it

(*Received* 9 *April* 1997; *revised* 25 *July* 1997)

1. *Introduction*

The theory of variational bicomplexes was established at the end of the seventies by several authors [**2**, **17**, **23**, **26**, **29**–**32**]. The idea is that the operations which take a Lagrangian into its Euler–Lagrange morphism [**9**, **10**, **12**, **24**] and an Euler–Lagrange morphism into its Helmholtz' conditions of local variationality [**1**–**3**, **7**, **11**, **13**, **18**, **27**] are morphisms of a (long) exact sheaf sequence. This viewpoint overcomes several problems of Lagrangian formulations in mechanics and field theories [**21**, **28**]. To avoid technical difficulties variational bicomplexes were formulated over the space of infinite jets of a fibred manifold. But in this formalism the information relative to the order of the jet where objects are defined is lost.

We refer to the recent formulation of variational bicomplexes on finite order jet spaces [**13**]. Here, a finite order variational sequence is obtained by quotienting the de Rham sequence on a finite order jet space with an intrinsically defined subsequence, whose choice is inspired by the calculus of variations. It is important to find an isomorphism of the quotient sequence with a sequence of sheaves of 'concrete' sections of some vector bundle. This task has already been faced locally [**22**, **25**] and intrinsically [**33**] in the case of one independent variable.

In this paper, we give an intrinsic isomorphism of the variational sequence (in the general case of n independent variables) with a sequence which is made by sheaves of forms on a jet space of minimal order. This yields new natural solutions to problems like the minimal order Lagrangian corresponding to a locally variational Euler–Lagrange morphism and the search of variationally trivial Lagrangians. Moreover, we give a new intrinsic formulation of Helmholtz' local variationality conditions, proving the existence of a new intrinsic geometric object which, for an Euler–Lagrange morphism, plays a role analogous to that of the momentum of a Lagrangian.

We observe that the finite order variational bicomplexes provide a unique comprehensive framework (or better, a language) for dealing with Lagrangian theories. The mathematical (or 'metaphysical', see [**28**]) problems which arise during the formulation of any Lagrangian theory can be understood and solved by means of the finite order variational bicomplexes. Moreover, the algebraic methods used throughout the paper allow a synthetic and clear understanding of concepts whose meaning

† This paper has been partially supported by INdAM 'F. Severi' through a senior research fellowship, GNFM of CNR, MURST, University of Florence.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002837 Published online by Cambridge University Press

could hardly be reached by means of coordinate expressions alone. See [**21**] for a first application of the first order variational bicomplex to a relativistic theory of mechanics.

Throughout the paper, we will use as fundamental tools the structure form on jet spaces [**19**], and the horizontal and vertical differential [**24**]. Moreover, we make use of intrinsic techniques that are developed by means of the language of [**6**], and which were first introduced in [**12**].

Manifolds and maps between manifolds are C^∞. All morphisms of fibred manifolds (and hence bundles) will be morphisms over the identity of the base manifold, unless otherwise specified. As for sheaves, we will use the definitions and the main results given in [**36**]. In particular, we will be concerned only with sheaves of $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces. Thus, by 'sheaf morphism' we will mean morphism of sheaves of $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces.

2. *Variational bicomplexes on finite order jet spaces*

In this section, we first recall some basic facts on jet spaces [**17**, **19**, **24**] and then we recall Krupka's formulation of the finite order variational bicomplex [**13**].

Jet spaces. The main purpose of this sub-section is to introduce jet spaces of a fibred manifold and study some properties of the sheaf of forms on the rth order jet space. Moreover, we recall the horizontal and vertical differential [**24**].

Our framework is a fibred manifold

$$\pi : \mathbf{Y} \to \mathbf{X},$$

with $\dim \mathbf{X} = n$ and $\dim \mathbf{Y} = n + m$.

For $0 \leqslant r$, we are concerned with the r–jet space $J_r\mathbf{Y}$; in particular, we set $J_0\mathbf{Y} \equiv \mathbf{Y}$. We recall the natural fibrings $\pi^r_s : J_r\mathbf{Y} \to J_s\mathbf{Y}$, $\pi^r : J_r\mathbf{Y} \to \mathbf{X}$, and, among these, the affine fibring π^r_{r-1}.

Charts on $\mathbf{Y}$ adapted to π are denoted by (x^λ, y^i). Greek indices $\lambda, \mu, \dots$ run from 1 to n and label base coordinates, Latin indices $i, j, \dots$ run from 1 to m and label fibre coordinates, unles otherwise specified. We denote by $(\partial_\lambda, \partial_i)$ and (d^λ, d^i), respectively, the local bases of vector fields and 1–forms on $\mathbf{Y}$ induced by an adapted chart.

We denote multi–indices of dimension n by underlined latin letters such as $\underline{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, with $0 \leqslant p_1, \dots, p_n$; we identify the index λ with the multi-index $(p_\mu) = (\delta^\lambda_\mu)$. We also set $|\underline{p}| \coloneqq p_1 + \dots + p_n$ and $\underline{p}! \coloneqq p_1! \dots p_n!$.

The charts induced on $J_r\mathbf{Y}$ are denoted by $(x^0, y^i_{\underline{p}})$, with $0 \leqslant |\underline{p}| \leqslant r$; in particular, we set $y^i_{\underline{0}} \equiv y^i$. A section $s : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ can be prolonged to a section $j_r s : \mathbf{X} \to J_r\mathbf{Y}$, with coordinate expression $(j_r s)^i_{\underline{p}} = \partial^i_{\underline{p}} s^i$. The local vector fields and forms of $J_r\mathbf{Y}$ induced by the fibre coordinates are denoted by $(\partial^{\underline{p}}_i)$ and $(d^i_{\underline{p}})$, respectively. A vertical vector field on $\mathbf{Y}$ can be prolonged to a vertical vector field on $J_r\mathbf{Y}$ (see [**19**, **24**]).

A fundamental role is played in the theory of variational bicomplexes by the *contact maps* on jet spaces (see [**19**]). Namely, for $1 \leqslant r$, we consider the natural complementary fibred morphisms over $J_r\mathbf{Y} \to J_{r-1}\mathbf{Y}$

$$Д_r : J_r\mathbf{Y} \times_{\mathbf{X}} T\mathbf{X} \to TJ_{r-1}\mathbf{Y}, \quad \vartheta_r : J_r\mathbf{Y} \times_{J_{r-1}\mathbf{Y}} TJ_{r-1}\mathbf{Y} \to VJ_{r-1}\mathbf{Y},$$

whose coordinate expression are

$$Д_r = d^\lambda \otimes Д_{r\lambda} = d^\lambda \otimes (\partial_\lambda + y^j_{\underline{p}+\lambda}\partial^{\underline{p}}_j), \quad \vartheta_r = \vartheta^j_{\underline{p}} \otimes \partial^{\underline{p}}_j = (d^j_{\underline{p}} - y^j_{\underline{p}+\lambda} d^\lambda) \otimes \partial^{\underline{p}}_j,$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002837 Published online by Cambridge University Press

for $0 \leqslant |\underline{p}| \leqslant r-1$. We have the splitting [**19**]

$$J_r\mathbf{Y} \times_{J_{r-1}\mathbf{Y}} T^*J_{r-1}\mathbf{Y} = \left(J_r\mathbf{Y} \times_{J_{r-1}\mathbf{Y}} T^*\mathbf{X}\right) \oplus \operatorname{im}\vartheta_r^* \,. \tag{1}$$

We are concerned with some distinguished sheaves of forms on jet spaces. Note that we will consider sheaves on $J_r\mathbf{Y}$ with respect to the topology generated by open sets of the kind $\left(\pi_0^r\right)^{-1}(\mathbf{U})$, with $\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{Y}$ open in $\mathbf{Y}$. This is due to the topological triviality of the fibre of $J_r\mathbf{Y} \to J_{r-1}\mathbf{Y}$.

Let $0 \leqslant k$.

(i) For $0 \leqslant r$, we consider the standard sheaf $\overset{k}{\Lambda}_r$ of k-forms on $J_r\mathbf{Y}$.

(ii) For $0 \leqslant s \leqslant r$, we consider the sheaves $\overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}_{(r,s)}$ and $\overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}_r$ of horizontal forms, i.e. of local fibred morphisms over $J_r\mathbf{Y} \to J_s\mathbf{Y}$ and $J_r\mathbf{Y} \to \mathbf{X}$ of the type $\alpha\colon J_r\mathbf{Y} \to \overset{k}{\wedge}T^*J_s\mathbf{Y}$ and $\beta\colon J_r\mathbf{Y} \to \overset{k}{\wedge}T^*\mathbf{X}$, respectively. If $0 \leqslant q \leqslant r$, then pull-back by π_q^r provides several inclusions; for example, we have $\overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}_q \subset \overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}_r$ and $\overset{k}{\Lambda}_q \subset \overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}_{(r,q)}$. We have the distinguished subsheaf $\overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}{}^P_r \subset \overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}_r$ of local fibred morphisms $\alpha \in \overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}_r$ such that α is a polynomial fibred morphism over $J_{r-1}\mathbf{Y} \to \mathbf{X}$ of degree k.

(iii) For $0 \leqslant s < r$, we consider the subsheaf $\overset{k}{\mathscr{C}}_{(r,s)} \subset \overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}_{(r,s)}$ of contact forms, i.e. of sections $\alpha \in \overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}_{(r,s)}$ with values into $\overset{k}{\wedge}\operatorname{im}\vartheta_{s+1}^*$. Due to the injectivity of ϑ_{s+1}^*, any section $\alpha \in \overset{k}{\mathscr{C}}_{(r,s)}$ factorizes as $\alpha = \overset{k}{\wedge}\vartheta_{s+1}^* \circ \tilde{\alpha}$, where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is a section of $J_r\mathbf{Y} \times_{J_s\mathbf{Y}} \overset{k}{\wedge}V^*J_s\mathbf{Y} \to J_s\mathbf{Y}$. We have the distinguished subsheaf $\overset{k}{\mathscr{C}}_r \subset \overset{k}{\mathscr{C}}_{(r+1,r)}$ of local fibred morphisms $\alpha \in \overset{k}{\mathscr{C}}_{(r+1,r)}$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}$ projects down on $J_r\mathbf{Y}$.

The fibred splitting (1) yields the sheaf splitting

$$\overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}_{(r+1,r)} = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{k} \overset{k-l}{\mathscr{C}}_{(r+1,r)} \wedge \overset{l}{\mathscr{H}}_{r+1} \,. \tag{2}$$

We set H to be the projection of the above splitting on the nontrivial summand with the greatest value of l. We set also $V \coloneqq \mathrm{Id} - H$.

Now, we want to find the image of $\overset{k}{\Lambda}_r \subset \overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}_{(r+1,r)}$ under the projections of the above splitting. We denote the restrictions of H, V to $\overset{k}{\Lambda}_r$ by h, v.

Proposition 2·1. *Let $0 < k \leqslant n$, and set*

$$\overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}{}^h_{r+1} \coloneqq h(\overset{k}{\Lambda}_r) \,.$$

Then, we have the inclusion $\overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}{}^h_{r+1} \subset \overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}{}^P_{r+1}$. More precisely, if $\alpha \in \overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}{}^P_{r+1}$, then $\alpha \in \overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}{}^h_{r+1}$ if and only if there exists $\beta \in \overset{k}{\Lambda}_r$ such that $(j_rs)^*\beta = (j_{r+1}s)^*\alpha$ for each section $s\colon \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$.

Proof. It comes from the identities $(j_rs)^*\beta = (j_{r+1}s)^*h(\beta)$, $(j_{r+1}s)^*v(\beta) = 0$. □

Note that, if $\dim\mathbf{X} = 1$, then we have $\overset{1}{\mathscr{H}}{}^h_{r+1} = \overset{1}{\mathscr{H}}{}^P_{r+1}$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002837 Published online by Cambridge University Press

PROPOSITION 2·2. *The splitting* (2) *yields the inclusion*

$$\overset{k}{\Lambda}_r \subset \bigoplus_{l=0}^{k} \overset{k-l}{\mathscr{C}}_r \wedge \overset{l}{\mathscr{H}}{}^{h}_{r+1}$$

and the splitting projections (*and hence* h) *restrict to surjective maps.*

Proof. The above inclusion can be easily checked in coordinates. Then, a partition of unity argument shows that the splitting projections are surjective. □

We remark that, in general, the above inclusion is a proper inclusion: in general, a sum of elements of the direct summands is not an element of $\overset{k}{\Lambda}_r$.

We have two remarkable derivations of degree one (see [**6**, **24**]). Namely, we define the horizontal and vertical differential to be the sheaf morphisms

$$d_h \coloneqq [i_{\Pi}, d] \colon \overset{k}{\Lambda}_r \to \overset{k}{\Lambda}_{r+1}\,, \quad d_v \coloneqq [i_{\vartheta}, d] \colon \overset{k}{\Lambda}_r \to \overset{k}{\Lambda}_{r+1}.$$

It can be proved (see [**24**]) that d_h and d_v fulfil the property $d_h + d_v = (\pi^{r+1}_r)^* \circ d$.

The action of d_h and d_v on functions $f \colon J_r\mathbf{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$ and one-forms on $J_r\mathbf{Y}$ uniquely characterizes d_h and d_v. We have the coordinate expressions

$$d_h f = (\partial_\lambda f + y^i_{\underline{p}+\lambda}\partial^{\underline{p}}_i f)d^\lambda\,, \quad d_h d^\lambda = 0\,, \quad d_h \vartheta^i_{\underline{p}} = -\vartheta^i_{\underline{p}+\lambda} \wedge d^\lambda\,,$$
$$d_v f = \partial^{\underline{p}}_i f \vartheta^i_{\underline{p}}\,, \quad d_v d^\lambda = 0\,, \quad d_v \vartheta^i_{\underline{p}} = 0\,;$$

hence the inclusions

$$d_h\big(\overset{k}{\mathscr{C}}_{(r,r-1)} \wedge \overset{h}{\mathscr{H}}_r\big) \subset \overset{k}{\mathscr{C}}_{(r+1,r)} \wedge \overset{h+1}{\mathscr{H}}_{r+1}\,, \quad d_v\big(\overset{k}{\mathscr{C}}_{(r,r-1)} \wedge \overset{h}{\mathscr{H}}_r\big) \subset \overset{k+1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(r+1,r)} \wedge \overset{h}{\mathscr{H}}_{r+1}\,.$$

Finite order variational bicomplex. Here we recall the theory of variational bicomplexes on finite order jet spaces, as was developed by Krupka in [**13**]. We have a natural exact subsequence of the de Rham sequence on $J_r\mathbf{Y}$. This subsequence is not the unique exact and natural one that we might consider; our choice is inspired by the calculus of variations. Then we define the (rth order) variational sequence to be the quotient of the de Rham sequence on $J_r\mathbf{Y}$ by means of the above exact subsequence.

Let us denote by $d\ker h$ the sheaf generated by the presheaf $d\ker h$, by an abuse of notation. We set $\overset{k}{\Theta}_r \coloneqq \ker h + d\ker h$; we have the following natural subsequence of the de Rham sequence on $J_r\mathbf{Y}$

$$0 \longrightarrow \overset{1}{\Theta}_r \xrightarrow{d} \longrightarrow \overset{2}{\Theta}_r \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} \overset{I}{\Theta}_r \xrightarrow{d} 0.$$

In general, I depends on the dimension of the fibres of $J_r\mathbf{Y} \to \mathbf{X}$ [**13**]. It is proved in [**13**] that the contact subsequence is exact, and the sheaves $\overset{k}{\Theta}_r$ are soft.


If $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, then $d\ker h \subset \ker h$, and

$$\ker h = \{\alpha \in \overset{k}{\Lambda}_r \,|\, (j_r s)^*\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for every section } s \colon \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}\}\,;$$

this partly shows the connection of $\overset{k}{\Theta}_r$ with the calculus of variations [**13**, **15**, **16**, **31**–**33**].

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002837 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Standard arguments of homological algebra prove that the following diagram is commutative, and its rows and columns are exact.



Definition 2·1. The above diagram is said to be the rth order variational bicomplex associated with the fibred manifold $\mathbf{Y} \to \mathbf{X}$ We say the bottom row of the above diagram is the rth order variational sequence associated with the fibred manifold $\mathbf{Y} \to \mathbf{X}$ [**13**].

Remark 2·1. Let $s \leqslant r$. Then we have the injective sheaf morphism (see [**13**])

$$\chi^r_s : \left(\overset{k}{\Lambda}_s / \overset{k}{\Theta}_s\right) \to \left(\overset{k}{\Lambda}_r / \overset{k}{\Theta}_r\right) : [\alpha] \mapsto [\pi^{r*}_s \alpha] \, .$$

Hence, there is an inclusion of the sth order variational bicomplex into the rth order variational bicomplex.

Remark 2·2. The main task of the paper is to find suitable sheaves of fibred morphisms that are naturally isomorphic to the quotient sheaves of the variational sequence. In particular, we restrict our analysis to the following short variational sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \overset{0}{\Lambda}_r \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_n} \overset{n+1}{\Lambda}_r / \overset{n+1}{\Theta}_r \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{n+1}} \mathscr{E}\left(\overset{n+1}{\Lambda}_r / \overset{n+1}{\Theta}_r\right) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{n+2}} 0$$

due to the fact that, to our knowledge, if $k \geqslant n + 3$, there is no interpretation of the kth column of the variational bicomplex in terms of geometric objects of the variational calculus.

We will start the programme of this remark with the sheaves of degree k, with $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. By recalling Proposition 2·1 and the identity $\overset{k}{\Theta}_r = \ker h$ for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ we have the following result.

Proposition 2·3. *Let $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. Then, the sheaf morphism h yields the isomorphism*

$$I_k : \overset{k}{\Lambda}_r / \overset{k}{\Theta}_r \to \overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}{}^h_{r+1} : [\alpha] \mapsto h(\alpha) \, .$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002837 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Definition 2·2. We set $\overset{k}{\mathscr{V}}_r \coloneqq \overset{k}{\mathscr{H}}{}^h_{r+1}$.

We say a section $L \in \overset{n}{\mathscr{V}}_r$ to be a rth order generalized Lagrangian.

It is worthwhile pointing out the inclusions

$$\overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_r \subset \overset{n}{\mathscr{V}}_r \subset \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_{r+1}.$$

The sheaf of the rth order Lagrangians of the standard literature (see, for example, [**9**, **10**, **12**, **24**]) is $\overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_r$. So, due to the above inclusion, an rth order generalized Lagrangian can be regarded as a special kind of $(r+1)$th order standard Lagrangian.

3. *Euler–Lagrange morphism*

In this section we show that the quotient sheaf $\overset{n+1}{\Lambda}_r / \overset{n+1}{\Theta}_r$ of the variational sequence is isomorphic to a certain subsheaf of the sheaf of sections of a vector bundle, namely a sheaf of Euler–Lagrange morphism of special type. By means of this isomorphism, we find that the sheaf morphism $\mathscr{E}_n$ coincides with the standard Euler–Lagrange operator.

It is possible to introduce a first simplification of the quotient sheaves. The next proposition provides a new quotient sheaf which is isomorphic to $\overset{k}{\Lambda}_r/\overset{k}{\Theta}_r$ but is made with 'smaller' sheaves.

PROPOSITION 3·1. *Let $k > n$; then the projection h induces the natural sheaf isomorphism*

$$\left(\overset{k}{\Lambda}_r/\overset{k}{\Theta}_r\right) \to \left(\overset{k-n}{\mathscr{C}}_r \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}{}^h_{r+1}\right) / h(d\ker h) \colon [\alpha] \mapsto [h(\alpha)] ,$$

where $h(d\ker h) = h(\overset{k}{\Theta}_r)$.

Note that the sheaf injection χ^r_s can be read through the above isomorphism. Moreover, we have the inclusions

$$h(d\ker h) \subset d_h(\overset{k-n}{\mathscr{C}}_r \wedge \overset{n-1}{\mathscr{H}}{}^h_{r+1}) \subset h(\overset{k}{\Theta}_{r+1}) = h(d\ker h) . \tag{3}$$

In order to find an isomorphism of $(\overset{k-n}{\mathscr{C}}_r \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}{}^h_{r+1}) / h(d\ker h)$ with a sheaf of forms on a jet bundle we use a result by Kolář [**12**]. To proceed further, we need some notation. On any coordinate open subset $\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{Y}$ we set

$$\omega \coloneqq \sum_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n=1}^{n} d^{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d^{\lambda_n} = n! d^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d^n , \quad \omega_\lambda \coloneqq i_{\partial_\lambda}\omega .$$

If $f \in (\overset{0}{\Lambda}_r)_{\mathbf{U}}$, then we set by induction

$$J_\lambda f \coloneqq (\mathrm{д}_{r+1})_\lambda f , \quad J_{\underline{p}+\lambda} f \coloneqq J_\lambda J_{\underline{p}} f ;$$

analogously, we denote by $L_{J_{\underline{p}}}$ the iterated Lie derivative. We have $J_{\underline{p}} f \circ j_{r+|\underline{p}|} s =$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002837 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$\partial_{\underline{p}}(f\circ j_r s)$. A Leibnitz' rule holds for $J_{\underline{p}}$ (see [**24**]); if $g \in \left(\overset{0}{\Lambda}_r\right)_{\mathbf{U}}$, then we have

$$J_{\underline{p}}(fg) = \sum_{\underline{q}+\underline{t}=\underline{p}} \frac{\underline{p}!}{\underline{q}!\underline{t}!}\, J_{\underline{q}} f\, J_{\underline{t}} g\,.$$

If a vertical vector field $u\colon \mathbf{Y} \to V\mathbf{Y}$ has the expression $u = u^i\partial_i$, then the prolongation $u_r\colon J_r\mathbf{Y} \to VJ_r\mathbf{Y}$ has the expression $u_r = J_{\underline{p}} u^i \partial_i^{\underline{p}}$.

THEOREM 3·1 (First variation formula for higher order variational calculus [**12**]). *Let $\alpha \in \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_r \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}{}^h_{r+1}$. Then there is a unique pair of sheaf morphisms*

$$E_\alpha \in \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(2r,0)} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}{}^h_{2r+1}\,, \quad F_\alpha \in \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(2r,r)} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}{}^h_{2r+1}\,,$$

such that

(i) $(\pi^{2r+1}_{r+1})^*\alpha = E_\alpha - F_\alpha$;

(ii) *F_α is locally of the form $F_\alpha = d_h p_\alpha$, with $p_\alpha \in \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(2r-1,r-1)} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_{2r}$.*

The uniqueness of the decomposition in the above theorem implies that both E_α and F_α are intrinsic geometric objects. In general, it is possible to determine a global p_α fulfilling the above conditions, but there is not a uniquely determined (hence intrinsic) p_α unless $\dim \mathbf{X} = 1$ or $r = 1, 2$ (see [**12**]).

In coordinates, if $\alpha = \alpha^{\underline{p}}_i \vartheta^i_{\underline{p}} \wedge \omega$, then we have the well-known expression

$$E_\alpha = (-1)^{|\underline{p}|} J_{\underline{p}} \alpha^{\underline{p}}_i \vartheta^i \wedge \omega\,, \quad 0 \leqslant |\underline{p}| \leqslant r\,. \tag{4}$$

PROPOSITION 3·2. *We have the injective sheaf morphism*

$$I_{n+1}\colon (\overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_r \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}{}^h_{r+1})/h(d\ker h) \to \overset{n+1}{\Lambda}_{2r+1}\colon [\alpha] \mapsto \alpha + d_h p_\alpha\,.$$

Proof. We make use of the injective morphism χ^s_r of Remark 2·1, and the inclusions (3). The morphism I_{n+1} is well–defined. In fact, if $\alpha, \beta \in \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_r \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}{}^h_{r+1}$ such that $\beta = \alpha + d_h q$, then

$$\beta + d_h p_\beta = \alpha + d_h q + d_h p_\alpha + d_h p_{d_h q}\,,$$

where $d_h p_{d_h q} = -d_h q$, due to the uniqueness.

We have to prove that the morphism is injective. Suppose that $\beta + d_h p_\beta = \alpha + d_h p_\alpha$. Then

$$\beta - \alpha = h(d_h(p_\alpha - p_\beta) + d_v(p_\alpha - p_\beta)) = h(d(p_\alpha - p_\beta))\,,$$

and $h(p_\alpha - p_\beta) = 0$ yields the result. □

The final step is to characterize the image of I_{n+1}.

THEOREM 3·2. *We have the sheaf isomorphism*

$$I_{n+1}\colon \overset{n+1}{\Lambda}_r / \overset{n+1}{\Theta}_r \to \overset{n+1}{\mathscr{V}}_r,$$

where $\overset{n+1}{\mathscr{V}}_r$ is the sheaf generated by the presheaf

$$\left(\overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_r \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}{}^h_{r+1} + d_h(\overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(2r,r-1)} \wedge \overset{n-1}{\mathscr{H}}_{2r})\right) \cap \left(\overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(2r+1,0)} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_{2r+1}\right)\,.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002837 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. This comes from the isomorphism of Proposition 3·1, the injective morphism I_{n+1} and the characterization of the image of I_{n+1} being provided by Theorem 3·1. □

Remark 3·1. The sheaf $\overset{n+1}{\mathscr{V}}_r$ is a sheaf of $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces, but it does not have the structure of a module over the ring $\mathscr{C}^\infty(J_{2r+1}\mathbf{Y})$; hence, it cannot be the sheaf of sections of some vector bundle over $J_{2r+1}\mathbf{Y}$ [**36**]. □

Now, we can evaluate $\mathscr{E}_n$ by means of the isomorphisms I_n, I_{n+1}.

THEOREM 3·3. *Let $\alpha \in \overset{n}{\mathscr{V}}_r$. Then, $\mathscr{E}_n(\alpha) \in \overset{n+1}{\mathscr{V}}_r$ coincides with the standard higher order Euler–Lagrange morphism* [**9**, **10**, **12**, **24**] *associated with the generalized rth order Lagrangian α, regarded as a standard $(r+1)$th order Lagrangian.*

Proof. In fact, Theorem 3·1 yields the standard higher order Euler–Lagrange morphism. Moreover, we have the inclusions $\overset{n}{\mathscr{V}}_r \subset \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_{r+1} \subset \overset{n}{\mathscr{V}}_{r+1}$. The result now is immediate, due to the commutativity of the inclusion of the bicomplex of order r into the bicomplex of order $r+1$ (Remark 2·1). □

Definition 3·1. Let $\alpha \in \overset{n+1}{\Lambda}_r$. We set $E_{h(\alpha)} \in \overset{n+1}{\mathscr{V}}_r$ for the generalized rth order Euler–Lagrange morphism associated with α. We put $p_{h(\alpha)}$ for the generalized rth order momentum associated with α. We write $\mathscr{E}_n$ for the generalized rth order Euler–Lagrange operator.

Remark 3·2. It is worth pointing out the inclusions

$$\overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(r,0)} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_r \subset \overset{n+1}{\mathscr{V}}_r \subset \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(2r+1,0)} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_{2r+1}\,.$$

The sheaf of the rth order Euler–Lagrange morphisms of the standard literature (see, for example, [**9**, **10**, **12**, **24**]) is $\overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(r,0)} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_r$. So, due to the above inclusion, an rth order generalized Euler–Lagrange morphism can be regarded as a special kind of $(2r+1)$th order standard Euler–Lagrange morphism.

Remark 3·3. It is interesting to note that, by using a non-horizontal Lagrangian in the geometric formulation of the action (see [**5**–**7**, **9**, **20**]), some theories which are based upon polynomial $(r+1)$th order horizontal Lagrangians can be seen also as rth order theories.

4. *Helmholtz morphism*

It is known [**5**, **13**] that there exists a locally defined geometric object, namely the Helmholtz morphism, whose vanishing is equivalent to the local conditions of local variationality [**1**–**3**, **11**, **13**, **18**, **27**]. We show that the Helmholtz morphism is *intrinsically characterized* by means of the Euler–Lagrange morphism. As a by-product, we obtain a new intrinsic geometrical object which plays a role analogous to the role of the momentum of a Lagrangian.

More precisely, we find an isomorphism of the sheaf

$$\mathscr{E}_{n+1}(\overset{n+1}{\mathscr{V}}_r) \simeq \mathscr{E}_{n+1}(\overset{n+1}{\Lambda}_r / \overset{n+1}{\Theta}_r) = d\overset{n+1}{\Lambda}_r / d\overset{n+1}{\Theta}_r\,.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002837 Published online by Cambridge University Press

with a subsheaf of a sheaf of sections of a vector bundle. Hence, we will be able to provide an explicit expression for the map $\mathscr{E}_{n+1}$. We reduce our search by using the results of Section 3.

LEMMA 4·1. *We have the natural injection*

$$d\overset{n+1}{\Lambda}{}_r/d\overset{n+1}{\Theta}{}_r \to (\overset{2}{\mathscr{C}}_{2r+1} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}{}^h_{2r+2})\big/h(d\ker h)\colon [d\alpha] \mapsto [dE_{h(\alpha)}]\ .$$

Proof. It is a direct consequence of $dd_h = -d_h d_v$ and

$$\alpha = E_{h(\alpha)} - d_h p_{h(\alpha)} + v(\alpha)\,. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 4·2. *Let $\beta \in \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_s \wedge \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(s,0)} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_s$. Then, there is a unique*

$$\tilde{H}_\beta \in \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(2s,s)} \otimes \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(2s,0)} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_{2s}$$

such that, for all $u\colon \mathbf{Y} \to V\mathbf{Y}$,

$$E_{\hat{\beta}} = C^1_1\left(u_{2s} \otimes \tilde{H}_\beta\right)\ ,$$

where $\hat{\beta} \coloneqq i_{u_s}\beta$, and C^1_1 stands for tensor contraction.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{Y}$ be an open coordinate subset, and suppose that we have the expression on $\mathbf{U}$

$$\beta = \beta^{\underline{p}}_{i\,j}\vartheta^i_{\underline{p}} \wedge \vartheta^j \wedge \omega\,, \quad 0 \leqslant |\underline{p}| \leqslant s\,.$$

Then we have the coordinate expression

$$E_{\hat{\beta}} = J_{\underline{p}}u^i\left(\beta^{\underline{p}}_{i\,j} - \sum_{|\underline{q}|=0}^{s-|\underline{p}|}(-1)^{|\underline{p}+\underline{q}|}\frac{(\underline{p}+\underline{q})!}{\underline{p}!\underline{q}!}J_{\underline{q}}\beta^{\underline{p}+\underline{q}}_{\underline{j}\,i}\right)\vartheta^j \wedge \omega\,.$$

Let us set

$$\tilde{H}_\beta[\mathbf{U}] \coloneqq \left(\beta^{\underline{p}}_{i\,j} - \sum_{|\underline{q}|=0}^{s-|\underline{p}|}(-1)^{|\underline{p}+\underline{q}|}\frac{(\underline{p}+\underline{q})!}{\underline{p}!\underline{q}!}J_{\underline{q}}\beta^{\underline{p}+\underline{q}}_{\underline{j}\,i}\right)\vartheta^i_{\underline{p}} \otimes \vartheta^j \wedge \omega\,.$$

Then, by the arbitrariness of u, $\tilde{H}_\beta[\mathbf{U}]$ is the unique morphism fulfilling the conditions of the statement on $\mathbf{U}$.

If $\mathbf{V} \subset \mathbf{Y}$ is another open coordinate subset and $\mathbf{U} \cap \mathbf{V} \neq \emptyset$, then, by uniqueness, we have $\tilde{H}_\beta[\mathbf{U}]|_{\mathbf{U}\cap\mathbf{V}} = \tilde{H}_\beta[\mathbf{V}]|_{\mathbf{U}\cap\mathbf{V}}$. Hence, we obtain the result by setting $\tilde{H}_\beta|_{\mathbf{U}} \coloneqq \tilde{H}_\beta[\mathbf{U}]$ on any coordinate open subset $\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{Y}$. $\square$

THEOREM 4·1 (Generalized second variation formula). *Let $\beta \in \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_s \wedge \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(s,0)} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_s$. Then, there is a unique pair of sheaf morphisms*

$$H_\beta \in \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(2s,s)} \wedge \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(2s,0)} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_{2s}\,, \quad G_\beta \in \overset{2}{\mathscr{C}}_{(2s,s)} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_{2s}\,,$$

such that

(i) $\pi_s^{2s\,*}\beta = H_\beta - G_\beta$

(ii) $H_\beta = 1/2\,A(\tilde{H}_\beta)$, *where A is the antisymmetrization map.*

Moreover, G_β is locally of the type $G_\beta = d_h q_\beta$, where $q_\beta \in \overset{2}{\mathscr{C}}_{2s-1} \wedge \overset{n-1}{\mathscr{H}}_{2s-1}$; hence $[\beta] = [H_\beta]$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002837 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. It is clear that G_β is uniquely determined by β and the choice $H_\beta = \frac{1}{2} A(\tilde{H}_\beta)$.

Moreover, it can be easily seen [**24**] by induction on $|\underline{p}|$ that, on a coordinate open subset $\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{Y}$, we have

$$\beta = \beta^{\underline{p}}_{i\,j} \vartheta^i_{\underline{p}} \wedge \vartheta^j \wedge \omega = \beta^{\underline{p}}_{i\,j} L_{\underline{p}}(\vartheta^i) \wedge \vartheta^j \wedge \omega = (-1)^{|\underline{p}|} \vartheta^i \wedge L_{\underline{p}}(\beta^{\underline{p}}_{i\,j} \vartheta^j) \wedge \omega + 2 d_h q_\beta \,,$$

which yields the thesis by the Leibnitz' rule, the injective morphism χ^s_r of remark 2·1, and the inclusions (3) (a similar result can be found in [**5**, **13**]). □

Remark 4·1. In general, the section q_β is not uniquely characterized. But, if $\dim \mathbf{X} = 1$, then there exists a unique q_β fulfilling the conditions of the statement of the above theorem.

COROLLARY 4·1. *The sheaf* $\mathscr{E}_{n+1}\left(\overset{n+1}{\mathscr{V}}_r\right)$ *is isomorphic to the image of the injective morphism*

$$I_{n+2} \colon d \overset{n+1}{\Lambda}_r / d \overset{n+1}{\Theta}_r \to \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{4r+1} \wedge \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(4r+1,0)} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_{4r+1} \colon [d\alpha] \mapsto H_{dE_{h(\alpha)}} \,.$$

Proof. I_{n+2} is well defined due to the uniqueness of the decomposition of the generalized second variation formula. Moreover, being $E_{h(\alpha)}$ affine with respect to the highest order derivatives, I_{n+2} is valued into

$$\overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{4r+1} \wedge \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(4r+1,0)} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_{4r+1} \subset \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{4r+2} \wedge \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(4r+2,0)} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_{4r+2}$$

The injectivity of I_{n+2} follows from the above theorem, because if $dE_{h(\alpha)}$ and $dE_{h(\beta)}$ fulfil $H_{dE_{h(\alpha)}} = H_{dE_{h(\beta)}}$, then we have (locally)

$$dE_{h(\alpha)} - dE_{h(\beta)} = d_h(q_{h(\alpha)} - q_{h(\beta)}) \,. \quad \square$$

COROLLARY 4·2. *The sheaf morphism* $\mathscr{E}_{n+1}$ *can be expressed via* I_{n+1} *and* I_{n+2} *by*

$$\mathscr{E}_{n+1} \colon \overset{n+1}{\mathscr{V}}_r \to \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{4r+1} \wedge \overset{1}{\mathscr{C}}_{(4r+1,0)} \wedge \overset{n}{\mathscr{H}}_{4r+1} \colon E \mapsto H_{dE} \,.$$

Moreover, if the coordinate expression of E *is* $E = E_j \vartheta^j \wedge \omega$, *then the coordinate expression of* $\mathscr{E}_{n+1}(E)$ *is*

$$\mathscr{E}_{n+1}(E) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial^{\underline{p}}_i E_j - \sum_{|\underline{q}|=0}^{2r+1-|\underline{p}|} (-1)^{|\underline{p}+\underline{q}|} \frac{(\underline{p}+\underline{q})!}{\underline{p}!\underline{q}!} J_{\underline{q}} \partial^{\underline{p}+\underline{q}}_j E_i \right) \vartheta^i_{\underline{p}} \wedge \vartheta^j \wedge \omega \,.$$

Definition 4·1. Let $\alpha \in \overset{n+1}{\Lambda}_r$. We put $H_{dE_{h(\alpha)}}$ for the generalized rth order Helmholtz morphism. We set $q_{dE_{h(\alpha)}}$ for the generalized rth order momentum associated to the Helmholtz morphism. We write $\mathscr{E}_{n+1}$ for the generalized rth order Helmholtz operator.

5. *Inverse problems*

In this section, we show that the results of the above sections toghether with the exactness of the variational sequence yield the solution for two important inverse problems: the minimal order variationally trivial Lagrangians and the minimal order Lagrangian corresponding to a locally variational Euler–Lagrange morphism.

We can summarize the results of the preceding sections in the following theorem.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002837 Published online by Cambridge University Press

THEOREM 5·1. *The rth order short variational sequence is isomorphic to the exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \overset{0}{\Lambda}_r \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0} \overset{1}{\mathscr{V}}_r \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{n-1}} \overset{n}{\mathscr{V}}_r \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_n} \overset{n+1}{\mathscr{V}}_r \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{n+1}} \mathscr{E}_{n+1}(\overset{n+1}{\mathscr{V}}_r) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{n+2}} 0,$$

We have two main consequences of the exactness of the above sequence.

COROLLARY 5·1. *Let $L \in (\overset{n}{\mathscr{V}}_r)_{\mathbf{Y}}$ such that $\mathscr{E}_n(L) = 0$. Then, for any $y \in \mathbf{Y}$ there exist an open neighbourhood $\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{Y}$ of y and a section $T \in (\overset{n-1}{\mathscr{V}}_r)_{\mathbf{U}}$ such that $\mathscr{E}_{n-1}(T) = L$. If $H^n_{\mathrm{deRham}}\mathbf{Y} = 0$, then we can choose $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{Y}$.*

Proof. The first statement comes from the definition of exactness for a sheaf sequence. The second statement comes from the abstract de Rham theorem; in fact, the (long) variational sequence is a (soft) resolution of the constant sheaf $\mathbb{R}$ (see [**13**, **36**]). □

Definition 5·1. Let $L \in (\overset{n}{\mathscr{V}}_r)_{\mathbf{Y}}$ such that $\mathscr{E}_n(L) = 0$. We say that L is a variationally trivial rth order (generalized) Lagrangian.

Remark 5·1. If $L \in \overset{n}{\mathscr{V}}_r$ is variationaly trivial, then L is (locally) of the form $L = \mathscr{E}_{n-1}(h(\alpha)) = d_h\alpha$, with $\alpha \in \overset{n-1}{\Lambda}_r$.

We stress that a similar result is obtained in [**14**], but with a computational proof.

As for $(\overset{n+1}{\mathscr{V}}_r)_{\mathbf{Y}}$, we have a result which is analogous to the above corollary, and justifies the following definition.

Definition 5·2. Let $E \in (\overset{n+1}{\mathscr{V}}_r)_{\mathbf{Y}}$. If $\mathscr{E}_{n+1}(E) = 0$, then we say E is a locally variational (generalized) rth order Euler–Lagrange morphism.

So, to any locally variational Euler–Lagrange morphism there exists a local Lagrangian whose associated Euler–Lagrange morphism (locally) coincides with the given one. This is a well-known fact in the theory of infinite order Lagrangian bicomplexes, but the novelty provided by our approach is the minimality of the order of the local Lagrangian. In fact, we have the following obvious proposition.

PROPOSITION 5·1. *Let $E \in (\overset{n+1}{\mathscr{V}}_r)_{\mathbf{Y}}$ such that $E \notin (\overset{n+1}{\mathscr{V}}_{r-1})_{\mathbf{Y}}$. Let E be locally variational. Then, for any (local) Lagrangian $L \in \overset{n}{\mathscr{V}}_r$ of E, we have $L \notin \overset{n}{\mathscr{V}}_{r-1}$.*

Remark 5·2. In the literature there are similar results [**1**, **2**, **4**], but proofs are done by computations. The finite order variational sequence provides a structural answer to the minimal order Lagrangian problem.

Remark 5·3. We stress that a minimal order Lagrangian $L \in \overset{n}{\mathscr{V}}_r$ for a locally variational Euler–Lagrange morphism $E \in \overset{n+1}{\mathscr{V}}_r$ can be explicitly computed.

Namely, we pick an $\alpha \in \overset{n+2}{\Lambda}_r$ corresponding to the Euler–Lagrange morphism (i.e. $I_{n+1}(h(\alpha)) = E$), and apply the contact homotopy operator (which is just the restriction of the Poincaré's homotopy operator to $\overset{n+2}{\Theta}_r$) to the closed form $d\alpha \in \overset{n+2}{\Theta}_r$,

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002837 Published online by Cambridge University Press

finding $\beta \in \overset{n+1}{\Theta}_r$ such that $d\beta = d\alpha$. By using once again using the (standard) homotopy operator we find $\gamma \in \overset{n}{\Lambda}_r$ such that $d\gamma = \beta - \alpha$: $L \coloneqq I_n(\gamma)$ is the minimal order Lagrangian.

We recall that the well-known Volterra–Vainberg method for finding a Lagrangian for E yields a $(2r+1)$th order Lagrangian.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank I. Kolář, D. Krupka, M. Modugno and J. Štefánek for stimulating discussions. I would also like to thank the anonymous referee for helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

[1] I. M. ANDERSON. Aspects of the inverse problem to the calculus of variations. *Arch. Math. Brno* **24** (1988), 181–202.
[2] I. M. ANDERSON and T. DUCHAMP. On the existence of global variational principles. *Amer. Math. J.* **102** (1980), 781–868.
[3] I. M. ANDERSON and J. POHJANPELTO. Symmetries, conservation laws and variational principles for vector field theories. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **120** (1996), 369–384.
[4] I. M. ANDERSON and G. THOMPSON *The inverse problem of the calculus of variations for ordinary differential equations*. Memoirs of the AMS **98**, (1992).
[5] M. BAUDERON. Le problème inverse du calcul des variations. *Ann. de l'I.H.P.* **36** (1982), 159–179.
[6] P. G. COSTANTINI. On The geometrical structure of Euler–Lagrange equations. *Ann. Mat. Pura ed Applicata* **167** (1994), 389–402.
[7] M. CRAMPIN. On the differential geometry of the Euler–Lagrange equations, and the inverse problem of Lagrangian dynamics. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **14** (1981), 2567–2575.
[8] P. DEDECKER and W. M. TULCZYJEW. *Spectral sequences and the inverse problem of the calculus of variations*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 836 (Springer-Verlag, 1980), 498–503.
[9] M. FERRARIS and M. FRANCAVIGLIA. On the global structure of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalism in higher order calculus of variations. *Proc. Int. Meet. on Geometry and Physics* (Pitagora Editrice, Bologna, 1983), 12–15.
[10] P. L. GARCIA and J. MUNOZ. On the geometrical structure of higher order variational calculus; in *Proc. of the IUTAM–ISIMM Symp. on Modern Developments in Anal. Mech.*, Torino, 1982 (Tecnoprint, Bologna, 1983), 127–147.
[11] G. GIACHETTA and L. MANGIAROTTI. Gauge–invariant and covariant operators in gauge theories. *Int. J. Theoret. Phys.* **29** (1990), 789–804.
[12] I. KOLÁŘ. A geometrical version of the higher order Hamilton formalism in fibred manifolds. *J. Geom. Phys.* **1** (1984), 127–137.
[13] D. KRUPKA. Variational sequences on finite order jet spaces; in *Proceedings of the Conf. on Diff. Geom. and its Appl.* (World Scientific, 1990), 236–254.
[14] D. KRUPKA. Topics in the calculus of variations: finite order variational sequences. *Proc. Diff. Geom. and its Appl.*, Opava (Czech Republic), (1993), 473–495.
[15] D. KRUPKA. *Lectures on variational sequences. Adv. Texts in Math.* (Univ. of Opava, 1995).
[16] D. KRUPKA. The contact ideal. *Diff. Geom. and its Appl.* **5** (1995), 257–276.
[17] B. A. KUPERSCHMIDT. Geometry of jet bundles and the structure of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalism; in *Lecture Notes in Math. 775: Geometric methods in math. phys.* (Springer, 1980), 162–218.
[18] B. LAWRUK and W. M. TULCZYJEW. Criteria for partial differential equations to be Euler–Lagrange equations. *J. Diff. Equat.* **24** (1977), 211–255.
[19] L. MANGIAROTTI and M. MODUGNO. Fibered spaces, jet spaces and connections for field theories; in *Proc. of the Int. Meet. on Geom. and Phys.* (Pitagora Editrice, Bologna, 1983), 135–165.
[20] L. MANGIAROTTI and M. MODUGNO. Some results on the calculus of variations on jet spaces. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré* **39** (1983), 29–43.
[21] M. MODUGNO AND R. VITOLO. Quantum connection and Poincaré–Cartan form. *Proc. Conf. in honour of A. Lichnerowicz* (Pitagora, Bologna 1995).
[22] J. MUSILOVÁ. Variational sequence in higher order mechanics. *Proc. of the V Conference on Differential Geometry and its Applications* (Brno, 1995).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002837 Published online by Cambridge University Press

[**23**] P. J. Olver and C. Shakiban. A resolution of the Euler operator. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **69** (1978), 223–229.
[**24**] D. J. Saunders. *The geometry of jet bundles* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989).
[**25**] J. Štefánek. A representation of the variational sequence in higher order mechanics; in *Proc. of the V Conference on Differential Geometry and its Applications* (Brno, 1995).
[**26**] F. Takens. A global version of the inverse problem of the calculus of variations. *J. Diff. Geom.* **14** (1979), 543–562.
[**27**] E. Tonti. Variational formulation of nonlinear differential equations (I) and (II). *Acad. Roy. Belg. C (V)* **55** (1969), 137–165 and 262–278.
[**28**] A. Trautman. A metaphysical remark on variational principles. *Acta Phys. Pol. B*, XX (1996).
[**29**] W. M. Tulczyjew. The Lagrange complex. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **105** (1977), 419–431.
[**30**] W. M. Tulczyjew. The Euler–Lagrange resolution. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **836** (Springer–Verlag 1980), 22–48.
[**31**] A. M. Vinogradov. On the algebro-geometric foundations of Lagrangian field theory. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **18** (1977), 1200–1204.
[**32**] A. M. Vinogradov. A spectral sequence associated with a non-linear differential equation, and algebro–geometric foundations of Lagrangian field theory with constraints. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **19** (1978), 144–148.
[**33**] R. Vitolo. Some aspects of variational sequences in mechanics. *Proc. of the V Conference on Differential Geometry and its Applications* (Brno, 1995).
[**34**] R. Vitolo. *Bicomplessi lagrangiani ed applicazioni alla meccanica relativistica classica e quantistica*, PhD Thesis, Univ. of Florence, 1996.
[**35**] R. Vitolo. Comparison between different formulations of Lagrangian bicomplexes, preprint, Univ. of Florence, 1996.
[**36**] R. O. Wells. *Differential analysis on complex manifolds* (Springer-Verlag, 1980).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002837 Published online by Cambridge University Press