# BIBLIOGRAPHY


Acemoglu, D. & Johnson, S. (2005). Unbundling institutions. *Journal of Political Economy*, 113(5), 949–995. https://doi.org/10.1086/432166

Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S., & Robinson, J. A. (2001). The colonial origins of comparative development: An empirical investigation. *American Economic Review*, 91(5), 1369–1401. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.91.5.1369

(2002). Reversal of fortune: Geography and institutions in the making of the modern world income distribution. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 117(4), 1231–1294. https://doi.org/10.1162/003355302320935025

(2005). Institutions as a fundamental cause of long-run growth. *Handbook of Economic Growth*, 1, 385–472. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1574-0684(05)01006-3

Achen, C. H. (2000, July). Why lagged dependent variables can suppress the explanatory power of other independent variables. *Annual Meeting of the Political Methodology Section of the American Political Science Association, University of California, Los Angeles*, 20(22), 7–20.

Ackerman, B. A. (1991). *We The People*, vol. 1. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Adamičková, N. & Königová M. (2016). Lidé mají dostat právo sáhnout při ohrožení státu po zbrani. Online article. www.novinky.cz/domaci/clanek/lide-maji-dostat-pravo-sahnout-pri-ohrozeni-statu-po-zbrani-40018504

Adjolohoun, S. H. (2017, May 16). Benin's fourth failed constitutional reform effort: The decisive legacy of participatory processes. Online article. https://constitutionnet.org/news/benins-fourth-failed-constitutional-reform-effort-decisive-legacy-participatory-processes

Albert, R. (2009). Nonconstitutional amendments. *The Canadian Journal of Law and Jurisprudence*, 22(1), 5–47.

(2010). Constitutional handcuffs. *Arizona State Law Journal*, 42(3), 663–716.

(2014). The structure of constitutional amendment rules. *Wake Forest Law Review*, 49, 913.

(2015a). Amending constitutional amendment rules. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 13(3), 655–685. https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/mov040

(2015b). Constitutional amendment by stealth. *McGill Law Journal*, 60(4), 673–736. https://doi.org/10.7202/1034051ar




Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

(2015c). How unwritten constitutional norms change written constitutions. *Dublin University Law Journal*, 38(2), 387–418.

(2015d). The unamendable core of the United States constitution. In A. Koltay (ed.), *Comparative Perspectives on the Fundamental Freedom of Expression*. Budapest: Wolters Kluwer. 13–40.

(2018). Constitutional amendment and dismemberment. *Yale Journal of International Law*, 43, 1–85.

(2019). *Constitutional Amendments: Making, Breaking, and Changing Constitutions*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Albert, R., Nakashidze, M., & Olcay, T. (2018). The formalist resistance to unconstitutional constitutional amendments. *Hastings Law Journal*, 70, 639–670.

Alessandri Palma, A. (1967). *Recuerdos de Gobierno*, vols. 1 & 2. Santiago: Editorial Nascimento.

Amar, A. R. (1994). The consent of the governed: Constitutional amendment outside Article V. *Columbia Law Review*, 94(2), 457–508.

American Civil Liberties Union. (n.d.). Background on the flag desecration amendment. Online article. www.aclu.org/other/background-flag-desecration-amendment

Anckar, D. & Karvonen, L. (2015). Constitutional amendment methods in the democracies of the world. In P. Mikuli, A. Kulig, J. Karp, & G. Kuca (eds.), *Ustroje tradycje i porownania*. Warsaw: Wydawnictwo Sejmowe. 205–218.

Andrade Geywitz, C. (1991). *Reforma de la Constitución Política de la República de Chile de 1980*. Santiago: Editorial Jurídica de Chile.

Andrews, J. T. & Montinola, G. R. (2004). Veto players and the rule of law in emerging democracies. *Comparative Political Studies*, 37(1), 55–87. https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414003260125

Ansa. (2016, January 20). Renzi, se perdo referendum vado a casa. Online article. www.ansa.it/sito/notizie/topnews/2016/01/20/renzi-se-perdo-referendum-vado-a-casa_38468242-2355-4f1f-9312-9f22046f7548.html

Arellanes Jiménez, P. E. (2014). El Tratado de Libre Comercio de América del Norte: Antes, durante y después, afectaciones jurídicas en México. *IUS Revista del Instituto de Ciencias Jurídicas de Puebla*, 8(3), 257–274.

Associated Press. (2023a, January 21). Slovakia holds referendum to enable snap election. Online article. https://apnews.com/article/politics-slovakia-government-zuzana-caputova-bdee67a3c96d635187d8e94d2683ce0b?utm_source=copy&utm_medium=share

(2023b, January 25). Slovakia parliament changes constitution to enable snap vote. Online article. https://apnews.com/article/politics-slovakia-government-zuzana-caputova-bdee67a3c96d635187d8e94d2683ce0b

Aus, J. P. (2008). The mechanisms of consensus: Coming to agreement on community asylum policy. In D. Naurin & H. Wallace (eds.), *Unveiling the Council of the European Union: Games Governments Play in Brussels*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan. 99–118.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

Australian Electoral Commission. (2024, March 10). Referendums FAQs. Website. https://web.archive.org/web/20240310091603/https://www.aec.gov.au/referendums/aec/faqs.html

Ballotpedia. (n.d.). Single-subject rule for ballot initiatives. Online article. https://ballotpedia.org/Single-subject_rule_for_ballot_initiatives

Bank, M. (2016). Parliament gears up to debate new EU firearms legislation. Online article. www.theparliamentmagazine.eu/news/article/parliament-gears-up-to-debate-new-eu-firearms-legislation

Bánkuti, M., Halmai, G., & Scheppele, K. L. (2015). Hungary's illiberal turn: Disabling the constitution. In *The Hungarian Patient: Social Opposition to an Illiberal Democracy*. Budapest: Central European University Press. 37–46.

Bárcena Juárez, S. A. (2017). Involucramiento legislativo sin reelección: La productividad de los diputados federales en México, 1985–2015. *Política y Gobierno*, 24(1), 45–79.

Barrientos Del Monte, F. & Añorve, D. (2014). México 2013: Acuerdos, reformas y descontento. *Revista de Ciencia Política (Santiago)*, 34(1), 221–247. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-090X2014000100011

Barro, R. J. (1997). *Determinants of Economic Growth: A Cross-Country Empirical Study*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Becerra, R., Salazar, P., & Woldenberg, J. (2011). *La Mecánica del Cambio Político en México: Elecciones, Partidos y Reformas*. Mexico City: Cal y Arena.

Benoit, K. (2001). Evaluating Hungary's mixed-member electoral system. In *Mixed-Member Electoral Systems: The Best of Both Worlds?* Oxford: Oxford University Press. 477–493.

Bergman, M. E. (2019). Rejecting constitutional reform in the 2016 Italian referendum: Analyzing the effects of perceived discontent, incumbent performance and referendum-specific factors. *Contemporary Italian Politics*, 11(2), 177–191.

Bernaschina, M. (1956). Génesis de la Constitución de 1925. *Anales de la Facultad de Ciencias Jurídicas y Sociales*, 3(5), 46–65.

Blake, W., Cozza, J., Armstrong, D., & Friesen, A. (2023). Social capital, institutional rules, and constitutional amendment rates. *American Political Science Review*, 118(2), 1075–1083. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055423000606

Boehmke, F. J., Brockway, M., Desmarais, B., Harden, J. J., LaCombe, S., Linder, F., et al. (2018). State innovativeness: Dynamic rate scores from SPID v.1.0. *Harvard Dataverse*. https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/GMVOI5

Boros, T. (2013). Constitutional amendments in Hungary: The government's struggle against the constitutional court. Online article. www.policysolutions.hu/userfiles/elemzes/21/nachrichten_aus_ungarn_februar_2013.pdf

Bowman, G. (2006). The art of legislative drafting. *Amicus Curiae*, 2006(64), 2–9.

Brennan, W. J., Jr. (1991). Why have a bill of rights? *Valparaiso University Law Review*, 26(1), 1–19.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

Breuer, A. (2008). Policymaking by referendum in presidential systems: Evidence from the Bolivian and Colombian cases. *Latin American Politics and Society*, 50(4), 59–89.

Brown, A. J. (2008). In pursuit of the "genuine partnership": Local government and federal constitutional reform in Australia. *University of New South Wales Law Journal*, 31(2), 435–466.

Brown, A. R. (2022). *The Dead Hand's Grip: How Long Constitutions Bind States*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Brunner, M. (2013). *Parliaments and Legislative Activity: Motivations for Bill Introduction*. Wiesbaden: Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden.

Buchanan, J. M. & Tullock, G. (1965). *The Calculus of Consent: Logical Foundations of Constitutional Democracy*, vol. 100. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.

Bucur, C. & Rasch, B. E. (2019). Institutions for amending constitutions. In R. D. Congleton., B. Grofman, & S. Voigt (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Public Choice*, vol. 2. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 156–176.

Bulkan, A. (2004). Democracy in disguise: Assessing the reforms to the fundamental rights provisions in Guyana. *Georgia Journal of International & Comparative Law*, 32, 613.

Bunikowski, D. (2018). The constitutional crisis in Poland, Schmittian questions and Kaczyński's political and legal philosophy. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*, 26(3), 285–307.

Burbank, S. B. & Friedman, B. (2002). Reconsidering judicial independence. In S. B. Burbank & B. Friedman (eds.), *Judicial Independence at the Crossroads: An Interdisciplinary Approach*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications. 9–42. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452229577.n2

Burgess, J. W. (1890). *Political Science and Constitutional Law*, vols. 1 & 2. Boston: Ginn & Company.

Cadem. (2022). Encuesta Plaza Pública Segunda Semana De Septiembre: Estudio 452. Pamphlet. https://cadem.cl/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/PP-452-67-esta-de-acuerdo-con-que-Chile-tenga-una-nueva-Constitucion.pdf

Cámara de Diputados. (2013). Aprueban diputados reformas constitucionales en materia político-electoral. Online article. www3.diputados.gob.mx/camara/005_comunicacion/a_boletines/2013_2013/diciembre_diciembre/05_05/2701_aprueban_diputados_reformas_constitucionales_en_materia_politico_electoral

(2023). Reformas Constitucionales por Decreto en orden cronológico. Website. www.diputados.gob.mx/LeyesBiblio/ref/cpeum_crono.htm

Cameron, C. M. (2002). Judicial independence: How can you tell it when you see it? And, who cares? In S. B. Burbank & B. Friedman (eds.), *Judicial Independence at the Crossroads: An Interdisciplinary Approach*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications. 134–147. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452229577.n6

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

Cantú, F. (2019, June 24). The fingerprints of fraud: Evidence from Mexico's 1988 presidential election. *American Political Science Review*, 113(3), 710–726. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055419000285

Cárdenas Gracia, J. (1994). *Transición política y reforma constitucional en México*. Mexico City: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

(1998). Hacia una constitución normativa. In *El significado actual de la Constitución: Memoria del simposio internacional*. Mexico City: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. 93–118.

Carrubba, C. J., Friedman, B., Martin, A. D., & Vanberg, G. (2012). Who controls the content of Supreme Court opinions? *American Journal of Political Science*, 56(2), 400–412. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2011.00557.x

Carrubba, C. J., Gabel, M., Helmke, G., Martin, A. D., & Staton, J. K. (2015). When parchment barriers matter: De jure judicial independence and the concentration of power. Unpublished manuscript.

Cassel, D. (2009). Honduras: Coup d'état in constitutional clothing? *American Society of International Law Insights*, 13(9).

Ceccarini, L. & Bordignon, F. (2017). Referendum on Renzi: The 2016 vote on the Italian constitutional revision. *South European Society and Politics*, 22(3), 281–302.

Centre for Population. (2024, July 16). National, state and territory population: September 2023. Website. https://web.archive.org/web/20240716120843/https://population.gov.au/data-and-forecasts/key-data-releases/national-state-and-territory-population-september-2023

Cheibub, J., Elkins, Z., & Ginsburg, T. (2014). Beyond presidentialism and parliamentarism. *British Journal of Political Science*, 44(3), 515–544. https://doi.org/10.1017/S000712341300032X

Chetty, R., Jackson, M. O., Kuchler, T., Stroebel, J., Hendren, N., Fluegge, R. B., et al. (2022). Social capital I: Measurement and associations with economic mobility. *Nature*, 608(7921), 108–121.

Cincurova, S., Inotai, E., Gosling, T., & Ciobanu, C. (2023, January 20). Democracy digest: Slovakia set for referendum and early election. Online article. https://balkaninsight.com/2023/01/20/democracy-digest-slovakia-set-for-referendum-and-early-election/

Cingranelli, D. L. & Richards, D. L. (2008). The Cingranelli-Richards (CIRI) Human Rights Data Project. Website. www.humanrightsdata.com

Clague, C., Keefer, P., Knack, S., & Olson, M. (1999). Contract-intensive money: Contract enforcement, property rights, and economic performance. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 4(2), 185–211. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1009854405184

Constitute Project. (2015). Mexico's constitution of 1917 with amendments through 2015. Website. www.constituteproject.org/countries/Americas/Mexico?lang=en

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

Contiades, X. & Fotiadou, A. (2016). The determinants of constitutional amendability: Amendment models or amendment culture? *European Constitutional Law Review*, 12(1), 192–211. https://doi.org/10.1017/S157401961600002X

Cooter, R. D., & Ginsburg, T. (1996). Comparative judicial discretion: An empirical test of economic models. *International Review of Law and Economics*, 16(3), 295–313. https://doi.org/10.1016/0144-8188(96)00018-X

Couto, C. G. & Arantes, R. B. (2008). Constitution, government and democracy in Brazil. *World Political Science*, 4(2), 1–33.

Cox, A. (1996). The independence of the judiciary: History and purposes. *University of Dayton Law Review*, 21(3), 565–584.

Cramton, R. C. (1964). The powers of the Michigan Civil Rights Commission. *Michigan Law Review*, 63(1), 5–58.

CRC special report: Michigan constitutional issues – A brief Michigan constitutional history. (2010a, February). *Citizens Research Council of Michigan*, (360–02).

CRC special report: Michigan constitutional issues – Amending the Michigan constitution: Trends and issues. (2010b, March). *Citizens Research Council*, (360–03).

Crosson, J. M. (2019). Stalemate in the states: Agenda control rules and policy output in American legislatures. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, 44(1), 3–33.

Cuesta-López, V. (2012). The Spanish agenda initiative and the reform of its legal regime: A new chance for participatory democracy? In *Citizens' Initiatives in Europe*. London: Palgrave Macmillan. 193–211.

Čuroš, P. (2022, September 28). Mária Kolíková is leaving. Online article. https://verfassungsblog.de/maria-kolikova-is-leaving/

(2023). Attack or reform: Systemic interventions in the judiciary in Hungary, Poland, and Slovakia. *Oñati Socio-legal Series,* 13(2), 626–658.

Davala, M. & Chudo, R. (2021, January 27). Amendment to the Constitution of the Slovak Republic focuses on reform of the judiciary. Online article. www.hkv.sk/en/amendment-to-the-constitution-of-the-slovak-republic-focuses-on-reform-of-the-judiciary/

De Londras, F. & Morgan, D. G. (2013). Constitutional amendment in Ireland. In X. Contiades (ed.), *Engineering Constitutional Change*. London: Routledge. 179–202.

Debre, I. & Federman, J. (2023, January 4). Israel's new government unveils plan to weaken Supreme Court. Online article. https://apnews.com/article/politics-israel-government-benjamin-netanyahu-5b240d4bc5d2fb8f533694fc6b7b7809

del Tronco Paganelli, J. & Hernández Estrada, M. I. (2017). Los cambios de política de tercer orden: Recursos, ideas y actores de veto en las reformas energética y educativa (México 2013–2014). *Revista Mexicana de Análisis Político y Administración Pública*, 6(2), 67–92.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

Di Mauro, D. & Memoli, V. (2018). Targeting the government in the referendum: The aborted 2016 Italian constitutional reform. *Italian Political Science Review/Rivista Italiana di Scienza Politica*, 48(2), 133–154.

Dishon, N. (2018). Temporary constitutional amendments as a means to undermine the democratic order: Insights from the Israeli experience. *Israel Law Review*, 51(3), 389–425.

Dixon, R. (2011). Constitutional amendment rules: A comparative perspective. In R. Dixon & T. Ginsburg (eds.), *The Research Handbook in Comparative Constitutional Law*. Northampton, MA: Elgar Publishing. 96–111.

(2014, August 18). Partial constitutional codes. *UNSW Law Research Paper*, (2014–37). http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2482377

(2019, October 26). Constitutional design deferred. *UNSW Law Research Paper*, (18–63). https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3251095

Dixon, R. & Baldwin, G. (2019, March). Globalizing constitutional moments? A reflection on the Japanese Article 9 debate. *The American Journal of Comparative Law*, 67(1), 145–176. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcl/avz002

Dixon, R. & Holden, R. (2012). Constitutional amendment rules: The denominator problem. In T. Ginsburg (ed.), *Comparative Constitutional Design, Comparative Constitutional Law and Policy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 195–218.

Dixon, R. & Landau, D. (2015). Transnational constitutionalism and a limited doctrine of unconstitutional constitutional amendment. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 13(3), 606–638.

(2018). Tiered constitutional design. *The George Washington Law Review*, 86 (438), 438–512.

Dixon, R. & Uhlmann, F. (2018). The Swiss Constitution and a weak-form unconstitutional amendment doctrine? *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 16(1), 54–74.

Domin, M. (2019, February 8). A part of the constitution is unconstitutional, the Slovak Constitutional Court has ruled. Online article. https://verfassungsblog.de/a-part-of-the-constitution-is-unconstitutional-the-slovak-constitutional-court-has-ruled/

Dove, J. A. (2015). The effect of judicial independence on entrepreneurship in the US states. *Economic Systems*, 39(1), 72–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecosys.2014.06.006

(2016). Judicial independence and economic freedom in the US states. *Applied Economics Letters*, 23(1), 78–83. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2015.1051649

Doyle, O. & Walsh, R. (2020). Deliberation in constitutional amendment: Reappraising Ireland's deliberative mini-publics. *European Constitutional Law Review*, 16(3), 440–465.

Drugda, S. (2021, September 14). The People v their representatives. Online article. https://verfassungsblog.de/the-people-v-their-representatives/

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

Dul, J. (2016). Necessary condition analysis (NCA) logic and methodology of "necessary but not sufficient" causality. *Organizational Research Methods*, 19(1), 10–52. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428115584005

(2024). A different causal perspective with necessary condition analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 177, 114618. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2024.114618

Dul, J., Van der Laan, E., & Kuik, R. (2020). A statistical significance test for necessary condition analysis. *Organizational Research Methods*, 23(2), 385–395. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428118795272

Dunn, J. A., Jr. (1974). The revision of the constitution in Belgium: A study in the institutionalization of ethnic conflict. *Western Political Quarterly*, 27(1), 143–163.

Dura, J. (2023). Proposed restrictions on amending North Dakota constitution to go to voters. Online article. https://bismarcktribune.com/news/state-and-regional/govt-and-politics/proposed-restrictions-on-amending-north-dakota-constitution-to-go-to-voters/article_360cea56-d32d-11ed-bc2a-8f3475341a5e.html

Economist. (2015, October 17). Not just hand-waving. Online article. www.economist.com/news/europe/21674774-italian-government-was-byword-instability-and-indecision-no-more-not-just-hand-waving

Elazar, D. J. (1984). *American Federalism: A View from the States*, 3rd ed. New York: HarperCollins.

Eldes, A., Fong, C., & Lowande, K. (2024). Information and confrontation in legislative oversight. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, 49(2), 227–256. https://doi.org/10.1111/lsq.12440

Elkins, Z., Ginsburg, T., & Melton, J. (2009). *The Endurance of National Constitutions*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Elklit, J. (2010). Denmark. In D. Nohlen & P. Stöver (eds.), *Elections in Europe: A Data Handbook*. Baden-Baden, DE: Nomos Publishing House. 501–564.

Elster, J. (2010). *Ulysses Unbound: Studies in Rationality, Precommitment, and Constraints*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Ely, J. H. (1973). The wages of crying wolf: A comment on Roe v. Wade. *Yale Law Journal*, 82(5), 920–949.

Epstein, L., Knight, J., & Shvetsova, O. (2001). The role of constitutional courts in the establishment and maintenance of democratic systems of government. *Law and Society Review*, 35(1), 117–164. https://doi.org/10.2307/3185388

Escalante Gonzalbo, F. E. (2015). *Historia mínima del neoliberalismo*. Mexico City: El Colegio de Mexico AC.

European Commission for Democracy through Law (Venice Commission). (2010, January 19). Report on constitutional amendment adopted by the Venice Commission at its 81st Plenary Session (Venice, 11–12 December 2009). CDL-AD(2010)001.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

Farrell, D., Harris, C., & Suiter, J. (2016). Bringing people into the heart of constitutional design: The Irish Constitutional Convention of 2012–14. In X. Contiades & A. Fotiadou (eds.), *Participatory Constitutional Change: The People as Amenders of the Constitution*. London: Routledge. 120–131.

Feld, L. P. & Voigt, S. (2003). Economic growth and judicial independence: Cross-country evidence using a new set of indicators. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 19(3), 497–527. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0176-2680(03)00017-x

Ferejohn, J. A. (1997). The politics of imperfection: The amendment of constitutions. *Law & Social Inquiry*, 22(2), 501–530. www.jstor.org/stable/828796

Ferejohn, J. A. & Kramer, L. D. (2002). Independent judges, dependent judiciary: Institutionalizing judicial restraint. *New York University Law Review*, 77(4), 962–1039.

Ferejohn, J. A., McKelvey, R. D., & Packell, E. W. (1984). Limiting distributions for continuous state Markov voting models. *Social Choice and Welfare*, 1, 45–67.

Ferreres Comella, V. (2009). *Constitutional Courts and Democratic Values: A European Perspective*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Finck, D. E. (1997). Judicial review: The United States Supreme Court versus the German Constitutional Court. *Boston College International and Comparative Law Review*, 20(1), 123.

Finer, H. (1949). *The Theory and Practice of Modern Government*. New York: Henry Holt and Company.

Finke, D., König, T., Proksch, S. O., & Tsebelis, G. (2013). *Reforming the European Union: Realizing the Impossible*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Fix-Fierro, H. (2017). ¿Por qué se reforma tanto la Constitución mexicana de 1917? Hacia la renovación del texto y la cultura de la Constitución. In G. Esquivel, F. Ibarra Palafox, & P. Salazar Ugarte (eds.), *Cien ensayos para el centenario: Constitución Política de los Estados Unidos Mexicanos*. Mexico City: Instituto de Investigaciones Jurídicas UNAM (Estudios Políticos). 143–162.

Fix-Fierro, H. & Valadés, D. (2015). Toward the reorganization and consolidation of the text of the Constitution of the United Mexican States of 1917: Introductory essay. Online article. https://archivos.juridicas.unam.mx/www/bjv/libros/9/4050/2a.pdf

(2016). *Constitución Política de los Estados Unidos Mexicanos: Texto reordenado y consolidado*. Mexico City: Instituto de Investigaciones Jurídicas de la Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

Follain, J. (2015, October 13). Renzi wins vote to overhaul Roman Senate in blow to elite. Online article. www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2015-10-13/renzi-wins-vote-to-overhaul-roman-senate-in-blow-to-elite

Fruhstorfer, A. (2016). Moldova. In *Constitutional Politics in Central and Eastern Europe*. Wiesbaden: Springer VS. 359–387.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

Fuentes, C. (2006). *Looking Backward, Defining the Future: Constitutional Design in Chile 1980–2005*. Philadelphia: Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association.

(2015). Shifting the status quo: Constitutional reforms in Chile. *Latin American Politics and Society*, 57(1), 99–122.

(2018). Debate constitucional en Chile¿ Reemplazo vía enmienda? *Política y Gobierno*, 25(2), 469–483.

Fukuyama, F. (1995). Social capital and the global economy. *Foreign Affairs*, 74, 89.

Gabel, M., Carrubba, C. J., Helmke, G., Martin, A. D., Staton, J. K. Ward, D., et al. (2024). CompLaw: A coding protocol and database for the comparative study of judicial review. *Journal of Law and Courts*, 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1017/jlc.2024.4

Garcia, J. F. (2023). A failed but useful constitution-making process: How Bachelet's process contributed to constitution-making in Chile. *Global Constitutionalism*, 13(1), 1–11.

Garlicki, L. (2007). Constitutional courts versus supreme courts. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 5(1), 44–68. https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/mol044

Gardbaum, S. (2018). What makes for more or less powerful constitutional courts? *Duke Journal of Comparative & International Law,* 29, 1.

Gerkrath, J. (2013). Some remarks on the pending constitutional change in the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg. *European Public Law*, 19, 449.

Gerlach, P. & Eriksson, K. (2021). Measuring cultural dimensions: External validity and internal consistency of Hofstede's VSM 2013 Scales. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 662604.

Gibler, D. M. & Randazzo, K. A. (2011). Testing the effects of independent judiciaries on the likelihood of democratic backsliding. *American Journal of Political Science*, 55(3), 696–709. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2010.00504.x

Giles Navarro, C. A. (2018). Las reformas a la Constitución Política de los Estados Unidos Mexicanos. *Instituto Belisario Domínguez*, 33, 1–11.

Ginsburg, T. & Huq, A. (2018). Democracy's near misses. *Journal of Democracy*, 29 (4), 16–30.

Ginsburg, T. & Melton, J. (2015). Does the constitutional amendment rule matter at all? Amendment cultures and the challenges of measuring amendment difficulty. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 13(3), 686–713.

Glaeser, E. L., La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., & Shleifer, A. (2004). Do institutions cause growth? *Journal of Economic Growth*, 9(3), 271–303. https://doi.org/10.1023/b:joeg.0000038933.16398.ed

Goldenberg, T. (2023, February 2). Israeli AG: Netanyahu cannot be involved in legal overhaul. Online article. https://apnews.com/article/politics-israel-government-benjamin-netanyahu-fraud-d93f12b9ba68e89f8dceb5743833ff03

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

Goertz, G. (2017). *Multimethod Research, Causal Mechanisms, and Case Studies: An Integrated Approach*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Goertz, G. & Starr, H. (2002). *Necessary Conditions: Theory, Methodology, and Applications*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Goguel, F. (1963). Le référendum du 28 Octobre et les élections des 18–25 Novembre 1962. *Revue française de science politique*, 13(2), 289–314.

Goldey, D. B. (1963). The French referendum and election of 1962: The national campaigns. *Political Studies*, 11(3), 287–307.

Goldman, B. T. (2012). The switch in time that saved nine: A study of Justice Owen Roberts's vote in West Coast Hotel Co. v. Parrish. Online article. https://repository.upenn.edu/handle/20.500.14332/8554

Goossens, J. & Cannoot, P. (2015). Belgian federalism after the sixth state reform. *Perspectives on Federalism,* 7(2), 29–55.

Goossens, J. & Hendriks, F. (2021). Belgium. In J. Martí-Henneberg (ed.), *European Regions, 1870–2020*. New York: Springer. 21–29.

González Oropeza, M. (1998). Una nueva constitución para México. In *El significado actual de la Constitución: Memoria del simposio internacional*. Mexico City: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. 309–317.

González-Ocantos, E. & Meléndez, C. (2024). Rethinking the role of issue-voting in referenda: Conjoint and vote choice analyses of preferences for constitutional change in Chile. *Comparative Politics*, 56(2), 219–242.

Gutmann, J. & Voigt, S. (2018). The rule of law: Measurement and deep roots. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 54, 68–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2018.04.001

(2020). Judicial independence in the EU: A puzzle. *European Journal of Law and Economics*, 49(1), 83–100. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10657-018-9577-8

Haggard, S., MacIntyre, A., & Tiede, L. (2008). The rule of law and economic development. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 11, 205–234. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.10.081205.100244

Halbfinger, D. & Rasgon, A. (2020, June 9). Israel court rejects law legalizing thousands of settlement homes. Online article. www.nytimes.com/2020/06/09/world/middleeast/israel-supreme-court-west-bank-settlements.html

Hamon, L. (1963). Voting patterns in Gaullist France: An analysis of the last referendum and elections. *The World Today*, 19(4), 146–155.

Harrison, C. (2022, May 20). A look at what is – and isn't – in Chile's constitutional draft. Online article. www.as-coa.org/articles/look-what-and-isnt-chiles-constitutional-draft

Hayek, F. (2006). *The Constitution of Liberty*. New York: Routledge.

Hayo, B. & Voigt, S. (2007). Explaining de facto judicial independence. *International Review of Law and Economics*, 27(3), 269–290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irle.2007.07.004

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

(2010). Determinants of constitutional change: Why do countries change their form of government? *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 38(3), 283–305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jce.2010.07.007

(2014). Mapping constitutionally safeguarded judicial independence: A global survey. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, 11(1), 159–195. https://doi.org/10.1111/jels.12038

(2016). Explaining constitutional change: The case of judicial independence. *International Review of Law and Economics*, 48, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irle.2016.06.003

(2019). The long-term relationship between de jure and de facto judicial independence. *Economics Letters*, 183, 108603. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2019.108603

Heiss, C. & Navia, P. (2007). You win some, you lose some: Constitutional reforms in Chile's transition to democracy. *Latin American Politics and Society*, 49 (3), 163–190.

Helmke, G. & Rosenbluth, F. (2009). Regimes and the rule of law: Judicial independence in comparative perspective. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 12, 345–366. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.12.040907.121521

Hendrix, S. (2023, October 11). As Israel reels, Netanyahu agrees to share power with opposition party. Online article. www.washingtonpost.com/world/2023/10/11/israel-unity-government-netanyahu-gantz/

Henisz, W. J. (2000). The institutional environment for economic growth. *Economics & Politics*, 12(1), 1–31. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0343.00066

High Court. (2006, December 14). Zappone & Anor -v- Revenue Commissioners & Ors. Website. https://web.archive.org/web/20150923230051/http://www.bailii.org/ie/cases/IEHC/2006/H404.html

Hill, D. B. (1981). Political culture and female political representation. *The Journal of Politics*, 43(1), 159–168.

Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's Consequences: Comparing Values, Behaviors, Institutions and Organizations Across Nations*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Hofstede, G., Hofstede, G. J., & Minkov, M. (2010). *Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind*, 3rd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Holmes, S. (1988). Precommitment and the paradox of democracy. In J. Elster & R. Slagstad (eds.), *Constitutionalism and Democracy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 195–240.

Horowitz, D. L. (2006). Constitutional courts: A primer for decision makers. *Journal of Democracy*, 17(4), 125–137. https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2006.0063

Howard, R. M. & Carey, H. F. (2004). Is an independent judiciary necessary for democracy? *Judicature*, 87, 284–291.

Howell, W., Adler, S., Cameron, C. & Riemann, C. (2000). Divided government and the legislative productivity of Congress. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, 25(2), 285–312.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

Ibarra Palafox, F. (2016). Identidad y constitucionalismo. Reflexiones sobre la reforma constitucional y su vigencia. In L. R. Guerrero Galván & C. M. Pelayo Moller (eds.), *100 años de la Constitución Mexicana: de las garantías individuales a los derechos humanos*. Mexico City: Instituto de Investigaciones Jurídicas UNAM. 59–74.

Ingles, J. & Kasler, K. (2023, August 8). Ohio voters reject measure that would have made it harder to change constitution. Online article. www.npr.org/2023/08/08/1191679261/ohio-election-results-issue1-abortion-state-constitution-amendment-ballot-voters

Jacob, H., Blankenburg, E., Kritzer, H. M., Provine, D. M., & Sanders, J. (1996). *Courts, Law, and Politics in Comparative Perspective*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Kalyvas, A. (2012). Constituent power. In A. Ophir & A. L. Stoler (eds.), *Political Concepts: A Critical Lexicon*. New York: Fordham University Press. 87–117.

Keith, L. C. (2002). Constitutional provisions for individual human rights (1977–1996): Are they more than mere "window dressing"? *Political Research Quarterly*, 55(1), 111–143. https://doi.org/10.1177/106591290205500105

(2011). *Political Repression: Courts and the Law*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

Keith, L. C., Tate, C. N., & Poe, S. C. (2009). Is the law a mere parchment barrier to human rights abuse? *The Journal of Politics*, 71(2), 644–660. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022381609090513

Kim, J. (2021). Some reflections on constitutional amendment movement in the first half of Moon Jae-In government: With special reference to the role of the judicial bodies. *Korea Observer*, 52(4), 575–601.

Kingsley, P. (2023a, September 12). Israel's Supreme Court weighs law that limits its own power. Online article. www.nytimes.com/2023/09/12/world/middleeast/israel-supreme-court-power-limit.html

(2023b, January 12). Netanyahu surges ahead with judicial overhaul, prompting fury in Israel. Online article. www.nytimes.com/2023/01/12/world/middleeast/netanyahu-israel-judicial-reform.html

Klug, H. (2015). The Constitution in comparative perspective. In M. Tushnet, M. A. Graber, & S. Levinson (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of the U.S. Constitution*. New York: Oxford University Press. 943–966.

Kornhauser, L. A. (2002). Is judicial independence a useful concept? In S. B. Burbank & B. Friedman (eds.), *Judicial Independence at the Crossroads: An Interdisciplinary Approach*. New York: SAGE Publications. 45–55.

Krehbiel, K. (1998). *Pivotal Politics: A Theory of U.S. Lawmaking*. Chicago: University Of Chicago Press.

Kydland, F. E. & Prescott, E. C.. (1977, June). Rules rather than discretion: The inconsistency of optimal plans. *The Journal of Political Economy*, 85(3), 473–491.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Pop-Eleches, C., & Shleifer, A. (2004). Judicial checks and balances. *Journal of Political Economy*, 112(2), 445–470. https://doi.org/10.1086/381480

Lakatos, I. (1978). *The Methodology of Scientific Research Programmes*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Ľalík, T. (2020a). The Slovak Constitutional Court on unconstitutional constitutional amendment (PL. ÚS 21/2014). *European Constitutional Law Review,* 16(2), 328–343. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1574019620000140

(2020b, December 18). Slovakia on its way to illiberal democracy: Nullifying the power of the constitutional court to review constitutional amendments. Online article. www.iconnectblog.com/slovakia-on-its-way-to-illiberal-democracy-nullifying-the-power-of-the-constitutional-court-to-review-constitutional-amendments/

Landau, D. E. & Dixon, R. (2023). Utopian constitutionalism in Chile. *Global Constitutionalism*, 13(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045381723000266

Landau, D. E., Dixon, R., & Roznai, Y. (2019a). From an unconstitutional constitutional amendment to an unconstitutional constitution? Lessons from Honduras. *Global Constitutionalism*, 8(1), 40–70. https://doi.org/10.1017/s2045381718000151

Landau, D. E., Roznai, Y., & Dixon, R. (2019b). Term limits and the unconstitutional constitutional amendment doctrine: Lessons from Latin America. In A. Baturo & R. Elgie (eds.), *The Politics of Presidential Term Limits*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 53–74.

Lane, J. (2011). *Constitutions and Political Theory*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Law, D. S. & Versteeg, M. (2013). Sham constitutions. *California Law Review*, 101(4), 863–952.

Lehoucq, F. (2002). The 1999 elections in Guatemala. *Electoral Studies*, 21(1), 107–114.

Lieber, D. & Amon, M. (2023, June 29). Israel's Netanyahu revives judicial overhaul stripped of most controversial piece. Online article. www.wsj.com/articles/netanyahu-revives-judicial-overhaul-stripped-of-most-controversial-piece-33160de

Lieber, D. & Boxerman, A. (2022, November 15). Israel's right-wing lawmakers aim to remake supreme court. Online article. www.wsj.com/articles/israels-right-wing-lawmakers-aim-to-remake-supreme-court-11668506325

Lijphart, A. (2012). *Patterns of Democracy: Government Forms and Performance in Thirty-Six Democracies*, 2nd ed. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Linzer, D. A. & Staton, J. K. (2015). A global measure of judicial independence, 1948–2012. *Journal of Law and Courts*, 3(2), 223–256. https://doi.org/10.1086/682150

Lorenz, A. (2005). How to measure constitutional rigidity: Four concepts and two alternatives. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, 17(3), 339–361.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

(2016). How differently actors cope with demanding constitutional amendment rules: Two types of constitutional politics in federal democracies. *Regional & Federal Studies*, 26(5), 729–748.

Lupia, A. (1994). Shortcuts versus encyclopedias: Information and voting behavior in California insurance reform elections. *American Political Science Review*, 88, 63–76.

(2006). How elitism undermines the study of voter competence. *Critical Review*, 18, 217–232.

Lutz, D. S. (1994). Toward a theory of constitutional amendment. *American Political Science Review*, 88(2), 355–370.

(2006). *Principles of Constitutional Design*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Madison, J. (1788). The Federalist No. 62. Website. https://founders.archives.gov/documents/Hamilton/01-04-02-0212

Magaloni, B. (2006). *Voting for Autocracy: Hegemonic Party Survival and its Demise in Mexico*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Mansoor, S. (2023, March 27). Netanyahu is beholden to the Israeli far-right on the judicial overhaul plan. Online article. https://time.com/6266434/israel-protests-netanyahu-far-right/

Marshall, M. G. (2016). Polity IV Project: Political regime characteristics and transitions, 1800–2013. Website. www.systemicpeace.org/polity/polity4.htm

Marshfield, J. L. (2017). Court and informal constitutional change in the states. *New England Law Review*, 51(453), 453–518.

(2018). The amendment effect. *Boston University Law Review*, 98(1), 55–126.

Martínez-Barahona, E. (2012). Constitutional courts and constitutional change: Analyzing the cases of presidential re-election in Latin America. In D. Nolte & A. Schilling-Vacaflor (eds.), *New Constitutionalism in Latin America*. Farnham, UK: Ashgate. 289–312.

Mayer-Serra, C. E. (2017). Reforma de la Constitución: la economía política del Pacto por México. *Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Políticas y Sociales*, 62(230), 21–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0185-1918(17)30016-8

McKernan, B. (2023, September 12). What is Israel's judicial overhaul about and what happens next? Online article. www.theguardian.com/world/2023/jul/24/what-is-israel-judicial-overhaul-vote-about-what-happens-next

Melton, J. & Ginsburg, T. (2014). Does de jure judicial independence really matter? A reevaluation of explanations for judicial independence. *Journal of Law and Courts*, 2(2), 187–217. https://doi.org/10.1086/676999

Metelska-Szaniawska, K. (2021). Post-socialist constitutions: The de jure–de facto gap, its effects and determinants. *Economics of Transition and Institutional Change*, 29(2), 175–196. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecot.12261

Metelska-Szaniawska, K. & Lewkowicz, J. (2021). Post-socialist illiberal democracies: Do de jure constitutional rights matter? *Constitutional Political Economy*, 32(2), 233–265. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10602-020-09316-4

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

Ministerio del Interior. (1925). *Actas Oficiales de las Sesiones celebradas por la Comisión y Sub-comisiones encargadas del estudio del Proyecto de Nueva Constitución Política de la República*. Santiago: Imprenta Universitaria.

Mitchell, J. (2023, April 23). North Dakota voters to decide on constitutional initiative procedures in 2024. Online article. https://news.ballotpedia.org/2023/04/18/north-dakota-voters-to-decide-on-constitutional-initiative-procedures-in-2024/

Mondak, J. J. & Canache, D. (2014). Personality and political culture in the American states. *Political Research Quarterly*, 67(1), 26–41.

Morisey, M. (2007). Flag desecration, religion and patriotism. *Rutgers Journal of Law & Religion*, 9, 1.

Nacif, B. (2002). Understanding party discipline in the Mexican Chamber of Deputies: The centralized party model. In B. Nacif & S. Morgenstern (eds.), *Legislative Politics in Latin America*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 254–284.

Nanda, V. P. (1974). The constitutional framework and the current political crisis in India. *Hastings Constitutional Law Quarterly*, 2, 859.

Nash, J. (1951). Non-cooperative games. *Annals of Mathematics*, 54, 286–295.

Navia, P. (2018). If you can fix it, why replace it? Democratizing the Pinochet Constitution in Chile. *Política y Gobierno*, 25(2), 485–499.

Negretto, G. L. (2012). Replacing and amending constitutions: The logic of constitutional change in Latin America. *Law & Society Review*, 46(4), 749–779.

Negri, F. & Rebessi, E. (2018). Was Mattarella worth the trouble? Explaining the failure of the 2016 Italian constitutional referendum. *Italian Political Science Review/Rivista Italiana di Scienza Politica*, 48(2), 177–196.

Neuborne, B. (2003). The supreme court of India. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 1(3), 476–510.

Nev. Const. art. 16, § 1.

Nev. Const. art. 19, § 2.

Nevada Secretary of State. (n.d.). Petition district maps. Website. www.nvsos.gov/sos/elections/initiatives-referenda/petition-district-maps

New York Times. (2005, June 10). Israeli Supreme Court backs Gaza pullout plan. Online article. www.nytimes.com/2005/06/10/world/africa/israeli-supreme-court-backs-gaza-pullout-plan.html

Nicas, J. (2023, December 17). Chile's Left forced a new constitution: Then the Right took control. Online article. www.nytimes.com/2023/12/17/world/americas/chile-constitution-referendum-vote.html

North, D. C. & Weingast, B. R. (1989). Constitutions and commitment: The evolution of institutions governing public choice in seventeenth-century England. *The Journal of Economic History*, 49(4), 803–832. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022050700009451

North, D. C., Summerhill, W., & Weingast, B. (2000). Order, disorder and economic change: Latin America vs. North America. In B. Bueno de Mesquita

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

& H. L. Root (eds.), *Governing for Prosperity*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press. 17–58.

Oliver, J. E. & Wood, T. J. (2014). Conspiracy theories and the paranoid style(s) of mass opinion. *American Journal of Political Science*, 58(4), 952–966.

Orange Files. (2013, October 21). Amendments to the fundamental law. Online article. https://theorangefiles.hu/amendments-to-the-fundamental-law/

Orozco Pulido, J. M. (2020). Drafting a constitution is not drafting a statute: An analysis of the Mexican Constitution and hyper-amending pathologies from the legislative drafting perspective. *Mexican Law Review*, 13(1), 203–217. https://doi.org/10.22201/iij.24485306e.2020.1.14814

Ortiz Gallegos, J. E. (2007). Los círculos feudales de la política en México. *Este país*, 1–10.

Pacto por México. (2012). Online article. www.foroconsultivo.org.mx/FCCyT/sites/default/files/pacto_por_mexico.pdf

PLAC. (2014). A step-by-step process of amending the Nigerian Constitution. Online article. https://placng.org/i/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Step-by-Step-Guide-to-the-Process-of-Amending-the-Nigerian-Constitution.pdf

Plato. (2008). N. Denyer (ed.), *Protagoras*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Plevák, O. (2021, July 23). Firearm ownership rights embedded into Czech constitution. Online article. www.euractiv.com/section/politics/short_news/firearm-ownership-rights-embedded-into-czech-constitution/

Pou Giménez, F. (2018). Constitutionalism old, new and unbound: The case of Mexico. In C. Crawford & D. Bonilla Maldonado (eds.), *Constitutionalism in the Americas*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited. 155–187.

Powell, E. J. & Staton, J. K. (2009). Domestic judicial institutions and human rights treaty violation. *International Studies Quarterly*, 53(1), 149–174. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2478.2008.01527.x

Pozas-Loyo, A. & Saavedra-Herrera, C. (2021). Mexico. *The International Review of Constitutional Reform: 2020*. Austin: University of Texas. 208–211.

Pozas-Loyo, A., Saavedra-Herrera, C., & Pou-Giménez, F. (2022, September 2). When more leads to more: Constitutional amendments and interpretation in Mexico 1917–2020. *Law & Social Inquiry*, 1–32. https://doi.org/10.1017/lsi.2022.35

Putnam, R. D. (2001). *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community – Revised and Updated*. New York: Simon and Schuster.

Putnam, R. D., Leonardi, R., & Nonetti, R. Y. (1993). *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Raifeartaigh, U. N. (1997). Reconciling bail law with the presumption of innocence. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 17, 1.

Ramseyer, J. M. & Rasmusen, E. (2003). *Measuring Judicial Independence: The Political Economy of Judging in Japan*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

Randazzo, K. A., Gibler, D. M., & Reid, R. (2016). Examining the development of judicial independence. *Political Research Quarterly*, 69(3), 583–593. https://doi.org/10.1177/1065912916656277

Rasch, B. E. (2000). Parliamentary floor voting procedures and agenda setting in Europe. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, 25(1), 3–23.

Rasch, B. E. & Congleton, R. D. (2006). Amendment procedures and constitutional stability. In R. D. Congleton & B. Swedenborg (eds.), *Democratic Constitutional Design and Public Policy: Analysis and Evidence*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 536–561.

Reuters. (2020a, July 6). Ahead of run-off vote, Polish president proposes constitutional ban on gay adoption. Online article. www.reuters.com/article/us-poland-election/ahead-of-run-off-vote-polish-president-proposes-constitutional-ban-on-lgbt-adoption-idUSKBN2471FT/

Reuters. (2020b, April 15). Poland's PiS seeks constitutional change to extend president's term. Online article. www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-poland-constitutio/polands-pis-seeks-constitutional-change-to-extend-presidents-term-idUSKCN21X3CJ/

Rice, T. M. & Sumberg, A. F. (1997). Civic culture and government performance in the American states. *Publius: The Journal of Federalism*, 27(1), 99–114.

Ríos-Figueroa, J. (2007). Fragmentation of power and the emergence of an effective judiciary in Mexico, 1994–2002. *Latin American Politics and Society*, 49(1), 31–57. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1548-2456.2007.tb00373.x

(2011). Institutions for constitutional justice in Latin America. In G. Helmke & J. Ríos-Figueroa (eds.), *Courts in Latin America*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 27–54.

Ríos-Figueroa, J. & Staton, J. K. (2014). An evaluation of cross-national measures of judicial independence. *The Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*, 30(1), 104–137. https://doi.org/10.1093/jleo/ews029

Rivera León, M. A. (2017). Understanding constitutional amendments in Mexico: Perpetuum mobile constitution. *Mexican Law Review*, 9, 3–27.

Rogoff, M. A. (2008). Fifty years of constitutional evolution in France: The 2008 amendments and beyond. *The Financial Crisis of 2008: French and American Responses – Proceedings of the 2010 Franco-American Legal Seminar*. https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1793210

Roy, T. & Swamy, A.V. (2022). Land rights. In *Law and the Economy in a Young Democracy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. 15–44.

Roznai, Y. (2017). Unconstitutional constitutional change. *New England Law Review*, 51(3), 555–577.

(2022). Constitutional transformation: Hungary. In D. S. Law (ed.), *Constitutionalism in Context*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 136–156.

Sadurski, W. (2020a). Constitutional democracy in the time of elected authoritarians. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 18(2), 324–333.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

(2020b). Constitutional design: Lessons from Poland's democratic backsliding. *Constitutional Studies*, 6(1), 59–79.

Salazar Ugarte, P. (2013). *Política Y Derecho: Derecho y garantías – Cinco ensayos latinoamericanos*. Mexico City: Fontamara.

Salzberger, E. & Fenn, P. (1999). Judicial independence: Some evidence from the English Court of Appeal. *The Journal of Law and Economics*, 42(2), 831–847. https://doi.org/10.1086/467444

Sánchez, A., Magaloni, B., & Magar, E. (2011). Legalist versus interpretativist: The Supreme Court and the democratic transition in Mexico. In G. Helmke & J. Ríos-Figueroa (eds.), *Courts in Latin America*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 187–218.

Santoni, M. & Zucchini, F. (2004). Does policy stability increase the constitutional court's independence? The case of Italy during the first republic (1956–1992). *Public Choice*, 120(3), 439–401. https://doi.org/10.1023/b:puch.0000044291.11088.75

Sartori, G. (1970). Concept misformation in comparative politics. *American Political Science Review*, 64(4), 1033–1053.

Sauer, C. (2021, October 28). Luxembourg's constitutional crescendo: Will incremental reforms succeed where overhaul failed? Online article. https://constitutionnet.org/news/luxembourgs-constitutional-crescendo-will-incremental-reforms-succeed-where-overhaul-failed

Scalia, A. & Gutmann, A. (1997). *A Matter of Interpretation: Federal Courts and the Law: An Essay*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Schneier, E. V. (2006). *Crafting Constitutional Democracies: The Politics of Institutional Design*. Oxford: Rowman & Littlefield.

Schwartz, S. (1992). Universals in the content and structure of values: Theoretical advances and empirical tests in 20 countries. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 25, 1–65.

Serna de la Garza, J. M. (2016). *El sistema federal mexicano: trayectoria y caracterísiticas*. Mexico City: Instituto de Investigaciones Jurídicas.

Sharkansky, I. (1969). The utility of Elazar's political culture: A research note. *Polity*, *2*(1), 66–83.

Shepsle, K. A. & Weingast, B. R. (1987). The institutional foundations of committee power. *American Political Science Review*, 81(1), 85–104. https://doi.org/10.2307/1960780

Smyth, J. C. (2023a, May 15). GOP state lawmakers try to restrict ballot initiatives, partly to thwart abortion protections. Online article. https://apnews.com/article/democracy-ballot-initiatives-abortion-republicans-ohio-missouri-c48033311370f071ccece0da975818cb

(2023b, November 24). Ohio voters just passed abortion protections: When and how they take effect is before the courts. Online article. https://apnews.com/article/abortion-ohio-constitutional-amendment-republicans-courts-fb1762537585350caeee589d68fe5a0d

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

Soederberg, S. (2005). The rise of neoliberalism in Mexico: From a developmental to a competition state. In S. Soederberg, G. Menz, & P. G. Cerny (eds.), *Internalizing Globalization: The Rise of Neoliberalism and the Decline of National Varieties of Capitalism*. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK. 167–182.

Sosa, E. (2015). The movement against the coup in Honduras. In *Handbook of Social Movements Across Latin America*. Dordrecht: Springer. 313–326.

Stanton, K. A. (1997a). The transformation of a political regime: Chile's 1925 constitution. Conference paper. Guadalajara: 1997 Meeting of the Latin American Studies Association.

(1997b). Transforming a political regime: The Chilean Constitution of 1925. Doctoral dissertation. Chicago: University of Chicago, Dept. of Political Science.

Staton, J. K. (2018). Judicial independence research beyond the crossroads. In R. M. Howard & K. A. Randazzo (eds.), *Routledge Handbook of Judicial Behavior*. London: Routledge. 355–369.

Steuer, M. & Láštic, E. (2024). The Slovak constitutional court: The promise of Dworkinian adjudication? In K. Pócza (ed.), *Constitutional Review in Central and Eastern Europe*. London: Routledge. 244–273.

Stohler, S., Bateman, D., & Woodward Burns, R. (2022). Judicial power and the shifting purpose of Article V. *Studies in American Political Development*, 36(2), 84–103.

Stone Sweet, A. (2007). The politics of constitutional review in France and Europe. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 5(1), 69–92. https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/mol041

Strøm, K., Müller, W. C., & Bergman, T. (eds.). (2003). *Delegation and Accountability in Parliamentary Democracies, Comparative Politics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Student. (1931). The Lanarkshire milk experiment. Biometrika, 23(3/4), 398–406. https://doi.org/10.2307/2332424

Sturm, A. L. (1963). *Constitution-Making in Michigan, 1961–1962: Michigan Governmental Studies No. 43*. Ann Arbor, MI: Institute of Public Administration, University of Michigan.

Tarabar, D. & Young, A. T. (2021). What constitutes a constitutional amendment culture? *European Journal of Political Economy*, 66, 101953.

Thomson, R., Stokman, F. N., Achen, C. H., & König, T. (eds.). (2006). *The European Union Decides*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Tiernan, S. (2020). *The History of Marriage Equality in Ireland: A Social Revolution Begins*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Torres Alonso, E. (2016). Pacto por México: Una nueva vuelta de tuerca al reformismo mexicano. *RAIGAL. Revista Interdisciplinaria de Ciencias Sociales*, 2, 8–22.

Torres-Artunduaga, C. & García-Jaramillo, S. (2020). Democratizing the doctrine of unconstitutional constitutional amendments: The puzzle of amending the judiciary branch. *ICL Journal*, 14(1), 1–42.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

Tribe, L. H. (1995). Taking text and structure seriously: Reflections on free-form method in constitutional interpretation. *Harvard Law Review*, 108(6), 1221–1303.

Tsebelis, G. (1991). *Nested Games*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

(1995). Decision making in political systems: Veto players in presidentialism, parliamentarism, multicameralism and multipartyism. *British Journal of Political Science*, 25(3), 289–325. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0007123400007225

(2002). *Veto Players: How Political Institutions Work*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

(2012). The rules of decisionmaking in EU institutions. In T. Eger & H. B. Schäfer (eds.), *Research Handbook on the Economics of European Union Law*. Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar. 29–54.

(2017a). Compromesso astorico: The role of the senate after the Italian constitutional reform. *Italian Political Science Review/Rivista Italiana di Scienza Politica*, 47(1), 87–104.

(2017b). The time inconsistency of long constitutions: Evidence from the world. *European Journal of Political Research*, 56(4), 820–845.

(2018a). Back to the Pinochet Constitution: A response. *Política y Gobierno*, 25 (2), 501–510.

(2018b). How can we keep direct democracy and avoid "Kolotoumba." *Homo Oeconomicus*, 35(1), 81–90.

(2018c). Veto players and constitutional change: Can Pinochet's constitution be unlocked? *Política y Gobierno*, 25(1), 3–30.

(2022). Constitutional rigidity matters: A veto players approach. *British Journal of Political Science*, 52(1), 280–299. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123420000411

Tsebelis, G. & Alemán, E. (2005). Presidential conditional agenda setting in Latin America. *World Politics*, 57(3), 396–420.

Tsebelis, G. & Atilano-Robles, E. (2024). Why are constitutional amendments in Mexico so frequent? *Journal of Politics in Latin America*, 0(0). https://doi.org/10.1177/1866802X241254400

Tsebelis, G. & Hahm, H. (2014). Suspending vetoes: How the euro countries achieved unanimity in the fiscal compact. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 21(10), 1388–1411. https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2014.929167

Tsebelis, G. & Nardi, D. J. (2016). A long constitution is a (positively) bad constitution: Evidence from OECD countries. *British Journal of Political Science*, 46(02), 457–478.

Tyushka, A. (2014). A liberationist constitution? Maidan's revolutionary agenda and challenges for constitutional reform in Ukraine. *European View*, 13(1), 21–28. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12290-014-0291-9

Uitz, R. (2015). Can you tell when an illiberal democracy is in the making? An appeal to comparative constitutional scholarship from Hungary. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 13(1), 279–300.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

Uleri, P. V. (2012). Institutions of citizens' political participation in Italy: Crooked forms, hindered institutionalization. In *Citizens' Initiatives in Europe*. London: Palgrave Macmillan. 71–88.

Valenzuela, A. (1977). *Political Brokers in Chile: Local Government in a Centralized Polity*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Vanberg, G. (2001). Legislative-judicial relations: A game-theoretic approach to constitutional review. *American Journal of Political Science*, 45, 346–361.

Vandenbosch, S. (1991). Political culture and corporal punishment in public schools. *Publius: The Journal of Federalism*, 21(2), 117–121.

VanderMay, M. C. (1996). The role of the judiciary in India's constitutional democracy. *Hastings International and Comparative Law Review*, 20, 103.

Velasco-Rivera, M. (2019). The political sources of onstitutional amendment (non) difficulty in Mexico. In R. Albert, C. Bernal, & J. Z. Benvindo (eds.), *Constitutional Change and Transformation in Latin America*. London: Bloomsbury Publishing. 243–268.

(2021). Constitutional rigidity: The Mexican experiment. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 19(3), 1042–1061. https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/moab087

Venice Commission. (2012, June 20). Opinion on the revision of the Constitution of Belgium (Opinion No. 679 / 2012). Online article. www.venice.coe.int/webforms/documents/default.aspx?pdffile=CDL-AD%282012%29010-e

Versteeg, M. & Zackin, E. (2016). Constitutions unentrenched: Toward an alternative theory of constitutional design. *American Political Science Review*, 110(4), 657–674.

Vial, G. (1987). *"La Constitución de 1925", Historia de Chile, 1891–1973*, vol. 3. Santiago: Editorial Santillana del Pacífico.

Voigt, S. (2009, December). Explaining constitutional garrulity. *International Review of Law and Economics*, 29(4), 290–303.

(2021). Mind the gap: Analyzing the divergence between constitutional text and constitutional reality. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 19(5), 1778–1809. https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/moab060

Voigt, S., Gutmann, J., & Feld, L. P. (2015). Economic growth and judicial independence, a dozen years on: Cross-country evidence using an updated set of indicators. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 38, 197–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2015.01.004

Von Neumann, J. & Morgenstern, O. (1944). *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Vrbin, T. (2023, March 6). Heightened requirements to put measures on the Arkansas ballot awaits Sanders' signature. Online article. https://arkansasadvocate.com/2023/03/06/heightened-requirements-to-put-measures-on-the-arkansas-ballot-awaits-sanders-signature/

Waldron, J. (1999). *Law and Disagreement*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015

White House. (2023, April 29). Remarks by President Biden at the White House Correspondents' Dinner. Website. www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/speeches-remarks/2023/04/30/remarks-by-president-biden-at-the-white-house-correspondents-dinner/

Williams, K. (2018). Keeping and bearing arms in Czech. In *Taming the Corpus*. Cham: Springer. 147–166.

Wilson, K. G., Sandoz, E. K., Kitchens, J., & Roberts, M. (2010). The Valued Living Questionnaire: Defining and measuring valued action within a behavioral framework. *The Psychological Record*, 60, 249–272.

Woldenberg, J. (2012). *Historia mínima de la transición democrática en México*. Mexico City: El Colegio de Mexico AC.

Wolff, J. (2020). The turbulent end of an era in Bolivia: Contested elections, the ouster of Evo Morales, and the beginning of a transition towards an uncertain future. *Revista de Ciencia Política*, 40(2), 163–186.

Yap, P. J. (2015). The conundrum of unconstitutional constitutional amendments. *Global Constitutionalism*, 4(1), 114–136.

Zamitiz Gamboa, H. (2017). La reforma político-electoral 2014–2015: ¿híbrido institucional o avance gradual del sistema democrático en México? *Estudios Políticos*, 40, 11–46.

Zamora, S. (1992). The Americanization of Mexican law: Non-trade issues in the North American Free Trade Agreement. *Law and Policy in International Business*, 24, 391.

Zernike, K. & Wines, M. (2023, April 23). Losing ballot issues on abortion, G.O.P. now tries to keep them off the ballot. Online article. www.nytimes.com/2023/04/23/us/republicans-abortion-voting

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 16:06:19, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234.015