Environmental Conservation (2016) **43** (1): 1–2 © Foundation for Environmental Conservation 2015 doi:10.1017/S0376892915000211

COMMENT

Poisoning wolves with strychnine is unacceptable in experimental studies and conservation programmes

In an experimental attempt to reduce predation on caribou (*Rangifer tarandus caribou*) populations threatened by industrial disturbance in western Alberta, Canada, Hervieux *et al.* (2014*a*) used strychnine baits to kill wolves (*Canis lupus*). Strychnine poisoning was once used in attempts to reduce livestock depredation, increase abundance of game species, and remove invasive species (see for example Denney 1972; Reynolds & Tapper 1996; Lorvelec & Pascal 2005). However, its use has been largely abandoned because it causes suffering in animals; its use was banned in the USA in 1972 (Wade 1980), and by the European Union in 2006 (Davies 2006). In this paper, we do not address Hervieux *et al.*'s claim that wolf populations need to be reduced to promote woodland caribou recovery. Our intention is to show that use of strychnine in wildlife research and management programmes is biologically and ethically unacceptable. We believe that accepting strychnine poisoning as a relatively low cost and putatively expedient conservation strategy should alarm wildlife biologists, veterinarians, and environmental authorities. Herein, we reject strychnine as a means to control wolves because it is: (1) inhumane; (2) in contravention of animal welfare guidelines; and (3) non-selective.

According to the Canadian Council on Animal Care (CCAC 2003), a killing method is humane if it causes rapid (immediate) unconsciousness and subsequent death without pain or distress. Death by strychnine ingestion is inhumane, as it causes frequent periods of tetanic seizures, occasional cessation of breathing, hyperthermia, extreme suffering, and death from exhaustion or asphyxiation, which typically occurs within 1–2 hours of the onset of clinical signs (Khan 2010). However, death can take up to 24 hours or longer if the dose is low (Eason & Wickstrom 2001).

The use of strychnine to kill wolves is in contravention of CCAC guidelines (CCAC 2003), the American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA 2013), the Canadian Veterinary Medical Association (2014), and the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes *et al.* 2011).

Although the use of strychnine baits to kill predators has a well-documented history (see Young 1942; Greenwood *et al.* 1990), this practice is indiscriminate and kills many non-target species via ingestion of bait and secondary poisoning (Cain 1972; Allan 1989). Notably, its use does not meet the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) guidelines for wolf management, which advise that when wolf reduction measures are necessary, 'the methods must be selective, specific to the problem, highly discriminatory, and have minimal adverse side effects on the ecosystem'. In Canada, predator control programmes employing strychnine baits have contributed to the extirpation of fisher (*Pekania pennanti*) in central Alberta (Douglas & Strickland 1987), and the decline of wolverine (*Gulo gulo*) in northern regions (Kelsall 1981). In the Canadian Prairies, strychnine poisoning caused the decimation of the now endangered swift fox (*Vulpes velox*) (Sovada *et al.* 2009) and the local extirpation of American badgers (*Taxidea taxus*) (Proulx & MacKenzie 2012), now a 'species of special concern' (COSEWIC [Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada] 2012).

Hervieux *et al.* (2014*b*) reported the non-target poisoning of 91 ravens (*Corvus corax*) and 78 terrestrial carnivores, mostly mustelids and canids. This is a minimum number of non-target mortalities because poisoned animals often die in concealed places, sometimes far from bait sites, are buried in snow, and are otherwise not retrieved. Carcasses can be moved further away by scavengers. Strychnine is highly persistent in poisoned carcasses (Eason & Wickstrom 2001), which can kill more non-target scavengers (Wobeser & Wobeser 1992; Vyas 1999).

The haphazard and indiscriminate poisoning of individual animals can potentially manifest in population-level impacts. For example, because fishers and wolverines have relatively low reproductive rates and large home ranges that can exceed 100 km^2 (Carroll *et al.* 2001; Weir & Corbould 2006), the poisoning of just a few animals might jeopardize their populations. In or near the western Alberta study area, local trappers have reported a significant reduction in carnivores on their traplines (Handy 2013; Kranjec 2015). Hunters observed fewer scavengers, and found carcasses of weasels and bears (*Ursus* spp.) at or near wolf-baiting stations (Alberta Fish & Game Association 2015).

In summary, the use of strychnine in scientific investigations is unethical according to contemporary animal care guidelines, and adversely affects sympatric predators and scavengers. Accordingly, we believe that the use of strychnine poisoning in wildlife conservation should be prohibited and condemned by the scientific community, governments, and conservation groups.

References

Alberta Fish & Game Association (2015) AFGA asks Alberta to stop use of poison in wolf cull [www document]. URL http://afga.org/pdf/NR2015/NR-2015-04-07-poison-wolf.pdf

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892915000211 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Allan, D.G. (1989) Strychnine poison and the conservation of avian scavengers in the Karoo, South Africa. *South Africa Journal of Wildlife Research* **19**: 102–106.

AVMA (2013) AVMA Guidelines for the euthanasia of animals: 2013 edition. Schaumburg, IL, USA: American Veterinary Medical Association [www document]. URL https://www.avma.org/KB/Policies/Documents/euthanasia.pdf

Cain, S.A., Kadlec, J.A., Allen, D.L., Cooley, R.A., Hornocker, M.G., Leopold, A.S. & Wagner, F.H. (1972) *Predator control. 1971: report to the Council on Environmental Quality and the Department of the Interior*. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA.

CCAC (2003) *CCAC Guidelines: On the Care and Use of Wildlife*. Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: Canadian Council on Animal Care.

Canadian Veterinary Medical Association (2014) Pest control. Position statement [www document]. URL http://www.canadianveterinarians.net/documents/pest-control

Carroll, C., Noss, R.F. & Paquet, P.C. (2001) Carnivores as focal species for conservation planning in the Rocky Mountain Region. *Ecological Applications* **11**: 961–980.

COSEWIC (2012) COSEWIC assessment and status report on the American Badger *Taxidea taxus* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, Ottawa, Canada [www document]. URL http://www.registrelep-sararegistry.gc.ca/document/default_e.cfm?documentID=891

Davies, C. (2006) The death of strychnine. *Pesticide News* **72**(June): 7 [www document]. URL http://www.pan-uk.org/pestnews/Issue/pn72/pn72p7.pdf

Denney, R. (1972) Relationships of wildlife and livestock on some developed ranches of the Laikipia Plateau, Kenya. *Journal Range Management* **25**: 415–425.

Douglas, C.W. & Strickland, M.A. (1987) Fisher. In: *Wild Furbearer Management and Conservation in North America*, ed. M. Novak, J.A. Baker, M.E. Obbard & B. Malloch, pp. 511–529. North Bay, Canada: Ontario Trappers Association.

Eason, C.T. & Wickstrom, M. (2001) *Vertebrate Pesticide Toxicology Manual (Poisons)*. Department of Conservation Technical Series No. 23. Wellington, New Zealand: Department of Conservation.

Greenwood, R.J., Arnold, P.M. & McGuire, B.G. (1990) Protecting duck nests from mammalian predators with fences, traps, and a toxicant. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* **18**: 75–82.

Handy, D. (2013) Wolf culling woes. *Alberta Outdoorsmen* September: 11.

Hervieux, D., Hebblewhite, M., Stepnisky, D., Bacon, M. & Boutin, S. (2014a) Managing wolves (*Canis lupus*) to recover threatened woodland caribou (*Rangifer tarandus caribou*) in Alberta. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **92**: 1029–1037.

Hervieux, D., Hebblewhite, M., Stepnisky, D., Bacon, M. & Boutin, S. (2014*b*) Supplementary material. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* [www document]. URL http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/suppl/10.1139/cjz-2014-0142#.VKbKdSvF-So

Kelsall, J.P. (1981) *Status report on the wolverine, Gulo gulo, in Canada in 1981*. Report. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC), Ottawa, Canada.

Khan, S.A. (2010) Overview of strychnine poisoning. In: *The Merck Veterinary Manual*, Tenth Edition, ed. C.M. Kahn & S. Line, pp. 2744–2746. Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA: Merck & Co.

Kranjec, J. (2015) Stand up before it's too late. *Alberta Outdoorsmen*. January Issue: 11.

Lorvelec, O. & Pascal, M. (2005) French attempts to eradicate nonindigenous mammals and their consequences for native biota. *Biological Invasions* **7**: 135–140.

Proulx, G. & MacKenzie, N. (2012) Relative abundance of American badger (*Taxidea taxus*) and red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) in two landscapes with high and low rodenticide poisoning levels. *Integrative Zoology* **7**: 41–47.

Reynolds, J.C. & Tapper, S.C. (1996) Control of mammalian predators in game management and conservation. *Mammal Review* **26**: 127–155.

Sikes, R.S., Gannon, W. L. & the Animal Care and Use Committee of the American Society of Mammalogists (2011) Guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in research. *Journal of Mammalogy* **92**: 235–253.

Sovada, M.A., Woodward, R. O. & Igl, L.D. (2009) Historical range, current distribution, and conservation status of the swift fox, *Vulpes velox*, in North America. *Canadian Field-Naturalist* **123**: 346–367.

Vyas, N.B. (1999) Factors influencing estimation of pesticide-related wildlife mortality. *Toxicology and Industrial Health* **15**: 187–192.

Wade, D.A. (1980) Predator damage control, 1980: recent history and current status. *Vertebrate Pest Conference* **9**: 189–199.

Weir, R.D. & Corbould, F.B. (2006) Density of fishers in the sub-boreal spruce biogeoclimatic zone of British Columbia. *Northwestern Naturalist* **87**: 118–127.

Wobeser, G. & Wobeser, A.G. (1992) Carcass disappearance and estimation of mortality in a simulated die-off of small birds. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* **28**: 548–554.

Young, S.P. (1942) The war on the wolf. Part II. *American Forests* **48**: 552–555, 572–574.

GILBERT PROULX[1]*, RYAN K. BROOK[2], MARC CATTET[3], CHRIS DARIMONT[4] AND PAUL C. PAQUET[5]

[1]Alpha Wildlife Research and Management Ltd, Alberta, T8H 1W3 Canada, [2]Department of Animal and Poultry Science and the Indigenous Land Management Institute, University of Saskatchewan, S7N 5A8 Canada, [3]Canadian Wildlife Health Cooperative, University of Saskatchewan, S7N 5A8 Canada, [4]Department of Geography, University of Victoria, Raincoast Conservation Foundation and Hakai Institute, British Columbia, Canada **and** *[5]Department of Geography, University of Victoria and Raincoast Conservation Foundation, British Columbia, Canada*

*Correspondence: Dr Gilbert Proulx e-mail: gproulx@alphawildlife.ca

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892915000211 Published online by Cambridge University Press