Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. (2006), **26**, 847–867
doi:10.1017/S0143385706000058
© *2006 Cambridge University Press*
Printed in the United Kingdom

Measures on hyperbolic surface laminations

MATILDE MARTÍNEZ

The Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences, 222 College Street, Toronto, Ontario M5T 3J1, Canada
(e-mail: mmartine@fields.utoronto.ca)

(*Received* 25 *March* 2005 *and accepted in revised form* 25 *October* 2005)

Abstract. Measures associated to (compact, non-singular) hyperbolic surface laminations are studied. The main results of this work relate harmonic measures and measures invariant under the laminated geodesic and horocycle flows.

0. *Introduction*

A lamination or foliated space is a topological space that can be seen as a disjoint union of manifolds whose local aspect is that of a product while its global geometry is usually quite complicated. The first non-trivial example of a lamination is the phase space of a continuous dynamical system—written as the disjoint union of orbits—where the problem of understanding the *asymptotic behaviour* of orbits is most naturally posed.

In this article, however, we will be concerned exclusively with laminations whose leaves are hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. Examples of these objects arise naturally in the field of ordinary differential equations with complex time, but may come from other constructions such as Sullivan's definition of universal solenoids (see [**S**]) or Hilbert modular foliations (see §3).

In an attempt to give an interpretation to the problem of the asymptotic behaviour of the leaves, and to understand it from the probabilistic (or ergodic-theoretical) point of view, many different measures have been associated to laminations. The aim of this work is to shed some light on the way some of these measures relate.

The first measures we will consider are holonomy-invariant measures. These are not measures on the foliated space, but on its transversals. Nevertheless, there is a natural sense in which they generalize the concept of invariant measures for flows. While invariant measures always exist for flows on compact metric spaces, holonomy-invariant measures do not always exist on laminations, and an example of this phenomenon can be found in [**P**]. The main theorem concerning the existence of holonomy-invariant measures, due to Plante [**P**], guarantees their existence if there are leaves whose volume has subexponential growth—which is not usually the case for laminations by hyperbolic surfaces.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The second class of measures we will consider is defined and studied by Lucy Garnett in [**Ga**]. These are measures on the foliated space invariant under the heat flow on leaves, called *harmonic* or *stationary*, of which holonomy-invariant measures are essentially a special case.

The fact that leaves are hyperbolic Riemann surfaces has led to the study of three flows which are particulary interesting on hyperbolic surfaces: the geodesic, the stable horocycle and the unstable horocycle laminated flows. The third class of measures we will be interested in is that of measures invariant under one or more of these flows. The hope is that they will help us to understand the geometric complexity of the lamination; that is, the way leaves are 'wrapping' inside the space—although most of the work in this direction is still to be done. In [**B-GM**], for example, for certain Riccati foliations unique ergodicity of the horocycle flow is proved, and the unique SRB (Sinai–Ruelle–Bowen) or physical measure of the geodesic foliated flow is found and described.

In this paper $\mathcal{L}$ will always denote a compact, non-singular lamination whose leaves are hyperbolic Riemann surfaces, and $T^1\mathcal{L}$ its unit tangent bundle. The laminated geodesic flow on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ is called g, and the stable and unstable horocycle flows on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ are called h^+ and h^-, respectively.

In §2, certain relationships between harmonic measures and measures invariant under the laminated flows g, h^+ and h^- are discussed. The following two theorems are proved.

THEOREM 2.6. *Any harmonic measure on $\mathcal{L}$ is the projection of a measure invariant under the horocycle flow h^+ on $T^1\mathcal{L}$.*

THEOREM 2.8. *Any measure on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ invariant under both g and h^+ projects onto a harmonic measure on $\mathcal{L}$.*

Of course, these theorems remain true if we change h^+ to h^-.

The converse of Theorem 2.6 is not known, but does not hold if the lamination is not compact. And the proof of this theorem does not indicate whether the correspondence 'harmonic measure $\mapsto$ measure invariant under h^+' is one-to-one. The converse of Theorem 2.8 is not known either, nor is it known whether the correspondence 'measure invariant under g and $h^+ \mapsto$ harmonic measure' is surjective.

A theorem that characterizes harmonic measures in terms of measures invariant under one or more laminated flows is likely to hold, but is still to be proved. In fact, the converse of Theorem 2.8 should be true. Such a result would allow us to express problems related to harmonic measures, which are usually very difficult, in terms of laminated flows. For example, the question 'Does a minimal lamination by hyperbolic surfaces have a unique harmonic measure?' would be generalized to 'Is there a unique measure ergodic for the joint action of g and h^+?' This problem seems, at first sight, to be easier to handle.

The study of measures simultaneously invariant under the three laminated flows g, h^+ and h^- does not lead to new measures. Showing this is the aim of the following proposition, which can also be found in §2.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *There is a canonical bijection between measures invariant under g, h^+ and h^- and holonomy-invariant measures.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

In §3, two families of examples are studied: Hilbert modular foliations and Riccati foliations.

For Hilbert modular foliations, the following result is proved.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *The volume is the unique harmonic measure of the Hilbert modular foliation.*

The volume in this foliated space is the projection of the volume in the unit tangent bundle to the foliation, which is an ergodic measure for the three flows g, h^+ and h^-.

Also in §3, Proposition 3.3 describes the geometry of the leaves of Hilbert modular foliations, and easily implies that the horocycle flow has no closed orbits. Observe that in the unit tangent bundle of a single hyperbolic Riemann surface S of finite volume, there are relatively few measures invariant under the horocycle flow (see [**D-S**]). Namely, all ergodic probability measures of the horocycle flow h^+ are the Liouville measure and measures on closed horocycles. This does not generalize to foliated spaces: in §3.1 we see that the Hilbert modular foliation has measures invariant under h^+ which are not invariant under g, and are therefore not at all similar to the Liouville measure on T^1S. These measures do not come from closed horocycles, since there are none.

The end of §3 is actually the chronological beginning of this work. Here, a measure that was found and studied by Bonatti and Gómez-Mont in [**B-GM**] is identified as the only harmonic measure of the generic Riccati foliation. It is obtained in [**B-GM**] as the projection of two measures μ_+ and μ_- on the unit tangent bundle to the foliation, each of which is invariant under two foliated flows. ($\mu_\pm$ is invariant under g and $h^\pm$.) The fact that this measure is harmonic, although easily derived from the results and techniques in [**B-GM**], suggested the ideas for the whole of this paper.

1. *Preliminaries*

1.1. *Hyperbolic surface laminations.*

Definition. $\mathcal{L}$ is a *lamination* if it is a separable, locally compact metrizable space that has an open covering $\{E_i\}$ and an atlas $\{(E_i, \varphi_i)\}$ satisfying:

(1) $\varphi_i : E_i \to D_i \times T_i$, for some open disk D_i in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and topological space T_i, and

(2) the coordinate changes $\varphi_j \circ \varphi_i^{-1}$ are of the form $(z, t) \mapsto (\zeta(z, t), \tau(t))$ where each ζ is smooth in the z variable.

This last condition says that the sets of the form $\varphi_i^{-1}(D_i \times \{t\})$, called *plaques*, glue together to form d-dimensional manifolds that we call *leaves*. The open sets E_i are called *flow boxes*.

When the topological spaces T_i are disks in $\mathbb{R}^k$ and the changes of coordinates are smooth, the total space $\mathcal{L}$ is a manifold and the lamination is called a *foliation*. In this case, we use the notation M for the manifold and $\mathcal{F}$ for the foliated structure.

Definition. $\mathcal{L}$ is a *Riemann surface lamination* if the disks D_i are open subsets of the complex plane and the maps ζ are holomorphic in the z variable. We say that $\mathcal{L}$ is a *hyperbolic surface lamination* if its leaves are hyperbolic Riemann surfaces.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Note that a Riemann surface lamination is always *oriented*; that is, the atlas $\{(E_i, \varphi_i)\}$ induces an oriented atlas on each leaf.

Each leaf on a hyperbolic surface lamination $\mathcal{L}$ has a Poincaré metric, which is the only Riemannian metric of constant curvature -1 compatible with the conformal structure. According to a theorem due to Candel [**C1**], these metrics on the leaves, as well as all their derivatives, have a continuous variation in the transverse direction.

In this paper, $\mathcal{L}$ will always denote a hyperbolic surface lamination, and, unless otherwise stated, it will be compact.

If μ is any measure on the Borel σ-algebra of $\mathcal{L}$, the integral of any function f with respect to μ will be written $\int f\, d\mu$ or $\mu(f)$.

1.2. *Laminated geodesic and horocycle flows.*

Definition. If $\mathcal{L}$ is a hyperbolic surface lamination, we denote by $T^1\mathcal{L}$ the lamination whose three-dimensional leaves are the unit tangent bundles of the leaves of $\mathcal{L}$ and that has 'the same' charts as $\mathcal{L}$.

Definition. The *laminated geodesic flow* is the flow g_t that, restricted to the unit tangent bundle of a leaf L of $\mathcal{L}$, coincides with the geodesic flow in T^1L. The *laminated stable and unstable horocycle flows* h^+ and h^- are the flows that, when restricted to the unit tangent bundle of a leaf L, coincide with the stable and unstable horocycle flows on $T^1\mathcal{L}$, respectively.

For the definition and basic properties of the geodesic, stable horocycle and unstable horocycle flows on hyperbolic surfaces, see [**M**]. All these flows are continuous on $T^1\mathcal{L}$, as a consequence of Candel's theorem (see [**C1**]).

It is an important fact that in the lamination $T^1\mathcal{L}$ there is a right $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$-action whose orbits are the leaves, which is as *good* (meaning continuous, smooth or analytic) as the lamination itself. As in the case of surfaces, the geodesic and the horocycle flows correspond to the action on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ of some one-parameter subgroups. The geodesic flow corresponds to the diagonal subgroup

$$D = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t/2} \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

the stable horocycle flow to the upper-triangular subgroup

$$H^+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

and the unstable horocycle flow to

$$H^- = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Measures associated to flows are inspired by the analogy between a lamination and a surface: a leaf of $\mathcal{L}$ that is very recurrent should behave in a way similar to that of a single compact Riemann surface S. For such a surface, the horocycle flow is uniquely ergodic

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

for the Liouville measure (see [**D-S**] or [**Gh**]), which is also an ergodic measure for the geodesic flow (see [**Gh**]).

In a sense, if we knew h^+ but not S, we would be able to 'recover' the manifold T^1S, and therefore the surface S. In an analogous way, using laminated flows, we would like to get a better understanding of the behaviour of a leaf L from the study of the orbits of the laminated flows which lie on L.

1.3. *Holonomy-invariant measures.* If $\mathcal{L}$ is a compact lamination and $\varphi : E \to D \times T$ is a flow box, we say that a subset of $\mathcal{L}$ of the form $\varphi^{-1}(\{x\} \times T)$ is a *transversal* of $\mathcal{L}$.

Consider a finite set $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n$ of transversals such that any leaf of $\mathcal{L}$ intersects at least one of the τ_i's.

Definition. A finite measure μ on $\tau_1 \cup \cdots \cup \tau_n$ is *holonomy-invariant* if it is invariant under all the elements f of the holonomy pseudogroup of $\mathcal{L}$ that have domain and range contained in $\tau_1 \cup \cdots \cup \tau_n$.

For the definition of the holonomy pseudogroup of $\mathcal{L}$, see [**C-L**, Ch. 4, §1], or [**C-C**, Vol. I, Ch. 11, §2].

Remark. A holonomy-invariant measure on $\tau_1 \cup \cdots \cup \tau_n$ induces in a canonical way a holonomy-invariant measure on any other finite union of transversals that intersects all leaves of $\mathcal{L}$. This allows us to speak of *holonomy-invariant measures on* $\mathcal{L}$.

1.4. *Harmonic measures.* Harmonic measures were defined by Lucy Garnett in [**Ga**], where everything which is said here is stated and proved in much more detail. Nevertheless, certain background on heat diffusion on Riemannian manifolds is assumed in Garnett's paper, and [**Ch**] can be referred to for this topic.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a lamination, such that every leaf has a Riemannian metric with bounded Ricci curvature, that varies continuously in $\mathcal{L}$ (in the topology of uniform convergence in compact subsets of the leaves). Under these hypotheses, associated to each leaf L there is a semigroup $\{D_L(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ that corresponds to the heat diffusion in L, whose infinitesimal generator is the Laplace–Beltrami operator of L, Δ_L.

We define an operator Δ on $\mathcal{L}$ that is the *collage* of the Laplace–Beltrami operators on leaves.

Definition. $\Delta f(x)$ is $\Delta_L f|_L(x)$, if L is the leaf of $\mathcal{L}$ through x and $f|_L$ is the restriction of f to L. We call Δ a *laminated (*or *foliated) Laplacian*.

To this operator there corresponds a semigroup $\{D_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, the *laminated heat semigroup*, that does heat diffusion along the leaves. It can be defined in terms of the *laminated heat kernel*, $p : \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} \times (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$—which restricted to a leaf coincides with its heat kernel, and such that $p(x, y, t) = 0$ if x and y lie on different leaves—as follows.

Definition.

$$D_t f(x) = \int_{\mathcal{L}} p(x, y, t) f(y)\, dy.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

This quantity should be thought of as 'the temperature at x at time t', if the initial temperature distribution is f and if the leaves are thermically isolated (that is, heat diffuses only in the leaf direction).

Definition. A probability measure m on $\mathcal{L}$ is *harmonic* if

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}} \Delta f \, dm = 0$$

for every measurable, bounded function f, which is twice differentiable in the leaf direction.

It is true and intuitively clear, although not easy to prove, that a measure is harmonic if and only if it is fixed by the laminated heat semigroup; that is, if

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}} D_t f \, dm = \int_{\mathcal{L}} f \, dm$$

for every measurable bounded function f on $\mathcal{L}$. (And indeed the apparently weaker condition $D_1 m = m$ implies that $D_t m = m$ for all t.) In fact, the existence of harmonic measures on compact laminations can be shown by applying a fixed-point theorem to the action of the laminated heat semigroup on the compact convex set of probability measures on $\mathcal{L}$ (see [**Ga**]), although Candel gives in [**C2**] an elementary proof of the existence of harmonic measures, using the Hahn–Banach theorem.

Two properties of harmonic measures, one of which is its local characterization, can be found in Lucy Garnett's paper. Here we will only state them.

THEOREM. (Garnett)

(1) *Measurable functions on $\mathcal{L}$ having null laminated Laplacian are constant on almost all leaves with respect to any harmonic measure.*

(2) *As a consequence of Rokhlin's disintegration theorem, any harmonic measure m on $\mathcal{L}$ decomposes in any flow box E as*

$$\int_E f \, dm = \int_I \int_E f(x) \, d\sigma_s(x) \, d\gamma(s),$$

the set I being the set of plaques of E (that is, the transversal of E), $\pi : E \to I$ the projection of E onto I, $\gamma = \pi_* m$ the projection of m and each σ_s a probability measure on E that has its support in the plaque $\pi^{-1}(s)$. A probability measure m is harmonic if and only if the conditional measure σ_s is the Riemannian measure of the plaque $\pi^{-1}(s)$ times a non-negative harmonic function on the plaque, for almost every $s \in I$.

An important fact about harmonic measures is that they determine holonomy-invariant measure classes—which implies that these classes exist, although holonomy-invariant measures may not. Conversely, any holonomy-invariant measure, combined with the volume measure on the leaf direction, determines a harmonic measure. These harmonic measures are called *totally invariant* harmonic measures.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Definition. The *laminated Brownian motion* is the Markov process on $\mathcal{L}$ whose transition probabilities are given by the laminated heat kernel. Each of its paths lies on a single leaf, and the *Weiner measure* on

$$\Omega_x = \{\omega : [0, +\infty) \to \mathcal{L}, \text{ continuous, such that } \omega(0) = x \text{ and } \omega(t) \text{ lies on the leaf through } x\}$$

is defined only in terms of the leaf through x, as usual.

As in the case of a single manifold, the laminated heat semigroup can be expressed as

$$D_t f(x) = \int_{\Omega_x} f(\omega(t))\, d\omega.$$

Two of the main theorems in Lucy Garnett's paper are *ergodic theorems for harmonic measures*, that state the following.

LAMINATION ERGODIC THEOREM. (Garnett) *Let m be a finite harmonic measure. For any m-integrable function f there exists an m-integrable function $\tilde{f}$ which is constant along leaves and that has the following two properties:*

(1) $\tilde{f}(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty}(1/n)\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} D_t f(x)$ *for m-almost all x;*

(2) $\int_{\mathcal{L}} \tilde{f}\, dm = \int_{\mathcal{L}} f\, dm$.

LEAF PATH ERGODIC THEOREM. (Garnett) *Let m be a finite harmonic measure. For any real-valued integrable function f on $\mathcal{L}$ the $\lim_{n\to\infty}(1/n)\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} f(\omega(t))$ exists for m-almost every point x and almost any path ω (in the sense of the Weiner measure) starting at x and lying on the leaf of x. This limit is independent of ω, constant on leaves and equals the leaf diffused time average $\tilde{f}$ of f.*

Definition. A harmonic measure m is *ergodic* if $\mathcal{L}$ cannot be partitioned into two measurable leaf-saturated subsets having positive m measure.

In the last section of her paper, Lucy Garnett gives an ergodic decomposition of harmonic measures on compact foliated manifolds, which holds for compact laminations.

The ergodic harmonic measures can be briefly described as follows: we take a point x in $\mathcal{L}$ and consider the Dirac delta at x, which we call δ_x. We can diffuse this measure, obtaining for each positive time t a probability measure $D_t\delta_x$, whose integral on any continuous function f on $\mathcal{L}$ is $D_t f(x)$. For almost all x according to any harmonic measure, the sequence of Birkhoff means

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} D_t\delta_x$$

has a limit which we call $\tilde{\delta_x}$. Again for almost all x, this limit does not depend on x but only on the leaf where it lies—and, of course, the integral of a continuous function f with respect to $\tilde{\delta}_x$ is nothing but $\tilde{f}(x)$.

These measures are ergodic, and the ergodic decomposition theorem reads as follows:

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

ERGODIC DECOMPOSITION THEOREM. (Garnett) *If m is any harmonic probability measure and f is any bounded measurable function on $\mathcal{L}$, then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}} f\, dm = \int_{x\in R}\left(\int_{\mathcal{L}} f\, d\tilde{\delta}_x\right) dm(x),$$

where R is a leaf-saturated subset of $\mathcal{L}$ such that:

(1) *at every point $x \in R$, $\tilde{\delta}_x$ exists, and x is contained in its support;*
(2) *for any two points $x, y \in R$ lying on the same leaf, $\tilde{\delta}_x = \tilde{\delta}_y$; and*
(3) *any harmonic probability measure gives full measure to R.*

2. *Relationships between measures on hyperbolic surface laminations*

As before, $\mathcal{L}$ is a compact lamination whose leaves are hyperbolic Riemann surfaces, each endowed with its Poincaré metric. We can consider harmonic measures on $\mathcal{L}$, as well as measures invariant under the horocycle and geodesic laminated flows, which are both continuous in the unit tangent bundle $T^1\mathcal{L}$ to $\mathcal{L}$. The aim of this section is to relate these measures, proving the following.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between any two of the following:*

(1) *measures on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ invariant under the three laminated flows g, h^+ and h^-;*
(2) *measures on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ invariant under the* $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$*-action; and*
(3) *holonomy-invariant measures on $\mathcal{L}$.*

(A slightly different form of this proposition can be found in [**B-GM**] in the special case of Riccati foliations.)

THEOREM 2.6. *Any harmonic measure on $\mathcal{L}$ is the projection of a measure invariant under the horocycle flow in $T^1\mathcal{L}$.*

THEOREM 2.8. *Any measure on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ invariant under both the (stable) horocycle and the geodesic flows projects onto a harmonic measure on $\mathcal{L}$.*

Of course, the measures mentioned in Theorem 2.8 do exist, as we shall briefly see at the end of the section.

We will devote a subsection to each of these results.

2.1. *Laminated flows and holonomy-invariant measures.* Recall that there is a right action of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ on $T^1\mathcal{L}$, whose orbits are the three-dimensional leaves of the lamination $T^1\mathcal{L}$. It is therefore a natural question whether measures invariant under this action exist, and what they look like.

Since $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ is generated by the one-parameter subgroups D, H^+ and H^-, measures on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ invariant under the right $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$-action are measures invariant under the three laminated flows.

Remark. If $S = \Gamma\backslash\mathfrak{H}$ is a hyperbolic surface and m is a measure on an open subset U of $T^1S = \Gamma\backslash\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$, invariant under right translations by small elements of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$, it must be a scalar multiple of the Liouville measure of U. This is true because the Liouville measure is the Haar measure of the unimodular group $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Suppose there is a measure μ on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ invariant under the three flows g, h^+ and h^-. The disintegration of μ in a flow box $E \cong U \times T$ of $T^1\mathcal{L}$ gives a measure ν in the transversal T and conditional measures μ_t on the plaques of E such that, for every continuous function f whose support is contained in E,

$$\int f\,d\mu = \int_T \left(\int_{U\times\{t\}} f\,d\mu_t \right) d\nu(t).$$

The invariance of μ and the uniqueness of the decomposition imply that each conditional measure μ_t is a scalar multiple of the Liouville measure. This means (see [**C2**]) that μ is a totally invariant harmonic measure for the lamination $T^1\mathcal{L}$; that is, ν is a holonomy-invariant measure.

On the other hand, any holonomy-invariant measure on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ induces, by multiplication with the Liouville measure on the leaves, a measure on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ which must be invariant under the flows g, h^+ and h^-.

This proves that measures invariant under the three laminated flows correspond to holonomy-invariant measures of the lamination $T^1\mathcal{L}$. More precisely, we know that measures invariant under the three laminated flows are the same as totally invariant harmonic measures on $T^1\mathcal{L}$.

It is easy to see that $T^1\mathcal{L}$ has the same holonomy-invariant measures as $\mathcal{L}$. Namely, the natural projection $\pi : T^1\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$ embeds transversals onto transversals, and projects any holonomy-invariant measure ν onto a holonomy-invariant measure on $\mathcal{L}$. Now, suppose ν is holonomy-invariant in $\mathcal{L}$. To prove that it is invariant in $T^1\mathcal{L}$ it is enough to verify that any path of the form $\gamma_x = \pi^{-1}(\{x\})$ has trivial holonomy. This is immediately noticed from the fact that, if E is a flow box containing x, $\pi^{-1}(E) \cong T \times U \times S^1$, and the path γ_x is simply one of the S^1 factors. □

2.2. *Horocycle flow and harmonic measures.* We will first prove Theorem 2.6 for ergodic harmonic measures, and we will then use the ergodic decomposition theorem to handle the general case.

The basic idea underlying the proof of this theorem is the following: Birkhoff means converging to ergodic harmonic measures have radial symmetry, and are therefore convex combinations of the Lebesgue measures of circles. These circles can be embedded in $T^1\mathcal{L}$, and the embedded *big* circles are *very close to horocycles*. One proves that, in the convex combination mentioned above, *only big circles matter*. This will imply that, in the limit, a measure invariant under the horocycle flow is obtained.

Step 1: Ergodic measure generated by a simply connected leaf. Let us pick a point x in $\mathcal{L}$ for which the ergodic harmonic measure

$$\tilde{\delta}_x = \lim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} D_t \delta_x$$

exists; and for the moment let us assume that the leaf L_x of $\mathcal{L}$ through x is simply connected. The Birkhoff sums $(1/n)\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} D_t\delta_x$ shall be called $\delta_x^{(n)}$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

LEMMA 2.2. *Let p be the heat kernel on the hyperbolic plane. There exists a function $h : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ such that, for all z,*

(1) $\lim_{t\to\infty} h(t) = \infty$ *and*

(2) $\int_{D(z,h(t))} p_t(z, y)\, dy \xrightarrow[t\to\infty]{} 0$.

Proof. Many examples of such functions can be given. We construct one here.

The heat kernel $p_t(z, \cdot)$ converges to zero uniformly in compact subsets of $\mathfrak{H}$. Consider an increasing sequence $\{t_n\}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $t \geq t_n$, the integral $\int_{D(z,n)} p_t(z, y)\, dy$ is smaller than $1/n$. Put $t_0 = 0$, and define h as n on the interval $[t_{n-1}, t_n)$. □

Definition. For each n, let r_n be $h(\sqrt{n})$, and we consider the measure η_n whose integral on continuous functions on $\mathcal{L}$ is given by

$$f \mapsto \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \int_{D(x,r_n)^c} f(y)\, p_t(x, y)\, dy.$$

LEMMA 2.3. *The mass of the measure η_n approaches 1 as n goes to infinity.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \eta_n(1) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \int_{D(x,r_n)} p_t(x, y)\, dy \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{[\sqrt{n}]} \int_{D(x,r_n)} p_t(x, y)\, dy + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=[\sqrt{n}]+1}^{n-1} \int_{D(x,r_n)} p_t(x, y)\, dy \\ &\leq \frac{[\sqrt{n}] + 1}{n} + \int_{D(x,r_n)} p_{\sqrt{n}}(x, y)\, dy \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition. Let $R : L_x \backslash \{x\} \to T^1 L_x \subset T^1\mathcal{L}$ be the inward-pointing radial unit vector field. Namely, $R(y)$ is the velocity at y of the only geodesic starting at y and passing through x. We consider the measures $\mu_n = R_* \delta_x^{(n)}$ and $\nu_n = R_* \eta_n$.

The measures μ_n are probabilities and the ν_n are not, but, according to Lemma 2.3, these sequences have the same limit points.

As the η_n are convex combinations of the normalized lengths of circles whose radii are larger than r_n, the ν_n are convex combinations of the push-forwards of these measures by R, which we shall call $\lambda_r(x)$, r being the radius.

LEMMA 2.4. *The limit points of the sequence μ_n (equivalently ν_n) are probability measures on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ invariant under the laminated horocycle flow.*

Proof. Since the lamination $T^1\mathcal{L}$ is oriented, it is possible to define for each $r > 0$ a flow φ^r in $T^1\mathcal{L}$ whose orbits (which we shall parametrize by unit length) are the circles of radius r with the unit normal vector pointing inwards. Remark that the measures $\lambda_r(x)$ are invariant under the flow φ^r. When $r \to \infty$, the angle between φ^r and the horocycle flow tends to zero uniformly. This means that for any positive δ, if r is large enough,

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$d(\varphi_t^r(y), h_t^+(y)) < \delta$, for any $y \in T^1\mathcal{L}$ and any time t between -1 and 1. (See for example [**B-N**, Theorem 3.8], which is easily proved using Gromwall's inequality.)

Let μ be a limit point of the sequence ν_n, and therefore of the sequence μ_n. We will see that μ is invariant under h_t^+ for all times t between -1 and 1.

Let f be a continuous function on $T^1\mathcal{L}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\delta > 0$ as in the definition of uniform continuity.

For a fixed t,

$$|\mu(f) - \mu(f \circ h_t^+)| \leq |\mu_n(f) - \mu_n(f \circ h_t^+)| + \varepsilon,$$

if n is large enough and such that μ_n belongs to the subsequence converging to μ.

Now, taking a bigger n if necessary,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mu_n(f) - \mu_n(f \circ h_t^+)| \\
&\quad = \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \int_{r_n}^{\infty} p_t(r) \operatorname{long}(S_r) \left[\int f(y)\, d(\lambda_r(x))(y) - \int f \circ h_t^+(y)\, d(\lambda_r(x))(y) \right] \right| \\
&\quad \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \int_{r_n}^{\infty} p_t(r) \operatorname{long}(S_r) \int |f \circ \varphi_t^r(y) - f \circ h_t^+(y)|\, d(\lambda_r(x))(y) \\
&\quad < \varepsilon \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \int_{r_n}^{\infty} p_t(r) \operatorname{long}(S_r) < \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

which achieves the proof of Lemma 2.4. □

Step 2: Ergodic measure generated by a leaf which is not necessarily simply connected. Now we can drop our assumption that the leaf L_x is simply connected. Of course, the definition of the vector field R on L_x no longer makes sense, but we can define R and the measures $\delta_x^{(n)}$ on the universal cover of the leaf and λ_r, ν_n and μ_n on its unit tangent bundle, and then project all the measures on $T^1\mathfrak{H}$ onto $T^1\mathcal{L}$. Remark that the Birkhoff sums for the δ_x and the heat diffusion in the universal cover of L_x project onto those corresponding to L_x, with the heat kernel of the leaf. And, as for the measures on $T^1\mathfrak{H}$, their projections are still convex combinations of those of λ_r, and the whole argument holds.

Since the μ_n project onto the Birkhoff sums $\delta_x^{(n)}$, any of its limit points (which in fact is only one) projects onto $\tilde{\delta}_x$, and a consequence of Lemma 2.4 is the following.

LEMMA 2.5. *Any ergodic harmonic measure is the projection of a measure on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ invariant under the laminated horocycle flow.*

Step 3: Harmonic measure which is not necessarily ergodic. Now let μ be any harmonic measure on $\mathcal{L}$. The measures $\tilde{\delta}_x$ exist for x in a full-measure subset of $\mathcal{L}$, and the ergodic decomposition theorem for harmonic measures states that for any continuous function f on $\mathcal{L}$

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}} f\, d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \left(\int_{\mathcal{L}} f\, d\tilde{\delta}_x \right) d\mu(x).$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

For each $\tilde{\delta}_x$, let α_x be the measure invariant under the horocycle flow that projects onto $\tilde{\delta}_x$, constructed as above. Remark that the map $x \mapsto \alpha_x$ is measurable, since it is the limit of continuous functions.

Definition. We define the measure β on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ by the expression

$$\int_{T^1\mathcal{L}} f\, d\beta = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \left(\int_{T^1\mathcal{L}} f\, d\alpha_x \right) d\mu(x).$$

β is clearly a measure invariant under the horocycle flow that projects onto μ.

This achieves the proof of the following.

THEOREM 2.6. *Any harmonic measure on $\mathcal{L}$ is the projection of a measure invariant under the horocycle laminated flow on $T^1\mathcal{L}$.*

2.3. *The flows g and h^+ and harmonic measures.* Theorem 2.8 will be proved in two steps. The first consists of looking at a single leaf of the weakly stable foliation of the geodesic flow on the unit tangent bundle $T^1\mathfrak{H}$ to the hyperbolic plane, and studying measures invariant under both the geodesic and the horocycle flows there. The second is to disintegrate a measure invariant under the laminated flows in a chart of the lamination $T^1\mathcal{L}$, obtaining conditional measures which are of the type studied in step one, and to use our understanding of these to see how the whole measure projects onto $\mathcal{L}$.

Step 1: A leaf of the weakly stable foliation of the geodesic flow in $T^1\mathfrak{H}$. We will work on the upper-half-plane model of the hyperbolic plane $\mathfrak{H}$. In this model, the leaf of the weakly stable foliation of the geodesic flow consisting of all geodesics that have ∞ as an endpoint can be identified to the affine group

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{R}^+, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

since the left action of B on this leaf sending the vertical unit vector based on (x, y) to the one based on $(a^2x + ab, a^2y)$ is free and transitive.

This action of B, which corresponds under the identification to the left translation in B, is an action by isometries, and this tells us that the hyperbolic area is nothing but a left Haar measure—which we will call μ. Saying that a measure ν on B is invariant under both the geodesic and the horocyle flows is simply stating that it is invariant under right translations in B; namely, that it is a right Haar measure. We wish to compare μ and ν, which amounts to computing their Radon–Nikodym derivative, as they are in the same measure class.

Remark. The Radon–Nikodym derivative $f = d\nu/d\mu$—which is, up to a scalar multiple, the right modular function of B—is harmonic.

Proof. An elementary computation shows that this function is in fact, up to a scalar multiple,

$$f\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = a^2.$$

In terms of the upper-half-plane (with the vertical vector at each point) this means that $f(x, y) = y$, which is harmonic in the Euclidean sense. This is the same as being harmonic in the hyperbolic sense. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Thanks to the preceding remark, we have the following.

LEMMA 2.7. *Let L be a leaf of the weakly stable foliation of the geodesic flow on $T^1\mathfrak{H}$. If ν is a measure on L invariant under the geodesic and stable horocycle flows, it is a harmonic function times the area. (And a local version of this statement also holds.)*

Proof. Let p be the point at infinity at which all geodesics on L end, and L_∞ the leaf of all geodesics ending at ∞. If φ is the isometry that takes L to L_∞, μ_L is the area and ν_L is invariant under both flows, the derivative $d\nu_L/d\mu_L$ is $f \circ \varphi$, where f is the harmonic function spoken of above. □

According to [**N**], measures that have the form 'harmonic function × area' are harmonic; namely the Laplacian of a smooth function with compact support with respect to these measures is zero.

Step 2: Measures invariant under g and h on $T^1\mathcal{L}$. Let $\pi : T^1\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$ be the projection, and ν a measure on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ invariant under the geodesic and the stable horocycle flows. Let $E' = T \times U$ be a flow box in $\mathcal{L}$, whose preimage by π is of the form $E = T \times V = T \times (S \times U)$, where S is a circle and U is an open subset of the hyperbolic plane. The variables t, s and u refer to points in T, S and U respectively.

To prove that $\mu = \pi_*\nu$ is a harmonic measure on $\mathcal{L}$, we will see that the integral with respect to μ of the Laplacian of a function that has compact support in E' is zero. To carry out this computation, we will disintegrate the measures ν and μ in E and E' respectively, and use Lemma 2.7.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{E'} f\,d\mu &= \int_E f \circ \pi\,d\nu \\ &= \int_T \int_{\{t\}\times V = V_t} f \circ \pi\,d\nu_t\,d\hat{\nu}(t),\end{aligned}$$

where the ν_t are the conditional measures corresponding to the disintegration of ν on the subsets V_t of E, and $\hat{\nu}$ is the push-forward of ν under the projection of E onto T. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{E'} f\,d\mu &= \int_T \int_{\{t\}\times U = U_t} f\,d\mu_t\,d\hat{\mu}(t) \\ &= \int_T \int_{\{t\}\times U = U_t} f\,d\mu_t\,d\hat{\nu}(t),\end{aligned}$$

where the μ_t are the conditional measures corresponding to the disintegration of μ on the plaques of E', and $\hat{\mu}$ is the push-forward of μ under the projection of E' onto T. We remark that $\hat{\nu}$ coincides with $\hat{\mu}$.

The uniqueness of the decomposition implies that, for $\hat{\nu}$-almost all t, $\mu_t = \pi_*\nu_t$.

We will disintegrate each ν_t on $V_t = (S \times U)_t = S_t \times U_t$:

$$\int_{V_t} f\,d\nu_t = \int_{S_t} \int_{(U_t)_s} f \circ \pi\,d(\nu_t)_s\,d\eta_t(s),$$

where η_t is a probability measure on the circle $S_t = \{t\} \times S$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

We are now ready to compute $\int_{E'} \Delta f \, d\mu$, for a continuous function f which is twice differentiable along the leaves and that has compact support contained in E'.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{E'} \Delta f \, d\mu &= \int_{E'} \Delta f \circ \pi \, d\nu \\ &= \int_T \int_{S_t} \int_{(U_t)_s} \Delta f \circ \pi \, d(\nu_t)_s \, d\eta_t(s) \, d\hat{\nu} \\ &= \int_T \int_{S_t} \int_{\pi((U_t)_s)=U_t} \Delta f \, d\pi_*(\nu_t)_s \, d\eta_t(s) \, d\hat{\nu}.\end{aligned}$$

Since the measures $(\nu_t)_s$ are harmonic on $(U_t)_s$, and the restriction of π to $(U_t)_s$ is an isometry onto U_t, we have, for all $(t, s) \in T \times S$,

$$\int_{U_t} \Delta f \, d\pi_*(\nu_t)_s = 0,$$

which achieves the proof of the following.

THEOREM 2.8. *Any measure invariant under both the horocycle and the geodesic flows, projects onto a harmonic measure.*

Remark. There are measures invariant under both the geodesic and the horocycle flows.

Proof. A simple way to see this is the following. Take a probability measure μ invariant under the horocycle flow, and take any limit point of the set of measures defined by

$$f \mapsto \frac{1}{2t} \int_{-t}^{t} \int f \circ g_s \, d\mu \, ds. \qquad \square$$

3. *Examples*

3.1. *Hilbert modular foliations.* If, as before, $\mathfrak{H}$ is the hyperbolic plane, the group of isometries of $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$ is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

In fact, the two copies of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ correspond to the isometries of each factor in $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$ and the remaining $\mathbb{Z}_2$ represents the isometry that interchanges the two factors.

Recall that a discrete subgroup Γ of a Lie group G is *irreducible* if its projection onto all normal proper subgroups of G is dense.

In this section we will consider a family of quotients of $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$ by irreducible lattices

$$\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$$

having finite volume, which are not compact. These spaces have two natural transverse (singular) foliations, which come from the 'horizontal' and 'vertical' foliations in $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$. One of them will be called $\mathcal{F}$.

We will first define these foliated spaces: Hilbert modular surfaces (see [**H-VDG**, Part I, Ch. 5]). $\mathcal{F}$ is called a *Hilbert modular foliation*. Then we will see that $(M, \mathcal{F})$ has only one harmonic measure: the volume. Much of what is said here is true for other quotients of $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$ by irreducible lattices, although we will restrict ourselves to working with

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Hilbert modular foliations. The last part of this subsection includes some considerations on measures invariant under the horocycle foliated flow.

Let us consider a real algebraic extension of degree two of the field of rational numbers, of the form $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, where d is a square-free integer. The ring of algebraic integers of K, which we will denote by $\mathfrak{O}_d$, is of the form

$$\mathfrak{O}_d = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha,$$

α being $\sqrt{d}$ if $d \equiv 2, 3 \bmod 4$, and $(1 + \sqrt{d})/2$ otherwise. If $x = a + b\alpha$ is an element of $\mathfrak{O}_d$, its Galois conjugate is $\bar{x} = a - b\alpha$.

Let us consider the following group homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathfrak{O}_d) &\to \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \\ A &\mapsto (A, \bar{A}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{A}$ is the matrix obtained by taking the Galois conjugates of the elements of A. The image of this morphism, which we will call Γ, is an irreducible lattice in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, and the space of orbits of the action of Γ on $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$ is a normal complex space, which we will call $M(d)$, or simply M.

This space has a finite number of singularities, is non-compact, and can be compactified by a finite number of points (the 'cusps') in order to obtain an analytic space, which by means of dissingularization gives a smooth complex surface known as the *Hilbert modular surface* (see [**H-VDG**]). Nevertheless, we will restrict ourselves to working with the space M.

The 'horizontal' foliation in $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$, whose leaves are of the form $\mathfrak{H} \times \{z\}$, induces on the quotient M a foliation by hyperbolic Riemann surfaces (or orbifolds), which will be called $\mathcal{F}$. Remark that the irreducibility of Γ implies that $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal, that is, all leaves are dense. Therefore, all leaves are non-compact, and in fact all but a finite number of leaves are hyperbolic planes. It will be soon seen what leaves which are not simply connected look like.

Remark. Recall that M has isolated singularities. For any point $x \in M$, the set of continuous paths starting at x and lying on the leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ through x that reach a singular point has Weiner measure zero. Consequently, although M is not a manifold, its singular points are irrelevant when studying the foliated heat flow, and therefore we can forget them in our study of harmonic measures.

On $(M, \mathcal{F})$ the ergodic decomposition theorem for harmonic measures still holds. Ergodic harmonic measures are the limits of Birkhoff means of the diffusion of Dirac deltas; that is, measures of the form

$$\tilde{\delta}_x = \lim_n \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} D_t \delta_x.$$

This limit exists and is a probability measure which depends on the leaf passing through x, for almost all x according to any harmonic probability measure—if there are any.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

This can be read in [**Y**, Ch. XIII], observing that the foliated heat semigroup acts on the space

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ f : M \to \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is continuous and } \lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = 0 \right\}.$$

As the lattice Γ is acting by isometries on $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$, the Riemannian metric on $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$ induces a Riemannian metric on M that makes M locally isometric to $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$. We will take V to be the volume measure associated to this metric, suitably normalized so that $V(M) = 1$.

It can be easily seen that V is a harmonic measure on M, and in this section we will prove that all the measures $\tilde{\delta}_x$ coincide. This, of course, implies that V is the only harmonic probability measure on M.

Remark. The volume V is a harmonic measure on M.

This holds because the volume induces on transversals a holonomy-invariant measure. Namely, it is locally of the form '(holonomy-invariant measure) × (area of the leaves)'.

LEMMA 3.1. *There is a subset of M that has full probability according to any harmonic measure, and where the ergodic harmonic measure $\tilde{\delta}_x$ is independent of the point x.*

This has the following immediate consequence.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *$(M, \mathcal{F})$ has a unique harmonic measure, which is V.*

Remark. If L is a hyperbolic Riemann surface and $\mathfrak{H}$ is the hyperbolic plane, let p and $\hat{p}$ be the heat kernels on L and on $\mathfrak{H}$ respectively. If $\hat{x}$ is a point in $\mathfrak{H}$ that projects onto $x \in L$, then

$$p(t, x, \cdot) = \sum_{\alpha\in\pi_1(L)} \hat{p}(t, \alpha\hat{x}, \cdot).$$

This is, of course, a very general fact, not only concerning hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. It can be found, for instance, in [**Ch**], but it is easy to conclude from the fact that L and $\mathfrak{H}$ are locally isometric, and therefore possess the same Laplace operator.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let π be the canonical projection $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H} \to M$ and G the dense subgroup $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathfrak{O}_d)$ of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. We take two points x and y in M for which the measures $\tilde{\delta}_x$ and $\tilde{\delta}_y$ exist and are probabilities only depending on the leaves through x and y, respectively, and two points $\hat{x}, \hat{y} = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$ such that $\pi(\hat{x}) = x$ and $\pi(\hat{y}) = y$.

There is a sequence of isometries $\{\alpha_n\} \subset G$ such that $\alpha_n(\hat{x}) = \hat{x}_n = (y_1, z_n)$ with $\lim_n z_n = y_2$ in $\mathfrak{H}$.

We call $\delta_z^{(m)}$ the mth Birkhoff mean $(1/m) \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} D_t\delta_z$ at a point $z \in M$, and $\hat{\delta}_z^{(m)}$ the mth mean at a point z in $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$.

Let f be a continuous function on M such that $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = 0$—that is, an element of the space $\mathcal{S}$.

Since $\pi(\hat{x}_n)$ lies in the same leaf as x for all n,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \delta^{(m)}_{\pi(\hat{x}_n)} = \tilde{\delta}_x.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

On the other hand, the function $\hat{f} = f \circ \pi$ is uniformly continuous, since f is and π is a local isometry, so if n is large enough,

$$|\hat{f}(z, z_n) - \hat{f}(z, y_2)| < \varepsilon,$$

for any x in $\mathfrak{H}$. If $\hat{p}$ is the heat kernel on the hyperbolic plane and $\{\hat{D}_t\}$ the foliated heat semigroup in $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$,

$$|\hat{D}_t \hat{f}(\hat{x}_n) - \hat{D}_t \hat{f}(\hat{y})| = \left| \int_{\mathfrak{H}} \hat{p}_t(y_1, z)[f(z, z_n) - f(z, y_2)]\, dz \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, for big values of n and any m, $\hat{\delta}^{(m)}_{\hat{x}_n}(\hat{f})$ and $\hat{\delta}^{(m)}_{\hat{y}}(\hat{f})$ are ε-close. Observing that $\hat{\delta}^{(m)}_{\hat{y}}(\hat{f}) = \delta^{(m)}_{y}(f)$ completes the proof. □

We will now consider the geodesic and horocycle foliated flows in the unit tangent bundle to the foliation $T^1\mathcal{F} = \Gamma\backslash(\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{H})$, and see how these relate to the volume measure V on M.

Theorem 2.6 leads us to expect the existence of a measure invariant under the horocycle flow that projects onto V. Remark that, although we are not in the hypotheses of this theorem—since M is non-compact and $\mathcal{F}$ is singular—its proof still holds in this case. Such a measure is easily identifiable (see below).

Remark. The measure on $T^1\mathcal{F}$ coming from Liouville×hyperbolic area (which we will call μ) is invariant under the right action of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, and in particular under the foliated geodesic and horocycle flows. So, it is a probability that satisfies the thesis of Theorem 2.6, and it is also an example of Theorem 2.8.

The measure μ is ergodic for both flows, and we know this from Moore's ergodicity theorem, which we shall state below. For its proof, see [**Z**, Ch. II].

Definition. We say that the action of a group G in a measure space $(S, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is *irreducible* if all normal subgroups of G are ergodic.

MOORE'S ERGODICITY THEOREM. *Let G be a semisimple Lie group acting irreducibly on a probability space $(S, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. If H is a closed, non-compact subgroup of G, its action on S is ergodic.*

COROLLARY. *The volume on $T^1\mathcal{F}$ is ergodic for the three foliated flows g, h^+ and h^-.*

Proof. Take $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, which is simple. The irreducibility of the lattice Γ implies the ergodicity of the action (by right translations on the first factor) of the group G on $(\Gamma\backslash(G \times G)$, projection of the Haar measure) and therefore of the action of G on $(T^1\mathcal{F}, \mu)$. □

Next, we will see that the horocycle flow is not uniquely ergodic on $T^1\mathcal{F}$, by exhibiting another ergodic invariant measure. We will show that this measure is non-trivial, in the sense that it is not a measure supported on a closed orbit—because there are no closed orbits—and that it is not invariant under the geodesic flow.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *The leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are either simply connected or hyperbolic cylinders.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proposition 3.3 states a well-known fact the proof of which I have been unable to find in the literature. A proof is given for the sake of completeness.

COROLLARY 3.4. *The horocycle foliated flow has no closed orbits.*

Remark that since the foliated space is not compact, the previous corollary is not completely obvious.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Take a leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$, and a point $w \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that $\mathfrak{H} \times \{w\}$ projects onto L via $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H} \to \Gamma\backslash(\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H})$. Two points (x, w) and (y, w) in $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$ project onto the same point in M if and only if there is a matrix A in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathfrak{O}_d)$ that sends x to y and whose Galois conjugate $\bar{A}$ has w as a fixed point. This means that the fundamental group of L is a quotient of the Abelian group $\{A \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathfrak{O}_d) : \bar{A}(w) = w\}$, and is therefore Abelian. On the other hand, $\pi_1 L$ is free since L is non-compact, so it must be either isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ or trivial. This implies that the leaf L is, topologically, a disk or a cylinder.

As a hyperbolic surface, a leaf L which is not simply connected may be of two types: a hyperbolic cylinder or a parabolic one. (Only in the latter case do we have closed horocycles.) L is parabolic when its fundamental group is generated by a parabolic element in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathfrak{O}_d) \subset \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. But if A is parabolic, its conjugate $\bar{A}$ is also parabolic, and therefore has no fixed point in $\mathfrak{H}$—which means that A does not belong to the fundamental group of any leaf, completing the proof. □

Example. Consider the Lie subgroup

$$P = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right);\ s, t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, which is isomorphic to the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$. To see that P intersects Γ in a lattice, it is enough to give two linearly independent elements of $P \cap \Gamma$; for example,

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

where α is the irrational number spoken of at the beginning of §3.1. This means that $T = \Gamma\backslash P$ is a torus in $\Gamma\backslash(\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ that is invariant under the action of the one-parameter subgroup H^+ by right translations in the first factor. The probability ν on T which comes from the Haar measure of P is invariant under this action, and Moore's theorem says that it is ergodic. It is easy to see that ν projects onto a non-trivial ergodic measure for the horocycle flow on $T^1\mathcal{F}$. Of course, the torus T is not invariant under the action of the diagonal group D, so the measure ν is not invariant under the geodesic flow.

3.2. *Riccati foliations.* Let S be a hyperbolic Riemann surface of finite area and ρ a representation of the fundamental group of S in $\mathbb{C}P^n$; that is, a group homomorphism

$$\rho : \pi_1 S \to \mathrm{PSL}(n + 1, \mathbb{C}).$$

The number n will be 1 or 2.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The suspension of this representation (see [**C-L**]) gives a foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$, and the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{H} \times \mathbb{C}P^n & \xrightarrow{p} & M \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q} \\ \mathfrak{H} & \xrightarrow[P]{} & S \end{array}$$

The restriction of q to each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is a covering map, and $q : M \to S$ is a fibration over S whose fibre $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is transverse to the foliation.

As before, on each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ we consider the Poincaré metric.

We will study the harmonic measures of this foliation (and this metric), relating them to measures invariant under the geodesic and horocycle flows, which are studied in [**B-GM**] and [**B-GM-V**].

The unit tangent bundle $T^1\mathcal{F}$ to the foliation $\mathcal{F}$, where these flows are defined, can be obtained as a quotient E of $T^1\mathfrak{H} \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ exactly as before, which gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^1\mathfrak{H} \times \mathbb{C}P^n & \xrightarrow{p'} & E \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p'_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q'} \\ T^1\mathfrak{H} & \xrightarrow[P']{} & T^1S \end{array}$$

Via q', the foliated geodesic and horocycle flows project onto the geodesic and horocycle flows in T^1S, which are both ergodic with respect to the Liouville measure. Let us call π the projection from E to M.

Let x be a point in M, L the leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ through x, whose universal cover is the hyperbolic plane. Fix x_0 in $\mathfrak{H}$ that projects onto x.

Definition. The circles containing points at distance r from x_0 in $\mathfrak{H}$ have a length measure that, after normalizing, we call $\lambda_r(x_0)$. The projection of this measure is a probability on M whose support is contained in L, which we call $\lambda_r(x)$.

In [**B-GM-V**], the foliated horocycle and geodesic flows on E are studied. Under certain conditions on the representation ρ, there exist measures called μ_+ and μ_- on $E = T^1\mathcal{F}$, that satisfy the following.

(1) μ_+ is invariant under the foliated geodesic and stable horocycle flows, and for almost all v in E (according to the Lebesgue measure class) and any continuous function f, the measure μ_+ gives the statistics for the orbit of v under g_t in the future; that is,

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f \circ g_t(v)\, dt = \int_E f\, d\mu_+.$$

(2) μ_- is invariant under the foliated geodesic and unstable horocycle flows, and for almost all v in E (according to the Lebesgue measure class) and any continuous function f, the measure μ_- gives the statistics for the orbit of v under g_t in the past; that is,

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f \circ g_{-t}(v)\, dt = \int_E f\, d\mu_-.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(3) The measure μ_+ (μ_-) gives the statistics of Lebesgue-almost every orbit of the foliated stable (unstable) horocycle flow, both for the past and the future.

We will consider a representation ρ whose image $\rho(\pi_1 S)$ does not leave any invariant measure on $\mathbb{C}P^n$. This condition is generic on ρ (see [**J**]), and it is enough to guarantee the existence of the measures μ_+ and μ_- described above. The following theorem can be found in [**B-GM**] in a more general version.

THEOREM. (Bonatti and Gómez-Mont) *If $\rho(\pi_1 S)$ leaves no invariant measure on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ ($n = 1$ or 2), then there is a probability measure ν on M such that for any x and any sequence $\{r_n\}$ of positive real numbers going to infinity, the sequence $\{\lambda_{r_n}(x)\}$ converges weakly to ν. Furthermore, ν is the projection to M of both μ_+ and μ_-.*

Let x be any point in M, and consider the Birkhoff means

$$\delta_x^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} D_t \delta_x .$$

We know from [**Ga**] that these means have a limit which is a probability for almost every x with respect to any harmonic measure; nevertheless, in the non-compact case we do not yet know if there are any harmonic measures.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *The limit*

$$\tilde{\delta}_x = \lim \delta_x^{(n)}$$

exists and is equal to ν for every point x.

As any harmonic measure can be decomposed into measures of this type, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.6. *ν is the unique harmonic measure of the (generic) Riccati foliation.*

The proof of this fact is very similar to and easier than the proof of Theorem 2.6, and its main ingredient is Lemma 2.2.

Proof of Proposition 3.5. If $\pi_L : \mathfrak{H} \to L$ is the universal cover of the leaf L and $\{\hat{D}_t\}$ is the heat semigroup on $\mathfrak{H}$, we will call $\hat{\delta}_{x_0}^{(n)}$ the probability on $\mathfrak{H}$ given by the expression $(1/n) \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \hat{D}_t \delta_{x_0}$. It is clear that $\hat{\delta}_{x_0}^{(n)}$ projects onto $\delta_x^{(n)}$.

We can approximate the measures $\hat{\delta}_{x_0}^{(n)}$ by

$$\hat{\eta}_{x_0}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=[h(\sqrt{n})]}^{n-1} \hat{D}_t \delta_{x_0},$$

where h is the function given by Lemma 2.2 and $[x]$ stands for the largest integer smaller than or equal to the real number x. Exactly as in §2, the $\hat{\eta}_{x_0}^{(n)}$ are not probabilities but their mass tends to one. Their projections, $\eta_x^{(n)} = (\pi_L)_* \hat{\eta}_{x_0}^{(n)}$ are measures on M whose mass approaches 1 and that can be written as convex combinations of the measures $\lambda_r(x)$ for large values of r. They must therefore have ν as their limit. This implies, again as in §2, that ν must be the weak limit of the sequence $\delta_x^{(n)}$. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Acknowledgements. Supported by CONACyT 40329. The content of this article is that of a thesis supervised by Xavier Gómez-Mont and Christian Bonatti. I am extremely grateful to both of them. I would very specially like to thank the referee for a careful reading of the manuscript, and for a very interesting suggestion that has led to further work.

REFERENCES

[B-GM] C. Bonatti and X. Gómez-Mont. Sur le comportement statistique des feuilles de certains feuilletages holomorphes. *Essays on Geometry and Related Topics*. Vol. 1, 2 *(Monogr. Enseign. Math., 38)*. Enseignement Math., Geneva, 2001, pp. 15–41.

[B-GM-V] C. Bonatti, X. Gómez-Mont and R. Vila. The foliated geodesic flow on Riccati equations. *Preprint*, 2001.

[B-N] F. Brauer and J. A. Nohel. *The Qualitative Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations, An Introduction*. Dover, New York, 1989.

[C1] A. Candel. Uniformization of surface laminations. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **26**(4) (1993), 489–516.

[C2] A. Candel. The harmonic measures of Lucy Garnett. *Adv. Math.* **176**(2) (2003), 187–247.

[C-C] A. Candel and L. Conlon. *Foliations I (Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 23)*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.

[Ch] I. Chavel. *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry (Pure and Applied Mathematics, 115)*. Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1984.

[C-L] C. Camacho and A. Lins Neto. *Teoria geométrica das folheaçoes (Projeto Euclides, 9)*. Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, Rio de Janeiro, 1979.

[D-S] S. G. Dani and J. Smillie. Uniform distribution of horocycle orbits for Fuchsian groups. *Duke Math. J.* **51**(1) (1984), 185–194.

[Ga] L. Garnett. Foliations, the ergodic theorem and Brownian motion. *J. Funct. Anal.* **51**(3) (1983), 285–311.

[Gh] É. Ghys. Dynamique des flots unipotents sur les espaces homogènes. *Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1991/92. Astérisque* **206** (1992), Exposé numéro 747, 93–136.

[H-VDG] F. Hirzebruch and G. Van der Geer. *Lectures on Hilbert Modular Surfaces (Séminaire de Mahtématiques Supérieures, Dep. Mathématiques et Statistique, Univ. de Montréal)*. Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, 1981.

[J] P. Jiménez. *Thesis*, in preparation, Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas (CIMAT), Guanajuato, Mexico.

[M] A. Manning. Dynamics of geodesic and horocycle flows on surfaces of constant negative curvature. *Ergodic Theory, Symbolic Dynamics, and Hyperbolic Spaces*. Eds. T. Bedford, M. Keane and C. Series. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1991.

[N] L. Nirenberg. On elliptic partial differential equations. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3)* **13** (1959), 115–162.

[P] J. F. Plante. Foliations with measure preserving holonomy. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **102**(2) (1975), 327–361.

[S] D. Sullivan. Linking the Universalities of Milnor-Thurston, Feigenbaum and Ahlfors-Bers. *Topological Methods in Modern Mathematics (Stony Brook, NY, 1991)*. Eds L. R. Goldberg and A. V. Phillips. Publish or Perish, Houston, TX, 1993, pp. 543–564.

[Y] K. Yosida. *Functional Analysis*, 6th edn *(Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 123)*. Springer, Berlin, 1980.

[Z] R. J. Zimmer. *Ergodic Theory and Semisimple Groups (Monographs in Mathematics, 81)*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1984.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000058 Published online by Cambridge University Press