Mathematical Structures in Computer Science (2021), **31**, pp. 193–213
doi:10.1017/S0960129521000128

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

PAPER

Strictifying and taming directed paths in Higher Dimensional Automata†

Martin Raussen

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Aalborg University, Skjernvej 4A, Aalborg Øst DK-9220, Denmark
Email: raussen@math.aau.dk

(Received 10 June 2020; revised 2 March 2021; accepted 7 June 2021)

Abstract

Directed paths have been used by several authors to describe concurrent executions of a program. Spaces of directed paths in an appropriate state space contain executions with all possible legal schedulings. It is interesting to investigate whether one obtains different topological properties of such a space of executions if one restricts attention to schedulings with "nice" properties, e.g. involving synchronisations. This note shows that this is not the case, i.e. that one may operate with nice schedulings without inflicting any harm. Several of the results in this note had previously been obtained by Ziemiański in Ziemiański (2017. *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing* **28** 497–525; 2020a. *Journal of Applied and Computational Topology* **4** (1) 45–78). We attempt to make them accessible for a wider audience by giving an easier proof for these findings by an application of quite elementary results from algebraic topology; notably the nerve lemma.

Keywords: Higher Dimensional Automata; d-path; strict; tame; serial; parallel; homotopy equivalence; nerve lemma

1. Introduction

1.1 Schedules in Higher Dimensional Automata

Higher Dimensional Automata (HDA) were introduced by Pratt (1991) back in 1991 as a model for concurrent computation. Mathematically, HDA can be described as (labelled) pre-cubical or $\square$-sets (cf Definition 1). Those are obtained by glueing individual cubes of various dimensions together; directed paths corresponding to a $\square$-set respect the natural partial order in each cube of the model. These directed paths correspond to lawful schedules/executions of a concurrent computation; and paths that are homotopic in a directed sense (d-homotopic, cf Fajstrup et al., 2016), will always lead to the same result.

Compared to other well-studied concurrency models like labelled transition systems, event structures, Petri nets, etc., it has been shown by van Glabbeek (2006) that HDA have the highest expressivity (up to history-preserving bisimilarity); on the other hand, they are certainly less studied and less often applied so far. Recently, even more general partial HDA have been proposed and studied (Dubut, 2019; Fahrenberg and Legay, 2015).

It is not evident which paths one should admit as directed paths: It is obvious that they should progress along each axis in each facet of the HDA; the time flow is not reversible. This is reflected

†The author thanks Uli Fahrenberg (École Polytechnique, Paris) and Krzysztof Ziemiański (Warsaw) for helpful conversations; Ziemiański particularly for pointing out several uncorrect statements in previous versions. Thanks are also due to the anonymous referees for several hints leading to improvements of the presentation.

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press

in the notion of a *d-path* on such a complex. One may ask, moreover, that processes synchronise after a step (either a full step or an idle step) has been taken. This is what *tame d-paths* have to satisfy, on top. A natural question to ask is whether one can perform the same computations (and obtain the same results) according to whether synchronisation is requested all along or not.

It has been shown by Ziemiański (2017, 2020a) that the synchronisation request has no essential significance: The spaces of directed paths and of tame d-paths between two states are always *homotopy equivalent*. This has two consequences: On the one hand, one may, without global effects, relax the computational model and allow quite general parallel compositions. On the other hand, in the analysis of the schedules on a HDA, one may restrict attention to tame d-paths, i.e. mandatory synchronisation; these are combinatorially far easier to model and to analyse.

1.2 Posets, poset categories and algebraic topology

Many (sets of) schedules can be formulated in the language of series-parallel pomsets (partially ordered multisets of events). Tame d-paths "live in" *serial* compositions of simple HDA consisting of a single cube each. General d-paths underpin more complicated schedules, for which *parallel* composition is involved in the description; cf, e.g. Fanchon and Morin (2009) for a detailed description of finite step transition systems accepting pomset languages and Fahrenberg et al. (2020) for newer developments.

In this paper, we are not interested in the analysis of individual paths/schedules, but in the analysis of the space of *all* schedules from a start state to an end state, equipped with a natural topology. It turns out that the way subspaces of schedules are glued together is essentially the same, whether synchronisation is mandatory or not.

In that line of argument, posets enter the scene in a different manner: We divide the space of all executions (d-paths) into easy-to-analyse subspaces; for tame d-paths, for example, we simply fix a sequence of faces that they are kept in. Refinement is a partial order relation on these face sequences, and we will exploit the combinatorial/topological properties of the poset category of face sequences (called cube chains Ziemiański, 2017, 2020a).

The use of methods from algebraic topology in the analysis of concurrency properties has been advocated in, e.g. Fajstrup et al. (2006), Grandis (2009), Fajstrup et al. (2016) to which we refer the reader for details. In this paper, we will (apart from the proof of Proposition 37) only apply one important result from algebraic topology, the so-called nerve lemma, cf Theorem 33. At a first glance, one may say that it allows to apply a divide and conquer strategy: Cut a space into subspaces that are topologically trivial (contractible); also all non-empty intersections of such are assumed contractible. Then all essential information (up to homotopy equivalence) is contained in the way these subspaces are glued together. That glueing can be described by way of a simplicial complex, the *nerve* of the associated poset category. If the posets associated to different spaces are (naturally) isomorphic, then their nerves and hence the spaces they describe are homotopy equivalent.

2. Definitions and Results

2.1 Definitions

We start with some notation: The unit interval $[0, 1]$ is denoted by I. For two topological spaces X and Y, we let Y^X denote the space of all continuous maps from X to Y equipped with the compact open topology. For an interval $J = [a, b] \subset \mathbf{R},\ a < b$, an element $p \in X^J$ is called a *path* in X. A path $\varphi \in J_1^{J_2}$ in an interval J_1 defines a *reparametrisation map* $X^{J_1} \to X^{J_2}, p \mapsto p \circ \varphi$.

Let $p_0 : [t_0, t_1] \to X$ and $p_1 : [t_1, t_2] \to X$ denote two paths with $p_0(t_1) = p_1(t_1)$. Their *concatenation* at t_1 is denoted $p_0 *_{t_1} p_1 : [t_0, t_2] \to X$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Definition 1.

(1) *Grandis (2003, 2009) A* d-space *consists of a topological space X together with a subspace $\vec{P}(X) \subset X^I$ of paths in X that contains the constant paths, is closed under concatenation and under the action of the monoid $\vec{P}(I) := \{p : I \to I,\ t \leq t' \Rightarrow p(t) \leq p(t')\}$ of* increasing = non-decreasing *reparametrisations $p : I \to I$ under composition. Elements of $\vec{P}(X)$ are called* d-paths.

(2) *For $x, y \in X$, we let $\vec{P}(X)^y_x = \{p \in \vec{P}(X) |\ p(0) = x, p(1) = y\}$ denote the subspace of all d-paths from x to y.*

(3) *A continuous map $f : X \to Y$ is called a* directed *map iff $f(\vec{P}(X)) \subset \vec{P}(Y)$, i.e. if it maps d-paths in X into d-paths in Y.*

(4) *Let $J = [a, b] \subset \mathbf{R}$ denote an interval $(a < b)$ and let $\varphi : J \to I$ denote any* increasing homeomorphism. *Then $\vec{P}_J(X) := \{p \circ \varphi |\ p \in \vec{P}(X)\}$ – independent of the choice of φ.*

In applications to concurrency, the d-spaces under consideration are usually directed $\square$-sets, or rather their geometric realisations (Fajstrup et al., 2016; Pratt, 1991; van Glabbeek, 1991, 2006):

Definition 2.

(1) *$\square^1 := (I, \vec{P}(I))$, cf Definition 1(1); $\square^n := (I^n, (\vec{P}(I)^n)$. Hence d-paths in $\square^n$ have non-decreasing coordinate paths.*

(2) *A* $\square$-set *X (also called a pre-cubical or semi-cubical set) is a sequence of disjoint sets X_n, $n \geq 0$; equipped, for $n > 0$, with* face maps *$d^\alpha_i : X_n \to X_{n-1}$, $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, satisfying the pre-cubical relations: $d^\alpha_i d^\beta_j = d^\beta_{j-1} d^\alpha_i$ for $i < j$.*
*Elements of X_n are called n-*cubes*, those of X_0 are called* vertices.
Iterated face maps $d^0_1 \circ \ldots d^0_1 : X_n \to X_0$, resp. $d^1_1 \circ \ldots d^1_1 : X_n \to X_0$ project an n-cube c to its source *vertex $c_{\mathbf{0}}$ and* target *vertex $c_{\mathbf{1}}$.*

(3) *A $\square$-set X is called* proper *(Ziemiański, 2017) if for every pair $x_0, x_1 \in X_0$ of vertices there exists at most one cube with bottom vertex x_0 and top vertex x_1.*

(4) *A $\square$-set is called* non-self-linked *(Fajstrup et al., 2016) if every cube $c \in X_n$ has $\binom{n}{k} 2^{n-k}$ different iterated faces in X^k (i.e. iterated faces agree if and only they do so because of the pre-cubical relations).*

(5) *The* geometric realisation *of a pre-cubical set X is the space*

$$|X| = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} X_n \times I^n / _{[d^\alpha_i(c), x] \sim [c, \delta^\alpha_i(x)]}$$

with $\delta^\alpha_i(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, \alpha, x_i, \ldots, x_{n-1})$.

The $\square$-sets underlying Higher Dimensional Automata that are commonly used in typical examples in the concurrency literature are proper and non-self-linked.

Speaking about a cube c in X (or rather in $|X|$; we will often suppress $||$ from the notation), we mean actually the image of the quotient map $\{c\} \times I^{\dim c} \hookrightarrow \bigcup_{n \geq 0} X_n \times I^n \downarrow |X|$. If X is non-self-linked, then this map is a homeomorphism onto its image in X; if not, then it may identify points on the boundary of $I^{\dim c}$.

What are the *directed* paths in the geometric realisation of a $\square$-set?

Definition 3.

(1) *A path $p : J \to I$ on an interval J is called* strictly *increasing if it is increasing and moreover: $p(t) = p(t') \Rightarrow t = t'$ or $p(t) = 0$ or $p(t) = 1$.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press




Figure 1. d-paths in a cubical complex consisting of two squares: directed, strict, tame, tame and strict.

(2) *A path* $p = (p_1, \ldots, p_n) : J \to I^n$ *on an interval* J *is called* (strictly) increasing *if every component* p_i *is (strictly) increasing.*

(3) *Let* X *denote a* $\square$*-set. A path* $p \in X^I$ *is called a* d-path *if it admits a presentation (Ziemiański, 2020a, 2.6)* $[c_1;\beta_1] *_{t_1} [c_2;\beta_2] *_{t_2} \cdots *_{t_{l-1}} [c_l;\beta_l]$ *consisting of*
- *a sequence of real numbers* $0 = t_0 \le t_1 \le \ldots t_{i-1} \le t_i \le \cdots \le t_l = 1$.
- *A sequence* (c_i) *of cubes in* X.
- *A sequence* $(\beta_i) \in \vec{P}_{[t_{i-1},t_i]}(I^{\dim c_i})$, $1 \le i \le l$, *of* increasing *paths* β_i

such that $p(t) = [c_i;\beta_i(t)]$, $t_{i-1} \le t \le t_i$*; i.e. on this interval,* $p = q_i \circ \beta_i$ *with* $q_i : I^{\dim c_i} \to c_i$ *the resp. quotient map.*

(4) *A d-path* $p : I \to X$ *is called* strictly *directed if there exists a presentation* $p = [c_1;\beta_1] *_{t_1} [c_2;\beta_2] *_{t_2} \cdots *_{t_{l-1}} [c_l;\beta_l]$ *with* strictly *increasing paths* $\beta_i : [t_{i-1}, t_i] \to I^{\dim c_i}$.

(5) *A directed path* $p : I \to X$ *is called* tame *if the subdivision in (3) above can be chosen such that* $p(t_i)$ *is a* vertex *for every* $0 \le i \le l$*. A path that is strictly directed and tame is called* strictly tame.

For simple illustrations of various types of directed paths, consult Figure 1. Observe that we allow d-paths that include nontrivial directed *loops*.

Example 4.

(1) A Euclidean cubical complex (Raussen and Ziemiański, 2014) K is a subset of Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^n$ that is a union of elementary cubes $\prod [k_i, k_i + e_i] \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ with $k_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $e_i \in \{0, 1\}$. The maximal cubes in the cubical set that it realises can be described by a pair of bottom and top vertices $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) \in \mathbf{Z}^n \times \mathbf{Z}^n$ with $0 \le l_i - k_i \le 1$. A Euclidean cubical complex is obviously proper and non-self-linked. Euclidean cubical complexes arise as models for PV-programs (cf, e.g. Fajstrup et al. 2016).

(2) For an example of a non-proper cubical set, consider the cubical set X glued from two squares (2-cubes) along a common boundary (consisting of four oriented edges and of four vertices). Its geometric realisation is homeomorphic to a two-dimensional sphere; it is less obvious how to describe the directed paths on this sphere via the homeomorphism. The space of all directed paths from the common source to the common target of both squares is actually homotopy equivalent to a *circle* that may be represented by d-paths through the *union of the diagonals* of the two squares.

(3) Ziemiański (2020*b*) The $\square$-set Z_n with exactly one cube in every dimension $k \le n$ is obviously not proper and self-linked. For a description of d-paths in the geometric realisation of this space, cf Example 36.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press




Figure 2. $X = \partial I^3$, and spaces of d-paths, resp. of tame d-paths.

(4) Ziemiański (2020*b*) The □-set Q^n has $(n-k+1)$ k-cubes $c^k_0, \ldots, c^k_{n-k}$ and face maps $d^\alpha_i c^k_j = c^{k-1}_{j+i+\alpha}$. It arises from the cube I^n by identifying all faces spanned by two vertices with i, resp. $k+i$ coordinates 1 with each other $(0 \le i \le n-k)$. This □-set is proper, but also self-linked.

2.2 Interpretation

2.2.1 Different types of schedulings

D-(irected): paths correspond to executions of a concurrent program – without the possibility to let one or several processes run backwards in time.

Strict: d-paths correspond to programs where a particular process only may be idle at a vertex in the program (once a step is fully taken); between steps it needs to move forward in time at "positive speed".

Tame: d-paths correspond to programs where processes need to synchronise after every step before progressing. A number of processes may stay idle inbetween. Hence at synchronisation events, a process has taken a full step or it has stayed idle.

Strict tame: d-paths correspond to programs combining both properties.

Our main result in Theorem 6 below states that the spaces of schedulings, regardless of the restrictions above, will have the same topological properties in all four cases.

In the final Section 7 we show that one may restrict the space of tame d-paths even further, up to homotopy equivalence: It is enough to consider PL d-paths that are piecewise linear with kink points at certain hyperplanes.

2.2.2 A simple illustrative example

We refer to Figure 2. Let $X = \partial I^3$ be the □-set corresponding to the boundary of a 3-cube. It has twelve edges: four parallel to each of the axes and labelled x, y resp. z and six two-dimensional facets: two parallel to each of the three coordinate planes and labelled xy, xz resp. yz.

The image of every d-path from the bottom vertex **0** to the top vertex **1** is contained in two subsequent square facets; the image of every *tame* d-path from **0** to **1** is contained in a pair of an edge and a facet. Taking care of intersections, one arrives in both cases at a category with geometric realisation in form of a hexagon; homotopy equivalent to the circle S^1. The space of all d-paths (whether tame or not) in ∂I^n is indeed homotopy equivalent to S^{n-2}.


The □-set $X = \partial I^3$ models the situation where a shared resource can serve two out of the three processes but not all of them at the same time. Remark that a sequence like $xy|xz$ (on top of Figure 2) of two subsequent facets can be interpreted as $x \parallel (y|z)$, i.e. x and $y|z$ are executed concurrently. Allowing this may be very convenient and speed up a concurrent execution. For an analysis of the consequences, it is reassuring to realise that the space of schedules between two

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press

given states is qualitatively the same regardless whether one allows parallel execution over a series of steps (like in $x \parallel (y|z)$) or only over one step at a time (like in yz).

2.3 Main result

Let X denote a $\square$-set with finitely many cubes. For a given pair of vertices $x^-, x^+ \in X_0$, we let $\vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, $\vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, $\vec{T}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, resp. $\vec{ST}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ denote the spaces of directed, strictly directed, tame, and strictly tame dipaths from x^- to x^+ (considered as subspaces of X^I with the compact-open topology). Inclusion maps lead to the commutative diagram



(5)

that also contains (maps into) the nerve of a poset-category $\mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ explained in the sketch of the proof of our result:

Theorem 6.

(1) All inclusion maps in (5) are homotopy equivalences.
(2) For a proper non-self-linked $\square$-set X (cf Definition 2(2)), all path spaces are homotopy equivalent to the nerve of the category $\mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.

Overview proof. It is shown in Proposition 11 by a cube-wise strictification construction that the maps with labels ① and ② are homotopy equivalences.

For a $\square$-set X and chosen end points x^-, x^+, we define a poset category $\mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, cf Section 4.3: An object of that category is a *cube chain* (cf Definition 14) in X connecting x^- with x^+; this a sequence of cubes such that the top vertex of each cube in that sequence agrees with the bottom vertex of the subsequent cube. Morphisms in $\mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ correspond then to *refinements* of cube chains; for details consult Section 4.3.

We prove in Proposition 35 for paths in a *proper non-self-linked* $\square$-set X (cf Definition 2(2–3)) that both $\vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ and $\vec{ST}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ are homotopy equivalent to the nerve of $\mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ (indicated by the maps ⑤ and ⑥) and can therefore deduce that also ③ is a homotopy equivalence: Both spaces have a common underlying combinatorial structure!

Our proof uses only the classical nerve lemma, cf Theorem 33, and a transparent taming construction (Proposition 28) for strict d-paths subordinate to the *collar* of a cube chain (cf Definition 18). The remaining inclusion ④ is a homotopy equivalence as well by the 2-out-of-3 property for homotopy equivalences. In the more involved case of a *general* $\square$-set X, we show in Proposition 37 that ③ is a homotopy equivalence using the projection lemma and the homotopy lemma (cf, e.g. Kozlov, 2008, Theorem 15.19 and Kozlov, 2008, Theorem 15.12), both underlying the proof of the nerve lemma. □

Remark 7. Many of the results in this paper are not new. Ziemianski proved in Ziemiański (2020*a*), using elaborate homotopy theory tools, that the space of tame d-paths $\vec{T}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ is always

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press

homotopy equivalent to the nerve of a more intricate category $Ch(X)$ of cube chains, even for a general $\square$-set X. This *Reedy category* (cf Hovey 1999, Definition 5.2.1) takes care of identifications on the boundary of cubes in a cube chain. Moreover, he shows by an ingenious global taming construction, that ④ is a homotopy equivalence. Apart from including spaces of strictly increasing paths (necessary in our proof for taming), this note presents a far more elementary argument that, for proper $\square$-sets, only uses the nerve lemma.

3. Strictification

3.1 Strictifying directed maps on $\square$-sets

Lemma 8. *There exists a (continuous) directed map $F : \square^2 \to \square^1$ (cf Definition 1(3) and 2(1)) with the following properties:*

(1) $x \in I \Rightarrow F(0,x) = x$.
(2) $t \in I \Rightarrow F(t,0) = 0, F(t,1) = 1$.
(3) $0 < x < 1,\ t \in I \Rightarrow 0 < F(t,x) < 1$.
(4) $x < y,\ t \in I \Rightarrow F(t,x) < F(t,y)$.
(5) $s,t \in I,\ s < t,\ 0 < x < 1 \Rightarrow F(s,x) < F(t,x)$.

Proof. One way to construct such a directed map is as the restriction of the flow of the differential equation $y' = g(y)$ corresponding to a smooth function $g : I \to \mathbf{R}$ with $g(0) = g(1) = 0$ and $g(t) > 0,\ 0 < t < 1$, e.g. $g(t) = t - t^2$. The restriction of its flow, i.e. the function given by $F(t,x) = \frac{xe^t}{1-x+xe^t}$, has the required properties. □

We may interpret the map F from Lemma 8 as a homotopy of d-paths $F : I \times \square^1 \to \square^1$ and use it to define a diagonal continuous directed homotopy $\mathbf{F} : I \times \square^n \to \square^n$ on the cube $\square^n$ by $\mathbf{F}(t;x_1,\ldots,x_n) = (F(t,x_1),\ldots,F(t,x_n))$. Remark that $\mathbf{F}$ respects all (sub)-faces of $\square^n$ because of Lemma 8(2). Applying this construction cube-wise (the same for every k-cube!), we define for every (geometric realisation of a) semi-cubical set X, a continuous directed map $\mathbf{F} : I \times X \to X$ that lets all cubes – and in particular all vertices – invariant.

Using a directed map $\mathbf{F}$ as in Lemma 8, we define a strictifying map

$$\vec{S} : \vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \to \vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \text{ by } \vec{S}(p)(t) := \mathbf{F}(t, p(t)).$$

Start and end points x^- and x^+ are vertices and therefore unchanged.

Lemma 9. *Let $p \in \vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.*

(1) If $p(t) \in c$ for some cube c in X, then $\vec{S}(p)(t) \in c$ for all $t \in I$.
(2) $\vec{S}(p) \in \vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.
(3) If p is tame, then $\vec{S}(p)$ is (strict and) tame.

Proof.

(1) follows from the construction of $\mathbf{F}$.
(2) Since $\mathbf{F}$ preserves cubes, we may restrict attention to a segment $[c;\beta]$ – with $c \in X^n$ and $\beta = (\beta_1,\ldots,\beta^n) : I \to I^n$ occuring in a presentation (cf Definition 3(3)) of p. If $t < t'$ then $\beta_i(t) \le \beta_i(t')$.
Assume $\beta_i(t) \neq 0,1$. If $\beta_i(t') = 1$, then $F(t,\beta_i(t)) < 1 = F(t',\beta_i(t'))$ by Lemma 8(2–3). Otherwise, $F(t,\beta_i(t)) \le F(t,\beta_i(t')) < F(t',\beta_i(t'))$ by Lemma 8(4–5). Hence $\vec{S}(p)$ has a presentation consisting of strict segments.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(3) is a consequence of (2) for a path with a tame presentation (cf Definition 3(5)) since the map **F** preserves vertices. □

Lemma 10. *The map* $\vec{S} : \vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \to \vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ *is continuous (in the compact open topologies) for every* □*-complex X with source and target* $x^-, x^+ \in X_0$.

Proof. The map $\vec{S}$ corresponds by adjunction to the continuous map $I \times X^I \to X$ defined by $(t, p) \mapsto (t, p(t)) \mapsto \mathbf{F}(t, p(t))$. It is continuous since I is compact and Hausdorff. □

3.2 Strictification is a homotopy equivalence

Proposition 11. *Let X denote a pre-cubical set with vertices* x^- *and* x^+. *Then the inclusions* $\iota : \vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \hookrightarrow \vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ *and its restriction* $\iota_T : \vec{ST}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \hookrightarrow \vec{T}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ *are homotopy equivalences.*

Proof. The homotopy $\mathcal{S} : I \times \vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \to \vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ given by $\mathcal{S}(s, p)(t) = \mathbf{F}(st, p(t))$ connects the identity map (for $s = 0$, apply Lemma 8(1)) with the map $\iota \circ S$ (for $s = 1$). Its restriction to $\vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ connects the identity map on that space with $S \circ \iota$.

The restriction of $\mathcal{S}$ to a map from $\vec{T}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ to $\vec{ST}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ (well defined because of Lemma 9(3)) is a homotopy inverse to the inclusion map $\vec{ST}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \hookrightarrow \vec{T}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$. □

Remark 12.

(1) Every d-path $p \in \vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ can thus be arbitrarily well approximated by a strict d-path of the form $\mathcal{S}(s, p),\ s > 0$. This shows that $\vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ is *dense* in $\vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.
(2) But $\vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ is *not open* in $\vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$. Arbitrarily close to any strict d-path there is a d-path that "pauses" on a tiny interval.

4. Cube Chains and Collars

4.1 The collar of a face in a cube

We propose a user-friendly notation for repeated face maps: first in a cube I^n and then in a □-set X: Every partition $[1 : n] = J_0 \sqcup J_* \sqcup J_1$ defines a face $d_{[J_0|J_*|J_1]}I^n = \{0\}^{J_0} \times I^{J_*} \times \{1\}^{J_1}$ of the cube I^n. Its (open) *collar* $C_{[J_0|J_*|J_1]}I^n$ is defined as $[0, 0.5[^{J_0} \times I^{J_*} \times]0.5, 1]^{J_1} \subset I^n$. In particular, the bottom vertex $\mathbf{0}$ is identified with $d_{[[1:n]|\emptyset|\emptyset]}I^n$ and the top vertex with $\mathbf{1} = d_{[\emptyset|\emptyset|[1:n]]}I^n$. Remark that the only vertices in a collar $C_{[J_0|J_*|J_1]}I^n$ are those that are already present in the face $d_{[J_0|J_*|J_1]}I^n$.

For a □-set X, an n-cell c in X and a partition $J_0 \sqcup J_* \sqcup J_1$, the combinatorics of the quotient map $q : I^n \to c$ gives rise to a face $d_{[J_0|J_*|J_1]}c = q(d_{[J_0|J_*|J_1]}I^n)$ with collar $C_{[J_0|J_*|J_1]}c = q(C_{[J_0|J_*|J_1]}I^n)$. Remark that, for a self-linked □-set, different partitions (of the same cardinality) can give rise to the same face.

If d and c are cubes in X, the collar of d in c is defined as $C(d, c) = \bigcup_{[J_0|J_*|J_1]} C_{[J_0|J_*|J_1]}c$; the union is taken over all $[J_0|J_*|J_1]$ such that $d_{[J_0|J_*|J_1]}c$ is a face of d, including d itself. The collar $C(d, X) = \bigcup_{c \in X_n, n \geq 0} C(d, c)$ of d in X agrees with a regular neighbourhood of d with respect to a barycentric subdivision of the □-set X. The collar $C(x, X)$ of a vertex x is called the *star* $st(x)$ of x in X. For simple illustrations, cf Figure 3.

Remark 13.

(1) The collar $C(d, X)$ of a face d in a □-set X is open since it intersects every cube in X in an open set; possibly empty.
(2) If c is a face of c', then $C(c, X) \subseteq C(c', X)$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press




Figure 3. Star of a vertex, collar of an edge and of a 2-cube in a Euclidean cubical complex (cf Example 4(1)) consisting of four 2-cubes.




Figure 4. Cube c in red, collar $C(c)$ in yellow, stars $st(c_{\mathbf{0}})$ and $st(c_{\mathbf{1}})$ dashed. The two outer d-paths (in blue) are subordinate to the collars of the cube chains $(d_{[2,1,\emptyset]}c, d_{[\emptyset,2,1]}c)$, resp. $(d_{[1,2,\emptyset]}c, _{[\emptyset,1,2]}\, c)$. All three d-paths are subordinate to the cube chain (c) consisting of a single cube.

4.2 D-paths subordinate to a cube chain

Definition 14. *Let X denote a $\square$-set with two vertices $x^-, x^+ \in X_0$ selected.*

(1) A cube chain $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ *in X from x^- to x^+ (Ziemiański, 2017) is a sequence of cubes c_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\dim c_i > 0$, with source and target vertices (cf Definition 2(1)) satisfying $c_{1,\mathbf{0}} = x^-, c_{n,\mathbf{1}} = x^+, c_{i-1,\mathbf{1}} = c_{i,\mathbf{0}} = x_i$, $1 < i \leq n$.*
(2) A cube chain $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ in X from x^- to x^+ defines an associated vertex sequence *$(x^- = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n = x^+)$ with $x_i = c_{\mathbf{i},1} = c_{\mathbf{i}+1,0}$, $1 \leq i < n$.*
(3) The length *of a cube chain $\mathbf{c}$ is defined as $|\mathbf{c}| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \dim c_i$.*

Remark 15. Only for a *proper* $\square$-set X the correspondence from cube chains to vertex sequences is injective.

Definition 16.

(1) A track $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \ldots, d_l)$ *in X from x^- to x^+ (Ziemiański, 2017) is a sequence of cubes d_i, $0 \leq i \leq l$, with $d_{i\mathbf{0}} = x^-, d_{l\mathbf{1}} = x^+$ and such that some upper iterated face of d^i agrees with some lower iterated face of d^{i+1}, $1 \leq i < l$; at most one of these two faces is allowed to be the original cube.*
(2) A track $\mathbf{t}_1^l$ in X is called subordinate to the collar of the cube chain $\mathbf{c}_1^n$ in X if there exists a nondecreasing surjective map $j : [1 : l] \to [1 : n]$ such that, for $1 \leq i \leq l$, t_i is a coface of $c_{j(i)}$ or of one of its iterated faces.
(3) A path $p \in C(\mathbf{c}, X)$ is called subordinate to the collar of the cube chain $\mathbf{c}$ in X if it allows a presentation $p = [t_1;\beta_1] *_{s_1} [t_2;\beta_2] *_{s_2} \cdots *_{s_{l-1}} [t_l;\beta_l]$ with $\mathbf{t} = (t_i)_1^l$ a track subordinate to $\mathbf{c}$, $d_{[J_0,J_*,J_1]}t_i = c_{j(i)}$ and $\beta_i \in \vec{P}_{[s_{i-1},s_i]}(C(d_{[J_0,J_*,J_1]}I^{\dim t_{j(i)}}, I^{\dim t_{j(i)}}))$, $\beta_i(s_{i-1}) \in st(d_{[J_0\cup J_*,\emptyset,J_1]}I^{\dim t_{j(i)}})$, $\beta_i(s_i) \in st(d_{[J_0,\emptyset,J_*\cup J_1]}I^{\dim t_{j(i)}})$.

Figure 4 illustrates the concept in a simple case.

Remark 17. Ziemiański shows in Ziemiański (2020*a*, Proposition 3.5) that every non-constant d-path is contained in a track.

Definition 18. *Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ denote a cube chain in a $\square$-set X with associated vertex sequence $(x^- = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n = x^+)$.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press




Figure 5. d-paths subordinate to the cube chain **c** consisting of a 2-cube and a 1-cube, resp. to the cube chain **d** consisting of three 1-cubes; moreover subordinate to their respective collars. The path in magenta is contained in $\vec{T}^C_{\mathbf{d}}(X)$, but not in $\vec{T}_{\mathbf{d}}(X)$.

(1) *The subspace* $\vec{T}_{\mathbf{c}}(X) \subset \vec{T}(X)$ *of (tame) d-paths subordinate to* **c** *consists of d-paths with presentation* $p = [c_1;p_1] *_{t_1} \cdots *_{t_{n-1}} [c_n;p_n]$ *with* $p_i \in \vec{P}_{[t_{i-1},t_i]}(I^{\dim c_i})^{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathbf{0}}$.
(2) *The subspace* $\vec{P}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X) \subset \vec{P}(X)$ *of d-paths subordinate to the* collar $C(\mathbf{c})$ *of* **c** *consists of d-paths* $p = p_1 *_{t_1} \cdots *_{t_{n-1}} p_n$ *with* $p_i \in \vec{P}_{[t_{i-1},t_i]}(C(c_i, X))^{y_i}_{y_{i-1}}$ *with* $y_i \in st(x_i)$.
(3) $\vec{ST}_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} := \vec{T}_{\mathbf{c}}(X) \cap \vec{S}(X)$, $\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} := \vec{P}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X) \cap \vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$,
$\vec{T}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} := \vec{P}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X) \cap \vec{T}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, $\vec{ST}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} := \vec{P}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X) \cap \vec{ST}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.

You find simple illustrations of various cases in Figure 5.

Remark 19.
For every d-path subordinate to the collar of **c**, there exists a covering of the interval I by open intervals K_i such that $p_i(K_i) \subset C(c_i, X)$ and $p_i(K_i \cap K_{i+1}) \subset st(x_{i+1})$.

Example 20. A cube chain may include a loop. This is the major reason why we investigate paths *subordinate to* the collar of a cube chain and not just paths *contained* in the collar of a cube chain. Let us have a closer look at a particular case:

Let Z_2 denote the two-dimensional $\square$-set with one 0-cell v, one 1-cell e and one 2-cell c with quotient map $q : I^2 \to Z_2$ (cf Example 4(3)). The star of v has the form $st(v) = q(I^2 \setminus \{(x, y) | \, x \neq 0.5, y \neq 0.5\})$. The edge e has collar $C(e, X) = q(I^2 \setminus \{(0.5, 0.5)\})$. A d-path $p \in \vec{P}(Z_2)^v_v$ in X subordinate to the collar of the cube chain (e) (consisting of a single 1-cube) can only reach v from v running once through e. It is subordinate to the collar of the cube chain (e, e) if $p = q \circ \alpha$ with $\alpha \in \vec{P}(I^2 \setminus \{(0.5, 0.5)\})^{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathbf{0}}$.

A d-path subordinate to the collar of (e) and another one subordinate to the collar of (e, e) cannot be d-homotopic since they have different lengths (Raussen, 2009, Proposition 2.12).

Proposition 21. *Let* **c** *denote a cube chain in* X *from* x^- *to* x^+.

(1) *The path space* $\vec{P}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \subset \vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ *subordinate to the collar of* **c** *is open.*
(2) *Likewise are* $\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \subset \vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, $\vec{T}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \subset \vec{T}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, *and* $\vec{ST}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \subset \vec{ST}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.

Proof.

(1) d-paths in $\vec{P}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ are characterised by intersecting cubes in tracks along **c** in open subsets; they are concatenated along open stars of vertices.
(2) by definition of the topology induced on subspaces. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proposition 22.

(1) Every cube chain $\mathbf{c}$ *– and hence also its collar* $C(\mathbf{c})$ *– contains a tame strict d-path.*
(2) For every strict d-path $p \in \vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$*, there exists a cube chain* $\mathbf{c}(p)$ *such that* $p \in \vec{S}^{C}_{\mathbf{c}(p)}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.

In the following proof, we will make use of *coordinate hyperplanes* in a cube chain. In a cube I^n, consider middle hyperplanes given by the equations $x_i = 0.5,\ 1 \leq i \leq n$. The elementary but crucial observation is that a *strict d-path* intersects any coordinate hyperplane in *at most* one point. Likewise, one defines coordinate middle hyperplanes (potentially with identifications on the boundary, one boundary middle hyperplane being identified with another such) in each cube in a $\square$-set X.

Proof.

(1) The diagonal path δ_n in $\vec{ST}(I^n)^{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathbf{0}}$ connects bottom and top vertex of the n-cube diagonally with constant speed. For an n-cell c in X, composition with the quotient map $I^n \downarrow c$ defines a strict tame path $\delta(c)$ in c from its bottom vertex to its top vertex. The concatenation $\delta(\mathbf{c}) := \delta(c_0) * \cdots * \delta(c_n)$ defines a strict tame path in the cube chain $\mathbf{c}$ connecting bottom and top vertex.

(2) For every *strict* d-path $p = (p_1, \ldots, p_n) : J = [j^-, j^+] \to I^n$ in a single cube I^n defined on some interval $J \subseteq I$, there is a finite (ordered) set $S \subset J$ (possibly empty) consisting of $s_j \in J$ at which p intersects one or several of the middle hyperplanes $x_i = 0.5,\ 1 \leq i \leq n$. Define J^j_0, J^j_*, J^j_1 as the set of indices i for which $p_i(s_j)$ is less than, equal, resp. greater than 0.5. Since p is directed, we have that $J^{j+1}_1 = J^j_1 \cup J^j_*$ and $J^{j+1}_0 = J^j_0 \setminus J^{j+1}_*$. For $\max(j^-, s_{j-1}) < t < \min(j^+, s_{j+1})$, $p(t)$ is contained in the collar of the face $d_{[J^j_0|J^j_*|J^j_1]} I^n$ – the minimal face with this property.
For $\max(j^-, s_{j-1}) < t < \min(j^+, s_j)$, $p(t)$ is contained in the star of the *vertex* $d_{[J^j_0 \cup J^j_* | \emptyset | J^j_1]} I^n$, and for $\max(j^-, s_j) < t < \min(j^+, s_{j+1})$ in the star of the *vertex* $d_{[J^j_0 | \emptyset | J^j_* \cup J^j_1]} I^n$. The entire path is therefore contained in the collar $\mathbf{c}(p)$ of the cube chain defined by the subsequent cubes $d_{[J^j_0|J^j_*|J^j_1]} I^n$.
Two special cases deserve particular attention:
   a. This cube chain degenerates to a single vertex $d_{[J_0|\emptyset|J_1]} I^n$ if p does not intersect any of the hyperplanes $x_i = 0.5$.
   b. If $s_{\min} = j^-$ and $p(j^-)$ is contained in a lower boundary face, then the first cube $d_{[J^{\min}_0 | J^{\min}_* | J^{\min}_1]} I^n$ of the cube chain $\mathbf{c}(p)$ is the minimal face containing $p(j^-)$. If $s_{\max} = j^+$ and $p(j^+)$ is contained in an upper boundary face, then the last cube $d_{[J^{\max}_0 | J^{\max}_* | J^{\max}_1]} I^n$ of $\mathbf{c}(p)$ is minimal containing $p(j^+)$.
Now let $p : I \to X$ denote a strict d-path in a $\square$-set X from x^- to x^+ with presentation $p = [c_1; p^1] *_{t_1} [c_2, p^2] *_{t_2} \cdots *_{t_{l-1}} [c_l, p^l]$. Then the construction above can be performed for each individual cube c_i leading to a sequence $\mathbf{c}(p) = \mathbf{c}(p^1) * \cdots * \mathbf{c}(p^l)$ of cubes the collar of which contains $p(I)$. One has to check that two subsequent cubes "match":
If $p^i(t_i) = p^{i+1}(t_i)$ is not contained in any middle hyperplane, then it is contained in the star of a vertex which is the top vertex in the cube chain corresponding to p^i and the bottom vertex in that corresponding to p^{i+1}. If $p^i(t_i) = p^{i+1}(t_i)$ is contained in a middle hyperplane, then the last cube in the cube chain corresponding to $[c_i, p^i]$ agrees with the first one in the cube chain corresponding to $[c_{i+1}, p_{i+1}]$, i.e. the minimal cube in the boundary of c_i and of c_{i+1} containing $p_i(t_i)$ – according to (b) above.

In a final step, one erases cubes consisting of a single vertex; cf (a) above. $\square$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press




Figure 6. D-paths in two subsequent cubes in blue; the corresponding cube chains in orange, their collars in yellow. In the first two cases the two cube chains associated to each individual square consist of a single cube with common top, resp. bottom vertex; in the last case, the two cube chains share a common edge cube.




Figure 7. A d-path that is not contained in the collar of any cube chain from bottom to top.

Figure 6 shows simple examples of cube chains adapted to various strict d-paths.

Remark 23. The analogue of Proposition 22(2) is wrong for non-strict d-paths. Let X be the cubical set (consisting of two 2-cubes) corresponding to $[0,2]\times[0,1]$. The d-path in Figure 7 that linearly connects $(0,0)$, $(0,0.5)$, $(2,0,5)$ and $(2,1)$ is not contained in $\vec{P}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{(2,1)}_{(0,0)}$ for any cube chain connecting $(0,0)$ with $(2,1)$.

4.3 A poset category of cube chains

Definition 24.

(1) *An* elementary refinement *of a cube c consists of two subsequent faces $d_{[J_0|[1:n]\setminus J_0|\emptyset]}c$ and $d_{[\emptyset|J_0|[1:n]\setminus J_0]}c$ with $\emptyset\neq J_0\neq[1:n]$.*
(2) *An elementary refinement* of a cube chain $\mathbf{c}$ *arises by replacing a single cube c_i by one of its elementary refinements.*
(3) *A* refinement *of a cube chain arises by reflexive and transitive closure of elementary refinements.*
(4) *Refinement between cube chains in X from a vertex x^- to a vertex x^+ defines a* partial order *relation among cube chains that gives rise to a thin (poset) category $\mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ with cube chains as objects and refinements as morphisms.*

Remark that, for a general $\square$-set X, the category $Ch(X)$ in Ziemiański's (Ziemiański, 2020*a*, Section 7) differs from this poset category. Ziemianski's cube chains are concatenations of *cubical maps* from a standard cube into a cube in X; moreover cubical symmetries of the standard cube that induce identities in the $\square$-set are an additional part of the structure.

Proposition 25. *All cube chains are supposed to be cube chains in X from x^- to x^+.*

(1) *$\mathbf{c}'$ refines $\mathbf{c}$ if and only if $\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}'}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}\subseteq\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.*
(2) *If $\mathbf{c}'$ is a proper refinement of $\mathbf{c}$, then $\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}'}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}\subset\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ is a proper subset.*
(3) *For every strict d-path $p\in\vec{S}(X)$, the chain $\mathbf{c}(p)$ (Proposition 22(2)) is* finest *among the cube chains $\mathbf{c}$ with $p\in\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.*
(4) *Path spaces $\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-},\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}'}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ intersect iff $\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{c}'$ have a common refinement. Likewise for spaces of strict tame paths.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(5) $\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}''}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \subseteq \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \cap \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}'}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ *for a common refinement* $\mathbf{c}''$ *of* $\mathbf{c}$ *and* $\mathbf{c}'$. *If* $\mathbf{c}''$ *is a coarsest common refinement (if a such exists), then* $\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}''}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} = \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \cap \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}'}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.
(6) *If* X *is proper and non-self-linked (cf Definition 2(2–3)), then two cube chains either do not have a common refinement or they have a coarsest one (for which equality holds in (5)).*
(7) *Similar results hold for spaces of strict and tame d-paths.*

Proof.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ from the definition of collars and paths in collars in Definition 18.
$\Leftarrow$: Assume $\mathbf{c}' = (c'_1, \ldots, c_{l'})$ does not refine $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_l)$ and such that the prefix $(c'_1, \ldots, c'_{k'})$ refines a minimal prefix of $(c_1, \ldots, c_k)$ but $c'_{k'+1}$ is not a face of c_k nor of c_{k+1}. Then the diagonal path $\delta(c'_1) * \cdots * \delta(c'_{l'}) \in \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}'}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ is not contained in $\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.
(2) The diagonal path in $\vec{ST}_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \subseteq \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ from the proof of Proposition 22(1) is not contained in $\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}'}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.
(3) Every elementary refinement (cf above) of the cube chain $\mathbf{c}(p)$ described above results in a collar that does not intersect at least one of the hyperplanes $x_j = 0.5$ with $j \in J_*$ within a cube c_i. In particular, it cannot contain $p(I)$ which has a non-empty intersection with this hyperplane.
(4) $\Leftarrow$ follows from (1) and Proposition 22(1).
$\Rightarrow$: By (3) above, the cube chain $\mathbf{c}(p)$ for a path p in the intersection refines both $\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{c}'$.
(5) The first part follows from (1) above. Let $p \in \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \cap \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}'}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$. By Proposition 22(2), $p \in \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}(p)}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, and by (3) above, $\mathbf{c}(p)$ refines both $\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{c}'$ and thus $\mathbf{c}''$. Apply (1) above to $\mathbf{c}(p)$ and $\mathbf{c}''$.
(6) First note that the length of a cube chain (cf Definition 14(4)) is invariant under refinements. The proof proceeds by induction on this length. The statement is obviously true for cube chains of length 0 and 1. Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$, $\mathbf{c}' = (c'_1, \ldots, c'_n)$ denote two cube chains with a common refinement. There is a number of vertices (at least one) such that the edge from x^- to that vertex refines the first face of some common refinement of c_1 and of c'_1. All of these vertices span a (unique since X is proper) maximal common lower cube d of c_1 and c'_1 giving rise to elementary refinements (d, d_1) of c_1 and (d, d'_1) of c'_1. Then the cube chains arising by replacing c_1 by d_1 and c'_1 by d'_1 have a shorter length and hence a coarsest common refinement. Add d to the resulting cube chain at the beginning. □

Example 26. Let X denote the non-proper □-set from Example 4(2) consisting of two 2-cubes c^1, c^2 glued along a common boundary consisting of four edges. Then the cube chains consisting solely of c^1, resp. of c^2 possess two common refinements (consisting of two consecutive boundary edges) but no coarsest such.

4.4 Path spaces as colimits

We define functors into the category **Top** of topological spaces: $\vec{S}$, $\vec{T}$ and $\vec{ST} : \mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \to \mathbf{Top}$ by $\vec{S}(\mathbf{c}) = \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, $\vec{T}(\mathbf{c}) = \vec{T}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, and $\vec{ST}(\mathbf{c}) = \vec{ST}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.
Refinement of cube sequences is reflected in inclusion of path spaces (Proposition 25(1)).
As a consequence of Propositions 22 and 25, we conclude:

Corollary 27. *Let* X *denote a* □*-set with vertices* $x^-, x^+ \in X_0$. *Then*

$$\vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} = \operatorname*{colim}_{\mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}} \vec{S}, \qquad \vec{T}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} = \operatorname*{colim}_{\mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}} \vec{T}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \vec{ST}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} = \operatorname*{colim}_{\mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}} \vec{ST}.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The colimit identifies path spaces in finer cube chains with subspaces of path spaces in coarser ones; the colimit is therefore just a union of topological spaces.

5. Comparing Paths in a Cube Chain and in its Collar

Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ denote a cube chain in a $\square$-set X between vertices x^- and x^+. The purpose of this section is to compare spaces of strict paths *within* a cube chain $\mathbf{c}$ with those *subordinate* to it. The notation was fixed in Definition 18.

Proposition 28. *(1)* $\vec{ST}_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \hookrightarrow \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ *is a deformation retract.*

(2) $\vec{ST}_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \hookrightarrow \vec{ST}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ *is a deformation retract.*

Proof. (1) will be proved through a cubewise taming construction – first for individual d-paths and then for spaces of such. The proof of (2) follows the same pattern; since taming is performed cubewise, the d-paths and d-homotopies in the construction below taking departure in tame d-paths *stay tame*.

To prove (1), we consider in a first step only strict d-paths subordinate to the collar of a cube chain $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_k)$ *within the standard cube* I^n; d_j is the face $d_{[J^j_0|J^j_*|J^j_1]}I^n$ with bottom vertex $v_{j-1} = d_{[J^j_0 \cup J^j_*|\emptyset|J^j_1]}I^n$ and top vertex $v_j = d_{[J^j_0|\emptyset|J^j_* \cup J^j_1]}I^n$.

For each cube d_j, we define a taming map (its geometric interpretation is explained in Remark 30(1)) $\tau_j : \vec{S}_{[a_j,b_j]}(I^n)^{st(v_j)}_{st(v_{j-1})} \to \vec{ST}_{[a_j,b_j]}(d_j)^{v_j}_{v_{j-1}}$ by associating to the path $p = (p_i)$ the path $\tau(p) = q = (q_i)$ given by

$$q_i(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \in J_0 \\ \frac{p_i(t) - p_i(a_j)}{p_i(b_j) - p_i(a_j)} & i \in J_* \\ 1 & i \in J_1 \end{cases} . \tag{29}$$

Where to fit these constructions for consecutive cubes d_j, which domain intervals $[a_j, b_j]$ should one choose? If $k = 1$ (only one cube in the chain), it is the entire domain interval.

For $k > 1$, consider the sequence of piecewise linear hypersurfaces $H_j \subset I^n$, $1 \le j < k$, given by the equations $m_j(x) = 1, x \in I^n$, with $m_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \min_{i \in J^j_*} x_i + \max_{i \in J^{j+1}_*} x_i$. Remark that the collars of d_j and of d_{j+1} intersect in the star $st(v_j)$ of v_j. Consult Figure 8 for an illustration.

For a strict d-path, $p \in \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{d}}(I^n)$, consider the functions given by the compositions $m_j \circ p : I \to \mathbf{R}$, $1 \le j \le k$; they are *strictly increasing*. When p enters $\overline{st(v_j)}$ at $t = t_j^-$, we have $\min_{i \in J^j_*} p_i(t_j^-) = 0.5$, whereas $\max_{i \in J^{j+1}_*} p_i(t_j^-) < 0.5$; their sum being less than 1. When p exits $\overline{st(v_j)}$ at $t = t_j^+$, we have $\max_{i \in J^{j+1}_*} p_i(t_+) = 0.5$ and $\min_{i \in J^j_*} p_i(t_j^+) > 0.5$; their sum being greater than 1. We conclude that there exists a unique ascending sequence $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{k-1}$ such that $m_j(p(t_j)) = \min_{i \in J^j_*} p_i(t_j) + \max_{i \in J^{j+1}_*} p_i(t_j) = 1$, $1 \le j < k$. Remark that $p(t_j) \in st(v_j)$.

We define then the *tame d*-path $\tau(p) = q$ as the concatenation $q_1 *_{t_1} q_2 *_{t_2} \dots *_{t_{k-1}} q_k$ of strict d-paths $q_j = \tau(p|[t_{j-1}, t_j])$ (from v_{j-1} to v_j). Furthermore, we define a linear d-homotopy $H = H_s$ of strict d-paths $H_s \in \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{d},[t_0,t_k]}(I^n)$ connecting p and $q = \tau(p)$ as $H(t, s) = (1 - s)p(t) + sq(t)$. $\square$

Remark 30.

(1) Formula (29) has the following interpretation: On the interval $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$, the components of p in I^j_0 resp. in I^j_1 are compressed to 0, resp. 1 (the respective processes in an HDA are idle),

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press




Figure 8. Cube chain (d_1, d_2), its collar, line hypersurface H_1 within a 2-cube, d-paths (blue, within one cube; magenta through three cubes) with the same taming (green).

whereas its components in I^j_* are stretched to fill the entire interval $[0, 1]$ (i.e. the respective processes take a full step). Remark that $q(t_j)$ is a vertex for every $0 \leq j \leq k$.

(2) The definition of the taming function τ and of the taming homotopy H above on the collar of a cube chain $\mathbf{d}'$ that is finer than $\mathbf{d}$ is the restriction of the respective functions and homotopies corresponding to $\mathbf{d}$.

(3) For a strict d-path p that is already contained in the cube chain $\mathbf{c}$ itself, $p(t)$ solves the equation $\min_{i \in J^j_*} x_i + \max_{i \in J^{j+1}_*} x_i = 1$ exactly at t_j with $p(t_j) = v_j$. Hence $\tau(p) = p$ and $H(t, s) = p(t)$ for $s \in I$.

Lemma 31. *For every cube chain* $\mathbf{d}$ *in* I^n*, the times* $t_j = t_j(p)$, $1 \leq j < k$, *define* continuous *functions* $t_j : \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{d}}(I^n)^{st(v_k)}_{st(v_0)} \to I$, $p \mapsto t_j(p)$.

Proof. Given a d-path $p \in \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{d}}(I^n)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ consider the *open* set of all strict d-paths q satisfying $m_j(q(t_j(p) - \varepsilon)) < 1$ and $m_j(q(t_j(p) + \varepsilon)) > 1$. Obviously, it contains the path p. For a strict d-path satisfying these two inequalities, the solution $t = t_j(q)$ of $m_j(q(t)) = 1$ is contained in the interval $(t_j(p) - \varepsilon, t_j(p) + \varepsilon)$. □

Proof of Proposition 28 continued. For a given strict d-path $p \in \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, fix a presentation (cf Definition 3(3)) and perform the taming construction above cubewise. Because of Remark 30(2), the resulting tamed path and d-homotopy does not depend on the chosen presentation. Moreover, the tamed d-paths in subsequent cubes (and the d-homotopies) "fit" at top, resp. bottom vertices of the cube chain.

Finally, Lemma 31 and formula (29) show that the construction yields a *continuous* taming map $T : \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \to \vec{ST}_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ and a continous deformation $H : \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \to (\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-})^I$ such that $H_0 = id$ and H_1 is given by T – composed with an inclusion map. Moreover, Remark 30(3) shows that H leaves $\vec{ST}_{\mathbf{c}}(X)$ elementwise fixed. □

6. Taming is a Homotopy Equivalence

6.1 Proper and non-self-linked □-sets

In this section, we deal with a proper non-self-linked □-set X (cf Definition 2(2)). For a such, the taming result (③ in Theorem 6) is a consequence of the nerve lemma. This is essentially due to the contractibility of several path spaces from Definition 18:

Proposition 32. *Let* X *denote a proper non-self-linked* □*-set and let* $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}_1, \ldots \mathbf{c}_k$ *denote cube chains in* X *from* x^- *to* x^+.

(1) The spaces $\vec{ST}_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ *and* $\vec{T}_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ *of (strict) d-paths subordinate to* $\mathbf{c}$ *are* contractible.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(2) *Likewise the spaces* $\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ *and* $\vec{P}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ *of (strict) d-paths subordinate to the collar of* $\mathbf{c}$.
(3) *The intersections* $\bigcap_i \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}_i}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ *and* $\bigcap_i \vec{P}^C_{\mathbf{c}_i}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ *are contractible if the cube chains* $\mathbf{c}_i$ *possess a common refinement and empty otherwise.*

Proof.

(1) For $\vec{T}_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, this has been observed in Ziemiański (2017, Proposition 6.2(1)). In brief, the space of d-paths in a *single* cube from the bottom to the top vertex is contractible (to a constant speed diagonal path joining them, say) by a linear d-homotopy. For paths in a general cube chain, perform first a reparametrisation homotopy joining every d-path with its naturalisation (Raussen, 2009, Section 2.3), i.e. a unit speed path with respect to the l_1-norm along the same trajectory; for more details, we refer to Section 7. The space of natural d-paths can then be contracted cubewise.
For $\vec{S}_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, one can either go through the same steps for strict d-paths or one can refer to Proposition 11 (or rather its proof) in the current paper.
(2) For $\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, this follows from (1) and Proposition 28. For $\vec{P}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, apply then Proposition 11 (or rather its proof).
(3) follows from (2) and Proposition 25(6). □

Theorem 33. (Nerve lemma). *Let* Z *denote a paracompact topological space and let* $\mathcal{U}$ *denote a good covering* $Z = \bigcup U_i$ *of* Z *by open sets* $U_i \subset X$, *i.e. all non-empty intersections of finitely many sets in* $\mathcal{U}$ *are contractible. Then* X *is homotopy equivalent to the nerve of the poset of these non-empty intersections ordered by inclusion.*

This nerve is a simplicial complex with vertices corresponding to the U_i and $(k-1)$-dimensional simplices corresponding to *non-empty* intersections $\bigcap_{i\in I} U_i$.

It is not completely clear whom to give credit for the nerve lemma originally. It is proved, under a few extra assumptions by Weil in (1952, Section 5); Weil refers to Borsuk (1948). The survey paper (Björner, 1995) mentions Leray's (1945) as an even earlier predecessor. A modern formulation and proof can be found in McCord (1967). For text book presentations, cf Hatcher (2002, Corollary 4G.3) or Kozlov (2008, Theorem 15.21).[1]

Corollary 34.

(1) *The space* $\vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ *of strict d-paths is homotopy equivalent to the nerve of a covering* $\mathcal{U}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$; *likewise, the space* $\vec{ST}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ *of strict tame d-paths is homotopy equivalent to the nerve of a covering* $\mathcal{V}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.
(2) *The two spaces are homotopy equivalent to each other.*

Proof. The spaces $\vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, define a covering $\mathcal{U}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ of $\vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ (Proposition 22(2)) by open (Proposition 21(2)) and contractible (Proposition 32) sets. Intersections of sets in the covering are empty or contractible by Proposition 32(3). Hence the covering $\mathcal{U}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ is good. Similarly, the spaces $\vec{ST}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, define a good covering $\mathcal{V}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ of $\vec{ST}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)$.

Moreover, the spaces $\vec{ST}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \subset \vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ are metrisable and thus paracompact, cf Raussen (2009, Corollary 3.1). Apply the nerve lemma, Theorem 33 to show (1) above.

The two coverings correspond to each other through inclusion maps over the *same poset* and giving rise to the *same nerve*: By Proposition 25(4), its objects can be enumerated by all sets $\{\mathbf{c}_i\}$ of cube chains in X from x^- to x^+ that possess a common refinement (the partial order is generated by refinement and superset). □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The remaining paragraphs in this section are not important for the main result, but they lead to a far simpler poset with a smaller nerve, better suited for calculations: The poset category corresponding to the covering $\mathcal{U}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ is very redundant. By Proposition 25(4), its objects can be enumerated by all sets $\{\mathbf{c}_i\}$ of cube chains in X from x^- to x^+ that possess a common refinement (the partial order is generated by refinement and superset).

Proposition 35. *The nerves of the covering $\mathcal{U}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ and of the poset category $\mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ (cf Definition 24(4)) are homotopy equivalent. Hence, the spaces $\vec{ST}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \subset \vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ are both homotopy equivalent to the nerve of $\mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.*

Proof. Let $P\mathcal{U}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ denote the poset correponding to the covering $\mathcal{U}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ described in the proof of Corollary 34 Consider the poset map $P\mathcal{U}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \to \mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ that associates to a set $\{\mathbf{c}_i\}$ of cube chains the *coarsest common refinement* of all the $\mathbf{c}_i$. The fibre (i.e. comma category) over any $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ has the set $\{\mathbf{c}\}$ as an initial element, and is thus contractible. Apply Quillen's Theorem A! Quillen (1972, p. 85), Kozlov (2008, Theorem 15.28). □

6.2 General □-sets

I am indebted to K. Ziemiański for pointing out to me that Proposition 32 is no longer true for non-proper □-sets.

Example 36. Let Z_n denote the unique □-set with exactly one cube c_k, $0 \le k \le n$, from Example 4(3). For $n = 2$, consider the cube chain $\mathbf{c}$ consisting of the single cube c_2 from c_0 to c_0. Then $\vec{S}_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{c_0}_{c_0}$ is homotopy equivalent to a circle S^1 – and hence not contractible! In fact, it deformation contracts to the subspace of piecewise linear d-paths (cf Section 7 for details) in c_2 connecting c_0 with itself through a point on the anti-diagonal (connecting c_0 with itself and hence a circle).

For a general □-set X, the proof of Theorem 6(1) requires a little more machinery from algebraic topology: Instead of the nerve lemma itself, we apply two more general results in homotopy theory that are used in proving it: the *projection lemma* comparing colimits with homotopy colimits and the *homotopy lemma* comparing homotopy colimits of spaces that can be glued together from pieces that are mutually homotopy equivalent. For a quite elementary presentation, cf, e.g. Kozlov (2008, Chapter 15). Using these two results, we can prove that taming is a homotopy equivalence also for general □-sets:

Proposition 37. *Let X denote a □-set with selected vertices $x^-, x^+ \in X_0$. Then the inclusion map $\iota : \vec{ST}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \hookrightarrow \vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. The proof proceeds by a series of homotopy equivalences (denoted $\simeq$) :
$\vec{S}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} = \mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{C}^{x^+}_{x^-}} \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \simeq \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{C}^{x^+}_{x^-}} \vec{S}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \simeq \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{C}^{x^+}_{x^-}} \vec{S}_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.
Likewise, $\vec{ST}(X) = \mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{C}^{x^+}_{x^-}} \vec{ST}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \simeq \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{C}^{x^+}_{x^-}} \vec{ST}^C_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \simeq \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{C}^{x^+}_{x^-}} \vec{ST}_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.

In both cases, the first homotopy equivalence is due to the projection lemma, the second to the homotopy lemma and Propostion 28. Paths subordinate to a cube chain $\mathbf{c}$ are automatically tame, hence $\vec{S}_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} = \vec{ST}_{\mathbf{c}}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, i.e. the last two spaces agree. □

Remark 38. By far more sophisticated homotopy theoretical methods, Ziemiański proved in Ziemiański (2020*a*) that $\vec{T}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ is homotopy equivalent to the nerve of a Reedy category $Ch(X)$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press

instead of our poset category $\mathcal{C}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, also for general $\square$-sets. He uses a filtration of $\vec{T}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ by differently defined contractible subsets using tame presentations. But these subspaces do *not* define an open covering, and therefore it is not possible to obtain the result by invoking the nerve lemma! Furthermore, Ziemiański shows that $\vec{T}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \hookrightarrow \vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ is a deformation retract by a *global* taming construction that is far more tricky than our local one (i.e. subordinate to the collar of a particular cube chain).

7. Piecewise linear d-Paths and Spaces of Sequences

In this final section, we restrict again attention to *proper non-self linked* $\square$-sets (cf Definition 2(2–3)). At least for these, it is possible to find an even smaller model describing the homotopy type of the space of all d-paths between two vertices. To this end, consider the intersections H_k of an n-cube I^n (and hence of an n-cube in a $\square$-set X) with the hyperplanes given by the equations $x_1 + \cdots + x_n = k,\ k \in \mathbf{N}, 0 < k < n$; different from the hyperplanes previously considered. Every hyperplane section H_k is an $(n-1)$-dimensional polyhedron with the vertices with k entries 1 and $n-k$ entries 0 as extremal points. Requesting certain variables to take the value 0 or 1 yields the restriction of these hyperplane sections to faces; on which they again are hyperplane sections.

Observe that two elements $x_k \in H_k$ and $x_{k+1} \in H_{k+1}$ such that $x_k \leq x_{k+1}$ (i.e. there exists a d-path from x_k to x_{k+1}) have l_1-distance (aka. Manhattan distance) $d_1(x_k, x_{k+1}) = 1$.

The hyperplane sections $H_k \subset I^n$ are *achronal*: Each d-path p in I^n intersects a hyperplane section H_k in at most one point $p_k \in H_k$; if defined, $d_1(p_k, p_{k+1}) = 1$. The union of all hyperplane sections H_k corresponding to all cells in the geometric realisation of a $\square$-set X form a subspace $\mathcal{H}(X) \subset X$. Any d-path between two elements of $\mathcal{H}(X)$ has *integral* l_1-length (which is thus invariant under directed homotopy). The shortest length is called their l_1-distance d_1; compare (Raussen, 2009, Section 2.2) for this concept in greater generality.

A d-path $p : J \to X$ on an interval $J \subset \mathbf{R}$ is called *natural* if $d_1(p(t_1), p(t_2)) = t_2 - t_1$ for $t_1, t_2 \in J$, $t_1 \leq t_2$. The natural d-paths from a vertex x^- to a vertex x^+ in X with $p(0) = x^-$ form the space $\vec{N}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$. All paths in $\vec{N}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ have integral d_1-length. A reparametrisation linearly adjusting the domain to length one defines an inclusion map $\iota_N : \vec{N}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \hookrightarrow \vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$. Analogous to reparametrisation by unit speed for curves in differential geometry, one defines a naturalisation map *nat* in the opposite direction: For a path $p \in \vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$, let $l_p : I \to \mathbf{R}$ denote the non-decreasing function associating to $t \in I$ the l_1-length of the restricted path $p|[0, t]$ and define $nat : \vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \to \vec{N}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ by $nat(p)(s) = p(l_p^{-1}(s))$ (well defined although $l_p^{-1}(s)$ might be an interval!) This map is homotopy inverse to ι_N:

Proposition 39. *(Raussen, 2009, Propositions 2.15 and 2.16) For a $\square$-set X with selected vertices $x^-, x^+ \in X_0$, the inclusion map $\iota_N : \vec{N}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \hookrightarrow \vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

The natural *tame* d-paths form a subspace with inclusion $\iota_{NT} : \vec{NT}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \hookrightarrow \vec{T}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$. Naturalisation preserves the *trace* of a d-path, i.e. its equivalence class up to non-decreasing reparametrisation. Hence tame d-paths and tame d-homotopies stay tame under naturalisation:

Corollary 40. *For a $\square$-set X with selected vertices $x^-, x^+ \in X_0$, the inclusion map $\iota_{NT} : \vec{NT}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \hookrightarrow \vec{T}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Remark 41. Let $p : J \to X$ on an interval with $\min J = 0$ denote a natural d-path in X.

(1) p intersects $\mathcal{H}(X)$ exactly at *integral* times: $p(t) \in \mathcal{H}(X) \Leftrightarrow t \in J \cap \mathbf{Z}$.
(2) If p is tame, then $p(i)$ and $p(i+1)$, i an integer, are contained in a common cube. A minimal such cube is uniquely determined since X is proper. Moreover, since X is non-self-linked,

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press

there is a unique unit speed line segment d-path (of length 1) in this (and any other) cube containing them.

A path $p \in \vec{NT}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ with $p(0) = x^-$ is called *PL* (piecewise linear) if, for every integer i in its domain, the path between $p(i)$ and $p(i+1)$ is given by the unit speed line segment (of l_1-length 1) in the minimal cube that contains them both. These *PL* paths between x^- and x^+ form the subspace $\vec{PL}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \subset \vec{NT}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$.

Proposition 42. *Inclusion* $\iota_{PL} : \vec{PL}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \hookrightarrow \vec{NT}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \overset{\iota_{NT}}{\hookrightarrow} \vec{T}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-} \hookrightarrow \vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ *is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 6 and Corollary 40, it remains only to show that the first inclusion is a homotopy equivalence. In fact, $\vec{PL}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ is a deformation retract in $\vec{NT}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$: To a natural tame d-path $p : J \to X$ associate the PL d-path $L(p)$ obtained by linearly connecting $p(i)$ with $p(i+1)$ for $i, i+1 \in \mathbf{Z} \cap J$ in the unique minimal cube containing both, cf Remark 41. Observe that $p = L(p)$ if p is *PL*. The (natural) convex combination homotopy joining $p \in \vec{NT}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ with $L(p)$ shows that the linearisation map L thus defined is a homotopy inverse to the first inclusion map. It restricts to the constant homotopy on $\vec{PL}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$. □

The only data needed to describe *PL* d-paths are the *kink points* $p(i)$ in hyperplane sections in the cubes they traverse:
The space $Seq(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ is defined as the space of all finite sequences $(x_0 = x^-, \ldots, x_n = x^+)$ in $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{H}(X)^{n+1}$ with x_i, x_{i+1} in a common cube such that $x_i \leq x_{i+1}$ and $d_1(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1$.
With this definition, we obtain

Proposition 43. *Let X be a proper non-self-linked $\square$-set with selected vertices $x^-, x^+ \in X_0$.*

(1) $\vec{PL}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ and $Seq(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ are homeomorphic.
(2) $\vec{P}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ and $Seq(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ are homotopy equivalent.

Proof.

(1) The forgetful map that associates to a path $p \in \vec{PL}(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ the sequence $(p(i)) \in Seq(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ of kink points – with i running through the integers in its domain – is a homeomorphism. Its inverse is the map that associates to a sequence in $Seq(X)^{x^+}_{x^-}$ the *PL*-path that connects any two subsequent elements in that sequence by the unit speed line segment in the unique minimal cube containing them both.
(2) follows trom (1) and Proposition 42. □

Example 44. Let $X = \partial I^3$ denote the boundary of a 3-cube from Section 2.2.2. The hyperplane sections (diagonal lines) in the six boundary squares form two triangles, cf Figure 9. The associated pairs (= sequences) of kink points between the bottom and the top vertex form a hexagon, homotopy equivalent to the circle S^1.

Remark 45. Extending the results of this section to a general $\square$-set X seems to be more intricate. The main reason is that two elements in successive hyperplane sections may be joined by more than one unit speed line segment paths – through different cubes if X is not proper and/or through the same cube if X is self-linked. For example, two vertices in subsequent hyperplane sections may be connected by various edges, after identification of vertices.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press




Figure 9. Hyperplane sections (=red lines) in the boundary ∂I^3 of a 3-cube and associated pairs of kink points. For example, $(A, 6)$ indicates that from a point on the interior of A you can reach only 6 by a tame path; from its end points 1, resp. 2 you can reach E, resp. F.

It seems to be necessary to replace the cube chains from this paper by the cube chains $Ch(X)$ in Ziemiański's paper (Ziemiański, 2020*a*); those are generated by cubical *maps* from a wedge of cubes into X. Hyperplane sections in X should then be replaced by hyperplane sections in a wedge of cubes. In such a wedge of cubes (which is obviously both proper and non-self-linked), there is again a well-defined unit speed line segment between points on consecutive hyperplane sections.

Conflicts of Interest. The author declares none.

Note

1 I would like to thank one of the referees for raising my awareness about the history of the nerve lemma.

References

Björner, A. A. (1995). Topological methods. In: Graham, R. L., Grötschel, M., Lovàsz, L. (eds.), *Handbook of Combinatorics*, vol. 1–2, Elsevier (North-Holland), Amsterdam, 1819–1872.

Borsuk, K. (1948). On the imbedding of systems of compacta in simplicial complexes. *Fundamenta Mathematicae* **35** 217–234.

Dubut, J. (2019). Trees in partial higher dimensional automata. In: Bojańczyk, M. and Simpson, A. (eds.), *Foundations of Software Science and Computation Structures*, Springer, 224–241.

Fahrenberg, U., Johansen, Ch., Struth, G. and Thapa, R. B. (2020). Generating posets beyond N. In: *Relational and Algebraic Methods in Computer Science - Proceedings of the 18th International Conference, RAMiCS 2020*, Palaiseau, France, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 12062, 82–99.

Fahrenberg, U. and Legay, A. (2015). Partial higher-dimensional automata. In: *CALCO*, 101–115.

Fajstrup, L., Goubault, É., Haucourt, E., Mimram, S. and Raussen, M. (2016). *Directed Algebraic Topology and Concurrency*, Springer.

Fajstrup, L., Goubault, É. and Raussen, M. (2006). Algebraic topology and concurrency. *Theoretical Computer Science* **357** 241–278, Revised version of Aalborg University preprint, 1999.

Fanchon, J. and Morin, R. (2009). Pomset languages of finite step transition systems. In: *Proceedings of the Applications and Theory of Petri Nets 2009*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 5606, 83–102.

Grandis, M. (2003). Directed homotopy theory I. The fundamental category. *Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques* **44** 281–316.

Grandis, M. (2009). *Directed Algebraic Topology. Models of Non-Reversible Worlds*, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 13, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Hatcher, A. (2002). *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press.

Hovey, M. (1999). *Model Categories, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, vol. 63, American Mathematical Society.

Kozlov, D. (2008). *Combinatorial Algebraic Topology*, Springer.

Leray, J. (1945). Sur la forme des espaces topologiques et sur les points fixes des représentations. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* **24** 95–167.

McCord, M. C. (1967). Homotopy type comparison of a space with complexes associated with its open covers. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **18** 705–708.

Pratt, V. (1991). Modelling concurrency with geometry. In: *Proceedings of the 18th ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*, 311–322.

Quillen, D. (1972). Higher algebraic K-theory. I. In: *Algebraic K-Theory, I: Higher K-Theories, Proceedings of the Conference, Battelle Memorial Institute, Seattle, Washington, 1972*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 341, 85–147.

Raussen, M. (2009). Trace spaces in a pre-cubical complex. *Topology and Its Applications* **156** 1718–1728.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Raussen, M. and Ziemiański, K. (2014). Homology of spaces of directed paths on Euclidean cubical complexes. *Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures* **9** 67–84.
van Glabbeek, R. (1991). *Bisimulation Semantics for Higher Dimensional Automata*. Technical report, Stanford University.
van Glabbeek, R. (2006). On the expressiveness of higher dimensional automata. *Theoretical Computer Science* **368** (1–2) 168–194.
Weil, A. (1952). Sur les théorèmes de de Rham. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici* **26** 119–145.
Ziemiański, K. (2017). Spaces of directed paths on pre-cubical sets. *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing* **28** 497–525.
Ziemiański, K. (2020a). Spaces of directed paths on pre-cubical sets II. *Journal of Applied and Computational Topology* **4** (1) 45–78.
Ziemiański, K. (2020b). Private Communication.

Cite this article: Raussen M (2021). Strictifying and taming directed paths in Higher Dimensional Automata. *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science* **31**, 193–213. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129521000128 Published online by Cambridge University Press