Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys., (2024), **44**, 2832–2858 © The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press.
doi:10.1017/etds.2023.118

Multiplicity of topological systems

DAVID BURGUET and RUXI SHI
Sorbonne Universite, LPSM, 75005 Paris, France
(e-mail: david.burguet@upmc.fr, ruxi.shi@upmc.fr)

(*Received* 13 *June* 2023 *and accepted in revised form* 1 *December* 2023)

Abstract. We define the topological multiplicity of an invertible topological system (X, T) as the minimal number k of real continuous functions $f_1, \dots, f_k$ such that the functions $f_i \circ T^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, span a dense linear vector space in the space of real continuous functions on X endowed with the supremum norm. We study some properties of topological systems with finite multiplicity. After giving some examples, we investigate the multiplicity of subshifts with linear growth complexity.

Key words: topological multiplicity, topological dynamics, topological rank, entropy, ergodic theory
2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37A35, 37B02 (Primary)

Contents

1 Introduction 2832
2 Topological multiplicity, definition, and first properties 2834
3 Affine embedding of $(\mathcal{M}(X), T_*)$ in cubical shifts 2839
4 Baxter's lemma in Banach spaces 2842
5 Cantor systems with finite topological rank 2844
6 Examples of finite topological multiplicity 2845
7 Subshifts with linear growth complexity 2851
References 2857

1. *Introduction*

The multiplicity of an invertible bounded operator $U : E \circlearrowleft$ on a normed vector space E is the minimal cardinality of subsets $F \subset E$, whose cyclic space (that is, the vector space spanned by $U^k x$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in F$) is dense in E.

For an ergodic measure-preserving system $(X, f, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, the multiplicity $\mathrm{Mult}(\mu)$ of the Koopman operator, which is the operator of composition by f on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mu)$, is a dynamical invariant, which has been investigated in many works (see e.g. [**Dan13**] and the references therein).

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Cyclicity, which corresponds to simple multiplicity (that is, there is an element whose cyclic space is dense in the whole vector space), has been also established for operators of composition on the Hardy space $H^2(D)$ [**BS97**]. In this context, a pioneering work of Birkhoff [**Bir29**] states that there is an entire function ϕ in the complex plane such that the set $\{\phi(\cdot + n), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense itself in the set of entire functions endowed with the uniform topology on compact subsets, that is, the operator of translation by 1 is *hypercyclic*.

Quite surprisingly, the corresponding topological invariant has not been studied in full generality. More precisely, we consider here topological dynamical systems (X, T), where X is a compact metrizable space and $T : X \circlearrowleft$ is a homeomorphism, and we study the operator of composition by T on the Banach space $C(X)$ of real continuous functions endowed with the uniform topology. We call the topological multiplicity of (X, T) the associated multiplicity and we denote it by $\mathrm{Mult}(T)$. We remark that our definitions and results can be extended to the non-invertible continuous map $T : X \circlearrowleft$. However, for sake of simplicity, we focus on homeomorphisms $T : X \circlearrowleft$.

In this paper, we mostly focus on topological systems with finite multiplicity. We first show the following properties for such systems.

THEOREM. *Let (X, T) be a topological system with finite multiplicity. Then the following properties are satisfied:*

(1) *(X, T) has zero topological entropy;*
(2) *(X, T) has finitely many ergodic measures.*

These properties are the main content of §2. Property (1) is proven in Proposition 3.5 in two ways: one uses the variational principal of topological entropy; the other is purely topological. Property (2) is proven in Lemma 2.6 and Corollary 2.8. In fact, we show more precisely that the number of ergodic measures is equal to the multiplicity of the operator induced on the quotient of $C(X)$ by the closure of coboundaries.

In §3, we relate the topological multiplicity with the dimension of cubical shifts, in which the action $T_* : \mathcal{M}(X) \circlearrowleft$ induced by T on the set $\mathcal{M}(X)$ of Borel probability measures on X may be affinely embedded. In Theorem 3.3, we show a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the affinely embedding of $(\mathcal{M}(X), T_*)$ to the shift on $([0, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Furthermore, we compare our result to the Lindenstrauss–Tsukamoto conjecture for dynamical embedding (Corollary 3.4).

In §4, we state a generalized Banach version of a lemma due to Baxter [**Bax71**] which is a classical criterion of simplicity for ergodic transformations. The generalized Baxter lemma (Lemma 4.1) will play an important role in estimating the topological multiplicity in the next sections.

For minimal Cantor systems, a topological analogue of the rank of a measure-preserving system has been defined and studied (see [**DP22**]). In §5, under this setting, we compare the topological multiplicity with the topological rank (Theorem 5.1).

In §6, we study some examples and estimate their topological multiplicity: minimal rotations on compact groups, Sturmian and Thue–Morse subshifts, homeomorphisms of the interval, etc. Among them, we show in Theorem 6.7 that even though the Thue–Morse subshift is a minimal uniquely ergodic system with simple mixed spectrum, its topological multiplicity is one.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

THEOREM. *The Thue–Morse subshift has simple topological spectrum.*

In §6, we estimate the topological multiplicity of subshifts with linear growth complexity, that is, subshifts X such that the cardinality $p_X(n)$ of n-words in X satisfies $\liminf_{n\to\infty}(p_X(n)/n) < +\infty$. Such subshifts have aroused a great deal of interest, especially recently [**Bos92**, **CK19**, **CP23**, **DDMP21**]. In [**Bos92**], it is proved that an aperiodic subshift X has at most k ergodic measures if $\liminf_n(p_X(n)/n) \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$. Our main related result is stated as follows (Theorems 7.1 and 7.6).

THEOREM. *Let X be an aperiodic subshift with* $\liminf_{n\to\infty}(p_X(n)/n) \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then*

$$\mathrm{Mult}(T) \leq 2k \quad \textit{and} \quad \sum_{\mu \text{ ergodic}} \mathrm{Mult}(\mu) \leq 2k.$$

As well as the results on multiplicity that we investigate, we propose several questions in the current paper.

2. *Topological multiplicity, definition, and first properties*

2.1. *Multiplicity of a linear operator.* Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed vector space over $\mathbb{R}$. We consider a linear invertible bounded operator $U : E \circlearrowleft$. A subset F of E is called a *generating family* of U when the vector space spanned by $U^k x$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in F$, is dense in E. In the following, we denote by $\mathrm{span}(G)$ (respectively $\overline{\mathrm{span}}(G)$) the vector space spanned by a subset G of E (respectively its closure) and we then let $V_F^U := \overline{\mathrm{span}}\{U^k x : k \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in F\}$. Sometimes, we write V_F instead of V_F^U whenever the operator is fixed. The *multiplicity* $\mathrm{Mult}(U) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ of U is then the smallest cardinality of generating families of U. By convention, we let $\mathrm{Mult}(U) = 0$ when E is reduced to $\{0\}$. A linear operator with multiplicity one is called *cyclic*.

We first study the equivariant map between two normed vector spaces with linear invertible bounded operators.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $U_i : E_i \circlearrowleft$, $i = 1, 2$ be two linear invertible bounded operators. Assume that there is a linear bounded operator $W : E_1 \to E_2$ satisfying $W \circ U_1 = U_2 \circ W$, then*

$$\mathrm{Mult}(U_2|_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(W)}}) \leq \mathrm{Mult}(U_1).$$

Proof. One checks easily that if F is a generating family for U_1, then $W(F)$ is a generating family for the restriction of U_2 to the closure of the image of W. Therefore, $\mathrm{Mult}(U_2|_{\overline{\mathrm{Im}(W)}}) \leq \mathrm{Mult}(U_1)$. □

A direct consequence of Lemma 2.1 is that the multiplicity is a spectral invariant: if U_i are linear invertible operators on E_i, $i = 1, 2$, satisfying $W \circ U_1 = U_2 \circ W$ for some invertible bounded linear operator $W : E_1 \to E_2$, then U_1 and U_2 have the same multiplicities.

When E' is a closed subspace of E, we endow the quotient E/E' space with the norm $\|\overline{u}\|' = \inf\{\|u + v\|, v \in E'\}$. If E' is invariant by U, we let $U^{E/E'}$ be the action induced by U on the quotient normed space E/E'. In this context, by applying Lemma 2.1 with $W : E \to E/E'$ being the natural projection, we get

$$\mathrm{Mult}(U^{E/E'}) \leq \mathrm{Mult}(U). \tag{2.1}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

2.2. *Operator of composition: topological and ergodic multiplicities.* Ergodic theory focuses on the study of invertible measure-preserving systems $(X, f, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$. In particular, the spectral properties of the unitary operator $U_f : L^2(\mu) \circlearrowleft, \phi \mapsto \phi \circ f$, are investigated. We let $\|f\|_2 := (\int_X |f(x)|^2 \, d\mu)^{1/2}$ be the L^2-norm of $f \in L^2(\mu)$.

Definition 2.2. The *ergodic multiplicity* $\mathrm{Mult}(\mu)$ of an ergodic system $(X, f, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is the multiplicity of the restriction of U_f to the Hilbert space $L^2_0(\mu) := \{f \in L^2(\mu), \int f d\mu = 0\}$, that is to say, $\mathrm{Mult}(\mu) = \mathrm{Mult}(U_f)$.

This quantity has been intensely studied in ergodic theory (see Danilenko's survey [**Dan13**]).

Next we consider here an invertible topological dynamical system (X, T), that is, $T : X \circlearrowleft$ is a homeomorphism of a compact metric space X. We denote by $C(X)$ the Banach space of real continuous functions endowed with the topology of uniform convergence. We let $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$ be the supremum norm of $f \in C(X)$.

Definition 2.3. The *topological multiplicity* $\mathrm{Mult}(T)$ of (X, T) is the multiplicity of the operator of composition $U_T : C(X) \circlearrowleft, \phi \mapsto \phi \circ T$.

Quite surprisingly, this last notion seems to be new (note however that cyclicity of U_T has already been investigated in some cases). Let us first observe that the topological multiplicity bounds from above the ergodic multiplicity of ergodic T-invariant measures.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let (X, T) be an invertible topological dynamical system. For any ergodic T-invariant measure μ, we have*

$$\mathrm{Mult}(\mu) \leq \mathrm{Mult}(T).$$

Proof. Let F be a generating family with minimal cardinality of $U_T : C(X) \circlearrowleft$. Then the vector space spanned by F is dense in $(C(X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$, therefore in $(L^2(\mu), \|\cdot\|_2)$. As $p : L^2(\mu) \to L^2_0(\mu)$, $f \mapsto f - \int f \, d\mu$ is continuous and $p \circ U_T = U_T \circ p$, the vector space spanned by $p(F)$ is dense in $L^2_0(\mu)$. □

Let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ be the set of Borel probability measures endowed with the weak-$*$ topology. It is standard that $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is a compact metrizable space. The compact subset $\mathcal{M}(X, T) \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$ of Borel T-invariant probability measures of (X, T) is a simplex, whose extreme set is given by the subset $\mathcal{M}_e(X, T)$ of ergodic measures. A topological system with a unique (ergodic) invariant measure is said to be *uniquely ergodic*. The Jewett–Krieger theorem states that every ergodic system has a uniquely ergodic model. Several proofs have been given of this theorem, see, e.g., [**DGS06**, §29]. One may wonder if the multiplicity may be preserved.

Question 2.5. Given an ergodic system with measure μ, is there a uniquely ergodic model (X, T) of it such that $\mathrm{Mult}(T) = \mathrm{Mult}(\mu)$?

2.3. *The number of ergodic measures as a multiplicity.* Let (X, T) be an invertible topological dynamical system. A function $\psi \in C(X)$ is a called a *continuous T-coboundary*, if

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

ψ is equal to $\phi \circ T - \phi$ for some $\phi \in C(X)$. In other terms, the set $B_T(X)$ of continuous T-coboundaries is the image of $U_T - \mathrm{Id}$, in particular, it is a vector space. Observe that $U_T(B_T(X)) = B_T(X)$. To simplify the notation, we write $\tilde{U}_T$ for the action induced by U_T on the quotient Banach space $C(X)/\overline{B_T(X)}$ and $\underline{U}_T$ for the restriction of U_T to the closure $\overline{B_T(X)}$ of continuous coboundaries. By a standard application of the Hahn–Banach theorem (see e.g. [**Kat01**, Proposition 2.13]), a function ψ belongs to $\overline{B_T(X)}$ if and only if $\int \psi \, d\mu = 0$ for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)$ (respectively $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_e(X, T)$). It is well known that unique ergodicity is equivalent to the decomposition $C(X) = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{1} \oplus \overline{B_T(X)}$ (see e.g. [**LV97**, Lemma 1]), where $\mathbb{1}$ denotes the constant function equal to 1. In particular, in the case of unique ergodicity, we have $C(X)/\overline{B_T(X)} \simeq \mathbb{R}\mathbb{1}$ and therefore $\mathrm{Mult}(\tilde{U}_T) = 1$. It may be generalized as follows.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let (X, T) be an invertible topological dynamical system. We have*

$$\mathrm{Mult}(\tilde{U}_T) = \sharp \mathcal{M}_e(X, T).$$

Proof. We first show that $\mathrm{Mult}(\tilde{U}_T) \geq \sharp \mathcal{M}_e(X, T)$. Assume that:

- $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_p$ are distinct ergodic measures;
- $\overline{F} = \{\overline{f_1}, \ldots, \overline{f_q}\} \in C(X)/\overline{B_T(X)}$ is a generating family of $\tilde{U}_T$.

For $1 \leq l \leq q$, let $f_l \in C(X)$ be a function (a priori not unique) such that $\overline{f_l} = f_l \bmod \overline{B_T(X)}$. If $q < p$, then the p vectors

$$X_i = \left(\int f_l \, d\nu_i \right)_{l=1,2,\ldots,q}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, p,$$

are linearly dependent in $\mathbb{R}^q$, that is, there is $(c_i)_{1 \leq i \leq p} \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ such that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} c_i X_i = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Let ν be the signed measure $\nu = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} c_i \nu_i$. Then equation (2.2) may be rewritten as

$$\text{for all } 1 \leq l \leq q, \quad \int f_l \, d\nu = 0.$$

The measures ν_i are invariant for $1 \leq i \leq p$, so is ν. Therefore, we get

$$\text{for all } 1 \leq l \leq q, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \int f_l \circ T^k \, d\nu = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

However, $V_{\overline{F}}^{\tilde{U}_T} = C(X)/\overline{B_T(X)}$, so that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and for any $g \in C(X)$, we may find $h \in \mathrm{span}(f_l \circ T^k, 1 \leq l \leq q, k \in \mathbb{Z})$ and $u \in B_T(X)$ with $\|g - (h + u)\|_\infty < \epsilon$. By equation (2.3), we have $\int h \, d\nu = 0$. As u is a coboundary, we have also $\int u \, d\nu = 0$. Therefore,

$$\left| \int g \, d\nu \right| \leq \left| \int (h + u) \, d\nu \right| + \|g - (h + u)\|_\infty < \epsilon.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ and $g \in C(X)$ are chosen arbitrarily, we obtain $\int g \, d\nu = 0$, for any $g \in C(X)$, therefore, $\nu = 0$. This contradicts the ergodicity of the measures ν_i for $1 \leq i \leq p$. Consequently, we have $q \geq p$ and, therefore, $\mathrm{Mult}(\tilde{U}_T) \geq \sharp \mathcal{M}_e(X, T)$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Let us show now the converse inequality. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $p = \sharp\mathcal{M}_e(X, T) < \mathrm{Mult}(\tilde{U}_T) = q < \infty$. We let again:

- $\mathcal{M}_e(X, T) = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_p\}$;
- $\overline{F} = \overline{f_1}, \dots, \overline{f_q} \in C(X)/\overline{B_T(X)}$ a generating family of $\tilde{U}_T$ with minimal cardinality.

Then the q vectors

$$Y_l = \left(\int f_l \, d\nu_i \right)_{i=1,\dots,p}, \quad l = 1, \dots, q,$$

are linearly dependent in $\mathbb{R}^p$, that is, there is $(c_l)_{1\le l\le q} \in \mathbb{R}^q \setminus (0, 0 \dots, 0)$ such that

$$\sum_{1\le l\le q} c_l Y_l = 0.$$

Let g be the function $g = \sum_{1\le l\le q} c_l f_l$. Then we have

$$\int g \, d\nu_i = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \le i \le p,$$

As previously mentioned, it implies that g lies in $\overline{B_T(X)}$. This contradicts the minimality of the generating family $\overline{F}$. □

Remark 2.7. It follows from the proof of Lemma 2.6 that if $\mathcal{M}_e(X, T) = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_p\}$, then $\overline{f_1}, \dots, \overline{f_p}$ is a generating family of $\tilde{U}_T$ if and only if the matrix $A = (\int f_j \, d\nu_i)_{1\le i,j\le p} \in M_p(\mathbb{R})$ is invertible.

By equation (2.1) and Lemma 2.6, we get the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.8.

$$\sharp\mathcal{M}_e(X, T) \le \mathrm{Mult}(T).$$

2.4. *Relating* Mult(T) *and* Mult($\underline{U}_T$). It follows from the definition of $\overline{B_T(X)}$ that the map

$$W : C(X) \to \overline{B_T(X)}, \; f \mapsto f \circ T - f$$

has dense image and commutes with U_T. By applying Lemma 2.1 with $U_1 = U_2 = U$ and $E_1 = C(X)$, $E_2 = \overline{B_T(X)}$, we obtain $\mathrm{Mult}(\underline{U}_T) \le \mathrm{Mult}(T)$.

We show then in this subsection the following inequality.

PROPOSITION 2.9.

$$\mathrm{Mult}(T) \le \mathrm{Mult}(\tilde{U_T}) + \mathrm{Mult}(\underline{U}_T) - 1.$$

In particular, if (X, T) is uniquely ergodic, $\mathrm{Mult}(T) = \mathrm{Mult}(\underline{U}_T)$ by Lemma 2.6. Let us now prove Proposition 2.9. For a family F of $C(X)$, we write $\overline{F}$ the subset of $C(X)/\overline{B_T(X)}$ consisting of $\overline{f} = f \bmod \overline{B_T(X)}$ for $f \in F$. We start with a technical lemma.

LEMMA 2.10. *Let (X, T) be an invertible dynamical system with $\sharp\mathcal{M}_e(X, T) < \infty$. If F is a family of $C(X)$ such that $\overline{F}$ is generating for $\tilde{U}_T$, then the constant function $\mathbb{1}$ belongs to V_F.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}_e(X,T)=\{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_p\}$. By Remark 2.7, the matrix $(\int f_i\,d\nu_j)_{1\le i,j\le p}$ is invertible. Then by replacing $F=\{f_1,\dots,f_p\}$ by some invertible linear combinations, we can assume

$$\text{for all } 1\le i,j\le p,\quad \int f_i\,d\nu_j=\delta_{i,j},$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ is equal to 1 if $i=j$ and 0 otherwise. Let $f=\sum_{i=1}^p f_i$. We have

$$\text{for all } 1\le j\le p,\quad \int f\,d\nu_j=\sum_i \delta_{i,j}=1,$$
$$\text{and, therefore, for all } \nu\in\mathcal{M}(X,T),\quad \int f\,d\nu=1. \tag{2.4}$$

We claim that $(1/N)\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f\circ T^n$ is converging uniformly to $\mathbb{1}$ as N goes to infinity. If not, there would exist a positive number ϵ, a sequence $(x_k)_{k\ge1}$, and an increasing sequence $(N_k)_{k\ge1}$ of positive integers such that

$$\left|\frac{1}{N_k}\sum_{n=0}^{N_k-1} f(T^n(x_k))-1\right|>\epsilon\quad\text{for all } k\ge1. \tag{2.5}$$

After passing to a subsequence of $(N_k)_{k\ge1}$, we might assume that $(1/N_k)\sum_{n=0}^{N_k-1}\delta_{T^n(x_k)}$ is converging to a T-invariant measure μ in the weak-$*$ topology. It follows from equation (2.5) that

$$\left|\int f d\mu-1\right|>\epsilon>0.$$

It is a contradiction to equation (2.4). Therefore, $(1/N)\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f\circ T^n$ is converging uniformly to $\mathbb{1}$ as N goes to infinity, in particular, $\mathbb{1}\in V_F$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.9. Let $\overline{\mathcal{F}}=\{\overline{f_1},\dots,\overline{f_p}\}$ and $\mathcal{G}=\{g_1,\dots,g_q\}$ be generating families of $\tilde{U}_T$ and $\underline{U}_T$ with $p=\mathrm{Mult}(\tilde{U}_T)$ and $q=\mathrm{Mult}(\underline{U}_T)$. For $1\le l\le p$, take $f_l\in C(X)$ to be a function such that $\overline{f_l}=f_l \bmod \overline{B_T(X)}$, then let $\mathcal{F}=\{f_1,\dots,f_l\}$. One easily checks that $\mathcal{F}\cup\mathcal{G}$ is a generating family of U_T. By Lemma 2.6, we may write $\mathcal{M}_e(X,T)=\{\nu_1,\dots,\nu_p\}$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.10, we may assume without loss of generality $\int f_i\,d\nu_j=\delta_{i,j}$ for any $1\le i,j\le p$. Let $g_1'=g_1+\mathbb{1}$, and hence

$$\left(\int g_1'\,d\nu_i\right)_{1\le i\le p}=(1,\dots,1).$$

By Remark 2.7, the family $\{\overline{g_1'},\overline{f_j}:\ 1<j\le p\}$ is generating for $\tilde{U}_T$. By Lemma 2.10, the constant functions, therefore also g_1, belong to $V_{\{g_1',f_j:\,1<j\le p\}}$. Then $V_{\{g_1',f_j,g_i\,:\,1<j\le p,\,1<i\le q\}}=V_{\{g_1',f_j,g_i\,:\,1<j\le p,\,1\le i\le q\}}$ and $f_1\in V_{\{g_1',f_j,g_i\,:\,1<j\le p,\,1\le i\le q\}}$. Consequently, we get

$$V_{\{g_1',f_j,g_i\,:\,1<j\le p,\,1<i\le q\}}\supset V_{\mathcal{F}\cup\mathcal{G}}=C(X).$$

We conclude that $\mathrm{Mult}(T)\le p+q-1=\mathrm{Mult}(\tilde{U}_T)+\mathrm{Mult}(\underline{U}_T)-1$. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

3. *Affine embedding of* $(\mathcal{M}(X), T_*)$ *in cubical shifts*

For a topological system (X, T), we denote by T_* the action induced by T on the compact set $\mathcal{M}(X)$, that is, $T_*\mu(\cdot) = \mu(T^{-1}\cdot)$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. Then $(\mathcal{M}(X), T_*)$ is also a topological system, which is called the *induced system* of (X, T).

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we let σ_d be the shift on the simplex $([0, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$. An *embedding* of (X, T) in $([0, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a continuous injective map $\phi : X \to ([0, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfying $\phi \circ T = \sigma_d \circ \phi$. Existence of such an embedding is related to the mean dimension theory (we refer to [**Coo15**] for an introduction). Such an embedding implies that the mean dimension of (X, T) is less than or equal to d. Moreover, the topological dimension (that is, Lebesgue covering dimension) d_n^T of the set of n-periodic points then also satisfy $(d_n^T/n) \le d$. Conversely, it has been shown that minimal systems with mean dimension less than $d/2$ can be embedded in the cubical shift σ_d [**GT20**, **Lin99**].

In this section, we consider affine embedding of the induced system $(\mathcal{M}(X), T_*)$ in cubical shift σ_d, that is, the embedding $\phi : \mathcal{M}(X) \to ([0, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is affine. In particular, we will relate the embedding dimension d to the multiplicity of (X, T).

3.1. *Case of finite sets.* We first deal with the case of a finite set X. Then T is just a permutation of X and $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is a finite dimensional simplex. We classify the possible affine embedding of $(\mathcal{M}(X), T_*)$ in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Suppose X is a finite set and T is a permutation of X. Let $\tau_1 \cdots \tau_k$ be the decomposition of T into disjoint cycles τ_i of length r_i for $1 \le i \le k$.*

(1) *If there is a non-trivial common factor of r_i for $1 \le i \le k$, then there is an affine embedding of $(\mathcal{M}(X), T_*)$ in $(([0, 1]^k)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma_k)$. Such k is sharp.*

(2) *If there is no non-trivial common factor of r_i for $1 \le i \le k$, then there is an affine embedding of $(\mathcal{M}(X), T_*)$ in $(([0, 1]^{k-1})^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma_{k-1})$. Such $k - 1$ is sharp.*

Proof. For each $1 \le i \le k$, we fix a point $e_i \in X$ in each cycle τ_i, that is, $\{T^j e_i : 0 \le j \le r_i\} = X$. Notice that there are continuous maps $a_e : \mathcal{M}(X) \to [0, 1]$, $e \in X$, with $\sum_{e\in X} a_e = \mathbb{1}$ satisfying $\mu = \sum_{e\in X} a_e(\mu)\delta_e$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. (1) Assume there is a non-trivial common factor p of r_i for $1 \le i \le k$. Then

$$\dim(\mathrm{Fix}(T_*^p)) = kp - 1,$$

where $\mathrm{Fix}(T_*^p) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X) : T_*^p\mu = \mu\}$. Since $p > 1$ and $\dim(\mathrm{Fix}(\sigma_{k-1}^p)) = kp - p$, the dynamical system $(\mathcal{M}(X), T_*)$ cannot embed in $(([0, 1]^{k-1})^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma_{k-1})$.

Now we construct the embedding of $(\mathcal{M}(X), T_*)$ in $(([0, 1]^k)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma_k)$. We define first a dynamical embedding Ψ of the set of extreme points in $\mathcal{M}(X)$, which is identified with X through the map $x \mapsto \delta_x$, into $([0, 1]^k)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by letting

$$\text{for all } i = 1, \ldots, k, \text{ for all } l \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (\Psi(T^l e_i))_i = \sigma^l((10^{r_i-1})^\infty);$$

the other components $(\Psi(T^l e_i))_j$, $j \ne i$, being chosen to be equal to the 0^∞ sequence. Then we may extend Ψ affinely from the set of extreme points on $\mathcal{M}(X)$ by letting

$$\Psi(\mu) = \sum_{e\in X} a_e(\mu)\Psi(\delta_e).$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

It is easy to check that Ψ is injective, which deduces a dynamical embedding $(\mathcal{M}(X), T_*)$ in $(([0,1]^k)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma_k)$.

(2) Assume there is no non-trivial common factor of r_i for $1 \le i \le k$. We have that $k-1$ numbers $q_i := (r_k, r_i)$, $1 \le i \le k-1$ are co-prime, where (a,b) is the highest common factor of a and b. We define first a continuous map Ψ of the set of extreme points in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ into $([0,1]^{k-1})^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by letting

$$\text{for all } l \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (\Psi(T^l e_k))_j = \sigma^l((10^{r_i-1})^\infty) \quad \text{for all } 1 \le j \le k-1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for all } 1 \le i \le k-1, \text{ for all } l \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (\Psi(T^l e_i))_i = \sigma^l((10^{r_i-1})^\infty); \\ &\text{for all } j \ne i, \text{ for all } l \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (\Psi(T^l e_i))_j = 0^\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then we may extend Ψ affinely from the set of extreme points on $\mathcal{M}(X)$ by letting

$$\Psi(\mu) = \sum_{e \in X} a_e(\mu)\Psi(\delta_e).$$

It remains to show that Ψ is injective. Let $\mu = \sum_{e\in X} b_e\delta_e$ and $\mu' = \sum_{e\in X} b'_e\delta_e$. Suppose

$$\Psi(\mu) = \Psi(\mu') = \sum_{e\in X} a_e\Psi(\delta_e) = (c_{i,j})_{1\le i\le k-1, j\in\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Since $q_i := (r_k, r_i)$, then there are integers s_i and t_i such that $s_i r_i - t_i r_k = q_i$. Let

$$u_{i,l} = s_i r_i + l = t_i r_k + q_i + l.$$

It implies that

$$b'_{T^l e_k} - b'_{T^{l+q_i} e_k} = b_{T^l e_k} - b_{T^{l+q_i} e_k} = c_{i,l} - c_{i,u_{i,l}}.$$

Since q_i, $1 \le i \le k-1$ are co-prime, there are integers w_i, $1 \le i \le k-1$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} w_i q_i = 1$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &(b_{e_k} - b_{T^{w_1 q_1} e_k}) + (b_{T^{w_1 q_1} e_k} - b_{T^{w_1 q_1 + w_2 q_2} e_k}) \\ &\quad + \cdots + (b_{T^{w_1 q_1 + w_2 q_2 + \cdots + w_{k-2} q_{k-2}} e_k} - b_{T^{w_1 q_1 + w_2 q_2 + \cdots + w_{k-1} q_{k-1}} e_k}) = b_{e_k} - b_{Te_k}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$b_{e_k} - b_{T^l e_k} = b'_{e_k} - b'_{T^l e_k} \quad \text{for all } l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $\sum_{e\in X} b_e = \sum_{e\in X} b'_e = 1$, we conclude that $b_{e_k} = b_{e'_k}$ and consequently $b_{e_i} = b_{e'_i}$ by $b_{e_k} + b_{e_i} = b'_{e_k} + b'_{e_i} = c_{i,0}$ for $1 \le i \le k-1$. It means that $\mu = \mu'$ and Ψ is injective. □

Remark 3.2. For such a permutation T, we have $\mathrm{Mult}(T) = \sharp\mathcal{M}_e(X,T) = k$, with k being the number of cycles in the decomposition of T.

3.1.1. *General case.* We consider now a general topological system and relate the dimension of the cubical shift in an affine embedding with the multiplicity of (X,T).

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

THEOREM 3.3. *Let* (X, T) *be a topological system. If* $\mathrm{Mult}(T)$ *is equal to* d*, then there is an affine embedding of* $(\mathcal{M}(X), T_*)$ *in* $(([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma_d)$*. Conversely, if* $(\mathcal{M}(X), T_*)$ *embeds into* $(([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma_d)$*, then:*

- *either* $\sharp\mathcal{M}_e(X, T) \le d$ *and* $\mathrm{Mult}(T) \le d$*;*
- *or* $\sharp\mathcal{M}_e(X, T) = d + 1$ *and* $\mathrm{Mult}(T) = d + 1$.

Proof. First, notice that any affine equivariant map $\Psi : (\mathcal{M}(X), T_*) \to (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma_d)$ is of the form

$$\Psi_f : \mu \mapsto \left(\int f \circ T^k \, d\mu \right)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

for some continuous function $f = (f_1, \dots, f_d) : X \to [0,1]^d$.

Assume the topological multiplicity $\mathrm{Mult}(X, T)$ is equal to d, that is, there is a family $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$ of continuous functions such that $V_F = C(X)$. Let us show the associated map Ψ_f is injective. Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ with $\Psi_f(\mu_1) = \Psi_f(\mu_1)$, that is, $\int f_i \circ T^k \, d\mu_1 = \int f_i \circ T^k \, d\mu_1$ for any $i = 1, \dots, d$ and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then by density of $\mathrm{span}(f_i \circ T^k,\ i,\ k)$ in $C(X)$, we have

$$\int g \, d\mu_1 = \int g \, d\mu_1 \quad \text{for all } g \in C(X),$$

which implies $\mu_1 = \mu_2$. Therefore, we get the injectivity of Ψ_f.

Conversely, assume Ψ_f is injective for $f = (f_1, \dots, f_d) : X \to [0,1]^d$. Let $F = \{\mathbb{1}, f_1, \dots, f_d\}$. We claim that $V_F = C(X)$. Then if $\sharp\mathcal{M}_e(X, T) \le d$, we get by injectivity of Ψ_f that there exists $A \subset \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ with $\sharp A = \sharp\mathcal{M}_e(X, T)$ such that the matrix $(\int f_i \, d\nu)_{i\in A, \nu\in\mathcal{M}_e(X,T)}$ is invertible. Then by Remark 2.7, the family $\overline{F \setminus \{\mathbb{1}\}}$ is generating for $\tilde{U}_T$ and consequently $V_F = V_{F\setminus\{\mathbb{1}\}}$ by Lemma 2.10. If $\sharp\mathcal{M}_e(X, T) = d + 1$, then we only get $\mathrm{Mult}(T) = d + 1$.

It remains to show our claim. Assume to the contrary that $V_F \neq C(X)$. Then by the Riesz theorem, there is a signed finite measure μ vanishing on each function in F. Let $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$ be the Jordan decomposition of μ (that is, the measures μ^+ and μ^- are two finite positive measures which are mutually singular). Evaluating on the constant function $\mathbb{1}$, we get $\mu^+(X) = \mu^-(X)$. Then by rescaling, we may assume both μ^- and μ^+ belong to $\mathcal{M}(X)$. Finally, we get $\Psi_f(\mu^+) = \Psi_f(\mu^-)$, and therefore $\mu^+ = \mu^-$ by injectivity of Ψ_f contradicting the mutual singularity of μ^+ and μ^-. □

3.2. *Affine embeddings and Lindenstrauss–Tsukamoto conjecture.* Lindenstrauss and Tsukamoto [**LT14**] have conjectured that any topological system with mean dimension $\mathrm{mdim}(X, T)$ less than $d/2$ and such that the dimension d_n^T of the set of n-periodic points satisfies $(d_n^T/n) < d/2$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ may be embedded in the shift over $([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$. As mentioned above, it is known for minimal systems. We consider here affine systems, that is, affine maps of a simplex. Such maps are never minimal, as they always admit at least one fixed point.

The example below shows that the Lindenstrauss–Tsukamoto conjecture does not hold true in the affine category. Recall that an ergodic system $(X, f, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ has a *countable*

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Lebesgue spectrum when there is a countable family $(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $L^2_0(\mu)$ such that $\psi_n \circ f^k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ form a Hilbert basis of $L^2_0(\mu)$.

COROLLARY 3.4. *There is an affine system with a unique periodic (fixed) point and zero-topological entropy (in particular,* $\mathrm{mdim}(T) = 0$ *and* $d_n^T = 0$ *for all* $n \geq 1$*) which does not embed affinely in* $(([0,1]^k)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$ *for any* $k \geq 1$.

Proof. There exists an ergodic measure-preserving system $(Y, \mathcal{A}, f, \mu)$ with zero entropy and countable Lebesgue spectrum [**NP66**, **Par53**] (in particular, *totally ergodic*, that is, f^n is ergodic for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$). Then by the Jewett–Krieger theorem, there is a uniquely ergodic topological system (X, T) with measure ν realizing such a measure-preserving system. All powers of T are uniquely ergodic as μ was chosen totally ergodic. Moreover, the topological entropy of T, thus that of T_* is zero by Glasner and Weiss [**GW95**]. As the unique invariant measure ν has countable Lebesgue spectrum, the topological multiplicity of (X, T) is infinite by Lemma 2.4. We conclude with Theorem 3.3. □

3.3. *Application: zero topological entropy.* A classical result in ergodic theory states that any ergodic system $(X, f, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ with positive entropy has a countable Lebesgue spectrum. In particular, $[h(\mu) > 0] \Rightarrow [\mathrm{Mult}(\mu) = \infty]$. Then a proposition follows from the variational principle for the topological entropy.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Any topological system* (X, T) *with* $\mathrm{Mult}(T) < \infty$ *has zero topological entropy.*

We may also give a purely topological proof of Proposition 3.5 based on mean dimension theory. More precisely, we use the main result of [**BS22**], which states the following.

THEOREM 3.6. [**BS22**] *For any topological system* (X, T) *with positive topological entropy, the induced system* $(\mathcal{M}(X), T_*)$ *has infinite topological mean dimension. Therefore,*

$$h_{\mathrm{top}}(T) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{mdim}(T_*) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{mdim}(T_*) = \infty.$$

Topological proof of Proposition 3.5. Assume $\mathrm{Mult}(T) = d$ is finite. Then by Theorem 3.3, the induced system $(\mathcal{M}(X), T_*)$ embeds in the cubical shift $(([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$. In particular, the mean dimension of T_* is less than or equal to the mean dimension of the shift $(([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$, which is equal to d. By Theorem 3.6, it implies that T has zero topological entropy.

4. *Baxter's lemma in Banach spaces*

In [**Bax71**], Baxter gave a useful criterion to show a simple spectrum of ergodic transformations. It may be extended more generally to bound the multiplicity of the spectrum (see, e.g., [**Que10**, Proposition 2.12]). We generalize this criterion for operators defined on a Banach space. It will be used in the next section to estimate the topological multiplicity in some examples.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

LEMMA 4.1. *Let B be a separable Banach space and $\mathcal{L}(B)$ be the set of bounded linear operators on B. We consider an invertible isometry $U \in \mathcal{L}(B)$. If $(\mathcal{F}_n)_n$ is a sequence of finite subsets in H satisfying for all $f \in B$*

$$\inf_{F_n \in V_{\mathcal{F}_n}} \|F_n - f\| \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0, \tag{4.1}$$

then there exists a family $\mathcal{F} \subset B$ with $\sharp\mathcal{F} \leq \sup_n \sharp\mathcal{F}_n$ and $B = V_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Classical proofs of Baxter's lemma strongly used the Hilbert structure. Here we use a Baire argument as in [**Fog02**, Lemma 5.2.10]. Note also that we do not require the sequence of vector spaces $(V_{\mathcal{F}_n})_n$ to be non-decreasing. Observe finally that it is enough to assume equation (4.1) for f in S, where S spans a dense subset of B.

Proof. Let $m = \sup_n \sharp\mathcal{F}_n$. If $m = \infty$, it is trivial. Assume $m < \infty$. By passing to a subsequence, we assume $\sharp\mathcal{F}_n = m$ for all n. Let $B^{(m)}$ be the space of finite subsets of H whose cardinality is smaller than or equal to m. When endowed with the Hausdorff distance d_{Hau}, the space $B^{(m)}$ is a metric space, which is complete and separable. We assume the following claim, which we prove later on. □

CLAIM 4.2. *For any $\epsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{F} \in B^{(m)}$, the set*

$$O(\mathcal{F}, \epsilon) = \left\{ \mathcal{G} \in B^{(m)} \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F} \inf_{G \in V_{\mathcal{G}}} \|G - f\| < \epsilon \right\}$$

is open and dense.

Let $(g_q)_{q\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a countable dense family in B. Let $\mathcal{G}_q$ be the finite family $\{g_1, \ldots, g_q\}$. For any $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and any $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the open and dense set

$$O_{p,q} := O(\mathcal{G}_q, 1/p) = \left\{ \mathcal{G} \in B^{(m)} \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}_q \inf_{G \in V_{\mathcal{G}}} \|G - g\| < 1/p \right\}.$$

According to Baire's theorem, the intersection $\bigcap_{p,q} O_{p,q}$ is not empty. Clearly, any family $\mathcal{F}$ in the intersection satisfies $V_{\mathcal{F}} = B$. It remains to prove Claim 4.2.

Proof of Claim 4.2. The set $O(\mathcal{F}, \epsilon)$ is open. We focus on the denseness property. Pick arbitrary $\delta > 0$ and $\mathcal{H} \in B^{(m)}$. We will show that there is $\mathcal{H}' \in O(\mathcal{F}, \epsilon)$ with $d_{\text{Hau}}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}') < \delta$. As the elements of $B^{(m)}$ with cardinality m are dense in $B^{(m)}$, we can assume without loss of generality that $\sharp\mathcal{H} = m$. By assumptions on the sequence $\mathcal{F}_n$, there exists n such that

$$\text{for all } f \in \mathcal{F}, \quad \inf_{F_n \in V_{\mathcal{F}_n}} \|F_n - f\| < \epsilon, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\text{for all } h \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \inf_{F_n \in V_{\mathcal{F}_n}} \|F_n - h\| < \delta. \tag{4.3}$$

We write $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_n = \{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m\}$. By equation (4.3), there are polynomials $(P_{i,j})_{1\leq i,j\leq m}$ in $\mathbb{R}[X]$ and a non-negative integer p such that

$$\text{for all } i = 1, \ldots, m, \quad \left\| h_i - \sum_{j=1}^{m} U^{-p} P_{i,j}(U) f_j \right\| < \delta. \tag{4.4}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Let $Q \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ be the polynomial given by the determinant of the matrix $M = (P_{i,j})_{1\le i,j\le m} \in M_m(\mathbb{R}[X])$. The spectrum $\mathrm{Sp}(U)$ of U is contained in the unit circle. In particular, for arbitrarily small $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the polynomial $Q(\cdot + \lambda)$ does not vanish on $\mathrm{Sp}(U)$. Hence, by replacing $P_{i,j}$ by $P_{i,j}(\cdot + \lambda)$, we may assume that Q does not vanish on the spectrum of U. Then $Q(U) = \prod_{\lambda,\, Q(\lambda)=0}(U - \lambda\mathrm{Id})$ is invertible and its inverse may be approximated by polynomials in U and U^{-1}, because for λ with $Q(\lambda) = 0$, we have $(U - \lambda\mathrm{Id})^{-1} = -\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}(U^k/\lambda^{k+1})$ for $|\lambda| > 1$ and $(U - \lambda\mathrm{Id})^{-1} = -\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}(U^{-(k+1)}/\lambda^k)$ for $|\lambda| < 1$ (these sequences are normally convergent in $\mathcal{L}(B)$ as we assume $\|U\| = \|U^{-1}\| = 1$). Let $\mathcal{H}' = \{h'_1, h'_2, \dots, h'_m\}$ with $h'_i = \sum_{j=1}^m U^{-p} P_{i,j}(U) f_j$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_n &= M(U)^{-1} U^p \mathcal{H}' \\ &= {}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathrm{com}\, M(U) U^p Q(U)^{-1} \mathcal{H}'.\end{aligned}$$

Then from the above observations, we get

$$\mathcal{F}_n \subset V_{\mathcal{H}'}.$$

In particular, $V_{\mathcal{F}_n} \subset V_{\mathcal{H}'}$ and it follows finally from equation (4.2) that $\mathcal{H}' \in O(\mathcal{F}, \epsilon)$, that is,

$$\text{for all } f \in \mathcal{F}, \quad \inf_{H' \in V_{\mathcal{H}'}} \|H' - f\| < \epsilon.$$

This completes the proof as we have $d_{\mathrm{Hau}}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}') < \delta$ by equation (4.4), where $\mathcal{H}$ and δ have been chosen arbitrarily. □

5. *Cantor systems with finite topological rank*

Roughly speaking, an ergodic measure-preserving system is of finite rank r when it may be obtained by cutting and stacking with r Kakutani–Rohlin towers. For ergodic systems, Baxter's lemma implies that the ergodic multiplicity is less than or equal to the rank. Topological rank has been defined and studied for minimal Cantor systems (see e.g. [**DDMP21**] and the references therein). For such systems, we show now with Lemma 4.1 that the same inequality holds for the topological quantities: the topological multiplicity is less than or equal to the topological rank.

First we recall the definition of topological rank. Let (X, T) be a minimal Cantor system. A *Kakutani–Rohlin partition* of X is given by

$$\mathcal{T} = \{T^{-j} B(k) : 1 \le k \le d, 0 \le j < h(k)\},$$

where $d, h(k), 1 \le k \le d$ are positive integers and $B(k), 1 \le k \le d$ are clopen subsets of X such that

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{d} T^{-h(k)} B(k) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{d} B(k).$$

The *base* of $\mathcal{T}$ is the set $B(\mathcal{T}) = \bigcup_{k=1}^d B(k)$. A sequence of Kakutani–Rohlin partitions

$$\mathcal{T}_n = \{T^{-j} B_n(k) : 1 \le k \le d_n, 0 \le j < h_n(k)\}, \quad n \ge 1,$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

is *nested* if:

(1) $\mathcal{T}_0$ is the trivial partition, that is, $d_0 = 1$, $h_0 = 1$ and $B_0(1) = X$;
(2) $B(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}) \subset B(\mathcal{T}_n)$;
(3) $\mathcal{T}_{n+1} \succ \mathcal{T}_n$;
(4) $\sharp(\bigcap_{n\geq 0} B(\mathcal{T}_n)) = 1$;
(5) $\bigcup_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{T}_n$ spans the topology of X.

Moreover, it is *primitive* if for all $n \geq 1$, there exists $N > n$ such that for all $1 \leq k \leq d_N$ and for each $x \in T^{-(hN(k)-1)} B_N(k)$,

$$\{T^i(x) : 0 \leq i \leq h_N(k) - 1\} \cap B_n(j) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq d_n.$$

Following [**DDMP21**], a minimal Cantor system is of *topological rank d* if it admits a primitive sequence of nested Kakutani–Rohlin partitions with $d_n \leq d$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let (X, T) be a minimal Cantor system with topological rank d. Then* $\mathrm{Mult}(X, T) \leq d$.

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{T}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the primitive sequence of nested Kakutani–Rohlin partitions with $d_n \leq d$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \{\chi_{B_n(k)} : 1 \leq k \leq d_n\}.$$

Since $\bigcup_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{T}_n$ spans the topology of X, we have

$$\text{for all } f \in C(X), \quad \inf_{F_n \in V_{\mathcal{F}_n}} \|F_n - f\| \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.1 and $d_n \leq d$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $\mathrm{Mult}(X, T) \leq d$. □

Remark 5.2. It was shown in [**DDMP21**] that the Thue–Morse subshift has topological rank 3. By Theorem 5.1, the Thue–Morse subshift has therefore topological multiplicity at most 3. However, we will prove in Proposition 6.7 that the Thue–Morse subshift has simple topological multiplicity.

Examples of ergodic systems with rank r and multiplicity m have been built for any $1 \leq m \leq r$ in [**KL97**]. We then propose the following question.

Question 5.3. Can one build for any $1 \leq m \leq r$ a minimal Cantor system with topological multiplicity m and topological rank r?

6. *Examples of finite topological multiplicity*

An invertible dynamical system is called *topological simple* or *has a simple topological spectrum* if $\mathrm{Mult}(T) = 1$.

6.0.1. *Minimal rotation on compact groups.* Let G be a compact abelian group. Denote by $\hat{G}$ the dual group of G and by λ the Haar measure on G. For $f \in C(G)$, we write $\hat{f}$ the Fourier transformation of f.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Any minimal translation τ on a compact abelian group G is topologically simple.*

Proof. We claim that any $f \in C(G)$ with $\hat{f}(\chi) \neq 0$ for all $\chi \in \hat{G}$ is cyclic, that is, the vector space spanned by $f \circ \tau^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is dense in $C(X)$. As characters of a compact abelian group separate points, it is enough to show by the Stone–Weierstrass theorem that any character belongs to the complex vector space spanned by $f \circ \tau^k, k \in \mathbb{N}$. However, for all $\chi \in \hat{G}$, we have

$$\hat{f}(\chi)\chi = \chi * f = \int f(\cdot - y)\chi(y)\, d\lambda(y).$$

Then with the function f being uniformly continuous, there are functions of the form $\sum_k f(\cdot - y_k)\chi(y_k)$ arbitrarily close to $\hat{f}(\chi)\chi$ for the supremum norm. By minimality of τ, there are integers $l_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f \circ \tau^{l_k}$ and $f(\cdot - y_k)$ are arbitrarily closed. It concludes the proof. □

6.0.2. *Sturmian subshift.* A word $u \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is called *Sturmian* if it is recurrent under the shift σ, and the number of n-words in u equals $n + 1$ for each $n \geq 1$. Take the shift-orbit closure $X_u = \overline{O_\sigma(u)}$. The corresponding subshift (X_u, σ) is called a *Sturmian subshift*. Sturmian sequences are symbolic representation of circle irrational rotations.

We first recall some standard notation in symbolic dynamics. For a subset Y of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $\mathcal{A}$ being a finite alphabet, we let $\mathcal{L}_n(Y)$ be the number of n-words appearing in the sequences of Y. Then for $w \in \mathcal{L}_n(Y)$, we let $[w]$ be the associated cylinder defined as $[w] := \{(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in Y : \ x_0 \cdots x_{n-1} = w\}$. The indicator function of a subset E of X will be denoted by χ_E.

PROPOSITION 6.2. *Any Sturmian subshift has simple topological spectrum.*

Proof. Let u be a Sturmian sequence. Let

$$F_n = \operatorname{span}\{\chi_{[w]} : w \in \mathcal{L}_n(u)\}.$$

It follows that

$$C(X_u) = V_{\bigcup_n F_n}.$$

Notice $\dim(F_n) = \sharp\mathcal{L}_n(u) = n + 1$. We let $f : X_u \to \mathbb{R}$ be the continuous function defined as $f : x = (x_n)_n \mapsto (-1)^{x_0}$. Let

$$G_n = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbb{1}, f \circ \sigma^k : 0 \leq k \leq n - 1\}.$$

Clearly, $G_n \subset F_n$. To prove that (X_u, σ) has simple topological spectrum, it is sufficient to show $\dim(G_n) = n + 1$. Thus, it is enough to show the functions $\{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{1}, f \circ \sigma^k : 0 \leq k \leq n - 1\}$ are linearly independent. If not, for some n, there exists a non-zero vector $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ such that

$$a_0(-1)^{x_0} + a_1(-1)^{x_1} + \cdots + a_{n-1}(-1)^{x_{n-1}} + a_n = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in X_u.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Since $\sharp\mathcal{L}_{n-1}(u) > \sharp\mathcal{L}_{n-2}(u)$, we can find distinct $x, x' \in X_u$ such that $x|_0^{n-2} = x'|_0^{n-2}$ but $x_{n-1} \neq x'_{n-1}$. It follows that $a_{n-1} = 0$. Since for each $0 \leq k \leq n-2$ we can always find y, y' such that $y|_0^{k-1} = y'|_0^{k-1}$ but $y_k \neq y'_k$, we obtain that $a_{n-2} = a_{n-3} = \cdots = a_0 = 0$. Finally, we get $a_n = 0$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, we conclude that $\dim(G_n) = n+1$, then $F_n = G_n$. Then by Lemma 4.1, (X_u, σ) has simple topological spectrum. □

6.0.3. *Homeomorphism of the interval.* Estimating the multiplicity of non-zero dimensional systems is difficult in general. Below we focus on homeomorphisms of the interval (see [**Jav19**] for related results on the circle). We use the following result due to Atzmon and Olevskii [**AO96**]. We denote by $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ the set of continuous map on $\mathbb{R}$ with zero limits in $\pm\infty$. For $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we let $f_n = f(\cdot + n)$ be the translation of f by n.

THEOREM 6.3. [**AO96**] *There exists $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ such that the vector space spanned by g_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R})$.*

In particular, the operator $V : C_0(\mathbb{R}) \circlearrowleft$, $f \mapsto f(\cdot + 1)$, is cyclic. A Borel set S of $\mathbb{R}$ is called a *set of uniqueness* if the sets $S_n := (S + 2\pi n) \cap [-\pi, \pi]$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy the following properties:

(1) S_n, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are pairwise disjoint;
(2) $\mathrm{Leb}(S_n \cap U) > 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any open set U of $[-\pi, \pi]$;
(3) $\mathrm{Leb}(S) < \infty$,

where Leb denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$.

Atzmon and Olevskii proved for any set of uniqueness S (such sets exist!), the conclusion of Theorem 6.3 holds true with g being the the Fourier transform of the indicator function of S. Let us just remark that if S is a set of uniqueness, then

$$S^l = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (S_{nk+l} + 2\pi k), \quad 1 \leq l \leq k,$$

are k disjoints sets of uniqueness. Let $C_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ be the set of continuous maps on $\mathbb{C}$ with zero limits in infinity.

LEMMA 6.4. *The operator $V : C_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})^k \circlearrowleft$, $(f_i)_{1\leq i\leq k} \mapsto (f_i(\cdot + 1))_{1\leq i\leq k}$ is cyclic. In particular, the operator $U : C_0(\mathbb{R})^k \circlearrowleft$, $(f_i)_{1\leq i\leq k} \mapsto (f_i(\cdot + 1))_{1\leq i\leq k}$ is cyclic.*

Proof. Let S, S^l, $1 \leq l \leq k$, be sets of uniqueness as above. By following [**AO96**], we show that the vector space generated by the translates of $g := (\widehat{\chi_{S^l}})_{1\leq l\leq k}$ is dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})^k$ with $\widehat{\chi_{S^l}}$ the Fourier transform of the indicator function χ_{S^l} of S^l. It follows that the translates of $Re(g)$ are dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R})^k$. Let $\mu = (\mu_l)_{1\leq l\leq k}$ be a complex bounded measure with

$$\langle V^n(g), \mu\rangle = \sum_{1\leq l\leq k} \int (\widehat{\chi_{S^l}})_n \, d\mu_l = 0$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is enough to prove $\mu_l = 0$ for all $1 \leq l \leq k$. By the Plancherel–Parseval formula, we have

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$\int (\widehat{\chi_{S^l}})_n \, d\mu_l = \int \widehat{(\widehat{\chi_{S^l}})_n}(t)\hat{\mu}_l(-t)\, dt = -\int \chi_{S^l}(t)e^{-int}\hat{\mu}_l(t)\, dt.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{1\le l\le k} \int \chi_{S^l}(t)\hat{\mu}_l(t)e^{-int}\, dt = 0$$

for all n. However, this term is just the nth coefficient of the function of $L^1([-\pi,\pi])$ given by $\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}(\sum_{1\le l\le k}\chi_{S^l}\hat{\mu}_l)(\cdot+2\pi m)$, which should therefore be 0. As the sets $(S^l+2\pi m)\cap[-\pi,\pi]$, $m\in\mathbb{Z}$, are pairwise disjoint, each term of the previous sum should be zero; that is, $(\chi_{S^l}\hat{\mu}_l)(x+2\pi k)=0$ for all m, l and for Lebesgue almost every $x\in[-\pi,\pi]$. By Property (2) in the definition of a set of uniqueness, we conclude $\widehat{\mu_l}=0$. Therefore, $\mu_l=0$ for each $1\le l\le k$ and consequently the translates of g are dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})^k$. □

PROPOSITION 6.5. *Let $f:[0,1]\circlearrowleft$ be a homeomorphism of the interval. Then*

$$\mathrm{Mult}(f) = \sharp\mathcal{M}_e([0,1], f).$$

Proof. We first deal with the case of an increasing homeomorphism. The ergodic measures of f are the Dirac measures at these fixed points. Notice that f has at least two fixed points, 0 and 1. If it has infinitely many fixed points, then $\mathrm{Mult}(U_f)\ge\sharp\mathcal{M}_e([0,1],f)=\infty$. Now assume it has finitely many fixed points. Let $2\le k+1<+\infty$ be the number of fixed points. Since $\varphi(x)-\varphi\circ f(x)=0$ for any continuous function $\varphi\in C(X)$ and any fixed point x, the space $\overline{B_f([0,1])}$ is the set of real continuous maps on the interval which vanishes at the fixed points. It follows that the operator $\underline{U_f}$ is spectrally conjugate to $V: C_0(\mathbb{R})^k\circlearrowleft$, $(f_i)_{1\le i\le k}\mapsto(f_i(\cdot+1))_{1\le i\le k}$. By Lemma 6.4, we have $\mathrm{Mult}(\underline{U_f})=1$. It follows then from Propositions 2.9 and 2.6 that

$$\sharp\mathcal{M}_e([0,1],f)\le\mathrm{Mult}(U_f)\le\sharp\mathcal{M}_e([0,1],f)+\mathrm{Mult}(\underline{U_f})-1=\sharp\mathcal{M}_e([0,1],f). \tag{6.1}$$

It remains to consider the case of a decreasing homeomorphism f. Let $0<a<1$ be the unique fixed point of f. Then $f^2:[0,a]\circlearrowleft$ is an increasing homeomorphism. Let $0=x_1<x_2<\cdots<x_k=a$ be the fixed points of $f^2|_{[0,a]}$. Then the ergodic measures of f are the atomic periodic measures δ_a and $\frac{1}{2}(\delta_{x_i}+\delta_{f(x_i)})$ for $i=1,\dots,k-1$. In particular, we have $k=\sharp\mathcal{M}_e([0,1],f)$. From the previous case, there is a generating family $\mathcal{G}=\{g_1,\dots,g_k\}$ for $f^2:[0,a]\circlearrowleft$. Let $h\in C([0,1])$. For any $\epsilon>0$, there are $N\in\mathbb{N}$, $a_{l,n}$ and $b_{l,n}$, for $l=1,\dots,k$ and $|n|\le N$, (depending on ϵ), such that

$$\left\| h-\sum_{l,n} a_{l,n}g_l\circ f^{2n}\right\|_{[0,a],\infty}<\epsilon \tag{6.2}$$

and

$$\left\| h\circ f^{-1}-\sum_{l,n} b_{l,n}g_l\circ f^{2n}-h(a)\right\|_{[0,a],\infty}<\epsilon, \tag{6.3}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where $\|g\|_{[0,a],\infty} = \sup_{x\in[0,a]} |g(x)|$. We consider the extension $\tilde{g}_l$ of g_l to $[0, 1]$ with $\tilde{g}_l = g_l(a)$ on $[a, 1]$. We check now that $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \{\tilde{g}_1, \ldots, \tilde{g}_k\}$ is generating for f. It follows from equations (6.2) and (6.3) at $x = a$ that

$$\left|h(a) - \sum_{l,n} a_{l,n} g_l(a)\right| < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\sum_{l,n} b_{l,n} g_l(a)\right| < \epsilon. \tag{6.4}$$

Observe that

$$h = h|_{[0,a]} + (h \circ f^{-1}|_{[0,a]}) \circ f|_{[a,1]}.$$

Combining equation (6.4) with equation (6.2), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|h - \sum_{l,n} a_{l,n}\tilde{g}_l \circ f^{2n} - \sum_{l,n} b_{l,n}\tilde{g}_l \circ f^{2n+1}\right\|_{[0,a],\infty}\\ &\quad = \left\|h - \sum_{l,n} a_{l,n} g_l \circ f^{2n} - \sum_{l,n} b_{l,n} g_l(a)\right\|_{[0,a]\infty} < 2\epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, combining equation (6.4) with equation (6.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|h - \sum_{l,n} a_{l,n}\tilde{g}_l \circ f^{2n} - \sum_{l,n} b_{l,n}\tilde{g}_l \circ f^{2n+1}\right\|_{[a,1],\infty}\\ &\quad = \left\|h - \sum_{l,n} a_{l,n} g_l(a) - \sum_{l,n} b_{l,n} g_l \circ f^{2n+1}\right\|_{[a,1]\infty}\\ &\quad \le \left|h(a) - \sum_{l,n} a_{l,n} g_l(a)\right| + \left\|h - \sum_{l,n} b_{l,n} g_l \circ f^{2n+1} - h(a)\right\|_{[a,1]\infty} < 2\epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\left\|h - \sum_{l,n} a_{l,n}\tilde{g}_l \circ f^{2n} - \sum_{l,n} b_{l,n}\tilde{g}_l \circ f^{2n+1}\right\|_{\infty} < 2\epsilon.$$

We conclude that $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is generating for f as $\epsilon > 0$ and $h \in C([0, 1])$ have been chosen arbitrarily. □

Question 6.6. What is the topological multiplicity of a Morse–Smale diffeomorphism?

6.0.4. *Thue–Morse subshift.* We give now an example of a uniquely ergodic system with mixed spectrum and simple topological multiplicity. The *Thue–Morse subshift* X_ζ is the bilateral subshift associated to the substitution $\zeta(0) = 01$ and $\zeta(1) = 10$, that is, $X_\zeta = X_u$ with u being the infinite word of $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ given by $\cdots u_2u_1u_0u_0u_1u_2 \cdots$ with $u_0u_1u_2 \cdots$ being the unique fixed point of the substitution $v_0v_1v_2 \cdots \mapsto \zeta(v_0)\zeta(v_1)\zeta(v_2) \cdots$. This subshift is known to be a minimal uniquely ergodic system with simple mixed spectrum (for the Koopman operator U_σ on $L^2_0(\nu)$ with ν being the unique invariant probability measure) [**Kwi81**, **Mic76**]. The continuous part of its spectrum is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure [**Kak72**]. The map $\tau : X_\zeta \to X_\zeta$, $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mapsto (1 - x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ defines an involution of X_ζ. As τ commutes with σ, the measure ν is also τ-invariant.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

THEOREM 6.7. *The Thue–Morse subshift is topologically simple.*

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\mathcal{F}_n = \{\chi_{[\zeta^n(i)]} : i \in \{0,1\}\}$ and $f_n = \chi_{[\zeta^n(0)]}$. To prove Theorem 6.7, we first show the following lemma which states that the space $V_{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is cyclic.

LEMMA 6.8.

$$V_{\mathcal{F}_n} = V_{\{f_n\}}.$$

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that the (simple) point spectrum of U_σ consists in the powers of 2. In particular, the system $(\zeta^n(X), \sigma^{2^n}, \mathcal{B}, \nu)$ is ergodic, then the restriction of σ to $\zeta^n(X)$ is uniquely ergodic. Consequently, the Birkhoff sum $\frac{1}{p}\sum_{0\le k<p} f_n \circ \sigma^{k2^n}$ is converging uniformly to $\int f_n \, d\nu = \nu([\zeta^n(0)])$ on $\zeta^n(X)$, when p goes to infinity. However, $\nu([\zeta^n(0)]) = \nu([\zeta^n(1)]) \neq 0$. It follows that $\chi_{[\zeta^n(X)]} \in V_{\{f_n\}}$. Since $\chi_{\zeta^n(X)} = f_n + \chi_{[\zeta^n(1)]}$, we conclude that the continuous function $\chi_{[\zeta^n(1)]}$ belongs to $V_{\{f_n\}}$. □

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 6.7. We make use of the following notation. For a point $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, we write it as

$$x = \cdots x_{-2}x_{-1}.x_0x_1 \cdots .$$

Proof of Theorem 6.7. According to Lemma 6.8, it is enough to check the assumptions of Lemma 4.1 with $(\mathcal{F}_n)_n$. As the sequence of vectors spaces $(V_{\mathcal{F}_n})_{n\in\mathcal{N}}$ is non-decreasing, one only needs to show $\overline{\bigcup_n V_{\mathcal{F}_n}} = C(X_\zeta)$. If not, there would be distinct probability measures $\mu^\pm$ with

$$\mu^+(\sigma^k[\zeta^n(i)]) = \mu^-(\sigma^k[\zeta^n(i)]) \quad \text{for all } k, n \text{ for all } i = 0, 1.$$

Let $E = \{\zeta^\infty(i).\zeta^\infty(j) : i, j \in \{0,1\}\}$. Then for every n,

$$P_n := \{\sigma^k[\zeta^n(i)],\ i = 0, 1,\ 0 \le k < 2^n\}$$

is a partition of $X_\zeta \setminus O_\sigma(E)$ where $O_\sigma(E)$ is the orbit of E under σ, that is, $O_\sigma(E) = \{\sigma^k(x) : x \in E, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For any open set $U \supset O_\sigma(E)$, we will show that $\mu^+|_{X_\zeta\setminus U} = \mu^-|_{X_\zeta\setminus U}$. Obviously, we have

$$\operatorname{diam}(P_n(x)) \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in X_\zeta \setminus U.$$

Thus, $\{P_n \cap (X_\zeta \setminus U)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ generates Borel σ-algebra on $X_\zeta \setminus U$. Therefore, we have $\mu^+|_{X_\zeta\setminus U} = \mu^-|_{X_\zeta\setminus U}$. Since U is chosen arbitrarily, we obtain that

$$\mu^+|_{X_\zeta\setminus O_\sigma(E)} = \mu^-|_{X_\zeta\setminus O_\sigma(E)}. \tag{6.5}$$

Let

$$E_i = \{\zeta^\infty(j).\zeta^\infty(i) : j = 0, 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad E^j = \{\zeta^\infty(j).\zeta^\infty(i) : i = 0, 1\},$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

which form a partition of E. Then by $\mu^+([\zeta^n(i)]) = \mu^-([\zeta^n(i)])$ for all n, we have $\mu^+(E_i) = \mu^-(E_i)$. Similarly, we have $\mu^+(E^j) = \mu^-(E^j)$. Observe that

$$\zeta^\infty(0).\zeta^\infty(1) = \bigcap_n [\zeta^{2n}(0).\zeta^{2n}(1)].$$

It follows that $\mu^+(\zeta^\infty(0).\zeta^\infty(1)) = \mu^-(\zeta^\infty(0).\zeta^\infty(1))$. Thus, we get

$$\mu^+|_E = \mu^-|_E.$$

Similarly, we obtain $\mu^+|_{\sigma^k(E)} = \mu^-|_{\sigma^k(E)}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It implies that $\mu^+|_{O_\sigma(E)} = \mu^-|_{O_\sigma(E)}$. Combining this with equation (6.5), we conclude that $\mu^+ = \mu^-$, which is a contradi- ction. □

7. *Subshifts with linear growth complexity*

We consider a subshift $X \subset \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with letters in a finite alphabet $\mathcal{A}$. For $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we denote by $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for $x_n \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_n(X) \subset \mathcal{A}^n$ be the finite words of X of length n, that is, $\mathcal{L}_n(X) = \{x_k x_{k+1} \dots x_{k+n-1} : x \in X, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. The word complexity of X is given by

$$\text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad p_X(n) = \sharp\mathcal{L}_n(X).$$

We suppose that X is aperiodic and has linear growth, that is, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\liminf_n \frac{p_X(n)}{n} \le k. \tag{7.1}$$

Boshernitzan [**Bos92**] showed that such a subshift admits at most k ergodic measures. By [**DDMP21**, Theorem 5.5], such subshifts, when assumed to be moreover minimal, have topological rank less than or equal to $(1 + k\sharp\mathcal{A}^2)^{2(k+2)}$. We show in this section the following upper bound on the topological multiplicity.

THEOREM 7.1. *Any aperiodic subshift X with* $\liminf_{n\to\infty}(p_X(n)/n) \le k$ *has topological multiplicity less than or equal to* $2k$.

One may wonder if the upper bound in Theorem 7.1 is sharp.

Question 7.2. Is an aperiodic subshift X with $\liminf_{n\to\infty}(p_X(n)/n) = 1$ topologically simple?

To prove Theorem 7.1, we define some notation. Let Q_n be the subset of $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$ given by words w such that there are several letters $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $wa \in \mathcal{L}_{n+1}(X)$. We also let Q'_{n+1} be the $(n+1)$-words wa as above. Clearly, we have

$$\sharp Q_n \le p_X(n+1) - p_X(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \sharp Q'_{n+1} = \sharp Q_n + p_X(n+1) - p_X(n). \tag{7.2}$$

Through this section, we always assume the subshift is aperiodic and satisfies the linear growth equation (7.1).

LEMMA 7.3. *The subset of integers*

$$\mathcal{N} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p_X(n+1) < (k+1)(n+1) \text{ and } p_X(n+1) - p_X(n) \le k\}$$

is infinite.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

For the sake of completeness, we reproduce the proof, which is contained in [**Bos84**, Theorem 2.2].

Proof. By equation (7.1), we have

$$\liminf_n (p_X(n) - (k+1)n) = -\infty.$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : p_X(n+1) - (k+1)(n+1) \leq \min\left\{0, \min_{1 \leq m \leq n} \{p_X(m) - (k+1)m\}\right\} - 1 \right\}$$

is an infinite set. For any $n \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$p_X(n+1) - p_X(n) \leq (k+1)(n+1) - (k+1)n - 1 = k.$$

However, for any $n \in \mathcal{M}$, we get

$$p_X(n+1) \leq (k+1)(n+1) - 1.$$

This implies that $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$. Therefore, the set $\mathcal{N}$ is infinite. □

LEMMA 7.4. [**Bos84**, Lemma 4.1] *For any $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $m \geq (k+2)(n+1)$, any word $w \in \mathcal{L}_m$ contains a subword in Q_n.*

For the sake of completeness, we provide a proof here.

Proof. We prove it by contradiction. Assume in contrast that all $(m - n + 1)$ n-subwords of w do not belong to Q_n. That means that each of these n-blocks determines uniquely the next letter. Since $m - n + 1 \geq 2(k+1)n > p_X(n)$, at least one n-word appears more than one time as a subword of w. Therefore, X contains a periodic point, which contradicts our assumption. □

Now we show that any cylinder of length less than n can be decomposed as the cylinders of elements in Q'_{n+1} after translations.

LEMMA 7.5. *Let $n \in \mathcal{N}$. Any cylinder $[w]$ with length of w less than n may be written uniquely as a finite disjoint union of sets of the form $\sigma^p[q'_{n+1}]$ with $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $q'_{n+1} \in Q'_{n+1}$, such that $\sigma^t[q'_{n+1}] \cap [q_n] = \emptyset$ for any $0 < t < p$ and any $q_n \in Q_n$.*

Remark that by Lemma 7.4, the integer p belongs to $[0, (k+2)(n+1)]$.

Proof. Let $[w]$ be a cylinder associated to a word $w \in \mathcal{L}_l(X)$ with $l < n$. For $x \in [w]$, we let $K_x \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the largest integer j less than l such that $x_{j-n+1} \cdots x_j$ belongs to Q_n. Then the word $w^x_{n+1} = x_{K_x - n + 1} \cdots x_{K_x + 1}$ belongs to Q'_{n+1}. Observe also that by Lemma 7.4, we have $n - 1 - K_x \leq (k+2)(n+1)$. Let W_{n+1} be the collection of these words w^x_{n+1} over $x \in [w]$. By definition of K_x and Q_n, the word w^x_{n+1} completely determines the $l - 1 - K_x$ next letters, that is to say,

$$[w^x_{n+1}] = [x_{K_x - n + 1} \cdots x_{l-1}].$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

As x belongs to $[w]$, we have in particular $\sigma^{n-1-K_x}[w^x_{n+1}] \subset [w]$ and finally

$$[w] = \coprod_{w^x_{n+1} \in W_{n+1}} \sigma^{n-1-K_x} w^x_{n+1}.$$

We complete the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 7.1. By equation (7.2) and the definition of $\mathcal{N}$, we have for $n \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sharp Q'_{n+1} &= \sharp Q_n + p_X(n+1) - p_X(n) \\ &\le 2(p_X(n+1) - p_X(n)) \\ &\le 2k. \end{aligned}$$

For $n \in \mathcal{N}$, we let $F_n = \{\chi_{[q'_{n+1}]}, q'_{n+1} \in Q'_{n+1}\}$. By Lemma 7.5, any cylinder $[w]$ with length less than n is a finite disjoint union of $\sigma^p[q'_{n+1}]$. In particular, $\chi_{[w]}$ lies in V_{F_n}. We may therefore apply Lemma 4.1 to $(F_n)_{n\in\mathcal{N}}$ and we get

$$\mathrm{Mult}(X, \sigma) \le \sup_{n\in\mathcal{N}} \sharp Q'_{n+1} \le 2k.$$ □

7.1. *Multiplicity of invariant measures.* It follows from Theorem 7.1 and Lemma 2.4 that any ergodic measure has (ergodic) multiplicity bounded by $2k$. In fact, we may refine this result as follows.

THEOREM 7.6. *Let X be an aperiodic subshift with* $\liminf_{n\to\infty}(p_X(n)/n) \le k$. *Then*

$$\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_e(X,\sigma)} \mathrm{Mult}(\mu) \le 2k.$$

To prove Theorem 7.6, we first recall some notation and then show two lemmas for general aperiodic subshifts. We have learned from the referee that some parts of our proofs overlap with results in [**Cre23**, **Esp23**]. Let (Y, σ) be an aperiodic subshift. For two finite words w and v, we denote by $N(w|v)$ the number of times that w appears as a subword of v. Also, we define $d(w|v) = N(w|v)/|v|$. For a generic point x of a measure μ, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(w|x_1^n) = \mu([w]),$$

where $x_1^n = x_1x_2 \dots x_n$. For a finite word v, we denote by $v^{\otimes m} = \underbrace{vv\dots v}_{m \text{ times}}$. For a finite word w, we denote by

$$\nu_w = \frac{1}{|w|} \sum_{k=0}^{|w|-1} \delta_{\sigma^k(\bar{w})},$$

where $|w|$ is the length of w and $\bar{w} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the periodization of w, that is, $w^{\otimes\infty}$.

Let w_n be a word of length n. Foy any n, we put $\ell_n = \ell(w_n) := \min\{1 \le \ell < n : [w_n] \cap \sigma^\ell([w_n]) \ne \emptyset\}$ and $L_n := 1 + \sharp\{1 \le \ell < n : [w_n] \cap \sigma^\ell([w_n]) \ne \emptyset\}$, with the convention $\min \emptyset = n$. Let $v_n = v(w_n)$ be the first ℓ_n-subword of w_n. It follows that $w_n = v_n^{\otimes K_n}\hat{v}_n$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

with $\hat{v}_n \neq v_n$ being a prefix of v_n. Then $K_n = \lfloor n/\ell_n \rfloor \geq L_n$. Observe that for any $x \in X$, $p \geq \ell_n$, and any word u of length less than ℓ_n, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(u|x_1^p) &\geq N(u|w_n)\frac{N(w_n|x_1^p)}{L_n} \\ &\geq N(u|v_n)K_n\frac{N(w_n|x_1^p)}{L_n} \\ &\geq N(u|v_n)N(w_n|x_1^p). \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

In the next two lemmas, we assume that:

- the subshift (Y, σ) is aperiodic;
- $w_n \in \mathcal{L}_n(Y)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- $\nu_{w_n} \xrightarrow[n\in\mathcal{N}]{n\to+\infty} \nu \in \mathcal{M}_e(Y, \sigma)$, that is, ν_{w_n} is weakly converging to an ergodic measure ν when n goes to infinity along a subsequence $\mathcal{N}$.

LEMMA 7.7. *Under the above assumption, we have*

$$\ell_n = |v_n| \xrightarrow[n\in\mathcal{N}]{n\to+\infty} +\infty$$

and

$$\nu_{v_n} \xrightarrow[n\in\mathcal{N}]{n\to+\infty} \nu.$$

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Assume $(\ell_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has a bounded infinite subsequence $\mathcal{N}'$ of $\mathcal{N}$. Then there are finite words v and $\hat{v}_n$ with $|\hat{v}_n| < |v|$ such that $w_n = v^{\otimes K_n}\hat{v}_n$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}''$, where $\mathcal{N}''$ is some infinite subsequence of $\mathcal{N}'$. Observe first that the length of w_n goes to infinity. As a consequence, K_n goes also to infinity as n goes to infinity along $\mathcal{N}''$. However, then X should contain the periodic point $\overline{v}$ associated to v which is a contradiction to the aperiodicity of (Y, σ). Therefore, $\ell_n \xrightarrow[n\in\mathcal{N}]{n\to+\infty} +\infty$.

Let us check now that $\nu_{v_n} \xrightarrow[n\in\mathcal{N}]{n\to+\infty} \nu$. Let $\nu' = \lim_{k\to\infty} \nu_{v_{n_k}}$ be a weak limit of $(\nu_{v_n})_{n\in\mathcal{N}}$ with a subsequence $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathcal{N}$. For any word u with $|u| < \ell_n$, by equation (7.3), we have

$$N(u|w_n) \geq N(u|v_n)K_n,$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} d(u|w_n) &\geq d(u|v_n)\frac{K_n|v_n|}{(K_n+1)|v_n|} \\ &\geq \tfrac{1}{2}d(u|v_n). \end{aligned}$$

For any cylinder $[u]$, by letting n go to infinity, we get that

$$\nu([u]) \geq \tfrac{1}{2}\nu'([u]).$$

It implies that $\nu - \frac{1}{2}\nu'$ is a σ-invariant measure. It follows from the ergodicity of ν that $\nu = \nu'$. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

LEMMA 7.8. *For any ergodic measure $\mu \neq \nu$, we have*

$$\lim_{n\in\mathcal{N},n\to\infty} |v_n|\mu([w_n]) = 0.$$

Proof. Assume $\limsup_{n\in\mathcal{N},n\to\infty} |v_n|\mu([w_n]) > 0$. By passing to an infinite subsequence $\mathcal{N}'$ of $\mathcal{N}$, we have $\lim_{n\in\mathcal{N}',n\to\infty} |v_n|\mu([w_n]) = b > 0$. By Lemma 7.7, the sequence ν_{v_n}, $n \in \mathcal{N}'$, is converging to the measure ν.

Let x be a generic point of μ. Then we have for any n,

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-1} \chi_{[w_n]}(\sigma^\ell(x)) = \lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{N(w_n|x_1^p)}{p} = \mu([w_n]). \tag{7.4}$$

In particular, for any n, we can choose $P_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $p \geq P_n$,

$$\frac{N(w_n|x_1^p)}{p} \geq \frac{\mu([w_n])}{2}. \tag{7.5}$$

Pick an arbitrary cylinder $[u]$. By Lemma 7.7, there exists an integer N such that for $n > N$, we have

$$N(u|v_n) \geq \tfrac{1}{2}\nu([u])|v_n|. \tag{7.6}$$

It follows from equation (7.3) that

$$d(u|x_1^p) \geq \tfrac{1}{4}\nu([u])|v_n|\mu(w_n).$$

By letting p then $n \in \mathcal{N}'$ go to infinity, we get for any cylinder $[u]$,

$$\mu([u]) \geq \frac{b}{4}\nu([u]).$$

It implies that $\mu - (b/4)\nu$ is a σ-invariant measure, which is a contradiction to the ergodicity of μ. □

We recall now briefly the proof of Boshernitzan that an aperiodic subshift of linear growth has finite many ergodic measures. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the infinite set as in Lemma 7.3. For any $n \in \mathcal{N}$, one can choose (not uniquely) an ordered k-tuple of n-words $K_n := \{q_{n,1}, \ldots, q_{n,k}\}$ which coincides with Q_n. By passing to a subsequence $\mathcal{N}'$ of $\mathcal{N}$, we can make each of the sequences of $\nu_{q_{n,i}}$ weakly converge to some measures $\mu_i \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)$. Boshernitzan showed that

$$\mathcal{M}_e(X, T) \subset \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_k\}.$$

Since μ_i may coincide with the other μ_j for $j \neq i$, we define $I_i = \{1 \leq j \leq k : \mu_j = \mu_i\}$.

We will use the following complement of Lemma 7.5.

LEMMA 7.9. *In the decomposition of a cylinder $[w]$ given by Lemma 7.5, for any term $\sigma^p[q'_{n+1}]$ with $|v(q'_{n+1})| < n + 1$, we have $p \leq |v(q'_{n+1})|$.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction. To simplify the notation, we write $v_n = v(q'_{n+1})$. Assume $|v_n| < n + 1$ and $p > |v_n|$. By definition of v_n, we have

$$\emptyset \neq \sigma^p[q'_{n+1}] \cap \sigma^{p-|v_n|}[q'_{n+1}].$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

However, it follows from Lemma 7.5 that $\sigma^p[q'_{n+1}]$ does not intersect $\sigma^l(\bigcup_{q_n\in Q_n}[q_n])$ for $0<l<p$, therefore, with $q_n\in Q_n$ being the prefix of q'_{n+1}, we get the contradiction

$$\sigma^p[q'_{n+1}]\cap\sigma^{p-|v_n|}[q'_{n+1}]\subset\sigma^p[q'_{n+1}]\cap\sigma^{p-|v_n|}[q_n]=\emptyset.$$

Thus, we have $p\le|v(q'_{n+1})|$. □

For a given i, we let $(q^l_{n,i})_{l\in\mathcal{Q}_{n,i}}$ be the elements of Q'_{n+1} with prefix $q_{n,i}$, where $\mathcal{Q}_{n,i}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{A}$ for each $n\in\mathcal{N}'$, $1\le i\le k$. Note that $\nu_{q^l_{n,i}}$ is also converging to μ_i for any l when n goes to infinity along $\mathcal{N}'$. Finally, we let $v^l_{n,i}=v_n(q^l_{n,i})$ for each $n\in\mathcal{N}'$, $1\le i\le k$, and $l\in\mathcal{Q}_{n,i}$.

Proof of Theorem 7.6. Pick an arbitrary cylinder $[w]$. Let

$$[w]=\coprod_{j,l}\coprod_{p\in P^l_{n,j}}\sigma^p[q^l_{n,j}]$$

be the decomposition of $[w]$ given by Lemma 7.5. Recall that $P_{j,l}$ is a subset of $[0,(k+2)(n+1)]$ for any l and by Lemma 7.9, we have also $P_{j,l}\subset[0,|v^l_{n,j}|-1]$ if $|v^l_{n,j}|<n+1$. For each $n\in\mathcal{N}'$, we decompose $\{(j,l):1\le j\le k,l\in\mathcal{Q}_{n,j}\}$ into three sets $J_{n,i}$, $J'_{n,i}$, and $J''_{n,i}$, where $J_{n,i}:=\{(j,l):j\in I_i\}$, $J'_{n,i}:=\{(j,l):j\notin I_i,|v^l_{n,j}|=n+1\}$ and $J''_{n,i}$ is the rest. Then for $(j,l)\in J'_{n,i}$, we have

$$\mu_i\Big(\coprod_{p\in P^l_{n,j}}\sigma^p[q^l_{n,j}]\Big)\le(k+2)(n+1)\mu_i([q^l_{n,j}])=(k+2)|v^l_{n,j}|\mu_i([q^l_{n,j}]).\tag{7.7}$$

However, for $(j,l)\in J''_{n,i}$, we have

$$\mu_i\Big(\coprod_{p\in P^l_{n,j}}\sigma^p[q^l_{n,j}]\Big)\le|v^l_{n,j}|\mu_i([q^l_{n,j}]).\tag{7.8}$$

By summing up equations (7.7) and (7.8), we have

$$\mu_i\Big(\coprod_{(j,l)\in J'_{n,i}\cup J''_{n,i}}\coprod_{p\in P^l_{n,j}}\sigma^p[q^l_{n,j}]\Big)\le2k(k+2)\sum_{(j,l)\in J'_{n,i}\cup J''_{n,i}}|v^l_{n,j}|\mu_i([q^l_{n,j}]).\tag{7.9}$$

Combining this with Lemma 7.8, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu_i\Big(\coprod_{(j,l)\in J'_{n,i}\cup J''_{n,i}}\coprod_{p\in P^l_{n,j}}\sigma^p[q^l_{n,j}]\Big)=0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\Big\|\chi_{[w]}-\sum_{(n,j)\in J_{n,i},p\in P^l_{n,j}}\chi_{[q^l_{n,j}]}\circ\sigma^{-p}\Big\|^2_{L^2(\mu_i)}=\|\chi_{[w]}-\chi_{\coprod_{(n,j)\in J_{n,i},p\in P^l_{n,j}}\sigma^p[q^l_{n,j}]}\|^2_{L^2(\mu_i)}$$
$$=\mu_i\Big(\coprod_{(j,l)\in J'_{n,i}\cup J''_{n,i}}\coprod_{p\in P^l_{n,j}}\sigma^p[q^l_{n,j}]\Big)\xrightarrow[n\in\mathcal{N}']{n\to+\infty}0.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Thus, we can apply Lemma 4.1 in $L^2_0(\mu_i)$ with $F_n = \{\chi_{[q^l_{n,j}]} : (j,l) \in J_{n,i}\}$ to get

$$\mathrm{Mult}(\mu_i) \leq \liminf_{n\in\mathcal{N}',n\to\infty} \sharp J_{n,i}.$$

By summing it up, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_e(X,\sigma)} \mathrm{Mult}(\mu) &\leq \sum_i \liminf_{n\in\mathcal{N}',n\to\infty} \sharp J_{n,i}\\ &\leq \liminf_{n\in\mathcal{N}',n\to\infty} \sharp Q'_{n+1}\\ &\leq 2k.\end{aligned}$$

□

References

[AO96] A. Atzmon and A. Olevskiĭ. Completeness of integer translates in function spaces on R. *J. Approx. Theory* **87**(3) (1996), 291–327.

[Bax71] J. R. Baxter. A class of ergodic transformations having simple spectrum. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **27** (1971), 275–279.

[Bir29] G. D. Birkhoff. Demonstration d'un theoreme elementaire sur les fonctions entieres. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **189** (1929), 473–475.

[Bos84] M. Boshernitzan. A unique ergodicity of minimal symbolic flows with linear block growth. *J. Anal. Math.* **44**(1) (1984), 77–96.

[Bos92] M. D. Boshernitzan. A condition for unique ergodicity of minimal symbolic flows. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **12**(3) (1992), 425–428.

[BS97] P. S. Bourdon and J. H. Shapiro. Cyclic phenomena for composition operators. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125**(596) (1997), x+105.

[BS22] D. Burguet and R. Shi. Topological mean dimension of induced systems. *Preprint*, 2022, arXiv:2206.10508.

[CK19] V. Cyr and B. Kra. Counting generic measures for a subshift of linear growth. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **21**(2) (2019), 355–380.

[Coo15] M. Coornaert. *Topological Dimension and Dynamical Systems*. Springer, Cham, 2015.

[CP23] D. Creutz and R. Pavlov. Low complexity subshifts have discrete spectrum. *Forum Math. Sigma* **11** (2023), e96.

[Cre23] D. Creutz. Measure-theoretically mixing subshifts of minimal word complexity. *Preprint*, 2023, arXiv:2206.10047.

[Dan13] A. I. Danilenko. A survey on spectral multiplicities of ergodic actions. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **33**(1) (2013), 81–117.

[DDMP21] S. Donoso, F. Durand, A. Maass and S. Petite. Interplay between finite topological rank minimal cantor systems, s-adic subshifts and their complexity. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **374**(5) (2021), 3453–3489.

[DGS06] M. Denker, C. Grillenberger and K. Sigmund. *Ergodic Theory on Compact Spaces (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 527)*. Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[DP22] F. Durand and D. Perrin. *Dimension Groups and Dynamical Systems—Substitutions, Bratteli Diagrams and Cantor Systems (Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 196)*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2022.

[Esp23] B. Espinoza. The structure of low complexity subshifts. *Preprint*, 2023, arXiv:2305.03096.

[Fog02] N. Pytheas Fogg. *Substitutions in Dynamics, Arithmetics and Combinatorics (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1794)*. Eds. V. Berthé, S. Ferenczi, C. Mauduit and A. Siegel. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[GT20] Y. Gutman and M. Tsukamoto. Embedding minimal dynamical systems into Hilbert cubes. *Invent. Math.* **221**(1) (2020), 113–166.

[GW95] E. Glasner and B. Weiss. Quasi-factors of zero-entropy systems. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8**(3) (1995), 665–686.

[Jav19] M. Javaheri. Cyclic composition operators on separable locally compact metric spaces. *Topology Appl.* **258** (2019), 126–141.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press

[Kak72] S. Kakutani. Strictly ergodic symbolic dynamical systems. *Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability (Univ. California, Berkeley, CA, 1970/1971), Vol. II: Probability Theory*. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA, 1972.

[Kat01] A. Katok. Cocycles, cohomology and combinatorial constructions in ergodic theory. *Smooth Ergodic Theory and its Applications (Seattle, WA, 1999) (Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, 69)*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001, pp. 107–173; in collaboration with E. A. Robinson, Jr.

[KL97] J. Kwiatkowski and Y. Lacroix. Multiplicity, rank pairs. *J. Anal. Math.* **71** (1997), 205–235.

[Kwi81] J. Kwiatkowski. Spectral isomorphism of Morse dynamical systems. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math.* **29**(3–4) (1981), 105–114.

[Lin99] E. Lindenstrauss. Mean dimension, small entropy factors and an embedding theorem. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **89**(1) (1999), 227–262.

[LT14] E. Lindenstrauss and M. Tsukamoto. Mean dimension and an embedding problem: an example. *Israel J. Math.* **199**(2) (2014), 573–584.

[LV97] P. Liardet and D. Voln. Sums of continuous and differentiable functions in dynamical systems. *Israel J. Math.* **98** (1997), 29–60.

[Mic76] P. Michel. Stricte ergodicité d'ensembles minimaux de substitution. *Théorie Ergodique*. Eds. J. P. Conze and M. S. Keane. Springer, Berlin, 1976, pp. 189–201.

[NP66] D. Newton and W. Parry. On a factor automorphism of a normal dynamical system. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **37** (1966), 1528–1533.

[Par53] O. S. Parasyuk. Flows of horocycles on surfaces of constant negative curvature. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk (N.S.)* **8**(3(55)) (1953), 125–126.

[Que10] M. Queffélec. *Substitution Dynamical Systems-Spectral Analysis (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1294)*. Springer, Berlin, 2010.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2023.118 Published online by Cambridge University Press