Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. (2007), **27**, 583–594
DOI: 10.1017/S0143385706000642
© *2006 Cambridge University Press*
Printed in the United Kingdom

A variational principle for discontinuous potentials

ANNA MUMMERT

McAllister Building, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
(e-mail: anna@math.psu.edu)

(*Received* 19 *April* 2006 *and accepted in revised form* 23 *July* 2006)

Abstract. Let X be a compact space, $f\colon X \to X$ a continuous map, and $\Lambda \subset X$ be any f-invariant subset. Assume that there exists a nested family of subsets $\{\Lambda_l\}_{l\geq 1}$ that exhaust Λ, that is $\Lambda_l \subset \Lambda_{l+1}$ and $\Lambda = \bigcup_{l\geq 1} \Lambda_l$. Assume that the potential $\varphi\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on the closure of each Λ_l but not necessarily continuous on Λ. We define the topological pressure of φ on Λ. This definition is shown to have a corresponding variational principle. We apply the topological pressure and variational principle to systems with non-zero Lyapunov exponents, countable Markov shifts, and unimodal maps.

1. *Introduction*

Let X be a compact metric space, and $f\colon X \to X$ a continuous map. Consider any f-invariant subset $\Lambda \subset X$ possessing a nested family of subsets $\{\Lambda_l\}_{l\geq 1}$ which exhaust Λ, that is $\Lambda_l \subset \Lambda_{l+1}$ for every $l \geq 1$ and $\Lambda = \bigcup_{l\geq 1} \Lambda_l$. The Λ and the Λ_l are not required to be compact; the Λ_l are not required to be f-invariant. Consider a measurable potential $\varphi\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$. We say that φ is *continuous with respect to the family of subsets* $\{\Lambda_l\}$ if φ is continuous on the closure of each Λ_l. The potential function φ is not (necessarily) continuous on Λ. We define the *topological pressure* of φ on Λ, with respect to f, as

$$P_\Lambda(\varphi) = \sup_{l\geq 1} P_{\Lambda_l}(\varphi), \tag{1}$$

where $P_{\Lambda_l}(\varphi)$ is the topological pressure of φ on Λ_l as defined in [**11**] (see §1.1). The topological pressure does depend on the dynamical system f, although the dependence is not reflected in the notation $P_\Lambda(\varphi)$. We show that the topological pressure does not depend on the choice of the family of sets $\{\Lambda_l\}$ (see §2 for details).

The classical thermodynamic formalism was developed by Ruelle [**12**], Sinai [**15**], and Bowen [**4**]. The classical topological pressure requires that the potential φ be continuous and the set Λ be compact and f-invariant. (A good exposition is given in Bowen [**4**].) Pesin extended the classical topological pressure to sets which are not compact or f-invariant using a Carathéodory dimension structure, however, φ is still required to be continuous (see [**11**] and §1.1).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000642 Published online by Cambridge University Press

For non-uniformly hyperbolic systems (systems with non-zero Lyapunov exponents) it is natural to consider potentials which are discontinuous. For example, the potential $\varphi(x) = -\log \mathrm{Jac}(df_{|E^u_x})$ is measurable but not continuous for many non-uniformly hyperbolic dynamical systems, such as unimodal maps, Hénon maps, and the time-1 map of geodesic flows on non-positively curved manifolds. Many important classes of non-uniformly hyperbolic systems have a natural family of sets $\{\Lambda_l\}_{l\geq 1}$, called the regular sets, such that the potential $\varphi(x) = -\log \mathrm{Jac}(df_{|E^u_x})$ is continuous on the closure of each Λ_l, but not on $\Lambda = \bigcup_{l\geq 1} \Lambda_l$. (See [**3**] for a review of non-uniformly hyperbolic dynamical systems.)

Let $\mathcal{M}_\varphi(\Lambda, f)$ be the set of Borel f-invariant ergodic probability measures on Λ for which φ is integrable. Set $V(x)$ to be the set of weak-$*$ limits of the sequence of measures

$$\mu_{n,x} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^k x},$$

where δ_y is the delta measure at the point y. Let

$$\mathcal{L}(\Lambda, \varphi) = \{x \in \Lambda : V(x) \cap \mathcal{M}_\varphi(\Lambda, f) \neq \varnothing\};$$

$\mathcal{L}(\Lambda, \varphi)$ is Borel and f-invariant. The following variational principle holds.

THEOREM 1. *Assume that an f-invariant subset $\Lambda \subset X$ has a nested family of subsets $\{\Lambda_l\}$ which exhaust Λ. Let $\varphi\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous with respect to the family $\{\Lambda_l\}$. Then*

$$P_{\mathcal{L}(\Lambda,\varphi)}(\varphi) = \sup\left\{h_\mu(f) + \int_\Lambda \varphi\, d\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi(\Lambda, f)\right\}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is given in §4.

Equilibrium measures are measures which achieve the supremum in the variational principle. Although there are no results in this paper regarding the existence of such measures, in many cases they can be shown to exist.

1.1. *Thermodynamic formalism for continuous potentials.* We give a review of the topological pressure using a Carathéodory dimension structure, see Pesin [**11**]. The notation in this section will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.

Let (X, ρ) be a compact metric space, $f : X \to X$ a continuous map, and $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function. Fix a finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X. Denote by $S_m(\mathcal{U})$ the set of all strings $\underline{U} = \{U_{i_0} \dots U_{i_{m-1}} : U_{i_k} \in \mathcal{U}\}$ of length $m = m(\underline{U})$. Set $S(\mathcal{U}) = \bigcup_{m\geq 0} S_m(\mathcal{U})$. To any string $\underline{U} = U_{i_0} \dots U_{i_{m-1}}$ we associate the set

$$X(\underline{U}) = \{x \in X : f^k(x) \in U_{i_k} \text{ for } k = 0, \dots, m-1\}.$$

Consider the following collection of subsets of X

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\underline{U}) = \{X(\underline{U}) : \underline{U} \in S(\mathcal{U})\}$$

and the following three set functions $\xi, \eta, \psi : S(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\xi(\underline{U}) = \exp\left(\sup_{x\in X(\underline{U})} \sum_{k=0}^{m(\underline{U})-1} \varphi(f^k(x))\right),$$

$$\eta(\underline{U}) = \exp(-m(\underline{U})), \quad \psi(\underline{U}) = m(\underline{U})^{-1}.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000642 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The set S, the collection of subsets $\mathcal{F}$, and the three functions ξ, η, ψ generate a Carathéodory dimension structure $\tau = (S, \mathcal{F}, \xi, \eta, \psi)$ on X. Thus, for any set $Z \subset X$ (Z not necessarily compact or f-invariant) and real number α, there is a corresponding Carathéodory function

$$m_C(Z, \alpha) = \lim_{N \to \infty} M(Z, \alpha, \varphi, \mathcal{U}, N),$$

where

$$M(Z, \alpha, \varphi, \mathcal{U}, N) = \inf_G \left\{ \sum_{\underline{U} \in G} \exp\left(-\alpha m(\underline{U}) + \sup_{x \in X(\underline{U})} \sum_{k=0}^{m(\underline{U})-1} \varphi(f^k(x)) \right) \right\}$$

with the infimum taken over all finite or countable collections of strings $G \subset S(\mathcal{U})$ such that G covers Z and $m(\underline{U}) \geq N$ for all $\underline{U} \in G$. The structure τ also generates the Carathéodory dimension of Z, which depends on the cover $\mathcal{U}$ and the map f,

$$P_Z(\varphi, \mathcal{U}) = \inf\{\alpha : m_C(Z, \alpha) = 0\} = \sup\{\alpha : m_C(Z, \alpha) = \infty\}.$$

Let $|\mathcal{U}| = \max\{|U_i| : U_i \in \mathcal{U}\}$ be the diameter of the cover $\mathcal{U}$.

The *topological pressure* of the function φ on the set Z, with respect to f, is

$$P_Z(\varphi) = \lim_{|\mathcal{U}| \to 0} P_Z(\varphi, \mathcal{U}).$$

2. *Properties*

In this section we give several properties of the topological pressure for discontinuous potentials and the corresponding variational principle.

The topological pressure of φ on Λ satisfies many of the properties of the classical topological pressure. In particular, each of the properties in Theorem 3 below are properties of the classical topological pressure.

The topological pressure for discontinuous potentials relies on the family of set $\{\Lambda_l\}$, however, the value of $P_\Lambda(\varphi)$ does not depend on the choice of the family $\{\Lambda_l\}$ in the following sense.

THEOREM 2. *Assume that an f-invariant subset $\Lambda \subset X$ has two nested families of subsets $\{\Lambda_l\}$ and $\{\Gamma_l\}$ which exhaust Λ. Let $\varphi\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous with respect to both $\{\Lambda_l\}$ and $\{\Gamma_l\}$. Then $P_\Lambda(\varphi) = \sup_{l \geq 1} P_{\Lambda_l}(\varphi) = \sup_{l \geq 1} P_{\Gamma_l}(\varphi)$.*

Proof. Set $P'_\Lambda(\varphi) = \sup_{l \geq 1} P_{\Lambda_l}(\varphi)$ and $P''_\Lambda(\varphi) = \sup_{l \geq 1} P_{\Gamma_l}(\varphi)$.

For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an n such that $P_{\Lambda_n}(\varphi) \geq P'_\Lambda(\varphi) - \epsilon$. As the Γ_l exhaust Λ, we can write $\Lambda_n = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} (\Lambda_n \cap \Gamma_m)$. As φ is continuous on the closure of Λ_n and each Γ_m,

$$P_{\Lambda_n}(\varphi) = \sup_{m \geq 1} P_{\Lambda_n \cap \Gamma_m}(\varphi) \leq \sup_{m \geq 1} P_{\Gamma_m}(\varphi) = P''_\Lambda(\varphi).$$

The above inequality uses the properties of the topological pressure for continuous potentials, see Theorem 3(c) below. Thus, $P''_\Lambda(\varphi) \geq P'_\Lambda(\varphi) - \epsilon$ for every ϵ. Reversing the roles of $P'_\Lambda(\varphi)$ and $P''_\Lambda(\varphi)$ gives the result. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000642 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The theorem below follows from the definition of the topological pressure (as a supremum) and the corresponding results for continuous potentials.

We say that φ is *cohomologous* to ψ if there exists an h continuous on X such that $\varphi - \psi = h - h \circ T$.

THEOREM 3. *Assume that an f-invariant subset Λ has a nested family of subsets $\{\Lambda_l\}$ which exhaust Λ. Let $\varphi\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous with respect to $\{\Lambda_l\}$. Let $Z \subset \Lambda$. The following properties hold:*

(a) $P_\varnothing(\varphi) \leq 0$;
(b) $P_{Z_1}(\varphi) \leq P_{Z_2}(\varphi)$, *if* $Z_1 \subset Z_2 \subset \Lambda$;
(c) $P_Z(\varphi) = \sup_{i\geq 1} P_{Z_i}(\varphi)$, *where* $Z = \bigcup_{i\geq 1} Z_i$;
(d) *if the original map f on X is a homeomorphism, then* $P_Z(\varphi) = P_{f(Z)}(\varphi)$;
(e) *if $h\colon X \to X$ is a homeomorphism which commutes with f ($f \circ h = h \circ f$), then* $P_Z(\varphi) = P_{h(Z)}(\varphi \circ h^{-1})$;
(f) $|P_Z(\varphi) - P_Z(\psi)| \leq \|\varphi - \psi\|$, *where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the supremum norm;*
(g) $P_Z(\varphi + c) = P_Z(\varphi) + c$;
(h) $P_Z(t\varphi + (1-t)\psi) \leq t P_Z(\varphi) + (1-t) P_Z(\psi)$; *and*
(i) *if φ is cohomologous to ψ, then* $P_Z(\varphi) = P_Z(\psi)$.

Assume that an f-invariant subset Λ has a nested family of subsets $\{\Lambda_l\}$ which exhaust Λ. Let $\varphi\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous with respect to $\{\Lambda_l\}$. The function $\varphi_t = t\varphi\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ is also continuous with respect to $\{\Lambda_l\}$. Define the *pressure function* $\Psi\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ associated to φ as

$$\Psi(t) = P_{\mathcal{L}(\Lambda,\varphi_t)}(\varphi_t) = \sup\left\{h_\mu(f) - t\int_\Lambda \varphi\, d\mu : \mu \in M_{\varphi_t}(\Lambda, f)\right\}.$$

The potential φ_1 is integrable with respect to the measure μ if and only if the potential φ_t is integrable with respect to the measure μ. Thus, $\mathcal{M}_{\varphi_t}(\Lambda, f) = \mathcal{M}_{\varphi_1}(\Lambda, f)$, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which implies that $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda, \varphi_t) = \mathcal{L}(\Lambda, \varphi_1)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, the pressure function $\Psi(t)$ is well defined for all t.

THEOREM 4. *Assume that $\Lambda \subset X$ has a nested family of subsets $\{\Lambda_l\}$ which exhaust Λ. Let φ be continuous with respect to the family $\{\Lambda_l\}$. The following properties hold for the pressure function $\Psi(t)$.*

(a) *Monotone decreasing: if $t < s$, then* $\Psi(t) \geq \Psi(s)$.
(b) *Subadditive:* $\Psi(s + t) \leq \Psi(s) + \Psi(t)$.

3. *Examples*

The thermodynamic formalism for discontinuous potentials applies to several interesting examples. In each of the examples considered, the potential has a discontinuity on the closure of the set Λ. The family of subsets $\{\Lambda_k\}$ is chosen such that the closure of each Λ_k is strictly contained in Λ.

3.1. *Systems with non-zero Lyapunov exponents.* Let $f\colon \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}$ be a $C^{1+\epsilon}$ diffeomorphism of a compact smooth Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{R}$. Given $x \in \mathcal{R}$ and $v \in T_x\mathcal{R}$, recall that the Lyapunov exponent of v at x is given by

$$\lambda(x, v) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \|df_x^n v\|}{n}.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000642 Published online by Cambridge University Press

If x is fixed, then the function $\lambda(x,\cdot)$ can achieve only finitely many distinct values. $\lambda^{(1)}(x) > \cdots > \lambda^{(q(x))}(x)$. The functions $\lambda^{(i)}(x)$ and $q(x)$ are measurable and f-invariant.

Let μ be an ergodic Borel f-invariant measure on $\mathcal{R}$. Thus, the functions $\lambda^{(i)}(x) = \lambda_\mu^{(i)}$ and $q(x) = q$ are constant μ-almost everywhere. Assume that μ is hyperbolic, that is there exists k, $1 \le k < q$, such that

$$\lambda_\mu^{(1)} > \cdots > \lambda_\mu^{(k)} > 0 > \lambda_\mu^{(k+1)} > \cdots > \lambda_\mu^{(q)},$$

and for μ-almost every point $x \in \mathcal{R}$ there exist df-invariant stable and unstable subspaces $E^{(s)}(x)$, $E^{(u)}(x) \subset T_x\mathcal{R}$ such that:

(a) $E^{(s)}(x) \oplus E^{(u)}(x) = T_x\mathcal{R}$;

(b) for any $n \ge 0$

$$\|df_x^n v\| \le C_1(x)\gamma^n\|v\| \quad \text{if } v \in E^s(x),$$
$$\|df_x^{-n} v\| \le C_1(x)\gamma^n\|v\| \quad \text{if } v \in E^u(x),$$

where $0 < \gamma < 1$ is a constant and $C_1(x) > 0$ is a measurable function;

(c) $\angle(E^s(x), E^u(x)) \ge C_2(x) > 0$, where $C_2(x)$ is a measurable function and $\angle$ denotes the angle between the two subspaces;

(d) $C_1(f^n(x)) \le C_1(x)e^{n\delta}$, $C_2(f^n(x)) \ge C_2(x)e^{-n\delta}$ for any $n \ge 0$, where $\delta > 0$ is fixed, but can be chosen to be arbitrarily small.

Denote by Λ_l, $l \ge 1$, the set of regular points $x \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$\Lambda_l = \{x \in \mathcal{R} : C_1(x) \le l \text{ and } C_2(x) \ge 1/l\}.$$

These sets satisfy $\Lambda_l \subset \Lambda_{l+1}$, and the set $\Lambda = \bigcup_{l\ge1} \Lambda_l$ is f-invariant. In addition, Λ coincides with $\mathcal{R}$ up to a set of μ measure zero. (See [**3**] for a review of systems with non-zero Lyapunov exponents.)

Thus, for any potential function φ which is continuous with respect to $\{\Lambda_l\}$, the topological pressure can be defined as (1), and the variational principle, Theorem 1, holds. In particular, the topological pressure can be defined for the family of functions $\varphi_t(x) = -t \log \mathrm{Jac}(df_{|E_x^u})$, each of which is continuous on the closure of each Λ_l, but can be unbounded on Λ. We have the following variational principle.

THEOREM 5. *Let $f\colon \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}$ be a $C^{1+\epsilon}$ diffeomorphism of a compact Riemannian manifold. Assume that there exists a hyperbolic measure ν on $\mathcal{R}$. Then*

$$P_{\mathcal{L}(\Lambda,\varphi_1)}(\varphi_t) = \sup\left\{h_\mu(f) - t\int_\Lambda \log \mathrm{Jac}(df_{|E_x^u})\,d\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi_1}(\Lambda, f)\right\}.$$

3.2. *Countable Markov shifts.* Various authors have studied the thermodynamic formalism of countable Markov shifts. A suitable definition of the pressure has been developed with a corresponding variational principle (see [**9, 13**]). The existence and uniqueness of equilibrium and Gibbs measures for countable Markov shifts have been widely studied. (In addition to the above, see, for example, [**1, 2, 10, 14, 16**].)

Let $S = \mathbb{N}$ and $A = (a_{ij})_{S\times S}$ be a matrix of zeros and ones with no columns or rows which are all zeros. Let Y be the set

$$Y = \{x = x_0x_1\cdots \in S^{\mathbb{N}_0} : a_{x_ix_{i+1}} = 1 \text{ for all } i \ge 0\}.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000642 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Define the shift map $\sigma : Y \to Y$ as $(\sigma(x))_i = x_{i+1}$. Assume that (Y, σ) is topologically mixing, that is, there exists an N such that for every $n \geq N$ and every $s_1, s_2 \in S$ there exists an admissible sequence of length n from s_1 to s_2.

Define the variations of ϕ as

$$V_n(\phi) = \sup\{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| : x, y \in X,\ x_i = y_i,\ 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

Assume that $\phi : Y \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n(\phi) < \infty, \tag{2}$$

then the *Gurevich pressure* of ϕ is

$$P_G(\phi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\sigma^n x = x} \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \phi(\sigma^k(x))\right) 1_{[s]}(x),$$

where $1_{[s]}$ is the indicator function on the cylinder set $[s]$; the limit exists and is independent of $s \in S$.

If M is a topologically mixing countable Markov shift and ϕ satisfies (2), then

$$P_G(\phi) = \sup\{P_Z(\phi) : Z \subset X \text{ topologically mixing finite Markov shift}\}. \tag{3}$$

Starting with the set $\Lambda_l = \{\omega = \omega_0\omega_1\omega_2\cdots \in X : \forall i,\ 0 \leq a_i < l\}$ one can add a finite number of states and construct a topologically mixing finite Markov shift Z_l, with $\Lambda_l \subset Z_l \subset Y$. These sets Z_l are compact σ-invariant subsets of Y. The family of sets $\{Z_l\}$ has a subfamily Z_{l_k} such that $Z_{l_k} \subset Z_{l_{k+1}}$. The set $\Lambda = \bigcup_{k\geq 1} Z_{l_k}$ is σ-invariant and strictly contained in Y. If ϕ satisfies (2), then ϕ is continuous although (possibly) unbounded on Y; in particular, ϕ is continuous on each Z_{l_k}. Thus, the topological pressure of ϕ on Λ can be defined as $P_\Lambda(\phi) = \sup_{k\geq 1} P_{Z_{l_k}}(\phi)$. Theorem 2 and Equation (3) give the following theorem.

THEOREM 6. *Assume that Y is a topologically mixing countable Markov shift. Let $\phi : Y \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy* (2). *Then*

$$P_\Lambda(\phi) = P_G(\phi).$$

Theorems 2 and 6 together imply that the Gurevich pressure can be computed (as in (1)) by taking the supremum over sets which are neither compact nor σ-invariant, for example over the sets Λ_l.

3.3. *Unimodal maps.* Let $f : \mathcal{I} = [0, 1] \to \mathcal{I}$ be a unimodal map with critical point c.

Let $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{k\geq 0} f^{-k}(c)$, and let $\Lambda_l = ([0, c - 1/l] \cup [c + 1/l, 1]) \cap \mathcal{C}$. Then the family $\{\Lambda_l\}$ is nested and exhausts $\Lambda = \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{C}$. Thus, the topological pressure of any φ continuous with respect to the family $\{\Lambda_l\}$ can be defined as (1).

The function $\varphi_t(x) = -t \log |f'|$ is bounded, hence continuous, on the closure of each Λ_l. Thus, the topological pressure and pressure function can be defined for φ_t for every t.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000642 Published online by Cambridge University Press

For a unimodal map f which has the following properties:

(a) f is C^3 on $\mathcal{I} - \{c\}$;

(b) there exists an $l > 1$ and a continuous strictly positive $M: \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $|f'(x)| = M(x)|x - c|^{l-1}$ for every $x \in \mathcal{I}$;

the work of Bruin and Keller [**6**] shows that φ_1 is integrable with respect to every measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I}, f)$. (In [**6**], Bruin and Keller also require that f have negative Schwarzian derivative. Recently, it has been shown that this condition can be dropped; see [**5, 7, 8**]). Thus, $\mathcal{M}_{\varphi_1}(\Lambda, f) = \mathcal{M}(\Lambda, f)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda, \varphi_1) = \mathcal{L}(\Lambda)$. The following variational principle holds.

THEOREM 7. *Let f be a unimodal map with critical point c satisfying (a) and (b). Then*

$$P_{\mathcal{L}(\Lambda)}(\varphi_t) = \sup\left\{h_\mu(f) - t\int \log|f'|\,d\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi_1}(\Lambda, f)\right\}.$$

4. *Proof of Theorem 1*

The notation used in the proof follows that given in §1.1 (see also Pesin [**11**]).

PROPOSITION 1. *We have*

$$P_\Lambda(\varphi) \geq \sup\left\{h_\mu(f) + \int_\Lambda \varphi\,d\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi(\Lambda, f)\right\}.$$

Proof. Fix $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi(\Lambda, f)$. We will show that there exists an l so that

$$P_{\Lambda_l}(\varphi) \geq h_\mu(f) + \int_\Lambda \varphi\,d\mu. \tag{4}$$

LEMMA 1. *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exits δ, $0 < \delta \leq \epsilon$, a finite Borel partition $\zeta = \{C_1, \ldots, C_m\}$ of Λ, and a finite open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \ldots, U_k\}$, $k \geq m$, of X such that:*

(a) $\operatorname{diam} U_i \leq \epsilon$, $\operatorname{diam} C_j \leq \epsilon$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$;

(b) $\overline{U}_i \subset C_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$;

(c) $\mu(C_i \setminus U_i) \leq \delta$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$ *and* $\mu\big(\bigcup_{i=m+1}^k U_i\big) \leq \delta$;

(d) $2\delta \log m \leq \epsilon$.

Given $y \in \Lambda$, let $t_n(y)$ denote the number of those p, $0 \leq p < n$ for which $f^p(y) \in U_i$, for some $i = m + 1, \ldots, k$. Using Lemma 1(c) and the Birkhoff ergodic theorem, there exists $N_1 > 0$ and a set $A_1 \subset \Lambda$ such that $\mu(A_1) \geq 1 - \delta$ and for any $y \in A_1$ and $n \geq N_1$,

$$n^{-1}t_n(y) \leq 2\delta. \tag{5}$$

Set $\zeta_n = \zeta \vee f^{-1}\zeta \vee \cdots \vee f^{-n+1}\zeta$. As f is a continuous map on a compact metric space, we have that $h_\mu(f) < \infty$. Using the Shannon–McMillan–Breiman theorem, there exists $N_2 > 0$ and a set $A_2 \subset \Lambda$ so that $\mu(A_2) \geq 1 - \delta$ and for any $y \in A_2$ and $n \geq N_2$,

$$\mu(C_{\zeta_n}(y)) \leq \exp(-(h_\mu(f, \zeta) - \delta)n), \tag{6}$$

where $C_{\zeta_n}(y)$ denotes the element of the partition ζ_n containing y and $h_\mu(f, \zeta)$ denotes the measure-theoretic entropy of the partition ζ with respect to f.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000642 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Using the Birkhoff ergodic theorem, there exists $N_3 > 0$ and a set $A_3 \subset \Lambda$ so that $\mu(A_3) \geq 1 - \delta$ and for any $y \in A_3$ and $n \geq N_3$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^i(y)) - \int_\Lambda \varphi \, d\mu \right| \leq \delta. \tag{7}$$

Set $N = \max\{N_1, N_2, N_3\}$ and $A = A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3$. Note that $\mu(A) \geq 1 - 3\delta$. Choose any $n \geq N$ and any

$$\lambda < h_\mu(f, \zeta) + \int_\Lambda \varphi \, d\mu - \epsilon. \tag{8}$$

Since the Λ_i are nested and exhaust Λ we can choose l so that $\mu(\Lambda_l) > 1 - \delta$. We have that

$$\mu(\Lambda_l \cap A) > 1 - 4\delta. \tag{9}$$

Choose a cover $G \subset S(\mathcal{U})$ of Λ_l with $m(\underline{U}) \geq N$, for every $\underline{U} \in G$, such that

$$\left| \sum_{\underline{U} \in G} \exp\left(-\lambda m(\underline{U}) + \sup_{x \in X(\underline{U})} \sum_{k=0}^{m(\underline{U})-1} \varphi(f^k(x)) \right) - M(\Lambda_l, \lambda, \varphi, \mathcal{U}, N) \right| \leq \delta. \tag{10}$$

Let $G_p \subset G$ be the subcollection of strings from the cover G for which $m(\underline{U}) = p$ and $X(\underline{U}) \cap A \neq \varnothing$. Denote by P_p the cardinality of G_p. Set $Y_p = \bigcup_{\underline{U} \in G_p} X(\underline{U})$.

LEMMA 2. *We have* $P_p \geq \mu(Y_p \cap A) \exp((h_\mu(f, \zeta) - \delta - 2\delta \log m) p)$.

Proof. Let L_p be the number of those elements C_{ζ_p} of the partition ζ_p for which

$$C_{\zeta_p} \cap Y_p \cap A \neq \varnothing. \tag{11}$$

The collection of such partition elements covers the set $Y_p \cap A$. Thus, we have that

$$\sum \mu(C_{\zeta_p}) \geq \mu(Y_p \cap A), \tag{12}$$

where the sum is taken over all elements of the partition ζ_p for which condition (11) holds. Since $C_{\zeta_p} \cap A_2 \neq \varnothing$, we have that

$$L_p \geq \mu(Y_p \cap A) \exp((h_\mu(f, \zeta) - \delta) p), \tag{13}$$

using inequalities (6) and (12). Fix a string $\underline{U} \in G_p$. Set $S_p(\underline{U})$ to be the number of those elements $C_{\zeta_p} = C_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap f^{-(p-1)} C_{i_{p-1}}$ of the partition ζ_p, for which $C_{\zeta_p} \cap X(\underline{U}) \cap A \neq \varnothing$. Since $X(\underline{U}) \cap A_1 \neq \varnothing$, we have that there is only one choice for the partition element C_{i_j} for every j except at most $2\delta p$ times when there are no more than m possibilities. Thus, we have the following estimate:

$$S_p(\underline{U}) \leq m^{2\delta p} = \exp(2\delta p \log m). \tag{14}$$

Now, since $P_p S_p(\underline{U}) = L_p$, the inequality follows using (13) and (14). □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000642 Published online by Cambridge University Press

We have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\underline{U}\in G} \exp\left(-\lambda m(\underline{U}) + \sup_{x\in X(\underline{U})} \sum_{k=0}^{m(\underline{U})-1} \varphi(f^k(x))\right) \\
&\quad \geq \sum_{p=N}^{\infty} \sum_{\underline{U}\in G_p} \exp\left(-\lambda p + \sup_{x\in A\cap X(\underline{U})} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \varphi(f^k(x))\right) \\
&\quad \geq \sum_{p=N}^{\infty} P_p \exp\left(\left(-\lambda + \int_\Lambda \varphi\, d\mu - \delta\right)p\right) \\
&\quad \geq \sum_{p=N}^{\infty} \mu(Y_p \cap A) \exp\left(\left(h_\mu(f,\zeta) + \int_\Lambda \varphi\, d\mu - 2\delta - 2\delta \log m - \lambda\right)p\right) \\
&\quad \geq \sum_{p=N}^{\infty} \mu(Y_p \cap A) \geq \mu(\Lambda_l \cap A) \geq 1 - 4\delta.
\end{aligned}$$

In the above we used that for sufficiently small ϵ, inequality (8) implies

$$h_\mu(f,\zeta) + \int_\Lambda \varphi\, d\mu - 2\delta - 2\delta \log m - \lambda > 0.$$

Thus, $M(\Lambda_l, \lambda, \varphi, \mathcal{U}, N) \geq 1 - 5\delta \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for ϵ, and thus δ, small enough. Hence, $P_{\Lambda_l}(\varphi, \mathcal{U}) \geq \lambda$, which implies

$$P_{\Lambda_l}(\varphi) \geq P_{\Lambda_l}(\varphi, \mathcal{U}) \geq h_\mu(f,\zeta) + \int_\Lambda \varphi\, d\mu - \epsilon.$$

Letting ϵ go to zero gives that $h_\mu(f,\zeta) \to h_\mu(f)$; thus, we have (4). □

COROLLARY 1. (Of Proposition 1) *We have*

$$P_{\mathcal{L}(\Lambda,\varphi)}(\varphi) \geq \sup\left\{h_\mu(f) + \int_\Lambda \varphi\, d\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi(\Lambda, f)\right\}.$$

Proof. Given a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi(\Lambda, f)$ denote $Z_\mu = \{x \in \Lambda : V(x) = \{\mu\}\}$. We have that $\mu(Z_\mu) = 1$ and $Z_\mu \subset \mathcal{L}(\Lambda, \varphi)$. Therefore, by Proposition 1,

$$P_{\mathcal{L}(\Lambda,\varphi)}(\varphi) \geq P_{Z_\mu}(\varphi) \geq h_\mu(f) + \int_\Lambda \varphi\, d\mu.$$ □

PROPOSITION 2. *We have*

$$P_{\mathcal{L}(\Lambda,\varphi)}(\varphi) \leq \sup\left\{h_\mu(f) + \int_\Lambda \varphi\, d\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi(\Lambda, f)\right\}.$$

Proof. As $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda, \varphi) = \bigcup_{l\geq 1}(\mathcal{L}(\Lambda, \varphi) \cap \Lambda_l)$, we have that

$$P_{\mathcal{L}(\Lambda,\varphi)}(\varphi) = \sup_{l\geq 1} P_{\mathcal{L}(\Lambda,\varphi)\cap\Lambda_l}(\varphi).$$

We show that, for every $l \geq 1$,

$$P_{\mathcal{L}(\Lambda,\varphi)\cap\Lambda_l}(\varphi) \leq \sup_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_\varphi(\Lambda,f)} \left\{h_\mu(f) + \int_\Lambda \varphi\, d\mu\right\}.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000642 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Let E be a finite set and $\underline{a} = (a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1}) \in E^k$. Define the measure $\mu_{\underline{a}}$ on E by

$$\mu_{\underline{a}}(e) = \frac{1}{k}(\text{the number of those } j \text{ for which } a_j = e).$$

Set

$$H(\underline{a}) = -\sum_{e \in E} \mu_{\underline{a}}(e) \log \mu_{\underline{a}}(e).$$

Consider the set

$$R(k, h, E) = \{\underline{a} \in E^k : H(\underline{a}) \leq h\}.$$

The following statement describes the asymptotic growth in k of the number of elements in the set $R(k, h, E)$, see Bowen [**4**].

LEMMA 3. *The following inequality holds:*

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log |R(k, h, E)| \leq h.$$

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \ldots, U_r\}$ be an open cover of X and $\epsilon > 0$. Set

$$\gamma_l(\mathcal{U}) = \sup\{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| : x, y \in U_i \cap \Lambda_l \text{ for some } U_i \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Note that $\gamma_l(\mathcal{U}) \to 0$ as $|\mathcal{U}| \to 0$.

LEMMA 4. *Given $x \in \mathcal{L}(\Lambda, \varphi) \cap \Lambda_l$ and $\mu \in V(x) \cap \mathcal{M}_\varphi(\Lambda, f)$, there exists a number $m > 0$ such that, for any $n > 0$, one can find $N > n$ and a string $\underline{U} \in S(\mathcal{U})$ with $m(\underline{U}) = N$ satisfying:*

(a) $x \in X(\underline{U})$;

(b) $$\sup_{x \in X(\underline{U}) \cap \Lambda_l} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \varphi(f^k(x)) \leq N\left(\gamma_l(\mathcal{U}) + \int_\Lambda \varphi \, d\mu + \epsilon\right);$$

(c) *the string $\underline{U}$ contains a substring $\underline{U}'$ of length $m(\underline{U}') = km \geq N - m$ which, being written as $\underline{a} = (a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1})$, satisfies the inequality*

$$\frac{1}{m} H(\underline{a}) \leq h_\mu(f) + \epsilon. \tag{15}$$

Proof. Parts (a) and (c) are found in Pesin [**11**]. Since μ_{x,n_j} converges to the measure μ and φ is integrable with respect to μ we obtain for sufficiently large N that

$$\left| \sup_{y \in X(\underline{U}) \cap \Lambda_l} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \varphi(f^k(y)) - N \int_\Lambda \varphi \, d\mu \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \sup_{y \in X(\underline{U}) \cap \Lambda_l} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \varphi(f^k(y)) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \varphi(f^k(x)) \right| + \left| \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \varphi(f^k(x)) - N \int_\Lambda \varphi \, d\mu \right|$$

$$\leq N\gamma_l(\mathcal{U}) + N\epsilon. \qquad \square$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000642 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Given a number $m > 0$, denote by Y_m the set of points $y \in \mathcal{L}(\Lambda, \varphi) \cap \Lambda_l$ for which Lemma 4 holds for this m and some measure $\mu \in V(x) \cap \mathcal{M}_\varphi(\Lambda, f)$. We have that $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda, \varphi) \cap \Lambda_l = \bigcup_{m>0} Y_m$. Denote also by $Y_{m,u}$ the set of points $y \in Y_m$ for which Lemma 4 holds for some measure μ satisfying $\int_\Lambda \varphi \, d\mu \in [u - \epsilon, u + \epsilon]$. Set

$$c = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi(\Lambda, f)} \left\{ h_\mu(f) + \int_\Lambda \varphi \, d\mu \right\}.$$

Note that if $x \in Y_{m,u}$, then the corresponding measure μ satisfies $h_\mu(f) \le c - u + \epsilon$.

Let $G_{m,u}$ be the collection of all strings $\underline{U}$ described in Lemma 4 that correspond to all $x \in Y_{m,u}$ and all N exceeding some number N_0. It follows from (15) that for any $x \in Y_{m,u}$, the substring constructed in Lemma 4 is contained in $R(k, m(h + \epsilon), \mathcal{U}^m)$, where $h = c - u + \epsilon$. Therefore, the total number of the strings constructed in Lemma 4 does not exceed $b(N) = |\mathcal{U}|^m |R(k, m(h + \epsilon), \mathcal{U}^m)|$. By Lemma 3, we obtain that

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log b(N)}{N} \le h + \epsilon. \tag{16}$$

Since the collection of strings $G_{m,u}$ covers the set $Y_{m,u}$ we conclude using Lemma 4 and (16) that

$$\begin{aligned} M'(Y_{m,u}, \lambda, \varphi, \mathcal{U}, N_0) &\le \sum_{N=N_0}^{\infty} b(N) \exp\left(-\lambda m(\underline{U}) + \sup_{x \in X(\underline{U}) \cap \Lambda_l} \sum_{k=0}^{m(\underline{U})-1} \varphi(f^k(x)) \right) \\ &\le \sum_{N=N_0}^{\infty} b(N) \exp\left(-\lambda m(\underline{U}) + N\left(\int_\Lambda \varphi \, d\mu + \gamma_l(\mathcal{U}) + \epsilon \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

If N_0 is sufficiently large, we have that $b(N) \le \exp(N(h + 2\epsilon))$. Hence,

$$M'(Y_{m,u}, \lambda, \varphi, \mathcal{U}, N_0) \le \frac{\beta^{N_0}}{1 - \beta}, \tag{17}$$

where

$$\beta = \exp\left(-\lambda + h + \int_\Lambda \varphi \, d\mu + \gamma_l(\mathcal{U}) + 3\epsilon \right).$$

It follows from (17) that if $\lambda > c + \gamma_l(\mathcal{U}) + 4\epsilon$, then $m_C(Y_{m,u}, \lambda) = 0$. Hence, $\lambda \ge P_{Y_{m,u}}(\varphi, \mathcal{U})$. Set $A = \left| \int_\Lambda \varphi \, d\mu \right|$. Assume that points $u_1, \ldots, u_r$ form an ϵ-dense set of the interval $[-A, A]$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}(\Lambda, \varphi) \cap \Lambda_l = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} Y_{m,u_i}.$$

We have that $\lambda \ge P_{Y_{m,u_i}}(\varphi, \mathcal{U})$ for any m and i. Therefore,

$$\lambda \ge \sup_{m,i} P_{Y_{m,u_i}}(\varphi, \mathcal{U}) = P_{\mathcal{L}(\Lambda,\varphi) \cap \Lambda_l}(\varphi, \mathcal{U}).$$

This implies that $c + \gamma_l(\mathcal{U}) + 4\epsilon \ge P_{\mathcal{L}(\Lambda,\varphi) \cap \Lambda_l}(\varphi, \mathcal{U})$. Since ϵ can be chosen arbitrarily small it follows that $c + \gamma_l(\mathcal{U}) \ge P_{\mathcal{L}(\Lambda,\varphi) \cap \Lambda_l}(\varphi, \mathcal{U})$. Taking the limit as $|\mathcal{U}| \to 0$ yields $c \ge P_{\mathcal{L}(\Lambda,\varphi) \cap \Lambda_l}(\varphi)$ and the desired result follows. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000642 Published online by Cambridge University Press

REFERENCES

[1] J. Aaronson. *An Introduction to Infinite Ergodic Theory (Mathematical Surveys and Momographs, 50)*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.

[2] J. Aaronson, M. Denker and M. Urbanski. Ergodic theory for Markov fibred systems and parabolic rational maps. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **337**(2) (1993), 495–548.

[3] L. Barreira and Y. Pesin. *Lyapunov Exponents and Smooth Ergodic Theory (University Lecture Series, 23)*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.

[4] R. Bowen. *Equilibrium States and the Ergodic Theory of Anosov Diffeomorphisms (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 470)*. Springer, Berlin, 1970.

[5] H. Bruin. Induced maps, Markov extensions and invariant measures in one-dimensional dynamics. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **168**(3) (1995), 571–580.

[6] H. Bruin and G. Keller. Equilibrium states for S-unimodal maps. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **18**(4) (1998), 765–789.

[7] J. Graczyk and D. Sands. Schwarzian derivative and conjugacy classes in 1-dimension. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Dynamical Systems in Memory of Wieslw Szlenk*, in preparation.

[8] J. Graczyk, D. Sands and G. Świątek. Metric attractors for smooth unimodal maps. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **159** (2004), 725–740.

[9] B. Gurevich. Topological entropy for denumerable Markov chains. *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **10** (1969), 911–915.

[10] R. D. Mauldin and M. Urbański. Gibbs states on the symbolic space over an infinite alphabet. *Israel J. Math.* **125** (2001), 93–120.

[11] Y. Pesin. *Dimension Theory in Dynamical Systems*. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1997.

[12] D. Ruelle. *Thermodynamic Formalism*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1978.

[13] O. M. Sarig. Thermodynamic formalism for countable Markov shifts. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **19** (1999), 1565–1593.

[14] O. M. Sarig. Existence of Gibbs measures for countable Markov shifts. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131**(6) (2003), 1751–1758.

[15] Ya. Sinai. Gibbs measures in ergodic theory. *Russian Math. Surveys* **27**(4) (1972), 21–69.

[16] M. Yuri. Thermodynamic formalism for certain nonhyperbolic maps. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **19**(5) (1999), 1365–1378.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385706000642 Published online by Cambridge University Press