Figures

2.1 Prospective client solicitation in the California experiment *page* 47
2.2 Response rate of California criminal lawyers based on likely race of prospective client 48
2.3 Response rates of California criminal lawyers based on self-described client income 49
2.4 Size of treatment effects by state based on findings of Frankenreiter and Livermore (2023), reported originally as OA6 in their supplemental materials 50
6.1 Exemplar visualization of defective housing conditions 142
6.2 Exemplar visualization of client preferences regarding housing options 144
6.3 Comparative demographic metrics for Cleveland right to counsel clients versus Cleveland's overall population 145
6.4 Eviction data visualizations 149
8.1 Impact: degree to which a barrier restricts scale 187
17.1 State court caseload breakdown 1992 versus 2010 394
17.2 (a) State court party representation status and (b) identity of top filers in state courts 395
17.3 State-by-state comparison of top filer burden on state courts 396

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 18:14:38, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009528535

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 18:14:38, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009528535