CONTENTS

Foreword *page* xv
Preface xvii
List of abbreviations xix
Map of ICC situation countries in July 2012 xxi

Prologue: in the line of fire 1

1 Complementarity from the line of fire 8
The story of complementarity's catalysing effect in Uganda and Sudan 10
Complementarity's double life 14
The *dramatis personae* of complementarity's catalysing effect 21
Assumptions underlying the expectation of a catalysing effect 24
Normative, theoretical and methodological perspective 26
The choice of a line-of-fire perspective 30
The road ahead 33

2 The Rome Statute: complementarity in its legal context 34
The key provisions setting forth complementarity 35
Three popular assumptions 36
An obligation to investigate or prosecute pursuant to the Rome Statute? 36
An obligation to criminalise in domestic law? 40
A prohibition on amnesties? 41
The substance of complementarity: the criteria for inadmissibility 43
The inadequacy of the shorthand description 43
The 'same case' requirement: same person, same conduct, same incidents? 45
Reasons to depart from the same-conduct test 51
The requirement of an 'investigation' 59
A decision not to prosecute 61
Where domestic proceedings have been initiated: unwillingness and inability 62

Published online by Cambridge University Press

Low punishment or a pardon is not a ground for admissibility *per se* 66
The ICC is not a human rights court overseeing compliance with fair trial rights 67
The procedural aspects of complementarity 70
Complementarity contains a primary right for *all* states 71
The Prosecutor must assess complementarity prior to opening an investigation 71
The complementarity assessment is case-specific 72
Complementarity must be assessed irrespective of the trigger mechanism 75
A state can directly influence the scope of the ICC's investigation on grounds of complementarity 76
A state cannot force the Prosecutor to end an investigation 78
A state's jurisdiction to adjudicate is unaffected by ICC intervention 78
The complementarity assessment is dynamic 79
The ICC does not have a conditional deferral procedure like the ICTY and ICTR 83
Looking for a catalysing effect: the potentially confounding and intervening variables 86
Other jurisdictional provisions: the triggers 86
Other jurisdictional provisions: a deferral requested by the Security Council 90
Other jurisdictional provisions: the admissibility criterion of gravity 90
No ICC proceedings because of the 'interests of justice' 91
The OTP's prosecutorial policy 92
The policy of positive complementarity 97
Conclusion: complementarity and its potential catalysing effect 104

3 Uganda: compromising complementarity 111
The context for catalysis 114
The ICC in Uganda: a joint enterprise 114
Uganda and the ICC: a marriage of convenience 116
Compromised complementarity 120
The conflict in northern Uganda – and far beyond 124
Peace-making in the shadow of the ICC 129
Complementarity: the linchpin of the agreement 133
The ICC: sword of Damocles 136
Cracks in the marriage: the opening for complementarity's catalysing effect 137

Published online by Cambridge University Press

Effects catalysed 141
Promoting the study of local justice practices 141
Putting accountability and transitional justice on the peace-talks agenda 159
Stimulating a debate on transitional justice 162
Broadening the approach to the conflict to include a legal dimension 171
Stimulating the establishment of a Ugandan international crimes division 179
Increasing the attention paid to 'international standards' 187
Shaping the International Criminal Court Act? 194
Discouraging amnesties 206
Effects expected but not catalysed 228
Encouraging more trials, prosecutions and/or investigations? 228
Conclusion: complementarity's catalysing effect in Uganda 234

4 Sudan: complementarity in a state of denial 244
The context for catalysis 245
Sudan and the ICC: souring relations 247
Complementarity: less than a secondary response 252
Complementarity: the views of the ICID and the ICC 258
The Darfur conflict 261
Effects catalysed 266
Fostering interest in transitional justice 266
Triggering the establishment of domestic accountability mechanisms 279
Motivating the adoption of laws on international crimes 284
Putting accountability on the agenda of peace negotiations 291
Providing a boost for traditional justice 299
Effects expected but not catalysed 306
Broadening the approach from the military and political to the legal? 306
Discouraging immunities and amnesties? 316
Encouraging more trials, prosecutions and/or investigations? 320
Conclusion: complementarity's catalysing effect in Sudan 328

5 Paradoxes unravelled: explanations for complementarity's weak catalysing effect on domestic proceedings 337
Complementarity's normative character 338
Complementarity as primary right: confusion, ambiguity and misrepresentation 339
Complementarity as big idea: a responsibility to investigate and prosecute? 344

Published online by Cambridge University Press

The normative paradox of complementarity 345
Pro-ICC ideology countering a political expectation on states to conduct proceedings 352
A domestic context inhospitable to a responsibility to conduct proceedings 361
Complementarity and the state's cost–benefit analysis 367
High cost of action: obstacles to domestic proceedings 369
ICC involvement has not reduced the costs of domestic action 378
Low costs of inaction 385
Costs of inaction can be avoided by means other than the invocation of complementarity 389
Paradoxes of complementarity: cost–benefit analyses combined 392
Conclusion: unravelling the paradoxes 396

6 Complementarity in the line of fire 406

Epilogue: beyond complementarity in the line of fire 411

Bibliography 415
Literature and documents from states and international organisations 415
Cases and procedural documents 465
Legal instruments 486
Index 494

Published online by Cambridge University Press