Palliative and Supportive Care

cambridge.org/pax

Cost considerations for implementing dignity therapy in palliative care: Insights and implications

Raed Al Yacoub, M.D.[1], Andrea P. Rangel, B.A., M.P.H.[2], Adriana Shum-Jimenez, B.S.[1], Amelia Greenlee, A.S.T.[2], Yingwei Yao, PH.D.[2], Tasha M. Schoppee, PH.D., R.N.[2,3], George Fitchett, D.MIN., PH.D.[4], George Handzo, M.DIV., M.A.[5], Harvey Max Chochinov, M.D., PH.D.[6], Linda L. Emanuel, M.D., PH.D.[7], Sheri Kittelson, M.D.[1] and Diana J. Wilkie, PH.D., R.N.[2]

[1]Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL USA; [2]Department of Biobehavioral Nursing Science, College of Nursing, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL USA; [3]Community Hospice & Palliative Care, Jacksonville, FL USA; [4]Department of Religion, Health and Human Values, College of Health Sciences, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL USA; [5]HealthCare Chaplaincy Network, New York, NY USA; [6]Department of Psychiatry and Cancer Care Manitoba Research Institute, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada and [7]Mongan Institute, Harvard University, Boston, MA USA

Original Article

Cite this article: Al Yacoub R *et al*. (2024) Cost considerations for implementing dignity therapy in palliative care: Insights and implications. *Palliative and Supportive Care* **22**(6), 1714–1718. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1478951523001177

Received: 07 July 2023
Accepted: 09 July 2023

Keywords:
Dignity therapy; Palliative care; Cost; Spiritual support

Corresponding author: Raed Al Yacoub; Email: raedyacoub@yahoo.com

Abstract

Objectives. Despite the clinical use of dignity therapy (DT) to enhance end-of-life experiences and promote an increased sense of meaning and purpose, little is known about the cost in practice settings. The aim is to examine the costs of implementing DT, including transcriptions, editing of legacy document, and dignity-therapists' time for interviews/patient's validation.
Methods. Analysis of a prior six-site, randomized controlled trial with a stepped-wedge design and chaplains or nurses delivering the DT.
Results. The mean cost per transcript was $84.30 (SD = 24.0), and the mean time required for transcription was 52.3 minutes (SD = 14.7). Chaplain interviews were more expensive and longer than nurse interviews. The mean cost and time required for transcription varied across the study sites. The typical total cost for each DT protocol was $331–$356.
Significance of results. DT implementation costs varied by provider type and study site. The study's findings will be useful for translating DT in clinical practice and future research.

Introduction

Dignity therapy (DT) is a brief psychotherapeutic intervention that aims to help seriously ill patients maintain their sense of integrity, establish continuity of self through a legacy story, find meaning in their life-threatening illness, and provide a legacy document to their family while also providing spiritual comfort to the patient (Chochinov 2012). Despite the need for more research on the effect of DT on physical and emotional symptoms, there is ample evidence supporting its clinical use for patients approaching death to enhance their end-of-life experience and promote an increased sense of meaning and purpose (Chochinov et al. 2011; Fitchett et al. 2015). Cancer patients who express unmet spiritual needs struggle with meaning and purpose and have increased end-of-life costs, particularly those who rely on religious coping methods (Balboni et al. 2011). Spiritual concerns are present in as many as 86% of patients with advanced *cancer* (Winkelman et al. 2011), indicating a large population that could benefit from DT. However, little is known about the costs of delivering DT in practice settings. This article examines the cost of DT within a multisite, randomized clinical trial (RCT).

Literature review reveals 2 studies with DT costs (Kelly et al. 2023; Montross et al. 2011) and 3 other studies with time data as a proxy for cost estimates (Bentley et al. 2020; Bentley et al. 2012; Hall et al. 2012). There was substantial variation in how costs were estimated and reported in mostly small studies, with reported costs ranging from an average of $55 ($n = 27$) (Montross et al. 2011) for transcription to $600 ($n = 7$) (Kelly et al. 2023) for all DT processes that required about 6 to 15 hours (Bentley et al. 2020; Bentley et al. 2012; Hall et al. 2012; Kelly et al. 2023; Montross et al. 2011). To add clarity about the costs of DT, we examined costs of an implementation model, including costs of interviewing patients, transcribing the interview, editing the legacy document, and validating the final legacy document with the patient. This information will be valuable to translate DT within clinical practice settings and for future research.

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.




Figure 1. Overall flow of the patient through the study visits and procedures.

Methods

With institutional review board's approval at all sites, we utilized a pre-/post-test randomized controlled trial with a 4-step stepped-wedge design over approximately 12 months per step as previously reported (Kittelson et al. 2019). Eligible cancer patients aged 55 years and older were referred by the palliative care team during their routine clinic visit (Fig. 1). A DT trained chaplain or nurse implemented the DT (Fig. 2) (Chochinov 2012). The DT interview was audio recorded and transcribed with accuracy verification by a single professional, Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)-certified transcription service. Trained editors edited the transcripts to produce the legacy document but did not track their time since they were paid a flat fee per document to organize the flow of information, remove extraneous information, and identify information deemed potentially emotionally harmful to the recipient or their loved ones. The therapist reviewed the edited document with the patient before providing the final document, which was emailed or mailed to the patient in a binder (Fig. 2). All study procedures were conducted in person for steps 1–3 and virtually via telephone or Zoom for step 4 to ensure safety during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Cost data were obtained from the transcription service invoice for each patient that included the time required and the cost per minute, which was higher for the occasional expedited service required given the patient's condition. Analysis focused on cost and transcription time by site and by therapist discipline. Independent *t*-test and analysis of variance were used for comparisons of time since the actual cost included extra services such as rapid processing requests or more than 2 speakers, which occurred for only 6 participants.

Results

The intervention group included 317 patients who were randomized and completed baseline questionnaires; 249 completed a recorded DT interview. Of these, we have invoices for the transcription of 242 interviews. The demographic and clinical characteristics of these 242 patients are presented in Table 1. Participants were mostly identified as female and non-Hispanic/Latino White with a mean age of 66.0 (SD = 7.4) years. Most participants were married, had some college experience, reported a family annual income of $50,000 or more, had stage 4 cancer, and had a palliative performance score ≥70 (Weng et al. 2009).

Transcription costs

Table 2 displays the average costs and time necessary for transcribing the 242 DT interviews. The mean cost per transcript was $84.3 (SD = 23.8, minimum = 11.1, and maximum = 157.4). The cost of transcribing an interview was proportional to time, with the exception of 6 transcriptions that were expedited to meet a deadline. The mean time needed for transcription was 52.6 minutes (SD = 14.6, minimum = 7, and maximum = 99). The vast majority (89%) of the transcription times were between 31 and 79 minutes; 17 transcription times were shorter than 30 minutes and 10 longer than 80 minutes. Time varied by provider type, with chaplain interviews on average about 5.5 minutes longer ($p = 0.004$) than nurse interviews.

Additionally, we observed differences in cost and time based on study site. Site 4 had the highest mean cost per transcript ($105.1, SD = 22.1) and time required to transcribe a transcript (65.7 minutes, SD = 14.1), whereas Site 3 had the lowest mean cost per transcript ($60.3, SD = 19.9) and time required to transcribe a transcript (37.9 minutes, SD = 12.5). The time differences by site were statistically significant ($p < 0.001$).

Other fixed costs

The study involved paying contracted editors $87–$112 for each transcript, with the higher costs occurring when a two-phased

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1478951523001177 Published online by Cambridge University Press




Figure 2. Summary of the dignity therapy protocol.

editing process was implemented to complete the high volume of transcripts in the final step when all sites were delivering the DT intervention. Editors' anecdotal reports indicated that the editing time was variable but typically 3 hours per transcript. DT therapists were paid $50 per hour for 3 hours of work per patient. This payment covered scheduling the patient for the framing interview, conducting the interview, validating the legacy document with the patient, and completing the documentation. Additionally, the cost of each binder was $8, and its mailing cost was $2. Summing transcription and fixed costs, the typical amount for each DT protocol was $331–$356.

Discussion and conclusion

We are the first to provide a comprehensive analysis of the costs associated with the DT intervention in a large sample. Included were costs for intervention delivery and transcription and editing of the legacy document. Transcription time was longer for chaplains than nurses. There was considerable variability by site in the transcription times despite the intervention being protocolized. Our DT protocol implementation model took around 7 hours per patient.

Differences in the reported DT cost-related data make it difficult to compare our findings with those of previous studies. Transcription costs from a decade ago averaged nearly $56 (Montross et al. 2011), which is lower than our average cost of $84. The time required to complete the entire protocol was also similar to one prior study (Bentley et al. 2020) and less than 3 others (Bentley et al. 2012; Hall et al. 2012; Kelly et al. 2023). A survey of staff who implemented DT previously concluded that DT's time cost is worthwhile and has a positive impact on palliative care patients (Montross et al. 2013). As reported elsewhere regarding our RCT, the DT intervention led by either chaplains or nurses significantly improved dignity impact compared to the usual care control (Wilkie et al. Submitted/unpublished 2023).

Our study suggests that the benefits of providing spiritual support at end of life with DT outweigh the expenses. As highlighted by Balboni et al., patients who do not receive adequate support for their religious and spiritual needs at end of life are more likely to die in an intensive care unit and less likely to spend the last week of life in hospice care, resulting in increased costs ($4947 vs. $2833). This effect is even more pronounced among racial minorities ($6533 vs. $2276) and those who highly prioritize their religious beliefs ($6344 vs. $2431) (Balboni et al. 2011). Therefore, our study underscores the importance of interventions like DT that address patients' spiritual needs and have the potential to reduce end-of-life costs.

Aoun et al. suggested that smaller organizations may find DT implementation impractical due to resource and cost constraints, while larger services can consider offering DT, making it more feasible in terms of costs and resource allocation (Aoun et al. 2015). A recent study explored new DT delivery methods, like therapist-supported web-based delivery, to reduce time and cost (Bentley et al. 2020). Therapist time, including interviews, editing, and support, ranged from 3.5 to 13 hours with a mean of 8.5 hours per participant ($n = 6$), saving time on travel and waiting (Bentley et al. 2020). Finally, future research may consider the use of a

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1478951523001177 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Table 1. Demographic characteristics ($N = 242$)

Variable	Category	Statistics
Age	Mean ± SD	66.0 ± 7.4
Gender	Female	63%
	Male	37%
Race	Other	17%
	White	83%
Ethnicity	Hispanic or Latino	8%
	Not Hispanic or Latino	92%
Marital status	Married/Partnered	59%
	Single	41%
Education level	High school or less	13%
	Some college	34%
	College	25%
	Advanced degree	29%
Family annual income	Less than $10,000	7%
	$10,000–$19,999	12%
	$20,000–$29,999	9%
	$30,000–$39,999	9%
	$40,000–$49,999	8%
	$50,000 or more	56%
Christian	No	27%
	Yes	73%
Have a religion	No	14%
	Yes	86%
Cancer stage	1	13%
	2	7%
	3	20%
	4	60%
Time since diagnosis (years)	Mean ± SD	3.4 ± 3.9
Metastasized	Yes	54%
Palliative performance score	60	18%
	70	31%
	80	33%
	90	15%
	100	3%

clinician who can bill for their clinical service as a form of therapy, such as psychology or licensed clinical social workers, to offset the expense.

A limitation of our study was the contracted costs for delivery of the DT and editing the transcripts into legacy documents and the resultant lack of precision in the amount of time required for these tasks. Also, the cost of training DT therapists and the research coordinators' time to recruit, schedule, and facilitate the study were not included in this analysis.

Table 2. Average costs and time required to transcribe all dignity therapy interviews and by interview provider type and study site

Groups	Category	Number of transcripts	Cost mean (SD)	Time mean (SD)	p^*
All		242	84.3 (23.8)	52.6 (14.6)	
Interview provider type	Chaplain	136	88.0 (22.8)	55.0 (14.1)	0.004
	Nurse	106	79.4 (24.2)	49.5 (14.6)	
Study site	Site 1-N	49	94.6 (15.0)	58.6 (8.1)	<0.001**
	Site 2-N	25	74.2 (25.0)	46.6 (15.7)	
	Site 3-N	32	60.3 (19.9)	37.9 (12.5)	
	Site 4-C	24	105.1 (22.1)	65.7 (14.1)	
	Site 5-C	45	88.1 (25.1)	55.0 (15.6)	
	Site 6-C	67	81.9 (18.1)	51.1 (10.9)	

*p value is for comparison of time since cost includes extra service such as rapid processing request or more than 2 speakers; *Independent t-test; **Analysis of variance; N = nurse site, C = chaplain site; transcription rate $1.59/minute regular rate; $1.89, $2.09, $2.59/minute for expedited ($n = 6$).

In conclusion, our study sheds light on the practical aspects and expenses involved in implementing DT in palliative care older adults with cancer. The results of our research demonstrate that DT is a relatively low-cost intervention. Future work can explore different modes of DT delivery, dictation methods, student or volunteer involvement, artificial intelligence, and even consider using online international workers to enhance efficiency of DT delivery.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the patients, clinicians, transcriptionists, editors, and the many research staff members for giving of their time to advance science.

Author contributions. The authors R.A.L.Y., A.P.R., A.S.-J., A.G., Y.Y., T.M.S., G.F., G.H., H.M.C., L.L.E., S.K., and D.J.W. have contributed sufficiently to the project and have met all criteria to be included as authors. R.A.L.Y., A.P.R., D.J.W., and Y.Y. conceptualized this study. Methodology was by D.J.W. and Y.Y. Investigation was done by D.J.W., T.M.S., S.K., G.H., and H.M.C. Visualization was done by D.J.W. and Y.Y. Funding acquisition was done by D.J.W., G.F., L.L.E., and Y.Y. Project administration was done by D.J.W. and Y.Y. Supervision was by A.G., S.K., and D.J.W. Writing – original draft was by R.A.L.Y., A.P.R., S.K., and D.J.W. Writing – review & editing was by T.M.S., L.L.E., G.F., G.H., and H.M.C.

Funding. This research was made possible by grant number 1R01CA200867 from the National Institutes of Health (NIH), National Cancer Institute (NCI), and the National Institute of Nursing Research (NINR). The information in this article is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the views of the NIH, NCI, or NINR. The final peer-reviewed manuscript is subject to the National Institutes of Health Public Access Policy.

Competing interests. All authors have no relevant financial interest in this manuscript and no activities, affiliations, or relationships to disclose. All authors have not published or submitted any related papers focused on the costs of the intervention model used in this study.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1478951523001177 Published online by Cambridge University Press

References

Aoun SM, Chochinov HM, Kristjanson LJ, ***et al.*** (2015) Dignity therapy for people with motor neuron disease and their family caregivers: A feasibility study. *Journal of Palliative Medicine* **18**(1), 31–37. doi:10.1089/jpm.2014.0213

Balboni T, Balboni M, Paulk ME, ***et al.*** (2011) Support of cancer patients' spiritual needs and associations with medical care costs at the end of life. *Cancer* **117**(23), 5383–5391. doi:10.1002/cncr.26221

Bentley B, Aoun SM, O'Connor M, ***et al.*** (2012) Is dignity therapy feasible to enhance the end of life experience for people with motor neurone disease and their family carers? *BMC Palliative Care* **11**, 18. doi:10.1186/1472-684X-11-18

Bentley B, O'Connor M, Williams A, ***et al.*** (2020) Dignity therapy online: Piloting an online psychosocial intervention for people with terminal illness. *DIGITAL HEALTH* **6**, 205520762095852. doi:10.1177/2055207620958527

Chochinov HM (2012) *Dignity Therapy: Final Words for Final Days*. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.

Chochinov HM, Kristjanson LJ, Breitbart W, ***et al.*** (2011) Effect of dignity therapy on distress and end-of-life experience in terminally ill patients: A randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Oncology* **12**(8), 753–762. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(11)70153-X

Fitchett G, Emanuel L, Handzo G, ***et al.*** (2015) Care of the human spirit and the role of dignity therapy: A systematic review of dignity therapy research. *BMC Palliative Care* **14**(1), 8. doi:10.1186/s12904-015-0007-1

Hall S, Goddard C, Opio D, ***et al.*** (2012) Feasibility, acceptability and potential effectiveness of Dignity Therapy for older people in care homes: A phase II randomized controlled trial of a brief palliative care psychotherapy. *Palliative Medicine* **26**(5), 703–712. doi:10.1177/0269216311418145

Kelly C, Kynoch K, Ramis MA, ***et al.*** (2023) Implementing dignity therapy service into an acute cancer care setting – A feasibility study. *Journal of Palliative Care* **5**, 8258597231154221. doi:10.1177/08258597231154221

Kittelson S, Scarton L, Barker P, ***et al.*** (2019) Dignity therapy led by nurses or chaplains for elderly cancer palliative care outpatients: Protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Research Protocols* **8**(4), e12213. doi:10.2196/12213

Montross L, Winters KD, Irwin SA, ***et al.*** (2011) Dignity therapy implementation in a community-based hospice setting. *Journal of Palliative Medicine* **14**(6), 729–734. doi:10.1089/jpm.2010.0449

Montross LP, Meier EA, De Cervantes-Monteith K, ***et al.*** (2013) Hospice staff perspectives on dignity therapy. *Journal of Palliative Medicine* **16**(9), 1118–1120. doi:10.1089/jpm.2013.0030

Weng LC, Huang HL, Wilkie DJ, ***et al.*** (2009) Predicting survival with the Palliative Performance Scale in a minority-serving hospice and palliative care program. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management* **37**(4), 642–648. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2008.03.023

Wilkie DJ, Fitchett G, Yao Y, ***et al.*** (Submitted 2023) Engaging mortality: Effective implementation of dignity therapy. *Journal of Palliative Medicine.*

Winkelman WD, Lauderdale K, Balboni MJ, ***et al.*** (2011) The relationship of spiritual concerns to the quality of life of advanced cancer patients: Preliminary findings. *Journal of Palliative Medicine* **14**(9), 1022–1028. doi:10.1089/jpm.2010.0536

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1478951523001177 Published online by Cambridge University Press