Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (1998), **124**, 371
Printed in the United Kingdom © 1998 *Cambridge Philosophical Society*

On neutral functional-differential equations with variable time delays

BY ALAN FELDSTEIN
Department of Mathematics, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA

AND YUNKANG LIU
Gonville and Caius College, Cambridge University, Cambridge, UK

(*Received* 17 *July* 1996, *revised* 7 *January* 1997)

Abstract

This paper deals with an initial value problem of a neutral functional-differential equation with variable time delay. The effect of the neutral term on the existence and uniqueness of solutions is investigated. A comparison theorem is given and is applied to a functional Riccati equation. The asymptotic behaviour of solutions of a linear perturbation of the generalized pantograph equation is studied.

1. *Introduction*

Consider the initial value problem

$$y'(t) - c(t)y'(\phi(t)) = f(t, y(t), y(\phi(t))), \qquad t \geqslant 0, \qquad y(0) = y_0, \tag{1·1}$$

where c and f are complex continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ and $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$, respectively, ϕ is a real continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with $0 \leqslant \phi(t) \leqslant t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and $y_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. The restriction on ϕ implies that the time lag $t - \phi(t)$ vanishes at least at $t = 0$. Special cases of equation (1·1) include the generalized pantograph equation [**11**]

$$y'(t) - c_0 y'(qt) = a_0 y(t) + b_0 y(qt), \qquad t \geqslant 0, \tag{1·2}$$

where $a_0, b_0, c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $q \in (0, 1)$, the functional Riccati equation [**27**, **29**]

$$y'(t) + q^2 y'(qt) = \mu - y^2(t) + q^2 y^2(qt), \qquad t \geqslant 0, \tag{1·3}$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, $q \in (0, 1)$, and the linear case studied in [**16**]. Various special cases of (1·1) have been used as test equations in the study of numerical stability [**1**, **3**, **21**]. One can also use special cases of (1·1) to construct small solutions of neutral equations by following the idea in [**4**].

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 considers the well-posedness of the initial value problem (1·1). A large part of that section is devoted to the study of the effect of the coefficient $c(t)$ on the existence and uniqueness of solutions. Examples that illustrate the influence of $c(t)$ on the convergence of traditional numerical methods can be found in [**21**]. In Section 3, some comparison results are presented. Under some restrictions, it is shown that solutions of (1·1) can be compared with solutions of some ordinary differential equations. In Section 4, several examples,

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002497 Published online by Cambridge University Press

including the functional Riccati equation (1·3), demonstrate how the comparison theorem can be used to study the existence of solutions and to give sharper estimates of the solutions. Section 5 studies the equation

$$y'(t) - c(t)y'(\phi(t)) = a(t)y(t) + b(t)y(\phi(t)), \qquad t \geqslant 0, \tag{1·4}$$

as a perturbation of the generalized pantograph equation (1·2). Under certain conditions on $a(t), b(t), c(t)$ and $\phi(t)$, it is proved that solutions of (1·4) have asymptotic behaviour similar to that of solutions of equation (1·2)

2. *Existence and uniqueness of solutions*

As far as existence and uniqueness of solutions are concerned, the well-known 'method of steps' does not apply to (1·1), since $\phi(t) = t$ at least at $t = 0$. The general existence theorem of Nussbaum [**25**, p. 618] does not apply to (1·1) unless the function f satisfies certain conditions other than continuity. The following result is obtained by using the idea of reducing the neutral equation into a retarded equation (see, for example, [**16**, **30**]).

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that $|c(t)| < 1$ whenever t is a fixed point of ϕ. Then there exists a number $T > 0$ such that (1·1) has a solution on $[0, T]$. Moreover, if $y(t)$ is a non-continuable solution of (1·1), then the maximal interval of existence, $[0, T_{\max})$, is open on the right, and if $T_{\max} < \infty$, then $\limsup_{t \to T_{\max}} |y(t)| = \infty$. Furthermore, the solution is unique if f is Lipschitz continuous, i.e. for any $t_0 \geqslant 0$ there exists a number $\rho > 0$ such that*

$$|f(t, y_1, y_2) - f(t, x_1, x_2)| \leqslant M(|y_1 - x_1| + |y_2 - x_2|)$$

for $t_0 \leqslant t \leqslant t_0 + \rho$, $|y_1 - x_1| \leqslant \rho$, $|y_2 - x_2| \leqslant \rho$ and for some positive constant M (depending on t_0 and ρ).

Proof. Define ϕ_n recursively as follows

$$\phi_0(t) = t, \qquad \phi_{n+1}(t) = \phi(\phi_n(t)), \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

and let

$$c_0(t) = 1, \qquad c_{n+1}(t) = \prod_{k=0}^{n} c(\phi_k(t)), \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

It is important to note for any fixed $t > 0$ that the sequence $\{\phi_n(t)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is monotonically decreasing and that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi_n(t) = t^*$, where $t^* \in [0, t]$ is a fixed point of ϕ, i.e. $\phi(t^*) = t^*$.

Let us show that (1·1) is equivalent to the initial value problem

$$y'(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(t) f(\phi_n(t), y(\phi_n(t)), y(\phi_{n+1}(t))), \qquad t \geqslant 0, \qquad y(0) = y_0. \tag{2·1}$$

Suppose that $y(t) \in C^1[0, T]$ is a solution of (1·1). Since $0 \leqslant \phi(t) \leqslant t$, one can prove by induction that

$$y'(t) = c_{m+1}(t)y'(\phi_{m+1}(t)) + \sum_{n=0}^{m} c_n(t) f(\phi_n(t), y(\phi_n(t)), y(\phi_{n+1}(t))) \tag{2·2}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002497 Published online by Cambridge University Press

holds for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Since the sequence $\{\phi_n(t)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to a fix point, say t^*, of ϕ and $|c(t^*)| < 1$, it is true that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} c_n(t) = 0$$

and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(t) f(\phi_n(t), y(\phi_n(t)), y(\phi_{n+1}(t)))$ converges absolutely. Letting $m \to \infty$ in (2·2), it can be seen that $y(t)$ satisfies (2·1) as well. Suppose that $y(t) \in C^1[0,T]$ is a solution of (2·1). Replacing t by $\phi(t)$ in (2·1) yields

$$y'(\phi(t)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n-1}(\phi(t)) f(\phi_n(t), y(\phi_n(t)), y(\phi_{n+1}(t))).$$

Noting that $c(t)c_{n-1}(\phi(t)) = c_n(t)$, it is easy to verify that

$$y'(t) - c(t)y'(\phi(t)) = f(t, y(t), y(\phi(t))),$$

which means that $y(t)$ is a solution of (1·1) as well. This concludes the proof that (1·1) and (2·2) are equivalent. The rest of the proof follows similarly to those in chapter 12 of Gripenberg, Londen and Staffans [**9**]. □

One natural question to ask is what happens when $|c(t)| \geqslant 1$ at some points t where $\phi(t) = t$. To this end, it is worthwhile to cite the following paragraph from Hale [**10**, p. 26]:

> "As the theory evolves, one cannot keep from observing that retarded equations are very similar to ordinary differential equations and parabolic partial differential equations and that neutral equations resemble difference equations and hyperbolic partial differential equations."

In the rest of this section, we consider the following linear case of the initial value problem (1·1):

$$y'(t) - c(t)y'(\phi(t)) = f(t) + a(t)y(t) + b(t)y(\phi(t)), \qquad t \geqslant 0, \qquad y(0) = y_0, \tag{2·3}$$

where $a, b, c, \phi, f \in C[0,t_0]$, $|c(t)| > 1$ and $\phi(t)$ is strictly monotonically increasing on $[0,t_0]$ for some $t_0 > 0$. Obviously, the functional equation that (2·3) resembles should be

$$x(t) - c(t)x(\phi(t)) = f(t), \qquad t \geqslant 0. \tag{2·4}$$

Denote $I_0 = [\phi(t_0), t_0]$ and

$$U = \{u(t) \in C(I_0) \mid u(t_0) - c(t_0)u(\phi(t_0)) = f(t_0)\}.$$

Analogous to theorem 1 of Liu [**21**], the following lemma holds.

LEMMA 2. *Given any function $u(t) \in U$, equation* (2·4) *has one and only one solution $x(t) \in C[0,t_0]$ such that $x(t) = u(t)$ on I_0.*

The above lemma yields the following result.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002497 Published online by Cambridge University Press

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that* $a(t) \equiv b(t) \equiv 0$. *Given any function* $u(t) \in U$, *the initial value problem* (2·3) *has one and only one solution* $y(t) \in C^1[0, t_0]$ *such that* $y'(t) = u(t)$ *on* I_0.

The above result can be viewed as an extension of the example given in remark 4 in Nussbaum [**25**] except that Theorem 3 characterizes all solutions of (2·3) in the case $a(t) \equiv b(t) \equiv 0$. Without the restriction that $a(t) \equiv b(t) \equiv 0$ the following (slightly weaker) result holds.

THEOREM 4. *The initial value problem* (2·3) *has infinitely many linearly independent solutions in* $[0, t_0]$ *for any given initial value* y_0.

Proof. Denote the function space

$$V = \{v(t) \in C^1(I_0) \mid v'(t_0) - c(t_0)v'(\phi(t_0)) = f(t_0) + a(t_0)v(t_0) + b(t_0)v(\phi(t_0))\}$$

and let

$$t_n = \phi_n(t_0), \quad \text{and} \quad I_n = [t_{n+1}, t_n] \qquad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

The assumptions on the function ϕ imply that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = 0. \tag{2·5}$$

It can be shown that given any function $v(t) \in V$, the equation

$$y'(t) - c(t)y'(\phi(t)) = f(t) + a(t)y(t) + b(t)y(\phi(t)), \qquad t \in [0, t_0], \tag{2·6}$$

has one and only one solution $y(t) \in C^1[0, t_0]$ that obeys $y(t) = v(t)$ for $t \in I_0$. Indeed, such a solution $y(t)$ can be formulated in the following way:

(i) $y(t) = v(t)$ for $t \in I_0$; and

(ii) When $t \in I_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $y(t)$ is the unique solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) + \dfrac{b(\zeta(t))}{c(\zeta(t))}y(t) = \dfrac{1}{c(\zeta(t))}\left[y'(\zeta(t)) - f(\zeta(t)) - a(\zeta(t))y(\zeta(t))\right], \\ y(t_n) = y(t_n + 0). \end{cases} \tag{2·7}$$

where $\zeta(t)$ is the inverse function of $\phi(t)$. Note that $y(t)$ is constructed recursively from (2·7), where $y(\zeta(t))$ and $y'(\zeta(t))$ are treated as given functions because $\zeta: I_n \mapsto I_{n-1}$ for $n \geqslant 1$. Obviously, $y(t) \in C^1(0, t_1]$. Therefore, it suffices to prove that $y(t)$ can be extended continuously to $t = 0$ from the right. It follows from (2·7) that

$$|y'(t)| \leqslant \rho\left[M_0 + M_1|y(\zeta(t))| + M_2|y(t)| + |y'(\zeta(t))|\right], \qquad t \in (0, t_1],$$

where $\rho = \|c^{-1}|_{[0,t_0]}\|_\infty < 1$, $M_0 = \|f|_{[0,t_0]}\|_\infty$, $M_1 = \|a|_{[0,t_0]}\|_\infty$ and $M_2 = \|b|_{[0,t_0]}\|_\infty$. Using the fact that $\zeta: I_n \mapsto I_{n-1}$ for $n \geqslant 1$, it can be shown by induction from the preceding inequality that

$$|y'(t)| \leqslant \|v'|_{I_0}\|_\infty + \frac{\rho M_0}{1-\rho} + \beta\|y|_{[t,t_0]}\|_\infty, \qquad t \in I_n$$

holds for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, where $\beta = \rho(M_1 + M_2)/(1 - \rho)$. Since $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n = (0, t_0]$, it is true that

$$|y'(t)| \leqslant \|v'|_{I_0}\|_\infty + \frac{\rho M_0}{1-\rho} + \beta\|y|_{[t,t_0]}\|_\infty, \qquad t \in (0, t_0]. \tag{2·8}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002497 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Hence,

$$|y(t)| \leqslant |v(t_0)| + \int_t^{t_0} |y'(s)| \mathrm{d}s \leqslant \alpha + \beta \int_t^{t_0} \|y|_{[s,t_0]}\|_\infty \mathrm{d}s, \qquad t \in (0, t_0],$$

where $\alpha = |v(t_0)| + t_0[\|v'|_{I_0}\|_\infty + \rho M_0/(1-\rho)]$. Let $x(t) = \|y|_{[t,t_0]}\|_\infty$. It follows from the above inequality that

$$x(t) \leqslant \alpha + \beta \int_t^{t_0} x(s) \mathrm{d}s, \qquad t \in [0, t_0].$$

The following estimate follows by the use of Gronwall's method,

$$x(t) \leqslant \alpha \mathrm{e}^{\beta(t_0 - t)}, \qquad t \in (0, t_0]$$

which implies that

$$|y(t)| \leqslant \alpha \mathrm{e}^{\beta(t_0 - t)}, \qquad t \in (0, t_0]. \tag{2·9}$$

It follows from (2·8) and (2·9) that $y'(t)$ is uniformly bounded on $(0, t_0]$. Therefore, $\lim_{t \to 0+} y(t)$ exists so $y(t)$ can be extended continuously to $t = 0$ from the right.

Next, it can be shown that the homogeneous initial value problem

$$y'(t) - c(t)y'(\phi(t)) = a(t)y(t) + b(t)y(\phi(t)), \qquad t \in [0, t_0], \qquad y(0) = 0 \tag{2·10}$$

has infinitely many linearly independent solutions. This is because V is an infinite dimensional function space, whether $f \equiv 0$ or not.

Finally, it can be shown that given any function $f \in C[0, t_0]$, the equation

$$y'(t) - c(t)y'(\phi(t)) = f(t) + a(t)y(t) + b(t)y(\phi(t)), \qquad t \in [0, t_0] \tag{2·11}$$

has solutions that obey $y(0) \neq 0$. It follows from (2·5) that there exists an integer $n^* \geqslant 0$ such that

$$\left(M_3 + \left\{1 + t_{n^*}\left[M_3 + \rho M_0/(1-\rho)\mathrm{e}^{\beta t_{n^*}}\right]\right\}\right) t_{n^*+1} < 1,$$

where $M_3 = 3|f(t_{n^*}) + a(t_{n^*}) + b(t_{n^*})|$. Then, one such solution to (2·11) can be obtained by solving the problem

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) - a(t)y(t) = f(t) + b(t)y(\phi(t)) + c(t)y'(\phi(t)), & t \notin I_{n^*}, \\ y(t) = y_0(t), & t \in I_{n^*}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$y_0(t) = 1 + \frac{f(t_{n^*}) + a(t_{n^*}) + b(t_{n^*})}{(t_{n^*} - t_{n^*+1})^2}(t - t_{n^*})(t - t_{n^*+1})^2, \qquad t \in I_{n^*}.$$

The proof that $y(0) \neq 0$ proceeds as follows. Analogous to (2·8) and (2·9), the following estimates

$$|y'(t)| \leqslant M_3 + \beta \|y|_{[t,t_{n^*}]}\|_\infty$$

$$|y(t)| \leqslant \{1 + t_{n^*}[M_3 + \rho M_0/(1-\rho)]\}\, \mathrm{e}^{\beta(t_{n^*} - t)}$$

hold for $t \in [0, t_{n^*}]$. Therefore,

$$|y'(t)| \leqslant M_3 + \beta\{1 + t_{n^*}[M_3 + \rho M_0/(1-\rho)]\}\, \mathrm{e}^{\beta t_{n^*}}, \qquad t \in [0, t_{n^*}]$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002497 Published online by Cambridge University Press

and

$$|y(0)-1| \leqslant \int_0^{t_{n^*+1}} |y'(\tau)|\mathrm{d}\tau < 1.$$

Hence, $y(0) \neq 0$. Since (2·11) is linear, Theorem 4 follows from the last two parts of the proof.

3. *Comparison results*

Consider the neutral functional differential equation

$$x'(t) - d(t)x'(\psi(t)) = g(t, x(t), x(\psi(t))), \qquad t \geqslant 0, \tag{3·1}$$

where d and g are real continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ and $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, respectively, and ψ is a real continuous function in $\mathbb{R}^+$ with $0 \leqslant \psi(t) \leqslant t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. The following comparison theorem holds:

THEOREM 5. *Suppose that $|d(t)| < 1$ whenever t is a fixed point of ψ, and*

$$|c(t)| \leqslant d(t), \quad \phi(t) \leqslant \psi(t), \quad |f(t, y_1, y_2)| \leqslant g(t, |y_1|, |y_2|), \quad \forall t \geqslant 0,\ (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$

and that (3·1) *has a nonnegative solution $x(t) \in C^1[0, T]$ for some $T > 0$. If $\phi \equiv \psi$, then, for any initial value y_0 obeying $|y_0| \leqslant x(0)$, there exists a solution $y(t)$ of* (1·1) *satisfying $|y(t)| \leqslant x(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. If $\phi \not\equiv \psi$, then the same result holds provided that d, ψ and g are monotonically increasing.*

Proof. Define

$$\psi_0(t) = t, \qquad \psi_{n+1}(t) = \psi(\psi_n(t)), \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$
$$d_0(t) = 1, \qquad d_{n+1}(t) = \prod_{k=0}^{n} d(\psi_k(t)), \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

It can be shown in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1 that the solution $x(t)$ of (3·1) satisfies the equation

$$x'(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n(t) g(\psi_n(t), x(\psi_n(t)), x(\psi_{n+1}(t))), \qquad t \in [0, T]$$

which implies that $x(t)$ is monotonically increasing and that

$$x(t) = x(0) + \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n(s) g(\psi_n(s), x(\psi_n(s)), x(\psi_{n+1}(s)))\mathrm{d}s, \qquad t \in [0, T]. \tag{3·2}$$

Let

$$V = \{v \in C[0, T] \mid |v(t)| \leqslant x(t),\ \forall x \in [0, T]\}.$$

Based on the equation (2·1), an operator Q: $C[0, T] \mapsto C[0, T]$ is defined as follows:

$$Q(v)(t) = y_0 + \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(s) f(\phi_n(s), v(\phi_n(s)), v(\phi_{n+1}(s)))\mathrm{d}s, \qquad t \in [0, T].$$

If $\phi \equiv \psi$, then (3·2) implies that

$$|Q(v)(t)| \leqslant x(t), \qquad t \in [0, T], \qquad \forall v \in V. \tag{3·3}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002497 Published online by Cambridge University Press

If $\phi \not\equiv \psi$ and d, ψ and g are monotonically increasing, the inequality (3·3) still holds. Therefore, Q maps the function space V into itself. Since V is a bounded, closed and convex subspace of the Banach space $C[0,T]$ (equipped with the maximum norm), and Q is a compact map of V into V, it follows from Schauder's fixed point theorem that there exists a function $y \in V$ such that $y(t) = Q(y)(t)$ for all $t \in [0,T]$. It is obvious that this function $y(t)$ is a solution of (1·1). □

Let

$$d(t) = \max_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t} |c(s)|, \qquad g(t, y_1, y_2) = \max_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t, |x_1| \leqslant y_1, |x_2| \leqslant y_2} |f(s, x_1, x_2)|.$$

Then Theorem 5 yields the following result:

Corollary 6. *If $|d(t)| < 1$ for $t \in [0,T]$ and the equation*

$$x'(t) = \frac{1}{1 - d(t)} g(t, x(t), x(t)), \qquad t \geqslant 0$$

has a nonnegative solution $x(t) \in C^1[0,T]$ for some $T > 0$, then for any initial value y_0 obeying $|y_0| \leqslant x(0)$ there exists a solution $y(t)$ of (1·1) satisfying $|y(t)| \leqslant x(t)$ for all $x \in [0,T]$.

4. *Applications*

Theorems 1, 5 and Corollary 6 yield interesting information about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of some special cases of (1·1). Corollary 7, which follows from Theorem 1 and Corollary 6, is a much stronger result than Corollary 1 in Iserles and Terjéki [**16**].

Corollary 7. *Suppose that $|c(t)| < 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and that there exist continuous functions $M_i(t)$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, such that $|f(t, y_1, y_2)| \leqslant M_0(t) + M_1(t)|y_1| + M_2(t)|y_2|$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Then (1·1) has solutions in $[0, \infty)$ for any initial value y_0. Furthermore, if $f(t, y_1, y_2)$ is Lipschitz continuous, then the solution is unique.*

Though Corollary 6 is easier to use, Theorem 5 can give a sharper estimate about the growth of the solution as shown in the next example.

Example 1. Consider the initial value problem

$$y'(t) - c(t)y'(\phi(t)) = a(t)y(t) + b(t)y(\phi(t)), \qquad t \geqslant 0, \qquad y(0) = y_0, \qquad (4·1)$$

where a, b, c, ϕ are continuous functions that satisfy the following assumptions:

$$|a(t)| \leqslant a_0, \ |b(t)| \leqslant b_0, \ |c(t)| \leqslant c_0 < 1, \ \phi(t)/t \leqslant q \in (0,1), \ \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

Since the solution $y(t)$ of (4·1) is unique in this case, Theorem 5 implies that $|y(t)| \leqslant x(t)$, where $x(t)$ is the solution of the generalized pantograph equation

$$x'(t) = a_0 x(t) + b_0 x(qt) + c_0 x'(qt), \quad t \geqslant 0, \qquad x(0) = |y_0|. \qquad (4·2)$$

If $a_0 > 0$, then theorem 6 of Iserles and Liu [**13**] implies that $x(t) = \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{e}^{a_0 t})$ as $t \to \infty$. Therefore, $y(t) = \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{e}^{a_0 t})$ as $t \to \infty$. If $a_0 = 0$, then

$$x(t) = |y_0| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{q^k b_0}{1 - c_0 q^k} \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} \leqslant \frac{|y_0|}{\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - c_0 q^k)} z(b_0 t),$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002497 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where $z(t)$ is the solution of

$$z'(t) = z(qt), \qquad z(0) = 1.$$

According to a result of de Bruijn [**2**] (see also Kato and McLeod [**17**]), $z(t) = \mathcal{O}(h(t))$ as $t \to \infty$, where

$$h(t) = t^{\alpha}(\log t)^{\beta}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\gamma}(\log t - \log\log t)\right)$$

with

$$\gamma = \log q, \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\gamma}\log(-\gamma), \quad \beta = 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}\log(-\gamma).$$

Therefore, $y(t) = \mathcal{O}(h(b_0 t))$ as $t \to \infty$. In contrast, Corollary 6 yields the following weaker estimate

$$y(t) = \mathcal{O}\left(\exp\left(\frac{a_0 + b_0}{1 - c_0}t\right)\right) \qquad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

This example is motivated by a recent work of Derfel and Vogl [**5**], though our comparison theorem is different from theirs.

However, for some special cases, one can use the basic comparison idea to obtain better results as shown in the next example.

Example 2. Consider the functional Riccati equation (1·3). It follows from Theorem 1 that (1·3) has a unique non-continuable solution in the interval $[0, T_{\max})$ for some $T_{\max} \leqslant \infty$ which obeys the initial value condition

$$y(0) = y_0 \neq 0.$$

Denote this solution by $y(t)$. According to Corollary 6, $y(t)$ is bounded by the solution of the Riccati's equation

$$x'(t) = \frac{|\mu|}{1 - q^2} + \frac{1 + q^2}{1 - q^2}x^2(t), \qquad t \geqslant 0, \qquad x(0) = |y_0|. \tag{4·3}$$

However, on noticing that (1·3) is equivalent to the equation

$$y'(t) = \frac{\mu}{1 + q^2} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n q^{2n}(y^2(q^n t) - q^2 y^2(q^{n+1}t)),$$

one can shown similarly that $y(t)$ can be bounded by the Riccati equation

$$x'(t) = \frac{|\mu|}{1 + q^2} + \frac{1 + q^2}{1 - q^2}x^2(t), \qquad t \geqslant 0, \qquad x(0) = |y_0|. \tag{4·4}$$

When $\mu = 0$, the solution of (4·4) is

$$x(t) = \left(\frac{1}{|y_0|} - \frac{1 + q^2}{1 - q^2}t\right)^{-1}.$$

It follows from Corollary 6 that

$$T_{\max} \geqslant T_0 := \frac{1 - q^2}{(1 + q^2)|y_0|} \qquad \text{and} \qquad |y(t)| \leqslant x(t), \quad t \in [0, T_0).$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002497 Published online by Cambridge University Press

When $\mu \neq 0$, the solution of (4·4) is

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha}\tan\left(\arctan(\alpha|y_0|) + \frac{\alpha|\mu|}{1+q^2}t\right),$$

where $\alpha = (1+q^2)/((1-q^2)|\mu|)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Therefore,

$$T_{\max} \geqslant T_1 := \left(\frac{1-q^2}{|\mu|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan(\alpha|y_0|)\right]$$

and

$$|y(t)| \leqslant x(t), \qquad t \in [0, T_1).$$

It is not difficult to see that the preceding lower bound of $T_{\max}$ is better than the one that can be obtained by comparing the solution $y(t)$ with that of (4·3). A comprehensive study of the functional Riccati equation (1·3) features in Liu [**23**].

5. *Asymptotic behaviour*

For the generalized pantograph equation (1·2), it is proved in Liu [**22**] that when $\operatorname{Re} a_0 < 0$, any solution $y(t) \in C^\infty[0,\infty)$ of (1·2) must satisfy the following estimate

$$y(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{\tilde{\alpha}}) \qquad \text{as } t \to \infty, \tag{5·1}$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} := (1/\ln q)\ln|a_0/b_0|$ if $b_0 \neq 0$, otherwise $\tilde{\alpha}$ can be any arbitrarily small constant. It can be seen from results of Kato and McLeod [**17**] and Kato [**18**] that the preceding estimate cannot be improved in the case $c_0 = 0$.

This section shows that the estimate (5·1) still holds for the equation (1·4), provided that the functions $a, b, c, \phi \in C^\infty[0,\infty)$ are perturbation of a_0, b_0, c_0 and qt, respectively, in the sense that

$$a(t) = a_0 + a_1(t), \quad b(t) = b_0 + b_1(t), \quad \phi(t) = qt + \phi_1(t)$$

and as $t \to \infty$ the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_1(t), b_1(t) = o(1), \quad b_0a_1(t) - a_0b_1(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{-\beta}),\\
&a_1^{(n)}(t), b_1^{(n)}(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{-n}), \qquad n = 1, 2, \ldots,\\
&c(t), c'(t) = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \phi_1(t) = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \phi_1'(t) = o(1),\\
&c^{(n)}(t), \ \phi_1^{(n)}(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{-n+1}), \qquad n = 2, 3, \ldots,
\end{aligned}$$

where β is a positive constant. Under the above conditions, equation (1·4) can be viewed as a linear perturbation of (1·2).

THEOREM 8. *If* $\operatorname{Re} a_0 < 0$ *then the estimate* (5·1) *holds for any solution* $y(t) \in C^\infty[0,\infty)$ *of equation* (1·4).

Three lemmas are needed to prove this result.

LEMMA 9. *Suppose that* $x(t) \in C[0,\infty)$ *is a nonnegative function that satisfies the inequality*

$$x(t) \leqslant g(t) + C(t)x(\psi(t)) + \int_{t_0}^{t} D(t,s)x(\psi(s))\mathrm{d}s, \qquad t \geqslant t_0 \geqslant 0,$$

where $g, C, \psi \in C[t_0,\infty)$ *are nonnegative functions with* $0 \leqslant \psi(t) \leqslant t$ *for all* $t \geqslant t_0$, *and*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002497 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$D(t,s)$ is a nonnegative continuous function for all $t_0 \leqslant s \leqslant t$. If $g(t) = \mathcal{O}(1)$ as $t \to \infty$ and

$$\limsup_{T\to\infty} \max_{t\geqslant T} \left[|C(t)| + \int_T^t D(t,s)\mathrm{d}s\right] < 1,$$

then $x(t) = \mathcal{O}(1)$ as $t \to \infty$.

LEMMA 10. *Suppose that $y(t) \in C[qt_0, \infty)$ is a complex function that satisfies the equation*

$$y(t) + q^{-\mu}y(qt) = g(t), \qquad t \geqslant t_0,$$

where q and μ are real constants with $q \in (0,1)$. If $g(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^\nu)$ as $t \to \infty$ with $\nu < \mu$, then $y(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^\mu)$ as $t \to \infty$.

LEMMA 11. *Suppose that $x(t) \in C^1[0,\infty)$ is a complex function that satisfies the equation*

$$(x(t) - C(t)x(\psi(t)))' = A(t)x(t) + B(t)x(\psi(t)) + g(t), \qquad t \geqslant t_0 \geqslant 0, \tag{5·2}$$

where $A, B, C \in C[t_0,\infty)$ are complex functions and $\psi(t) \in C[t_0,\infty)$ is a real function with $\operatorname{Re} A(t) < 0$ and $0 \leqslant \psi(t) \leqslant t$ for all $t \geqslant t_0$. If $g(t)/\operatorname{Re} A(t) = \mathcal{O}(1)$ as $t \to \infty$ and

$$\limsup_{T\to\infty} \max_{t\geqslant T} \left[|C(t)| + \max_{T\leqslant s\leqslant t} \frac{|B(s) + A(s)C(s)|}{|\operatorname{Re} A(s)|}\right] < 1,$$

then $x(t) = \mathcal{O}(1)$ as $t \to \infty$.

Lemma 9 is probably not new and its proof is trivial. Lemma 10 has been proved in [**22**]. To prove Lemma 11, one can use the variation-of-constants formula to obtain from (5·2) the equation

$$x(t) = [x(t_0) - C(t_0)x(\psi(t_0))]\,\mathrm{e}^{\int_{t_0}^t A(s)\mathrm{d}s} + \int_{t_0}^t \mathrm{e}^{\int_s^t A(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau} g(s)\mathrm{d}s$$
$$+C(t)x(\psi(t)) + \int_{t_0}^t D(t,s)x(\psi(s))\mathrm{d}s, \qquad t \geqslant t_0,$$

where

$$D(t,s) = \mathrm{e}^{\int_s^t A(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau}[B(s) + A(s)C(s)].$$

Since

$$\left|\int_{t_0}^t \mathrm{e}^{\int_s^t A(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau} g(s)\mathrm{d}s\right| \leqslant \sup_{t\geqslant t_0} \left|\frac{g(t)}{\operatorname{Re} A(t)}\right|, \qquad t \geqslant t_0$$

and

$$\int_T^t |D(t,s)|\mathrm{d}s \leqslant \max_{T\leqslant s\leqslant t} \frac{|B(s) + A(s)C(s)|}{|\operatorname{Re} A(s)|},$$

it follows from Lemma 9 that $x(t) = \mathcal{O}(1)$ as $t \to \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 8. Consider only the case $b_0 \neq 0$. The case $b_0 = 0$ can be dealt with similarly. Let

$$\alpha := 2 - \frac{1}{\ln q} \ln\left(c_0 + \frac{q|b_0| + c_1 + |a_0|c_0}{|\operatorname{Re} a_0|}\right),$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002497 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where $c_k = \limsup_{t\to\infty} |c^{(k)}(t)|$, $k = 0, 1$. It will be shown by induction on n that

$$y^{(n)}(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{\alpha-n}), \qquad \text{as } t \to \infty \tag{5·3}$$

holds for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. First, consider the case $n = 0$. By assumption, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} a(t) - \frac{\alpha}{t+1} < 0, \qquad \phi'(t) > 0, \qquad \text{for all } t \geqslant t_0.$$

Let $x(t) = (t+1)^{-\alpha} y(t)$, then $x(t)$ satisfies (5·2) with

$$A(t) = a(t) - \frac{\alpha}{t+1}, \quad B(t) = \left[\frac{\phi(t)+1}{t+1}\right]^{\alpha} \left[b(t) + \frac{c'(t)}{\phi'(t)} - \frac{\alpha c(t)}{(t+1)\phi'(t)} - \frac{c(t)\phi''(t)}{\phi'(t)^2}\right],$$

$$C(t) = \left[\frac{\phi(t)+1}{t+1}\right]^{\alpha} \frac{c(t)}{\phi'(t)}, \quad \psi(t) \equiv \phi(t), \quad g(t) \equiv 0.$$

Since

$$\limsup_{T\to\infty} \max_{t\geqslant T} \left[|C(t)| + \max_{T\leqslant s\leqslant t} \frac{|B(s) + A(s)C(s)|}{|\operatorname{Re} A(s)|}\right] \leqslant q < 1,$$

it follows from Lemma 11 that $x(t) = \mathcal{O}(1)$ as $t \to \infty$. Hence (5·3) holds for $n = 0$. Suppose that there exists an integer $m \geqslant 1$ such that (5·3) holds for all $n < m$. Denote $y_n(t) = y^{(n)}(t)$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots$. Differentiating both sides of (1·4) m times yields the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &y_m'(t) - c(t)\phi'(t)^m y_m'(\phi(t)) \\ &\quad = a(t)y_m(t) + \left[b(t)\phi'(t)^m + mc'(t)\phi'(t)^{m-1}\right] y_m(\phi(t)) + f_m(t), \quad t \geqslant 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5·4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_m(t) = &\left[a(t)y(t) + b(t)y(\phi(t)) + c(t)y'(\phi(t))\right]^{(m)} - a(t)y_m(t) \\ &- \left[b(t)\phi'(t)^m + mc'(t)\phi'(t)^{m-1}\right] y_m(\phi(t)) - c(t)\phi'(t)^m y_m'(\phi(t)). \end{aligned}$$

The assumption that (5·3) holds for all $n < m$ implies that

$$f_m(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{\alpha-m}) \qquad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

Analogous to the case $n = 0$, the estimate (5·3) holds for $n = m$. Therefore, (5·3) holds for all $n \geqslant 0$. This completes that induction proof.

Next, it will be shown that the estimate

$$y_m(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{\alpha-n}) \qquad \text{as } t \to \infty, \qquad 0 \leqslant m \leqslant n \tag{5·5}$$

holds for any nonnegative integer $n \leqslant n^*$, where $n^* = [\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}] \geqslant 1$. The proof is also by induction on n. According to (5·3), the estimate (5·5) holds for $n = 0$. Suppose for some positive integer $k \leqslant n^*$ that (5·5) holds for $n < k$. It will be shown for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k$ that the estimate

$$y_j(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{\alpha-k}) \qquad \text{as } t \to \infty \tag{5·6}$$

holds. The estimate (5·3) implies that (5·6) holds for $j = k$. For $j < k$, it follows from (5·4) that

$$y_j(t) + \frac{b(t)\phi'(t)^j + jc'(t)\phi'(t)^{j-1}}{a(t)} y_j(\phi(t)) = g_j(t), \qquad t \geqslant 0, \tag{5·7}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002497 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where

$$g_j(t) = \frac{1}{a(t)}\left[y_{j+1}(t) - c(t)\phi'(t)^j y_{j+1}(\phi(t)) - f_j(t)\right].$$

Let $x_j(t) = (t+1)^{k-\alpha}|y_j(t)|$. It follows from (5·7) that

$$x_j(t) \leqslant (t+1)^{k-\alpha}|g_j(t)| + C_j(t)x_j(\phi(t)), \qquad t \geqslant 0, \tag{5·8}$$

where

$$C_j(t) = \frac{|b(t)|\phi'(t)^j(t+1)^{k-\alpha} + j|c'(t)|\phi'(t)^{j-1}}{|a(t)|(\phi(t)+1)^{k-\alpha}}.$$

The assumption that (5·5) holds for $n = k-1$ implies that $f_j(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{\alpha-k})$ as $t \to \infty$ for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k-1$. Since $k \leqslant n^*$ implies that

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} |C_j(t)| = q^{\alpha-\tilde{\alpha}-k+j} < 1, \qquad 0 \leqslant j \leqslant k-1,$$

it follows by applying Lemma 9 to (5·8) repeatedly from $j = k-1$ to 0 in descending order that $x_j(t) = \mathcal{O}(1)$ as $t \to \infty$. This implies that (5·6) holds for $j = k-1, k-2, \ldots, 0$. Therefore, the estimate (5·5) holds for $1 \leqslant n \leqslant n^*$. This completes the other induction proof.

Similarly, it can be proved by induction on m from $m = n^*+1$ to 1 in descending order that

$$y_m(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{\alpha-n^*-1}) \qquad \text{as } t \to \infty, \qquad 1 \leqslant m \leqslant n^*+1.$$

This implies that

$$g_0(t) = \frac{1}{a(t)}\{y_1(t) - c(t)y_1(\phi(t))\} = \mathcal{O}(t^{\alpha-n^*-1}) \qquad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

Since

$$y(t) + \frac{b(t)}{a(t)}y(\phi(t)) = g_0(t), \qquad t \geqslant 0, \tag{5·9}$$

Lemma 9 can be applied to prove that

$$y(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{\tilde{\alpha}+\beta/2}) \qquad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

Equation (5·9) can be rewrite as

$$y(t) + \frac{b_0}{a_0}y(qt) = g_0(t) + \left[\frac{b_0}{a_0} - \frac{b(t)}{a(t)}\right]y(qt) + \frac{b(t)}{a(t)}[y(qt) - y(\phi(t))], \quad t \geqslant 0. \tag{5·10}$$

Since

$$y(qt) - y(\phi(t)) = \int_{qt}^{\phi(t)} y'(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau = \mathcal{O}(t^{\alpha-n^*-1}) \qquad \text{as } t \to \infty$$

and

$$\left[\frac{b_0}{a_0} - \frac{b(t)}{a(t)}\right]y(qt) = \mathcal{O}(t^{\tilde{\alpha}-\beta/2}) \qquad \text{as } t \to \infty,$$

applying Lemma 10 to (5·10) yields the estimate

$$y(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{\tilde{\alpha}}) \qquad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 8. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002497 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank Arieh Iserles, Mike Powell (Cambridge University) and an anonymous referee for their valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Bellen, N. Guglielmi and L. Torelli. Asymptotic stability properties of θ-methods for the pantograph equation. *J. Applied Numerical Mathematics* **24** (1997), 279–294.

[2] N. G. de Bruijn. The difference-differential equation $F'(x) = e^{\alpha x+\beta}F(x-1)$. I. *Nederl. Acad. Wetensh. Proc. Ser. A*, **56** (1953), 449–464. *Indag. Math.* **15** (1953), 359–464.

[3] M. D. Buhmann and A. Iserles. On the dynamics of a discretized neutral equation. *IMA J. Num. Anal.* **12** (1992), 339–364.

[4] K. L. Cooke and G. A. Derfel. On the sharpness of a theorem by Cooke and Verduyn Lunel. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **197** (1996), 379–391.

[5] G. A. Derfel and F. Vogl. On the asymptotics of solutions of a class of linear functional-differential equations. *Europ. J. Appl. Maths.* **7** (1996), 511–518.

[6] A. Feldstein and C. K. Grafton. Experimental mathematics: an application to retarded differential equations with infinite lag. *Proc. 1968 ACM National Conf.* Brandon Systems Press, 1968, 67–71.

[7] A. Feldstein and Z. Jackiewicz. Unstable neutral functional differential equations. *Canadian Math. Bull.* **33** (1990), 428–433.

[8] L. Fox, D. F. Mayers, J. R. Ockendon and A. B. Tayler. On a functional-differential equation. *J. Inst. Maths Applics.* **8** (1971), 271–307.

[9] G. Gripenberg, S.-O. Londen and O. Staffans. *Volterra integral and functional equations* (Cambridge University Press, 1990).

[10] J. K. Hale. *Theory of functional differential equations* (Springer-Verlag, 1977).

[11] A. Iserles. On the generalized pantograph functional-differential equation. *Europ. J. Appl. Maths* **4** (1992), 1–38.

[12] A. Iserles. On nonlinear delay differential equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **344** (1994), 441–477.

[13] A. Iserles and Y. Liu. On pantograph integro-differential equations. *J. Integral Equations and Applics.* **6** (1994), 213–237.

[14] A. Iserles and Y. Liu. On functional-differential equations with proportional delays. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **207** (1997), 73–95.

[15] A. Iserles and Y. Liu. Integro-differential equations and generalized hypergeometric functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **208** (1997), 404–424.

[16] A. Iserles and J. Terjéki. Stability and asymptotic stability of functional-differential equations. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **51** (1995), 559–572.

[17] T. Kato and J. B. McLeod. The functional-differential equation $y'(x) = ay(\lambda x) + by(x)$. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **77** (1971), 891–937.

[18] T. Kato. Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the functional-differential equations $y'(x) = ay(\lambda x) + by(x)$. *Delay and functional differential equations and their applications*, K. Schmitt ed. (Academic Press, 1972).

[19] Y. Kuang and A. Feldstein. Monotonic and oscillatory solution of a linear neutral delay equation with infinite lag. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **21** (1990), 1633–1641.

[20] Y. Liu. Stability of θ-methods for neutral functional-differential equations. *Numer. Math.* **70** (1995) 473–485.

[21] Y. Liu. On the linear q-difference equation $y(x) = ay(qx) + f(x)$. *Applied Mathematics Letters* **8** (1995), 15–18.

[22] Y. Liu. Asymptotic behaviour of functional-differential equations with proportional time delays. *Europ. J. Appl. Maths.* **7** (1996), 11–30

[23] Y. Liu. On a functional Riccati equation. Cambridge University Tech. Rep. DAMTP, 1996/NA19 (1996).

[24] G. R. Morris, A. Feldstein and E. W. Bowen. The Phragmén–Lindelöf principle and a class of functional differential equations. in *Ordinary differential equations* (Academic Press, 1972), 513–540.

[25] R. Nussbaum. Existence and uniqueness theorems for some functional differential equations of neutral type. *J. Diff. Eqs* **11**(1972), 607–623.

[26] J. R. Ockendon and A. B. Tayler The dynamics of a current collection system for an electric locomotive. *Proc. Royal Soc.* **A 322** (1971), 447–468.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002497 Published online by Cambridge University Press

[**27**] A. SHABAT. The infinite dimensional dressing dynamical system. *Inverse Problems* **8** (1992), 303–308.
[**28**] S. SKORIK and V. SPIRIDONOV. Self-similar potentials and the q-oscillator algebra at roots of unity. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **28** (1993), 59–74.
[**29**] V. SPIRIDONOV. Universal superpositions of coherent states and self-similar potentials. *Physical Review* **52** (1995), 1909–1935.
[**30**] O. STAFFANS. A neutral FDE is similar to the product of an ODE and a shift. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **192**(1995), 627–654.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004198002497 Published online by Cambridge University Press