Index

Page numbers in *italics* refers to figure, **bold** refers to table.

administrative capacity, 8, 14, 16, 30, 46
Africa
  democratization of, 34
  determinant of vote choice in, 44
  electoral politics in, 28, 37, 165
  ethnic favoritism in, 28
  number of civil servants in, 9
  public spending in, 7, 34, 37, 46, 97
  supply of well-trained bureaucrats in, 8, *10*
  voters' preferences in, 43–45
African Institute for Development Policy, 65, 66
African Integrity Indicators (AII), 66
American bureaucracy, 19
Annual Progress Reports (APR), 108, 123
Argentina
  bureaucrats in, 83
  politicians and voters in, 45

Belgian Congo
  educated bureaucrats in, 8
Benin
  meritocratic recruitment in, 11, *12*
Botswana
  meritocratic recruitment in, 11
Brazil
  cap on electoral spending, 165
  corruption in, 20
  electoral competition in, 49
  illegal public procurement, 7
  meritocratic recruitment in, 11, *12*
  universalistic welfare programs, 163
bureaucratic transfers
  analysis of, 87–89, 91
  approval of, 85
  between agencies, 160
  between districts, 42
  corruption and, 84–92
  cost of, 90
  political nature of, 85
  politicians' control over, 86–92
  predicted probability for, 88, *89*
  proposal for reform of, 160
  reasons for, 91, 92
  variation in "transfer ability", 86, 88, 91
  within regions, 85
bureaucrats. *See also* Ghanaian bureaucrats; recruitment of bureaucrats
  administration of, 161
  autonomy of, 26, 28, 42, 128
  avenue of future research on, 166–167
  career control of, 18, 39, 49, 145
  career separation between politicians and, 25
  competence of, 31, 32, *35*
  contract allocation, 141–142
  corruption of, 13, 27, 77, 92
  education of, 8, 9, 10, *10*
  effect of political discretion on, 90
  ethnicity as partisanship for, 87

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 01:57:41, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009757270.013

bureaucrats (cont.)
exit options from public sector, 18
goals of, 42
incentives of, 11–13, 27, 42
job security of, 42
in local governments, 8
loyalty of, 31, 32, *35*, 36, 38, 73
methods of study of, 19–21
non-programmatic policies of, 17–18, 31, 33, 34, 38, 42, 77, 97, 159
political pressure on, 13, 27
professionalization of, 14
programmatic policies of, 17, 28, 34, 38, 42
promotions of, 42, 82–83, 145, 160–162
relationship with politicians and voters, 27
routine rotation of, 84
in rural and urban districts, 90
selection of, 8–11
supply challenge, 8–9
survey of high-ranking, 21
tenure of, 161
wages of, 13
work portfolios of, 83–84, 145, 161

career control
effectiveness of, 42
exercise of, 17
tools of, 5, 39, 81–82
career separation, 25, 40
Central region of Ghana
electoral competition in, 133
infrastructure projects in, **135**
classroom projects, 109, 124, 134
clientelism, 34, 48, 162
coercive capacity, 30
Colombia
"minimum value" contracting, 99
common goods, 117
constituency party executives, 119–120
contracts
awarded to inexperienced firms, 124
awarded to single-district firms, **114**, 113–115, **115**, **117**
in competitive and non-competitive districts, *112*, 113–115
to constituency party executives, 119
construction experience and likelihood of awarding, 121, 123
for construction of new public infrastructure, 97–98
favoritism in awarding of, 106–108
geographic distribution of, *112*, 111–113
minimum value, 99–100
politicization of, 105–106, 122, 124
corruption
bureaucratic transfers and, 84–92
of bureaucrats, 13, 27, 77, 92
coordinated, 77, 78
definition of, 77
levels of political control and, 89, 92
manipulation of bureaucrats' work portfolios and, 83
measurement of, 78–81
method of study of, 20–21, 79–81
political discretion and, 87, **88**, 88, 90
probability of, 88
promotions and, 82
in public procurement, 7, 78, 164
punishment for, 92
reporting of, 90–92
types of, 77
councilors
lobbying attempts, 131

Dahlström, Carl, 40
deprivation treatments, 138, **139**, **140**
distributive politics, 27, 28, 43
District Assembly Common Fund (DACF), 55, 129
District Coordinating Director (DCD), 53, 60
District Development Facility (DDF), 56
District Performance Assessment Tool (DPAT), 129
District Planning Coordinating Units, 56
District Tender Committee, 56, 57, 104
districts, 113–115, 146
donating firms, 117–118

Eastern Europe
determinant of vote choice in, 44
elections
cost of, 19, 58–59, 147
funding of, 36, 164
introduction of, 46
legal regulations of, 37
Electoral Areas (EAs), 136, 137
Electoral Commission of India, 154
electoral competition
civil service reforms and, 14–15
clientelism and, 47
concept of, 46
costs of, 47
impact on governance, 15, 47, 92

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 01:57:41, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009757270.013

impact on programmatic and non-programmatic distribution, 19, 47–50, 158, 160
impact on state development, 46–48
local-level, 47–49
mechanisms of, 14
national-level, 47
personalism in public procurement and, 115
politicians' responses to, 47, 167
positive results of, 47–49
electoral politics, 5, 28, 148
expert surveys, 64–65
extractive capacity, 30

favoritism, 99, 106
firms. *See also* donating firms; single-district firms; party firms
access to funds, 107
accountability of, 125
bids for contracts, 99, 100, 102, 103, 114
collusion between, 102
in competitive and non-competitive districts, 113
construction experience, 121, 123, 124, 126
donations to mayors, 117
favoritism, 99
information sharing, 100–102
operated in a single district, **115**
political connections of, 102, 118, 120, 146
practice of awarding contracts to, 98, 104, 110, 125–126, 146
shortage of high-quality, 125
types of, 117
Fukuyama, Francis, 26

geographic concentration, 107, 111–113, 116, 146
German development agency (GIZ), 56
Ghana
census data, 69
civil servants in, 20
corruption in, 6, 146
data collection about, 20, 21
decentralization of political power in, 7
distance between district capitals, 108
election campaigns expenses, 58–59
executive power, 53
GDP per capita, 60, **148**, 148
history of, 54
infrastructure projects, 3
land disputes, 130
local governments, 22, 53, 55–57, 60, 85
Medium-Term Development Plan (MTDP), 129
performance voting, 44
political parties, 53, 54, **148**, 148
political rights, **148**, 148
population of, **148**
presidential and parliamentary elections in, 54–55, 58, 59
professionalization of public sector, 149
Public Procurement Acts, 55, 103
recruitment of bureaucrats, 8, 28–29
regions and districts in, 21, 22, 55, 98, *134*
spending on parliamentary primaries, 58–59
sub-national units of governance, 20, 64
wages in, 3
Whistleblower Act, 6, 90
Ghana Center for Democratic Development, 58
Ghanaian bureaucrats
corruption of, 79, 80
education level of, 60, **61**, **69**, 69, 145
ethnicity of, 69, 70
gender imbalances among, 60, **61**
high-ranking, 67
home region of, 70
partisanship of, **71**, 69–74
perception of communities, 138
perceptions of likelihood of receiving contract by, **121**
politicians' manipulation of work portfolios of, 83
predicted probabilities of hiring, 72
promotions of, 82–83
statistics of, 59–60
surveys of, 66–72, 78, 80, 120–121, 138–141
swing category, 70, **71**
transfers of, 85
Ghana's public sector
data collection on, 20
education of bureaucrats in, 60
exits from, 13
expert perceptions of meritocracy in, 63–66
gender imbalances, 60
history of, 28–29
level of meritocracy in, 11, *12*, 65–73
political connections in, 65
recruitment in, 13, 59–60, 65–66
structure of, 59
within-country differences in, 4

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 01:57:41, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009757270.013

governance
avenue of future research on, 167
bureaucratic professionalization and, 14
bureaucratic selection and, 8–11, 30–32
definition of, 6
explanations of poor, 15
impact of resource constraints on, 166–167
mechanisms of improvement of, 40
theories of, 4, 28

Hungary
legislation on protection of public sector, 41
public procurement in, 100

India
allocation of public resources, 158–159
bureaucratic transfers, 42, 84–85, 152–154, 160
cap of electoral spending, 37, 165
corruption, 156
cost of elections, 156
electoral competition in, 147, 158
funding of political parties, **148**, 148, 156, 164
GDP per capita, **148**, 148
gifts to voters, 154
local and national government in, 8, 158
non-programmatic distribution in, 154–155
as "patronage democracy", 154
political rights, **148**, 148
politicians' use of career control tools, 148, 152, 154, 160
politicized promotions, 153
population of, **148**, 148
practice of awarding contracts in, 102
public sector, 41, 149–150
recruitment system, 11, *12*, 41, 149–150
reform of governance, 160–162
relationships between politicians and bureaucrats, 147
rural development, 154
ties between private firms and politicians, 156
Union Public Service Commission (UPSC), 150
voting population, 148
Indian Administrative Service (IAS), 41, 150, 152
Indonesia
bureaucratic competence, 159, 160
bureaucratic transfers, 152–153
bureaucrats' authority over public resources, 154–155
caps on donations to political parties, 157
Civil Service Reform Law, 151
clientelism, 154, 155, 160
cost of elections, 157
electoral competition in, 147, 159
funding of political parties, **148**, 148, 157, 164
fundraising campaigns, 157
GDP per capita, **148**, 148
gifts to voters, 43, 148, 155
Golkar Party, 151
government jobs, 151
meritocracy in, 11, *12*, 153, 160
New Order regime, 150, 159
non-programmatic distribution in, 154–155, 157
political rights, **148**, 148
politicians' use of career control tools, 148, 152–155, 160
politicized promotions, 153
population, **148**, 148
poverty, 155
process of awarding government contracts, 157
proportional representation system, 155
public sector, 149–152
recruitment system, 48, 150–152
reform of governance, 159–162
relationship between electoral competition and governance, 159
relationships between politicians and bureaucrats, 147
transition to democracy, 159
voting population, 148
Indonesian Democratic Party of Struggle, 157
infrastructure projects
allocation within districts, 128
corruption and quality of, 124–125
dataset of, 108, 109
"ghost" and "unfinished", 19
oversight of, 56
planning and development of, 56
politicization of, 127, 128
provision of, 6, 44–45
statistics of awarded, 109, **110**, **112**
types of, 109

James, Henry, 165
Japan's National Personnel Authority (NPA), 161

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 01:57:41, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009757270.013

Kenya
  gifts to voters, 43
  supply of well-trained bureaucrats in, 8
Khan, Adnan Q., 84
Khwaja, Asim Ijaz, 84
Kopecky, Petr, 65

land disputes, 130
Lapuente, Victor, 40
Latin America
  determinant of vote choice in, 44
  electoral competition in, 14
Lithuania
  information sharing practices in, 100–102
local governments
  administration of, 55–57
  Annual Action Plans (AAPs), 129, 131
  contracts authorized and awarded by, 56, 103, 107, 109, **110**, 125–126
  data sources and research methods, 21–25
  financing of, 7, 55–57
  implementation of development projects, 56
  international donors of, 55
  legislation on, 55, 57
  mandate of, 56
  political power of, 7
  progress reports, 108, 123
  recruitment and promotion of bureaucrats in, 59–60, 63–64, 82
  salaries in, 60
  statistics of, **112**
  voters and, 63
local public goods projects
  in co-partisan communities, 131–132
  corruption in, 50
  database of, 25
  electoral competition and allocation of, 48
  favoritism in awarding, 133–138
  incumbent party vote share and, **137**, 136–138, 141
  limited public involvement in, 129–131
  manipulation of, 131
  per electoral area, 135, 136, 138
  planning of, 129–131
  politicization of, 25, 35, 133
  private firms hired for, 24
  progress report, *24*
  provision of, 62
  survey experiment on, 138–141
  types of, 134, **135**
  within-district allocation, 73, 127, 136, **139**, 141
loyalty *vs.* ideological allegiances, 31

Mali
  electoral competition in, 48
manipulation of public procurement
  corruption and, 37, 77–78
  in Ghana, 105–106
  illicit extraction as, 79
  methods of, **101**, 99–102, 104, 105
  proxies of, 106–108
market fragmentation, 107, **111**, 110–111, 146
mayors
  access to public resources, 57–58
  accountability of, 57
  allocation of local goods projects, 132
  classification of, 115
  corruption of, 79
  distribution of contracts, 113–114, 119, 136
  donations from companies to, 117, 118
  electoral pressures, 113
  implementation of development projects, 56
  internal party primaries, 58
  nominated by the president, 57
  political ambitions of, 115–116, 146
  power of, 53, 57
  reappointments of, 57
  reliance on local party executives, 119
  term in the office, 57
  transfer of bureaucrats by, 86, 87
members of parliament (MPs)
  re-election of, 61
  salary of, 59
  term limits, 61
merit-based institutions, 31
meritocratic recruitment
  administrative performance and, 13
  assessment of, 69
  avenue of future research on, 167
  benefits of, 40–41
  career protection after, 26
  corruption and, 28, 39, 50
  definition of, 6, 64
  in developing democracies, *12*, 12
  global rise of, 11–12, 28
  governance outcomes and, 19, 39, 49–50, 145
  insufficiency of, 79
  measure of career separation by, 40
  vs. patronage recruitment, 64
  political interference in, 34, 50

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 01:57:41, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009757270.013

Mexico
  electoral competition in, 49
  universalistic welfare programs, 163
Ministry of Works and Housing (MWH) of Ghana, 104, 123

National Democratic Congress (NDC)
  average number of candidates, 59
  bureaucrats aligned with, 70, *71*, 72, 72–74
  domination in political landscape, 53, 54
  electoral victories, 69, 133
  polling station of, 118
  as ruling party, 130, 136
  voters support for, 70, 133, **137**, 136–138
National Development Planning Commission, 24, 56, 108, 129
Nepal
  meritocratic recruitment in, 11, *12*
New Patriotic Party (NPP)
  average number of candidates, 59
  bureaucrats aligned with, 70, *71*, 72, 73
  campaign for free senior high school, 63
  domination in political landscape, 53, 54
  electoral victories, 133
  polling station of, 118
  voters support for, 63, 70, 130, 133, 138
Nigeria
  bureaucratic autonomy in, 26
  illegal public procurement, 7
non-programmatic distribution practices
  bureaucrats involvement in, 17–18, 31, 33, 34, 38, 41, 77, 97, 159
  conditions for, 32
  electoral competition and, 48–50, 158, 160
  as electoral strategy, 4–5, 15–17, 30–32, 34–35, 43–45, 154–155, 165
  influence on governance, 167
  local politicians use of, 34
  manipulation of administrative processes and, 34
  methods of, 33, 35, 162
  vs. programmatic distribution, 34, 127
  salience of, 148, 163, 167
  use of public funds for, 33, 34, 57, 79, 127, 158
  voters support for, 16, 43–45, 61, 147–149

Olken, Benjamin A., 84

Pakistan
  bureaucratic transfer in, 84
party firms
  definition of, 118
  likelihood of awarding contracts to, 120, **121**, 121, **122**, **123**
patronage recruitment, 35, 64
personalism in public procurement, 106, 113–116, 122, 124, 125
political finance reform
  proposal for, 164–165
political parties
  adoption of political finance reform, 165
  committees, 118
  constituency-level executives, 107–108, 118, 119
  engagement in events, 118
  ethnic and regional composition of, 54
  funding of, **148**, 148
  national-level competition of, 47
  organization of, 119
  public subsidies to, 164–165
politicians
  administrative corruption and, 27
  aims and methods of, 33, 35, 39
  approach to public sector professionalization, 5
  avenue of future research on, 166–167
  bureaucratic loyalty to, 31–32
  campaign costs for, 58–59
  control over bureaucrats, 5, 18–19, 26, 27, 29, 31–32, 40, 41, 50, 73, 81–82, 97, 145, 147
  co-opting the state by, 5
  delivery of benefits to voters, 30, 37
  electoral pressure on, 6, 57
  electoral strategies of, 4, 33, 43
  incentives of, 14–16, 167
  meetings with constituents, 8
  need for loyal bureaucrats, 35, 36
  non-programmatic distributive strategies, 4, 19, 31, 35, 38, 43, 50, 77, 79, 162
  politicization of public sector by, 50
  programmatic distributive strategies, 4, 19, 32–35, 43, 148
  quid pro quo deals with private actors, 37, 164
  rewards to co-partisan communities, 128
  salaries, 58
  transfer of bureaucrats by, 84–85, 90
  use of public funds, 17, 36
  view of public sector recruitment, 9
  voters' expectations from, 15–17

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 01:57:41, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009757270.013

Professionalism Index, 40
programmatic distribution practices
  bureaucrats involvement in, 17, 28, 34, 38, 41
  conditions of, 32
  electoral competition influence on, 48
  as electoral strategy, 4, 15–16, 30–32, 34–35, 45, 155
  methods of, 33, *35*
  vs. non-programmatic distribution, 34, 35, 38, 127
  prioritization of, 148, 162–163
  public funds for, 127
  voters support for, 16, 44, 45, 61–63
pro-incumbent communities
  Average Treatment Effect (ATE) for, **139**, **140**, **141**, 139–141
  project allocation to, 138, 141–142, 158
  uncompetitive procurement processes and, 5, 146
public funds
  illicit extraction of, 79
  for non-programmatic distribution practices, 33, 36, 57, 79, 127, 158
  politicians' use of, 17, 36
  for programmatic distribution practices, 127
public procurement
  auctions, 102
  bidding, 103
  corruption in, 7, 79–81, 104, 124–125
  definition of, 97
  districts with highest levels of interference in, 113–116
  donations and, 117–118
  effect of career control on, 97
  illegal, 7
  key actors in, 80
  legislation on, 56, 103–104
  manipulation of, 37, 92, 103
  market uncertainty and, 125
  open and competitive, 103–104
  personalism in, 116, 124
  political interference in, 98
  share of public spending, 7, 97
  stages of, 99, *100*, **101**
  survey experiment on contract allocation in, 120
  uncompetitive, 146
public sector. *See also* Ghana's public sector
  expert opinions on condition of, 11
  growth of, 9
  job security, 18
  methods of study of, 20
  professionalization of, 5, 26, *35*, 38, *39*
  study of effectiveness of, 4, 19–20
  wages in, 18
Public Service Commission, 64, 66, 83

Quality of Government (QoG) Institute, 11, 65, 150, 152

recruitment of bureaucrats. *See also* meritocratic recruitment
  for local governments, 8, 53–54, 63–64, **68**
  patronage in, 64
  through a family members or friends, 67
  through competitive procedures, 17, 67, 73
  via interviews, 67
relational clientelism, 34
restricted sales, 105

school projects, 3–5
secret information, 105–106
Senegal
  meritocratic recruitment in, 11, *12*
Sigman, Rachel, 67
single-district firms
  contracts awarded to, **114**, 113–115, **115**, 116, **117**, 122
South Africa
  performance voting, 44
state capacity
  definition of, 30
  dimensions of, 30
  electoral strategies and, 166–167
  investments in, 15, 46, 47
  public sector effectiveness and, 4, 8
swing voters, 45, 55, 61, 62, 70, *71*, 134

Tanzania
  supply of well-trained bureaucrats in, 9
tendering process
  corruption and manipulation of, 85, 89, 99
  private contractors and, 57, 102, 106, 123
  public coordination of, 56, 57, 78, 98, 103–104, 106
  secret information in, 105–106
  steps of, 56, 99
Thailand
  promotions of bureaucrats, 82
Transparency International's Corruption Perceptions Index, 79

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 01:57:41, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009757270.013

Uganda
  benefits for electorate, 43
United Kingdom
  clientelism in, 162
  Corrupt and Illegal Practices Act (1883), 165
  electoral policies in, 162, 165, 166
  Political Parties, Elections and Referendums Act (2000), 166
  professionalization of the public sector, 26
United National Development Programme, 39
United States
  clientelism in, 162
  Pendleton Act, 14
  professionalization of the public sector, 26
Uruguay
  meritocratic recruitment in, 11, *12*

vote buying, 33, *35*, 43–44, 155
voters
  co-partisan, 127
  demand-side factors, 15, 162
  demographics of, 163
  economic voting, 62–63
  electoral preferences of, 15–16, 43–46
  expectations of particularistic benefits, 15–16, 37, 43–45, 61–63
  gifts to, 43, 148, 155
  middle class, 45, 63, 163, 167
  relationships with politicians and bureaucrats, 4, 16, 27, 43, 163
  socio-economic status of, 45, 46
  supply-side factors, 162, 163

Washington Consensus, 30
Williams, Martin, 107, 109

Zambia
  educated bureaucrats in, 11
  performance voting in, 44

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 01:57:41, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009757270.013