Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. (2020), **40**, 213–220 doi:10.1017/etds.2018.27
© *Cambridge University Press, 2018*

$\mathcal{M}_4$ is regular-closed

YUTARO HIMEKI and YUTAKA ISHII

Department of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Motooka, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
(e-mail: yutaro.himeki@gmail.com, yutaka@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp)

(*Received* 23 *October* 2017 *and accepted in revised form* 7 *March* 2018)

Abstract. For each $n \geq 2$, we investigate a family of iterated function systems which is parameterized by a common contraction ratio $s \in \mathbb{D}^{\times} \equiv \{s \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |s| < 1\}$ and possesses a rotational symmetry of order n. Let $\mathcal{M}_n$ be the locus of contraction ratio s for which the corresponding self-similar set is connected. The purpose of this paper is to show that $\mathcal{M}_n$ is regular-closed, that is, $\overline{\text{int}\,\mathcal{M}_n} = \mathcal{M}_n$ holds for $n \geq 4$. This gives a new result for $n = 4$ and a simple geometric proof of the previously known result by Bandt and Hung [Fractal n-gons and their Mandelbrot sets. *Nonlinearity* **21** (2008), 2653–2670] for $n \geq 5$.

1. *Introduction*

Below we fix $n \geq 2$. Let $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ be the family of similitudes $\varphi_k : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ with a common contraction ratio $s \in \mathbb{D}^{\times} \equiv \{s \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |s| < 1\}$ where the fixed points p_k of φ_k form the vertices of a regular n-gon in the anticlockwise order. Without loss of generality, we may assume $p_0 = 0$ and $p_1 = 1$ so that $\varphi_0(z) = sz$ and $\varphi_1(z) = sz + (1 - s)$. It then follows that $\varphi_{k+1}(z) - \varphi_k(z) = \xi^k(1 - s)$ and $p_{k+1} - p_k = \xi^k$, where $\xi = e^{2\pi i/n}$. Let Λ_s be the self-similar set associated to $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$, that is, Λ_s is the unique non-empty compact set satisfying $\Lambda_s = \Phi(\Lambda_s)$, where $\Phi(A) \equiv \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_k(A)$ (see, for example, [**F**]). Note that Λ_s has a rotational symmetry of order n. We also define X_s to be the union of Λ_s and the bounded components of $\mathbb{C}\backslash\Lambda_s$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_n \subset \mathbb{D}^{\times}$ be the connectedness locus for the family $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$, that is,

$$\mathcal{M}_n \equiv \{s \in \mathbb{D}^{\times} : \Lambda_s \text{ is connected}\}.$$

The locus $\mathcal{M}_2$ was first introduced in 1985 by [**BarHa**], and since then it has been investigated by several authors (see [**Bo1**, **Bo2**, **Ban**, **CKW**] for $n = 2$ and [**BanHu**] for general $n \geq 2$).

A subset $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ is called *regular-closed* if $A = \overline{\text{int}\,A}$. The purpose of this paper is to show the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. *$\mathcal{M}_n$ is regular-closed for $n \geq 4$.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2018.27 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The case $n = 4$ of Theorem 1.1 is a new result (see [**BanHu**, Remark 6] for a partial result) and the case $n \geq 5$ gives a simple and geometric proof of a previous result in [**BanHu**]. Note that $\mathcal{M}_3$ is known to be regular-closed [**BanHu**] (see also Remark 2.11 below). On the other hand, it was shown in [**BarHa**] that there is a neighborhood of $s = \pm 1/2$ in which $\mathcal{M}_2$ is contained in $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, in the remarkable paper [**CKW**] Calegari *et al* solved a conjecture of Bandt [**Ban**]; the interior of $\mathcal{M}_2$ is dense away from $\mathcal{M}_2 \cap \mathbb{R}$, that is, $\mathcal{M}_2 = \overline{\text{int}\, \mathcal{M}_2} \cup (\mathcal{M}_2 \cap \mathbb{R})$. Their method is to decompose the locus $\mathcal{M}_2$ into two parts depending on the convexity of X_s, and investigate their structures separately. The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows this idea and is obtained by extending some arguments in [**CKW**] to general $n \geq 2$ in an appropriate way.

2. *Proof of Theorem 1.1*

2.1. *Case X_s is convex.*

We first treat the case where X_s is convex. The following proposition is an adaptation of [**CKW**, Lemma 7.2.3] to the general case $n \geq 2$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Assume that X_s is convex. Then there exist $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ so that $\xi^k s^q > 0$ and $2|s|^q \geq 1$ hold. In particular, we have $|s| \geq 1/2$.*

A *supporting line* to X_s is a line $\ell \subset \mathbb{C}$ so that $X_s \cap \ell \neq \emptyset$ and $X_s \backslash \ell$ is contained in one connected component of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \ell$. An *edge* of X_s is a maximal segment in ∂X_s with positive length. The *direction* of a supporting line to X_s (respectively, a segment in ∂X_s) is defined by a complex number up to a positive constant multiple so that X_s is on the left-hand side of the line (respectively, the segment in ∂X_s) with respect to the direction. Note that a supporting line to X_s of a given direction always exists uniquely due to the compactness of X_s. When we write $\sigma = \sigma'$ or $\sigma \supset \sigma'$ for two segments σ and σ' in ∂X_s, we require that their directions are the same.

LEMMA 2.2. *Assume that X_s is convex. Then X_s contains an edge whose direction is $\xi^k(1-s)$ for every $0 \leq k \leq n-1$.*

Proof. Let ℓ be the supporting line to X_s with direction $\xi^k(1-s)/s$. Since $\varphi_{k+1}(z) - \varphi_k(z) = \xi^k(1-s)$ holds, we have $L \equiv \varphi_k(\ell) = \varphi_{k+1}(\ell)$. Moreover, since φ_k is orientation preserving, $\varphi_k(X_s)$ and $\varphi_{k+1}(X_s)$ are on the left-hand side of L. It is easy to see from the formula of φ_i that $\varphi_i(X_s)$ is also contained in the left-hand side of L for $i \neq k, k+1$. This shows that L is the supporting line of X_s with the direction $\xi^k(1-s)$.

Let p be a point in the intersection of X_s and ℓ. Since $\varphi_k(p) \neq \varphi_{k+1}(p)$, the segment $[\varphi_k(p), \varphi_{k+1}(p)]$ has strictly positive length. Moreover, the segment is contained in L and in ∂X_s by the convexity of X_s, hence it is contained in an edge of X_s with its direction $\xi^k(1-s)$. □

We remark that the edges of X_s with directions $\xi^k(1-s)$ $(0 \leq k \leq n-1)$ also possess rotational symmetry of order n.

LEMMA 2.3. *Assume that X_s is convex and let σ be an edge of X_s whose direction is $\xi^k(1-s)$ for some $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then there exists a unique edge σ' of X_s so that $\sigma = \varphi_k(\sigma') \cup \varphi_{k+1}(\sigma')$.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2018.27 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. Since the edge with direction $\xi^k(1-s)$ which we found in Lemma 2.2 has positive length, there exists an edge σ' with direction $\xi^k(1-s)/s$ so that $\sigma \supset \varphi_k(\sigma')$. Since $\varphi_{k+1}(z) - \varphi_k(z) = \xi^k(1-s)$, it yields that $\sigma \supset \varphi_k(\sigma') \cup \varphi_{k+1}(\sigma')$.

We next show $\sigma = \varphi_k(\sigma') \cup \varphi_{k+1}(\sigma')$. If σ'' is an edge of X_s whose direction is different from $\xi^k(1-s)/s$, the direction of $\varphi_i(\sigma'')$ is different from $\xi^k(1-s)$ for any $0 \le i \le n-1$. Since there is at most one edge with a given direction, we conclude that σ' is the only edge satisfying the property $\sigma \supset \varphi_i(\sigma')$ for some $0 \le i \le n-1$ and i should be equal to k. The uniqueness of σ' then implies $\sigma = \varphi_k(\sigma') \cup \varphi_{k+1}(\sigma')$. □

LEMMA 2.4. *Assume that X_s is convex and let σ be an edge of X_s whose direction is not $\xi^k(1-s)$ for any $0 \le k \le n-1$. Then there exist a unique edge σ' of X_s and a unique $0 \le i \le n-1$ so that $\sigma = \varphi_i(\sigma')$.*

Proof. Since σ has positive length, there exist an edge σ' of X_s and $0 \le i \le n-1$ so that $\sigma \supset \varphi_i(\sigma')$. Suppose that there exists another edge σ'' and $0 \le j \le n-1$ so that $\sigma \supset \varphi_j(\sigma'')$ and $\varphi_i(\sigma') \ne \varphi_j(\sigma')$ hold. Since the contraction ratio s of φ_k is independent of k, the direction of σ' and σ'' should be the same. It then follows from the uniqueness of an edge of X_s with a given direction that $\sigma' = \sigma''$. Since we assume $\varphi_i(\sigma') \ne \varphi_j(\sigma')$ belong to the same edge σ, it follows that $\{i, j\} = \{k, k+1\}$ and the direction of σ should be equal to $\xi^k(1-s)$, which contradicts the assumption. Therefore, we conclude that an edge σ' of X_s and a number $0 \le i \le n-1$ satisfying $\sigma \supset \varphi_i(\sigma')$ are unique, hence $\sigma = \varphi_i(\sigma')$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Let σ_0 be an edge with direction $1-s$ found in Lemma 2.2. By Lemma 2.3, there exists an edge σ_1 with direction $(1-s)/s$ so that $\sigma_0 = \varphi_0(\sigma_1) \cup \varphi_1(\sigma_1)$. If the direction $(1-s)/s$ is not $\xi^k(1-s)$ for any $0 \le k \le n-1$, then there exist a unique edge σ_2 of X_s and $0 \le i \le n-1$ so that $\sigma_1 = \varphi_i(\sigma_2)$. Note that the direction of σ_2 is $(1-s)/s^2$, and $|s||\sigma_2| = |\sigma_1|$ holds. When we repeat this procedure, it stops finitely many times due to the boundedness of X_s. Therefore, there exist $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \le k \le n-1$ so that the direction $(1-s)/s^q$ of σ_q coincides with $\xi^k(1-s)$ for some $0 \le k \le n-1$. In particular, this implies $\xi^k s^q > 0$. Moreover, since σ_q coincides with σ_0 or its rotationally symmetric images, we have $|\sigma_q| = |\sigma_0|$. Lemma 2.3 implies $\sigma_0 = \varphi_0(\sigma_1) \cup \varphi_1(\sigma_1)$, hence, by using $|s|^{q-1}|\sigma_q| = |\sigma_1|$, we obtain

$$2|s|^q|\sigma_0| = 2|s|^q|\sigma_q| = 2|s||\sigma_1| = |\varphi_0(\sigma_1)| + |\varphi_1(\sigma_1)| \ge |\sigma_0|,$$

which finishes the proof. □

Remark 2.5. The coefficient 2 appearing in Proposition 2.1 does not depend on the number of similitudes.

2.2. *Case X_s is non-convex.* We next treat a non-convex X_s.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Assume that X_s is connected but not convex. Then $s \in \overline{\operatorname{int} \mathcal{M}_n}$.*

This proposition is also obtained by modifying some arguments in [**CKW**]. To clarify the modifications, let us recall some constructions from [**CKW**].

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2018.27 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Let $\Sigma \equiv \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. For a word $u = u_1 \cdots u_m \in \Sigma^m$ of length m, we write $\varphi_u(z) \equiv \varphi_{u_1} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_{u_m}(z)$. Let $\pi_m : \Sigma^m \times \mathbb{D}^\times \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the map defined by $\pi_m(u, s, z) \equiv \varphi_u(z)$. Given an infinite sequence $\underline{u} = u_1 u_2 \cdots \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{N}}$, it is easy to see that the limit $\lim_{m\to\infty} \pi_m(u_1 \cdots u_m, s, z)$ exists and is independent of the choice of $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, this defines a map $\pi : \Sigma^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{D}^\times \to \mathbb{C}$ given by $\pi(\underline{u}, s) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \pi_m(u_1 \cdots u_m, s, z)$. We also have $\pi(\Sigma^{\mathbb{N}}, s) = \Lambda_s$.

For $p, q \in \Lambda_s$, a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ and a disk D containing p and q, an (ε, D)-*short hop path* from p to q is a sequence $\underline{e}^0, \dots, \underline{e}^m \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $p = \pi(\underline{e}^0, s)$ and $q = \pi(\underline{e}^m, s)$ so that $d(\pi(\underline{e}^i, s), \pi(\underline{e}^{i+1}, s)) < \varepsilon$ holds for $0 \le i \le m-1$ and $\pi(\underline{e}^j, s) \in D$ holds for $0 \le j \le m$.

The key idea in the proof of Proposition 2.6 is the notion of a *trap* (see [**CKW**, Definition 7.1.3]). Here we adapt the original definition to our setting as follows.

Definition 2.7. Let u (respectively, v) be a word over Σ starting with 0 (respectively, with 1). Let D be a closed disk so that $\operatorname{int} D \supset \Lambda_s$. The pair u and v is called a *trap* for (s, D) if:

(1) there are points $p^\pm \in \varphi_u(\Lambda_s) \backslash \varphi_v(D)$ and $q^\pm \in \varphi_v(\Lambda_s) \backslash \varphi_u(D)$ so that for some paths $\alpha \subset \varphi_u(D)$ with endpoints $p^\pm$ and $\beta \subset \varphi_v(D)$ with endpoints $q^\pm$ their algebraic intersection number is non-zero;

(2) $d(\varphi_0(\Lambda_s), \varphi_1(\Lambda_s)) \le \varepsilon$ holds whenever the $\varepsilon/2$-neighborhood of Λ_s is contained in D, where $d(A, B)$ denotes the infimum of the distance between $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.

With this notion we obtain the following claim which is a modification of [**CKW**, Proposition 7.1.6]. The crucial difference in the argument is that the non-empty intersection of two particular pieces $\varphi_0(\Lambda_s)$ and $\varphi_1(\Lambda_s)$ implies the connectivity of the entire Λ_s in our setting.

LEMMA 2.8. *If there exists a trap u and v for (s, D), then $s \in \operatorname{int} \mathcal{M}_n$.*

Proof. In the proof of [**CKW**, Proposition 7.1.6] we replace f by φ_0 and g by φ_1. Then the proof implies that $\varphi_0(\Lambda_{s'}) \cap \varphi_1(\Lambda_{s'}) \neq \emptyset$ for $s' \in \mathbb{D}^\times$ sufficiently close to s. By the rotational symmetry of $\varphi_k(\Lambda_{s'})$, this yields that $\varphi_k(\Lambda_{s'}) \cap \varphi_{k+1}(\Lambda_{s'}) \neq \emptyset$ for all $0 \le k \le n-1$ (where we set $\varphi_n(z) \equiv \varphi_0(z)$). It then follows from a result of Hata [**H**] that $\Lambda_{s'}$ is connected for s' sufficiently close to s. Hence $s \in \operatorname{int} \mathcal{M}_n$. □

To finish the proof of Proposition 2.6 we need one more notion.

Definition 2.9. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ be full. A vector $w \in \mathbb{C}$ is called *trap-like* for X if:

(1) $X \cup (X + w)$ is connected;

(2) there are four points in the outermost boundary of $X \cup (X + w)$ that alternate between points in $X \backslash (X + w)$ and points in $(X + w) \backslash X$.

Proof of Proposition 2.6. Let $s_0 \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and assume that X_{s_0} is not convex. Then, by [**CKW**, Lemma 7.2.2], there is a vector w which is trap-like for X_{s_0}, that is, one can find points $p_1, p_2 \in X_{s_0}$ and $q_1, q_2 \in X_{s_0}$ so that (2) of Definition 2.9 holds. Since $\partial X_{s_0} \subset \Lambda_{s_0}$, the points p_1, p_2 and q_1, q_2 lie in Λ_{s_0}. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $p_1, p_2 \in \Lambda_{s_0} \backslash \overline{N_\varepsilon(X_{s_0} + w)}$ and $q_1, q_2 \in (\Lambda_{s_0} + w) \backslash \overline{N_\varepsilon(X_{s_0})}$, where $N_\varepsilon(A)$ is the ε-neighborhood

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2018.27 Published online by Cambridge University Press




FIGURE 1. $\mathcal{M}_4$ (red/gray), its 'spikes' and the inner circle $|s| = 1/\sqrt{4}$ (black).

of $A \subset \mathbb{C}$. Since these conditions are open and since $\pi(\cdot, s) : \Sigma^{\mathbb{N}} \to \Lambda_s$ is a surjection, there exists $\delta > 0$ so that they hold for s with $|s - s_0| < \delta$.

Since $s_0 \in \mathcal{M}_n$ there exist $\underline{u} = u_1 u_2 \cdots$, $\underline{v} = v_1 v_2 \cdots \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{N}}$ so that $\pi(\underline{u}, s_0) = \pi(\underline{v}, s_0)$. Again, thanks to the rotational symmetry of $\varphi_k(\Lambda_s)$ and a theorem of [**H**], we may assume that $u_1 = 0$ and $v_1 = 1$. By [**CKW**, Corollary 7.2.6], for any $\delta' > 0$ with $\delta \geq \delta'$, one can find $m \geq 1$ and s_1 with $|s_1 - s_0| < \delta'$ so that $s_1^{-m}(\pi_m(u, s_1, c) - \pi_m(v, s_1, c)) = w$, where $u = u_1 \cdots u_m$ and $v = v_1 \cdots v_m$ and c is the center of the regular n-gon formed by the fixed points of φ_k. Let $D \equiv \overline{N_\varepsilon(X_{s_1})}$. Then, as in [**CKW**, Lemma 7.2.2], one can show that u and v form a trap for (s_1, D). By Lemma 2.8 we have $s_1 \in \operatorname{int} \mathcal{M}_n$. Since $|s_1 - s_0| < \delta'$ and $\delta' > 0$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $s_0 \in \overline{\operatorname{int} \mathcal{M}_n}$. □

2.3. *End of the proof.* To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need the following a priori bound for $\mathcal{M}_n$.

LEMMA 2.10. *If* $1 > |s| > 1/\sqrt{n}$, *then* $s \in \mathcal{M}_n$. *In particular, if* $1 > |s| \geq 1/\sqrt{n}$, *then* $s \in \overline{\operatorname{int} \mathcal{M}_n}$.

Proof. The first statement was proved in [**Bo1**] for $n = 2$ and later in [**BanHu**] for the general case. This obviously implies $s \in \operatorname{int} \mathcal{M}_n$ for $1 > |s| > 1/\sqrt{n}$, hence the second conclusion follows. □

Now we are ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $\mathcal{M}_n$ is closed [**Bo1**, **BanHu**], the inclusion $\mathcal{M}_n \supset \overline{\operatorname{int} \mathcal{M}_n}$ is obvious. Take $s \in \mathcal{M}_n$. If X_s is not convex, then Proposition 2.6 yields $s \in \overline{\operatorname{int} \mathcal{M}_n}$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2018.27 Published online by Cambridge University Press




FIGURE 2. $\mathcal{M}_2$ (red/gray), its 'spikes' and the inner circle $|s| = 1/\sqrt{2}$ (black).




FIGURE 3. $\mathcal{M}_3$ (red/gray), its 'spikes' and the inner circle $|s| = 1/\sqrt{3}$ (black).

Therefore, we may assume that X_s is convex. Let us consider the condition

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt[q]{2}} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}. \tag{2.1}$$

This condition holds for all $q \geq 1$ if $n \geq 4$. It follows from Proposition 2.1 that $1 > |s| \geq 1/\sqrt{n}$, hence $s \in \overline{\operatorname{int} \mathcal{M}_n}$ by Lemma 2.10 (see Figure 1, where we observe that all 'spikes' described in Proposition 2.1 for $n = 4$ are contained in the annulus $1 > |s| \geq 1/\sqrt{4}$). This shows that $\mathcal{M}_n \subset \overline{\operatorname{int} \mathcal{M}_n}$ and finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2018.27 Published online by Cambridge University Press




FIGURE 4. The self-similar set Λ_s for $n = 3$ and $s = 0.5$.




FIGURE 5. The self-similar set Λ_s for $n = 3$ and $s = 0.51$.

Compare Figure 2 where we observe that $\mathcal{M}_2$ is not regular-closed; there are some portions of two 'spikes' which are not contained in $\overline{\text{int}\,\mathcal{M}_2}$.

Remark 2.11. Condition (2.1) is satisfied for $q \geq 2$ in the case $n = 3$ as well. Therefore, to prove regular-closedness of $\mathcal{M}_3$ along similar lines to Theorem 1.1, the only remaining case is $n = 3$ and $q = 1$. Proposition 2.1 tells that the parameters which violate condition (2.1) are of the form $s = r$, $re^{2\pi i/3}$, $re^{4\pi i/3}$ and $1/2 \leq r < 1/\sqrt{3}$. See Figure 3, where we observe that some portions of three 'spikes' are contained in $\overline{\text{int}\,\mathcal{M}_3}$ but not contained in the annulus $1 > |s| \geq 1/\sqrt{3}$. Therefore, if we are only able to prove that these particular parameters belong to $\overline{\text{int}\,\mathcal{M}_3}$, it would give a relatively short proof of the regular-closedness of $\mathcal{M}_3$ compared to that in [**BanHu**]. See Figures 4, 5 and 6 which describe the self-similar sets Λ_s for $s = 0.5, 0.51$ and $0.51 + 0.01i$, respectively. These figures suggest that the parameter $s = 0.51$, which is one of the particular parameters mentioned above, belongs to $\overline{\text{int}\,\mathcal{M}_3}$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2018.27 Published online by Cambridge University Press




FIGURE 6. The self-similar set Λ_s for $n = 3$ and $s = 0.51 + 0.01i$.

REFERENCES

[**Ban**] C. Bandt. On the Mandelbrot set for pairs of linear maps. *Nonlinearity* **15**(4) (2002), 1127–1147.

[**BanHu**] C. Bandt and N. V. Hung. Fractal n-gons and their Mandelbrot sets. *Nonlinearity* **21**(11) (2008), 2653–2670.

[**BarHa**] M. Barnsley and A. Harrington. A Mandelbrot set for pairs of linear maps. *Phys. D.* **15**(3) (1985), 421–432.

[**Bo1**] T. Bousch. Paires de similitudes $z \to sz + 1$, $z \to sz - 1$. *Preprint*, 1988.

[**Bo2**] T. Bousch. Connexité locale et par chemins hölderiens pour les systèmes itérés de fonctions. *Preprint*, 1992.

[**CKW**] D. Calegari, S. Koch and A. Walker. Roots, Schottky semigroups, and a proof of Bandt's conjecture. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **37**(8) (2017), 2487–2555.

[**F**] K. Falconer. *Fractal Geometry. Mathematical Foundations and Applications*. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1990.

[**H**] M. Hata. On the structure of self-similar sets. *Japan J. Appl. Math.* **2**(2) (1985), 381–414.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2018.27 Published online by Cambridge University Press