TABLES

I.1	Two-person game (Player A with three and Player B with two strategies)	4
I.2	Three levels of rulemaking	9
3.1	Difference between actual and formal rigidity: As n increases, the error term (difference) approaches zero	80
3.2	Effect of formal rigidity on another variable (constitutional amendments): As n increases, the coefficient approximates the true value	81
3.3	Estimation of coefficient of "culture" as a lagged dependent variable: When institutional data are serially correlated, including a lagged dependent variable will inflate its estimated effect and lead to misestimation of other variables including the wrong sign	88
3.4	Association of indicators of culture from Tarabar and Young (2021) with economic outcomes (based on IMF data) are not as expected	91
4.1	Reasons for "NO" vote of Chilean referendum in September 2022	119
4.2	Reasons for "NO" vote of Chilean referendum in December 2023	120
4.3	What are all the reasons why you voted "NO" at the referendum on the European Constitution?	122
5.1	Congressional seats of main parties in Mexico (1997–2015)	136
6.1	Correlation of veto player constitutional rigidity index with other indexes	154
6.2	Comparison of three models of effects of constitutional rigidity (null, mean only, and heteroskedastic) on amendment rate for POLITY2 $\geq$ 5 threshold 103 countries; likelihood ratio tests	159
6.A.1.1	Results of the heteroskedastic regression for fundamental amendments (POLITY2 $\geq$ 5)	161
6.A.1.2	Results of the heteroskedastic regression for the combination of fundamental and major amendments (POLITY2 $\geq$ 5)	162
6.A.1.3	Results of the heteroskedastic regression on all amendments (POLITY2 $\geq$ 5)	162
6.A.2.1	Comparison of three models of effects of constitutional rigidity (null, mean only, and heteroskedastic) on amendment rate for POLITY2 $\geq$ 6 threshold (ninety-five countries; likelihood ratio tests)	164

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 14:02:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234

6.A.2.2 Comparison of three models of effects of constitutional rigidity (null, mean only, and heteroskedastic) on amendment rate for POLITY2 $\geq$ 7 threshold (eighty-three countries; likelihood ratio tests) 165
6.A.2.3 Comparison of three models of effects of constitutional rigidity (null, mean only, and heteroskedastic) on amendment rate for POLITY2 $\geq$ 8 threshold (seventy-two countries; likelihood ratio tests) 165
6.A.2.4 Comparison of three models of effects of constitutional rigidity (null, mean only, and heteroskedastic) on amendment rate for POLITY2 $\geq$ 9 threshold (fifty-four countries; likelihood ratio tests) 166
6.A.2.5 Comparison of three models of effects of constitutional rigidity (null, mean only, and heteroskedastic) on amendment rate for POLITY2 $\geq$ 10 threshold (thirty-five countries; likelihood ratio tests) 166
6.A.3.1 OLS regressions of different amendment rates (POLITY2 $\geq$ 5 cutoff) on constitutional rigidity and social capital (n = 57) 167
6.A.3.2 OLS regressions of different amendment rates (POLITY2 $\geq$ 5 cutoff) on constitutional rigidity and each indicator of social capital separately (n = 57) 168
7.1 Time inconsistency as a function of constitution length 179
7.2 Constitutional length as a function of country characteristics 182
7.3 GDP per capita as a function of constitutional length and economic variables 182
7.4 GDP per capita as a function of length, economic variables, education, and corruption 183
8.1 Measures of de facto judicial independence 196
8.2 Measures of de jure judicial independence 197
8.3 Components of de jure judicial independence 198
8.4 Countries with constitutional courts 205
8.5 Effect of constitutional rigidity on percentage of strikes (sample: POLITY2 $\geq$ 5) 216
8.6 Comparison of three models of effects of constitutional rigidity (base, mean only, and heteroskedastic) on judicial strikes for POLITY2 $\geq$ 5 threshold (likelihood ratio tests, n = 30) 216
8.A.1 Data used in the analysis (constitutional and unconstitutional judgments) from the Comparative Law Database 219

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 14:02:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 14:02:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009597234