COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

On the homology stability range for symplectic groups

Marco Schlichting

Compositio Math. **161** (2025), 2724–2753.

doi: 10.1112/S0010437X25102856

FOUNDATION
COMPOSITIO
MATHEMATICA



LONDON
MATHEMATICAL
SOCIETY
EST. 1865



https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Compositio Math. 161 (2025) 2724–2753
doi:10.1112/S0010437X25102856

On the homology stability range for symplectic groups

Marco Schlichting

Abstract

We improve, by a factor of 2, known homology stability ranges for the integral homology of symplectic groups over commutative local rings with infinite residue field and show that the obstruction to further stability is bounded below by Milnor–Witt K-theory. In particular, our stability range is optimal in many cases.

Contents

1 Introduction 2724
2 Non-degenerate unimodular sequences 2726
3 The spectral sequence and its E^1 page 2728
4 The limit theorem 2731
5 Quasi-linear modules and group homology 2736
6 Degeneration at E^2 2740
7 Homology stability 2751
Acknowledgements 2752
References 2753

1. Introduction

This paper addresses the question of optimal homology stability for symplectic groups over local rings. Recall that the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)$ of a commutative ring R is the group of R-linear automorphisms A of R^{2n} that preserve the standard symplectic inner product, that is, $\langle Ax, Ay\rangle = \langle x, y\rangle$ for all $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n})$, $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2n}) \in R^{2n}$, where $\langle x, y\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(x_{2i+1}y_{2i+2} - x_{2i+2}y_{2i+1})$. We consider $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R)$ by means of the embedding $A \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_{R^2} & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. The following result is part of Theorem 7.1 below. All homology groups in this paper are with integer coefficients unless indicated otherwise.

Received 27 September 2024, accepted in final form 29 July 2025, published online 11 December 2025.
2020 Mathematics Subject Classification 20J05 (primary), 19B14, 19D45 (secondary).
Keywords: homology of symplectic groups; homology stability; Milnor–Witt K-theory.
© The Author(s), 2025. The publishing rights in this article are licensed to Foundation Compositio Mathematica under an exclusive licence. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Theorem 1.1. *Let R be a commutative local ring with infinite residue field and $n \geqslant 1$ an integer. Then the relative integral homology groups satisfy*

$$H_d(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R), \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2}(R)) = 0, \quad d < 2n. \tag{1.1}$$

In particular, for all integers $n \geqslant 0$, inclusion of groups induces isomorphisms

$$H_{2n}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\, R) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{2n}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}\, R) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{2n}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+4}\, R) \xrightarrow{\cong} \cdots \tag{1.2}$$

and a surjection followed by isomorphisms

$$H_{2n+1}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\, R) \twoheadrightarrow H_{2n+1}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}\, R) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{2n+1}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+4}\, R) \xrightarrow{\cong} \cdots . \tag{1.3}$$

For $n = 1$, the isomorphisms (1.2) were proved by van der Kallen [vdK77] generalizing the results of Matsumoto [Mat69] for infinite fields. In joint work with Sarwar [SS25], we proved (1.3) for $n = 1$. Mirzaii [Mir05] proves that the relative homology groups in (1.1) vanish for $d < n - 1$. For infinite fields, Essert [Ess13] and Sprehn and Wahl [SW20] prove the vanishing of that group for $d < n$. Thus, our result improves the best known stability ranges by a factor of 2.

For a commutative local ring R with infinite residue field, consider the graded $\mathbb{Z}[R^*]$-algebra generated in degree 1 by the augmentation ideal $I[R^*] \subset \mathbb{Z}[R^*]$ modulo the Steinberg relation $[a] \otimes [1-a]$ for $a, 1-a \in R^*$, where the degree 1 element $[a] \in I[R^*]$ is $[a] = 1 - \langle a \rangle$ for $\langle a \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[R^*]$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis element corresponding to $a \in R^*$. For $n \geqslant 2$, the nth-degree part of that algebra is the nth Milnor–Witt K-group $K_n^{MW}(R)$ of R [Sch17a, § 4] which was first defined in [Mor12] for fields where it plays an important role in $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy theory. The following result is Theorem 7.2 below.

Theorem 1.2. *Let R be a commutative local ring with infinite residue field and $n \geqslant 1$ an integer. Then the inclusion $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R) \subset \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(R)$ induces a surjection*

$$H_{2n}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\, R, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2}\, R) \twoheadrightarrow H_{2n}(\mathrm{SL}_{2n}\, R, \mathrm{SL}_{2n-1}\, R) \cong K_{2n}^{MW}(R).$$

In particular, the homology stability range in Theorem 1.1 is optimal as soon as the Milnor–Witt K-theory group $K_{2n}^{MW}(R)$ is non-trivial. This happens, for instance, when the residue field of R has a real embedding. For many infinite fields, the surjection $H_4(\mathrm{Sp}_4\, R, \mathrm{Sp}_2\, R) \twoheadrightarrow K_4^{MW}(R)$ is not injective; see Remark 7.3.

Remark 1.3. The importance of optimal homology stability in Theorem 1.1 and the lower bound for the edge in Theorem 1.2 lies in the fact that it allows us to construct maps $GW_n^n(R) \to K_n^{MW}(R)$ from the hermitian K-theory [Sch17b] of a local ring R with infinite residue field to its Milnor–Witt K-theory for $n \equiv 2, 3 \bmod 4$, generalizing the cases $n = 2, 3$ studied in [SS25]. For instance, the Hurewicz map together with the isomorphism from Theorem 1.1 and the surjection from Theorem 1.2 yields the following map from symplectic K-theory to Milnor–Witt K-theory in even degrees:

$$\pi_{2n} B\,\mathrm{Sp}(R)^+ \to H_{2n}(\mathrm{Sp}\, R) \cong H_{2n}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\, R) \to H_{2n}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\, R, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2}\, R) \twoheadrightarrow K_{2n}^{MW}(R).$$

The study of this map together with its companion for orthogonal groups is beyond the scope of this paper and will be pursued elsewhere.

Strategy of proof. The strategy for proving our homology stability range is classical. We construct a highly connected chain complex on which our groups act and study the resulting spectral sequences. The chain complex we use is essentially that of [SS25]. In *op. cit.* we were not able to prove degeneration of the spectral sequence. This is what is achieved here. Our innovation is the limit theorem (Theorem 4.9) which gives a criterion for the vanishing of certain modules

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

built out of relative homology groups that carry an action of the multiplicative monoid $(R, \cdot, 1)$ of a ring R and may be useful for groups other than $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)$; see the examples in § 4.

2. Non-degenerate unimodular sequences

In this section we review notation and a few results from [SS25].

Throughout this paper, $n \geqslant 0$ will be an integer, R will be a commutative local ring with infinite residue field, R^* its group of units, $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ the group of invertible $n \times n$ matrices with entries in R,

$$\psi_{2n} = \psi_2 \perp \cdots \perp \psi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \bigoplus_1^n \psi_2, \quad \psi_2 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),$$

the standard hyperbolic symplectic form of rank $2n$, and

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R) = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(R) |\ {}^tA\, \psi_{2n}\, A = \psi_{2n}\}$$

the symplectic group or rank $2n$, considered as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R)$ by means of the embedding

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R) \subset \mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R) : A \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A \end{smallmatrix}\right). \tag{2.1}$$

For the purpose of this paper, the *symplectic group of rank* $2n+1$ is the subgroup

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R) = \{A \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R) |\ Ae_1 = e_1\}$$

of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R)$ fixing the first standard basis vector e_1. This is the group of matrices

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & c & {}^tu\psi M \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & u & M \end{smallmatrix}\right) \tag{2.2}$$

where $\psi = \psi_{2n}$, $M \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)$, $u \in R^{2n}$, $c \in R$. The inclusions (2.1) refine to the sequence of inclusions of groups

$$1 = \mathrm{Sp}_0(R) \subset \mathrm{Sp}_1(R) \subset \mathrm{Sp}_2(R) \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{Sp}_n(R) \subset \mathrm{Sp}_{n+1}(R) \subset \cdots, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R) \subset \mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R) : M \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M \end{smallmatrix}\right), \quad \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-1}(R) \subset \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R) : M \mapsto M.$$

In Theorem 7.1 below we study homology stability for the sequence of groups (2.3). We shall denote the inclusions $\mathrm{Sp}_r(R) \subset \mathrm{Sp}_s(R)$ by ε_r^s, or simply by ε if source and target group are understood, $r \leqslant s$. Small-rank symplectic groups are as follows:

$$\mathrm{Sp}_0(R) = \{1\}, \quad \mathrm{Sp}_1(R) = \{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) |\ c \in R\}, \quad \mathrm{Sp}_2(R) = \mathrm{SL}_2(R).$$

Let $0 \leqslant q$ be an integer. We denote by $\mathrm{Skew}_q(R)$ the set of $q \times q$ *skew-symmetric* matrices with entries in R, that is, those matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ such that $a_{ij} = -a_{ji}$, $a_{ii} = 0$, $a_{ij} \in R$, $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant q$. We denote by

$$\mathrm{Skew}_q^+(R) \subset \mathrm{Skew}_q(R)$$

the subset of *non-degenerate skew-symmetric matrices*, that is, those matrices $A \in \mathrm{Skew}_q(R)$ such that for all subsets $I \subset \{1, \ldots, q\}$ of even cardinality the matrix A_I, obtained from A by deleting all rows and columns not in I, is invertible.

The R-module R^{2n} will always be equipped with the standard symplectic bilinear form $\langle x, y\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(x_{2i+1}y_{2i+2} - x_{2i+2}y_{2i+1})$ where $x = {}^t(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2n})$, $y = {}^t(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{2n}) \in R^{2n}$.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The *Gram matrix* $\Gamma(v)$ of a sequence $v=(v_1,\dots,v_q)$ of q vectors $v_1,\dots,v_q\in R^{2n}$ is the skew-symmetric $q\times q$ matrix

$$\Gamma(v)=(\langle v_i,v_j\rangle)_{i,j=1}^{q}={}^t v\ \psi_{2n}\ v$$

with (i,j)th entry $\langle v_i,v_j\rangle$. A sequence $v=(v_1,\dots,v_q)$ of q vectors in R^{2n} is called *unimodular* if each subsequence of length $r\leqslant\min(q,2n)$ is a basis of a direct summand of R^{2n}. A unimodular sequence $v=(v_1,\dots,v_q)$ of vectors in R^{2n} is called *non-degenerate* if for all subsets $I\subset\{1,\dots q\}$ of even cardinality $|I|\leqslant\min(q,2n)$, the Gram matrix $\Gamma(v_I)$ is invertible, where v_I is the sequence of vectors obtained from v by deleting all columns not in I. We denote by

$$U_q(R^{2n})=\{v=(v_1,\dots,v_q)\mid v\text{ non-degenerate unimodular in }R^{2n}\}$$

the set of non-degenerate unimodular sequences of length q in R^{2n}. The set $U_0(R^{2n})$ is the singleton set consisting of the empty sequence, and the set $U_q(R^0)$ is the singleton set with unique element the sequence $(0,0,\dots,0)$ of length q. The symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)$ acts from the left on $U_q(R^{2n})$ by matrix multiplication $Av=(Av_1,\dots,Av_q)$ for $A\in\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)$, $v=(v_1,\dots,v_q)\in U_q(R^{2n})$. Note that the Gram matrix of v and Av are the same for all $A\in\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)$. The following result was proved in [SS25, Lemma 2.8].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let R be a local ring. Then for all integers $0\leqslant q\leqslant 2n+1$ the Gram matrix defines a bijection*

$$\Gamma:\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)\backslash U_q(R^{2n})\xrightarrow{\cong}\mathrm{Skew}_q^+(R).$$

DEFINITION 2.2. Let R be a local ring and $n,q\geqslant 1$ be integers. A non-degenerate unimodular sequence $u=(u_1,\dots,u_q)\in U_q(R^{2n})$ is said to be *in normal form* if for $r=\min(2n,q)$, the matrix $(u_1,\dots,u_r)$ is upper triangular, $(u_i)_i=1$ for i odd and $(u_i)_{i-1}=0$ for i even, $i=1,\dots,r$.

In this paper, we will identify R^q with the subspace of R^{2n} sending the standard basis vector e_i of R^q to the standard basis vector e_i of R^{2n}, $i=1,\dots,q$. Note that if $q\leqslant 2n$ and $u\in U_q(R^{2n})$ is in normal form then u spans R^q.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let R be a local ring and $n,q\geqslant 1$ be integers with $q\leqslant 2n+1$. Then for every $A\in\mathrm{Skew}_q^+(R)$, there is a non-degenerate unimodular sequence $u\in U_q(R^{2n})$ which is in normal form such that $\Gamma(u)=A$.*

In the situation of Lemma 2.3, we will call u a *normal form of A*.

Proof of Lemma 2.3. This is proved by induction on $q\geqslant 1$. The case $q=1$ is clear, choosing $u_1=e_1$. Assume we are given $A\in\mathrm{Skew}_{q+1}(R)$ and $u\in U_q(R^{2n})$ generating R^q, for instance, u is in normal form, such that $\Gamma(u)=A_{\{1,\dots,q\}}$ where for $I\subset\{1,\dots,q+1\}$ we write A_I for the skew-symmetric matrix obtained from A by deleting all rows and columns not in I. Then u is a basis in R^q and thus defines an invertible $q\times q$ matrix. If q is even, then there is a unique $x\in R^q$ such that $\Gamma(u,x)=A_{\{1,\dots,q+1\}}$, namely, the solution to ${}^t u\psi_q x=v$ where v is the $(q+1)$th column of A with last row removed. If $q=2n$, set $u_{q+1}=x$. If $q<2n$, set $u_{q+1}=x+e_{q+1}$. If q is odd, then $q-1$ is even and we let $x\in R^{q-1}$ be the unique solution to $\Gamma(u_1,\dots,u_{q-1},x)=A_{\{1,\dots q-1,\hat{q},q+1\}}$ and set $u_{q+1}=x+\alpha e_{q+1}$ where α is the $(q,q+1)$th entry of A. □

For a set S, we denote by $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ the free abelian group with basis S. We make the graded abelian group

$$\mathbb{Z}[U_*(R^{2n})]=\{\mathbb{Z}[U_q(R^{2n})],q\geqslant 0\}\tag{2.4}$$

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

into a chain complex with differential $d:\mathbb{Z}[U_q(R^{2n})]\to\mathbb{Z}[U_{q-1}(R^{2n})]$ defined on basis elements $v=(v_1,\dots,v_q)$ by

$$dv=\sum_{i=1}^{q}(-1)^{i+1}d_iv$$

where $d_iv=v_i^{\wedge}=(v_1,\dots,\hat{v}_i,\dots,v_q)$ is obtained from v by deleting the ith vector v_i.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let R be a local ring with infinite residue field and let $n\geqslant 0$, $q\geqslant i\geqslant 1$ be integers. Then the map $d_i:U_q(R^{2n})\to U_{q-1}(R^{2n})$ is surjective.*

Proof. This is a special case of [SS25, Lemma 2.5] in view of [SS25, Remark 2.4]. □

The following result was proved in [SS25, Corollary 2.6].

LEMMA 2.5. *Let R be a local ring with infinite residue field and $n\geqslant 0$ an integer. Then the chain complex $(\mathbb{Z}[U_*(R^{2n})],d_*)$ is acyclic. That is, for all $p\in\mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$H_p(\mathbb{Z}[U_*(R^{2n})])=0.$$

Similarly, we make the graded abelian group $\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_*(R)]$ into a chain complex with differential $d:\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_q(R)]\to\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{q-1}(R)]$ defined on basis elements $A\in\mathrm{Skew}^+_q(R)$ by

$$dA=\sum_{i=1}^{q}(-1)^{i+1}d_iA$$

where $d_iA=A_i^{\wedge}$ is obtained from A by deleting the ith row and column. The following result was proved in [SS25, Lemma 2.9].

LEMMA 2.6. *Let R be a local ring with infinite residue field. Then the chain complex of non-degenerate skew-symmetric matrices $(\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_*(R)],d_*)$ is acyclic. That is, for all $p\in\mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$H_p(\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_*(R)])=0.$$

As an acyclic complex of free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules, the complex $(\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_*(R)],d_*)$ is actually contractible, and the exact sequences

$$0\to\mathrm{Im}(d_{n+1})\to\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_n(R)]\to\mathrm{Im}(d_n)\to 0$$

are split exact. Such properties are preserved under tensor product with arbitrary abelian groups.

3. The spectral sequence and its E^1 page

In this section we introduce the spectral sequence (3.1) which leads to our homology stability range in Theorem 1.1 and we identify its E^1 term.

For a complex M_* of abelian groups with differential of degree -1 and an integer $r\in\mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $M_{\leqslant r}\subset M_*$ the subcomplex which is $(M_{\leqslant r})_i=M_i$ for $i\leqslant r$ and $(M_{\leqslant r})_i=0$ for $i>r$. We call the resulting filtration $\cdots\subset M_{\leqslant r-1}\subset M_{\leqslant r}\subset M_{\leqslant r+1}\subset\cdots$ of M_*, the *filtration by degree*. The filtration by degree

$$C_{\leqslant 0}(R^{2n})\subset C_{\leqslant 1}(R^{2n})\subset\cdots\subset C_{\leqslant 2n-1}(R^{2n})\subset C_{\leqslant 2n}(R^{2n})=C_*(R^{2n})$$

of the complex

$$C_*(R^{2n})=\mathbb{Z}[U_{\leqslant 2n}(R^{2n})]$$

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)$-modules yields the exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \to C_{\leqslant q-1}(R^{2n}) \to C_{\leqslant q}(R^{2n}) \to C_{\leqslant q}(R^{2n})/C_{\leqslant q-1}(R^{2n}) \to 0.$$

Upon applying the functor $H_*(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, \quad) = \mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}(\mathbb{Z}, \quad)$, the exact sequences yield the exact couple $D^1_{p+1,q-1} \to D^1_{p,q} \to E^1_{p,q} \to D^1_{p,q-1}$ where

$$D^1_{p,q} = H_{p+q}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R), C_{\leqslant q}(R^{2n})), \quad E^1_{p,q} = H_{p+q}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R), C_{\leqslant q}(R^{2n})/C_{\leqslant q-1}(R^{2n})),$$

and hence the spectral sequence

$$E^1_{p,q} = H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R), C_q(R^{2n})) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R), C_*(R^{2n})) \tag{3.1}$$

with differential $d^r_{p,q}$ of bidegree $(r-1, -r)$.

The following lemma shows that the abutment of the spectral sequence (3.1) vanishes in degrees $p+q < 2n$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let R be a local ring with infinite residue field. Then*

$$H_i(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R), C_*(R^{2n})) = 0, \quad i < 2n.$$

Proof. Let M be the kernel of $d : C_{2n}(R^{2n}) \to C_{2n-1}(R^{2n})$. By Lemma 2.5, the inclusion of complexes $M[2n] \to C_*(R^{2n})$ is a quasi-isomorphism. In particular,

$$H_i(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R), C_*(R^{2n})) = H_i(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R), M[2n]) = H_{i-2n}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R), M).$$

The result follows since for all G-modules M, we have $H_j(G, M) = 0$ for $j < 0$. □

Remark 3.2. The spectral sequence associated with the complex $\mathbb{Z}[U_{\leqslant 2n+1}(R^{2n})]$ and its filtration by degree was studied in [SS25].

Let $0 \leqslant q \leqslant 2n$ be integers. Let $v \in U_q(R^{2n})$ be a non-degenerate unimodular sequence which spans the submodule R^q of R^{2n}. Note that for every $A \in \mathrm{Skew}_q(R)$ there is such a v with $\Gamma(v) = A$; for instance, a normal form of A will do (see Lemma 2.3). As an ordered basis of R^q, v defines an element of $\mathrm{GL}_q(R)$ and as such has a determinant $\det(v) \in R^*$. Using the standard functoriality of group homology as in [Bro94, III.8], we define a map

$$f_v : H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-q}(R); \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R); \mathbb{Z}[U_q(R^{2n})])$$

by

$$f_v = \begin{cases} (\varepsilon, v)_*, & 0 \leqslant q \leqslant 2n, \quad q \text{ even}, \\ (\varepsilon \circ c_{\det v}, v)_*, & 0 \leqslant q \leqslant 2n, \quad q \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon : \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-q}(R) \to \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)$ is the standard embedding, v denotes the homomorphism of abelian groups $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}[U_q(R^{2n})]$ sending 1 to v, and for $a \in R^*$, $c_a : \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-q}(R) \to \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-q}(R)$ is conjugation $A \mapsto DAD^{-1}$ with the diagonal matrix

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_{2n-q-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-q+1}(R)$$

for $0 < q < 2n$ odd.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let q be an integer such that $0 \leqslant q \leqslant 2n$. Let $u, v \in U_q(R^{2n})$ be non-degenerate unimodular sequences that span the submodule R^q of R^{2n}. If $\Gamma(u) = \Gamma(v)$ then $f_u = f_v$.*

Proof. If q is even, then the R-linear automorphism B of R^q sending u to v is an isometry, since $\Gamma(u) = \Gamma(v)$. We extend B to an isometry of R^{2n} by requiring $Be_i = e_i$ for $i = q+1, \ldots, 2n$. Since $B \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)$ commutes with every element of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-q}(R)$, we have $f_u = f_v$.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Assume now $q=2r+1$ odd, $0\leqslant r<n$. We consider u,v as elements in $\mathrm{GL}_q(R)$. There are unique vectors $x,y\in R^{q+1}$ such that

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} {}^t u & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)\psi_{q+1}\ x=\left(\begin{array}{c|c} {}^t v & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)\psi_{q+1}\ y=e_q\in R^{q+1}$$

since the $(q+1)\times(q+1)$ matrices involved are invertible. Then $\Gamma(u,x)=\Gamma(v,y)$, by definition of x and y. We show that (v,y) is a basis of R^{q+1}. Indeed, let $V\subset R^{q+1}$ be the R-span of $v_1,\dots,v_{q-1}$. Then V equipped with the symplectic form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is non-degenerate since the Gram matrix of $v_1,\dots,v_{q-1}$ is invertible. Therefore, there is a unique $w\in V$ such that $\langle w,v_i\rangle=\langle v_q,v_i\rangle$ for all $i=1,\dots,q-1$. In the orthogonal decomposition $V\perp V^\perp=R^{q+1}$ of R^{q+1}, the vectors $v_q-w,y\in V^\perp$ are a hyperbolic basis of $V^\perp$ since $\Gamma(v_q-w,y)=\psi_2$. It follows that $(v_1,\dots,v_{q-1},v_q-w,y)$ is a basis of R^{q+1}, hence $(v_1,\dots,v_{q-1},v_q,y)$ is a basis of R^{q+1}. Similarly, (u,x) is also a basis of R^{q+1}. The R-linear endomorphism $B=(v,y)\circ(u,x)^{-1}:R^{q+1}\to R^{q+1}$ sending (u,x) to (v,y) is an isometry and thus has determinant 1 as $\mathrm{Sp}_{q+1}(R)\subset\mathrm{SL}_{q+1}(R)$. Since $u,v\in\mathrm{GL}_q(R)$, the matrices (u,x) and (v,y) have the form

$$(u,x)=\left(\begin{array}{c|c} u & * \\ \hline 0 & x_0 \end{array}\right)\quad\text{and}\quad(v,y)=\left(\begin{array}{c|c} v & * \\ \hline 0 & y_0 \end{array}\right).$$

Thus, $x_0\det u=\det(u,x)=\det(v,y)=y_0\det v$, and the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1_{2r} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \det^{-1} v & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \det v \end{pmatrix} B \begin{pmatrix} 1_{2r} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \det u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \det^{-1} u \end{pmatrix}\in\mathrm{Sp}_{q+1}(R)$$

has last row equal to ${}^t e_{q+1}=(0,0,\dots,0,1)$. In particular, that matrix has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} P & 0 & g \\ {}^t h & 1 & g_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $g,h\in R^{q-1}$, $g_0\in R$, and $P\in\mathrm{Sp}_{q-1}(R)$. We now extend the isometry B of R^{q+1} to all of R^{2n} by requiring $Be_i=e_i$ for $i=q+2,\dots,2n$. Then $Bu=v$, $B\in\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)$, and for all $M\in\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-q}(R)$ we have $c_{\det v}(M)=B\circ c_{\det u}(M)\circ B^{-1}$ since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1_{2r} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \det^{-1} v & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \det v & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{2n-q-1} \end{pmatrix} B \begin{pmatrix} 1_{2r} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \det u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \det^{-1} u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{2n-q-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 & g & 0 \\ {}^t h & 1 & g_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{2n-q-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and any such matrix commutes with every matrix in $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-q}(R)$ because

$$\begin{pmatrix} P & 0 & g & 0 \\ {}^t h & 1 & g_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b_0 & {}^t b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 & g & 0 \\ {}^t h & 1 & g_0+b_0 & {}^t b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b_0 & {}^t b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 & g & 0 \\ {}^t h & 1 & g_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $a,b\in R^{2n-q-1}$, $b_0\in R$, $N\in M_{2n-q-1}(R)$. This finishes the proof. □

COROLLARY 3.4. *For $0\leqslant q\leqslant 2n$, the map*

$$H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-q}(R))\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}_q^+(R)]\xrightarrow{\cong}H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R);\mathbb{Z}[U_q(R^{2n})]),\tag{3.2}$$

sending $\alpha\otimes A$ to $f_v(\alpha)$, does not depend on the choice of v and is an isomorphism provided $v\in U_q(R^{2n})$ with $\Gamma(v)=A$ and v generates the submodule R^q of R^{2n}.

Proof. The map does not depend on the choice of v, by Lemma 3.3. It is an isomorphism by Shapiro's lemma in view of Lemma 2.1. □

The following lemma identifies the E^1 page of the spectral sequence (3.1) and its d^1 differential.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

LEMMA 3.5. *For $0 \leqslant q < 2n$ the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-q-1};\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{q+1}] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{(3.2)} & H_*(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n};\mathbb{Z}[U_{q+1}(R^{2n})]) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varepsilon_* \otimes d} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (1,d)_*} \\ H_*(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-q};\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{q}] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{(3.2)} & H_*(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n};\mathbb{Z}[U_{q}(R^{2n})]). \end{array}$$

Proof. Recall that $d = \sum_{i=1}^{q+1} (-1)^{i+1} d_i$ where d_i omits the ith entry. We will show that the diagram commutes with d_i in place of d for $i = 1, \ldots, q+1$. On the component of the upper left corner corresponding to $A \in \mathrm{Skew}^+_{q+1}(R)$ choose $u \in U_{q+1}(R^{2n})$ generating R^{q+1} such that $\Gamma(u) = A$ and $d_i u$ generates R^q; for instance, $(d_i u, u_i)$ in normal form will do (see Lemma 2.3). Then $\Gamma(d_i u) = d_i A$. In view of Lemma 3.3 we can use f_u and $f_{d_i u}$ for the horizontal maps.

If q is even then $q+1$ is odd and going first right then down gives the map

$$(\varepsilon^{2n}_{2n-q-1} \circ c_a, d_i u)_* = (\varepsilon^{2n}_{2n-q}, d_i u)_* \circ (c_a \circ \varepsilon^{2n-q}_{2n-q-1})_* = (\varepsilon, d_i u)_*$$

where c_a is conjugation with the diagonal matrix D in $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-q}(R)$ whose diagonal entries are $(a, a^{-1}, 1_{2n-q-2})$ and $a = \det u$. Conjugation with any matrix $D \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-q}(R)$ is the identity on $H_*(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-q}(R);\mathbb{Z})$. Thus, this map equals the map obtained by going down then right.

If q is odd, then $q+1$ is even and going right then down is $(\varepsilon, d_i u)$, whereas going down then right is $(\varepsilon^{2n}_{2n-q} \circ c_a \circ \varepsilon^{2n-q}_{2n-q-1}, d_i u) = (\varepsilon^{2n}_{2n-q-1}, d_i u)$ since $c_a \varepsilon^{2n-q}_{2n-q-1} = \varepsilon^{2n-q}_{2n-q-1}$, where c_a is conjugation with the diagonal matrix $(a, a^{-1}, 1_{2n-q-1})$ of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-q+1}(R)$ and $a = \det d_i u$. □

4. The limit theorem

The goal of this section is to prove the limit theorem (Theorem 4.9) which is fundamental in our proof in § 6 of the degeneration of the spectral sequence (3.1).

Let R be a commutative ring which, for now, need not be local. An R-module M carries a left action $R \times M \to M : (a, x) \mapsto ax$ of the multiplicative monoid $(R, \cdot, 1)$ of R which is linear in M. In particular, it is a module over the associated integral monoid ring $\mathbb{Z}[R] = \mathbb{Z}[R, \cdot, 1]$. We denote by $\langle a \rangle$ the element of $\mathbb{Z}[R]$ corresponding to $a \in R$ and note that the abelian subgroup $\mathbb{Z}\langle 0 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}[R]$ generated by $\langle 0 \rangle$ is an ideal. Since $0 \cdot M = 0$, the R-module M is naturally a module over the quotient ring

$$\mathbb{Z}_0[R] = \mathbb{Z}[R]/\mathbb{Z}\langle 0 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}[R, \cdot, 1]/\mathbb{Z}\langle 0 \rangle.$$

By functoriality, the action of the monoid $(R, \cdot, 1)$ on M induces an action of that monoid on $H_q(M)$, $M^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} q}$, $\Lambda^q_{\mathbb{Z}} M$, and $M(q)$ by means of abelian group homomorphisms where $M(q)$ is M with action through the qth power of its natural action. For $q \geqslant 1$, all those abelian groups are therefore $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-modules. For instance, for $q \geqslant 1$, the $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-module structure on $M(q)$ is

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i \langle a_i \rangle \right) \cdot x = \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i a_i^q \, x. \tag{4.1}$$

A $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-module M is an R-module if and only if the multiplicative left action of R on M is also linear in R, that is, if for all $a, b \in R$, the element $\langle a \rangle + \langle b \rangle - \langle a + b \rangle$ acts as zero on M. We may call such $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-modules *linear*. The criterion for linearity is the $m = 2$ case of the following generalization. For a sequence $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ of m elements in R and a subset $J \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$, we denote by x_J the partial sum

$$x_J = \sum_{j \in J} x_j \in R$$

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

with the convention $x_\emptyset = 0$. Then a $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-module M is linear if and only if the element

$$-\sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1,\dots,m\}} (-1)^{|J|} \langle x_J \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_0[R]$$

acts as zero on M for all $m \geqslant 2$ and all sequences $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ of m elements in R. More generally, we have the following lemma. Our convention is that $x^0 = 1$ for $x \in R$ even if $x = 0$.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let R be a ring, M an abelian group and let $t \geqslant 1$ be an integer. Let*

$$[\quad\quad] : R^{\times t} \to M : (a_1, \dots, a_t) \mapsto [a_1, \dots, a_t]$$

be a $\mathbb{Z}$-multilinear map, linear in each of the t variables. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ be a sequence of $m \geqslant 1$ elements in R. Let $p_1(X), \dots, p_t(X) \in R[X]$ be polynomials of degree $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t$ with $\gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_t < m$. Then

$$-\sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1,\dots,m\}} (-1)^{|J|} [p_1(x_J), \dots, p_t(x_J)] = [p_1(0), \dots, p_t(0)]. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. If one of the polynomials p_i is the zero polynomial, then both sides of (4.2) are zero. So we can assume $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t \geqslant 0$. We first prove the lemma for $p_i(X) = a_i X^{\gamma_i}$, $a_i \in R$. If $\gamma_1 = \cdots = \gamma_t = 0$ then the left term in (4.2) is $[a_1, \dots, a_t] = [p_1(0), \dots, p_t(0)]$ because

$$1 + \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1,\dots,m\}} (-1)^{|J|} = \sum_{J \subset \{1,\dots,m\}} (-1)^{|J|} = (1-1)^m = 0$$

for $m \geqslant 1$.

If $\gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_t \geqslant 1$ we write $[n]$ for the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Then the negative of the left term in (4.2) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1,\dots,m\}} (-1)^{|J|} [a_1 (x_J)^{\gamma_1}, \dots, a_t (x_J)^{\gamma_t}] \\
&= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset [m], \sigma_i : [\gamma_i] \to J, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t} (-1)^{|J|} [a_1 x_{\sigma_1(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma_1(\gamma_1)}, \dots, a_t x_{\sigma_t(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma_t(\gamma_t)}] \\
&= \sum_{\sigma_i : [\gamma_i] \to [m], 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t} [a_1 x_{\sigma_1(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma_1(\gamma_1)}, \dots, a_t x_{\sigma_t(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma_t(\gamma_t)}] \sum_{\bigcup_{i=1}^t \mathrm{Im}(\gamma_i) \subset J \subset [m]} (-1)^{|J|} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

since $\emptyset \neq \bigcup_{i=1}^t \mathrm{Im}(\gamma_i) \subsetneq [m]$ as $1 \leqslant \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_t < m$, and for $S \subsetneq [m]$ we have

$$\sum_{S \subset J \subset [m]} (-1)^{|J|} = (-1)^{|S|} \sum_{J \subset [m] - S} (-1)^{|J|} = (-1)^{|S|} (1-1)^{m-|S|} = 0.$$

We now assume that $p_1(X), \dots, p_t(X) \in R[X]$ are arbitrary polynomials of degree $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t \geqslant 0$ with $\gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_t < m$. Each polynomial $p(X)$ is the sum of $p(0)$ and a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of polynomials $a_\gamma X^\gamma$ with $\gamma \geqslant 1$ and $a_\gamma \in R$. It follows that the left term of (4.2) is the sum of

$$-\sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1,\dots,m\}} (-1)^{|J|} [p_1(0), \dots, p_t(0)] \tag{4.3}$$

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

and a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of terms

$$-\sum_{\emptyset\neq J\subset\{1,\dots,m\}}(-1)^{|J|}\ [a_1(x_J)^{\delta_1},\dots,a_t(x_J)^{\delta_t}] \tag{4.4}$$

for some $a_i\in R$ and where $0\leqslant\delta_i$ and $1\leqslant\delta_1+\cdots+\delta_t<m$. By the first part of the proof, the terms (4.4) are zero and therefore, the left term of (4.2) equals (4.3) which is $[p_1(0),\dots p_t(0)]$, again by the first part of the proof. □

For a sequence $a=(a_1,\dots,a_m)$ of m elements in R and a polynomial $p(X)\in R[X]$ with coefficients in R, we write $s_p(a)\in\mathbb{Z}[R]$ for the element

$$s_p(a)=-\sum_{\emptyset\neq J\subset\{1,\dots,m\}}(-1)^{|J|}\ \langle p(a_J)\rangle\in\mathbb{Z}[R].$$

Remark 4.2. For $p(X)=X$, the element $s_p(a)$ was first considered in [Sch17a] to prove optimal homology stability for special linear groups. Note that for $m\geqslant 1$,

$$s_1=-\sum_{\emptyset\neq J\subset\{1,\dots,m\}}(-1)^{|J|}=1.$$

DEFINITION 4.3. Let R be a commutative ring. We call a $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-module M *quasi-linear* if for every polynomial $p(X)\in R[X]$ there is an integer $m_0\geqslant 0$ such that for all integers $m\geqslant m_0$ and all sequences $a=(a_1,\dots,a_m)$ of m elements in R, we have $\sigma^{-1}M=0$ where $\sigma=s_p(a)-\langle p(0)\rangle\in\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$.

Note that the category of quasi-linear $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-modules is a Serre abelian subcategory of the abelian category of all $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-modules, that is, subobjects, quotients, and extensions of quasi-linear $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-modules in the category of $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-modules are quasi-linear.

Example 4.4. Linear modules are quasi-linear. More generally, by Lemma 4.1, for all R-modules M the $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-modules $M^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}q}$, $\Lambda^q_{\mathbb{Z}}M$, and $M(q)$ are quasi-linear for all $q\geqslant 1$. We will see in Proposition 5.3 below that the integral homology groups $H_s(M(q))$, $s\geqslant 1$, and the relative integral homology groups $H_s(M(q)\rtimes G,G)$ are quasi-linear if the group G acts on M by means of R-module homomorphisms; see Example 5.4.

Remark 4.5. Let $(R,\mathscr{M})$ be a local ring with infinite residue field $R/\mathscr{M}$, and consider the ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]\to\mathbb{Z}$ sending R^* to 1 and $\mathscr{M}$ to 0. This makes $M=\mathbb{Z}$ into a $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-module which is not quasi-linear; in particular, $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$ is not quasi-linear. Indeed, if $p(X)=X$ then $s_p(a)$ acts as 1 on $\mathbb{Z}$ for all sequences $a=(a_1,\dots,a_m)$ of units $a_i\in R^*$ such that $a_J\in R^*$ for all $\emptyset\neq J\subset\{1\dots,m\}$, and $\langle p(0)\rangle=0$ acts as 0. In particular, $\sigma^{-1}M=M$ for all $\sigma=s_p(a)-\langle p(0)\rangle$ and all sequences $a=(a_1,\dots,a_m)$ of units in R as above. Since R has infinite residue field, m can be chosen as large as we want.

In order to state our limit theorem we introduce the following terminology.

DEFINITION 4.6. Let R be a local ring with residue field k and denote by $\pi:R\to k$ the quotient map. A subset $\mathcal{D}\subset R$ of elements in R is called a *region* if $\mathcal{D}=\pi^{-1}\pi(\mathcal{D})$. If k is infinite, a region $\mathcal{D}\subset R$ is called *dense* if $k-\pi(\mathcal{D})$ is finite.

DEFINITION 4.7. Let R be a local ring, and $\mathcal{D}\subset R$ a region of R. A function $f:\mathcal{D}\to\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$ is called *admissible* if there are polynomials $P(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in\mathbb{Z}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$, $P_i(X),Q_i(X)\in R[X]$,

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$i=1,\dots,n$, such that $Q_i(t)\in R^*$ for all $t\in\mathcal{D}$ and

$$f(t)=P\left(\left\langle\frac{P_1(t)}{Q_1(t)}\right\rangle,\dots,\left\langle\frac{P_n(t)}{Q_n(t)}\right\rangle\right)\in\mathbb{Z}_0[R] \tag{4.5}$$

for all $t\in\mathcal{D}$ where the evaluation of the polynomial P takes place in $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$. The polynomials P,P_i,Q_i are called a *presentation of* f.

For $a\in R$, we say that f is *defined at* a (relative to a presentation (P,P_i,Q_i)) if the elements $Q_i(a)\in R$ are units in R. Clearly, f is defined at all elements of $\mathcal{D}$. Note that if f is defined at $a\in R$ then $f(a)$ is a well-defined element in $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$, given by (4.5), though the value $f(a)$ may depend on the presentation of f.

DEFINITION 4.8. Let $\mathcal{D}\subset R$ be a dense region of a local ring R with infinite residue field. Let $f:\mathcal{D}\to\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$ be an admissible function represented by (P,P_i,Q_i) as in (4.5). For $a\in R\cup\{\infty\}$ we say that the *limit* $\lim_{t\to a}f(t)$ *of* f *when* t *tends to* a exists and write

$$\lim_{t\to a}f(t)=L\in\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$$

if either of the following assertions holds.

(i) If $a\in R$, then we require f to be defined at a and set $L=f(a)$.
(ii) If $a=\infty$, then we require $\deg P_i\leqslant\deg Q_i$ and the coefficients of the highest-degree monomials of $Q_i(X)$ to be units in R, $i=1,\dots,n$. Then

$$\bar{Q}_i(X)=X^{\deg Q_i}Q_i(1/X),\quad \bar{P}_i(X)=X^{\deg Q_i}P_i(1/X)$$

are polynomials with $\bar{Q}_i(0)\in R^*$, $i=1,\dots,n$. We note that

$$f(1/t)=\bar{f}(t)=P\left(\left\langle\frac{\bar{P}_1(t)}{\bar{Q}_1(t)}\right\rangle,\dots,\left\langle\frac{\bar{P}_n(t)}{\bar{Q}_n(t)}\right\rangle\right)\in\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$$

for $t\in R^*$ with $1/t\in\mathcal{D}$, and that $\bar{f}$ is defined at 0 relative to the presentation $(P,\bar{P}_i,\bar{Q}_i)$. We set

$$L=\lim_{t\to\infty}f(t)=\lim_{t\to 0}f(1/t)=\lim_{t\to 0}\bar{f}(t)=\bar{f}(0).$$

We do not know if $\lim_t f(t)$ does or does not depend on the presentation of f. For the purpose of this paper, the limit will always be calculated relative to a given presentation of f. Recall that for a commutative ring S such as $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$ and an S-module M, the radical of the annihilator of an element $x\in M$ is

$$\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(x)}=\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}_M(x)}=\{s\in S|\ s^nx=0\text{ for some }n\geqslant 1\}.$$

THEOREM 4.9 (Limit theorem). *Let R be a local ring with infinite residue field. Let M be a quasi-linear $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-module, and let $x\in M$. Let $\mathcal{D}\subset R$ be a dense region of R, and let $f:\mathcal{D}\to\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$ be an admissible function with given presentation. Assume that $f(t)\in\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(x)}\subset\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$ for all $t\in\mathcal{D}$. Then for all $a\in R\cup\{\infty\}$, if $\lim_{t\to a}f(t)$ exists in $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$ in the given presentation then that limit satisfies*

$$\lim_{t\to a}f(t)\in\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(x)}.$$

Remark 4.10. The limit theorem does not hold for all $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-modules M. For instance, let K be an infinite field, and consider the ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_0[K]\to\mathbb{Z}$ sending the elements of K^* to 1 and $\langle 0\rangle$ to 0. This makes the target $M=\mathbb{Z}$ into a $\mathbb{Z}_0[K]$-module. For $f(t)=-\langle t\rangle+1$, presented by $P(X)=-X+1$ and $P_1(X)=X$, $Q_1(X)=1$, we have $f(t)M=0$ for all $t\in\mathcal{D}=K^*$,

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

but $f(0)=1$ is not in the radical of the annihilator of a generator of M. Therefore, some condition such as quasi-linearity is required for the theorem to hold.

Proof of Theorem 4.9. Let (P, P_i, Q_i) be the given presentation of f as in (4.5). We first consider the case $a=0$. Since $\lim_{t\to 0} f(t)$ exists, we have $Q_i(0)\in R^*$ for all $i=1,\dots,n$. Let d_i be the highest power of X_i occurring in $P(X_1,\dots,X_n)$. Then $g(t)=\langle Q_1(t)^{d_1}\cdots Q_n(t)^{d_n}\rangle\ f(t)$ is an integer linear combination of expressions $\langle p_j(t)\rangle$ with $p_j(X)\in R[X]$ polynomials, $j=1,\dots,\ell$, for some $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$:

$$g(t)=\langle Q_1(t)^{d_1}\cdots Q_n(t)^{d_n}\rangle f(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} n_j\langle p_j(t)\rangle.$$

Since M is a quasi-linear $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-module, we can choose $m_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma_j(a)=s_{p_j}(a)-\langle p_j(0)\rangle$ satisfies $\sigma_j(a)^{-1}M=0$ for all $j=1,\dots,\ell$ and all sequences $a=(a_1,\dots,a_m)$ of m elements in R with $m\geqslant m_0$. In particular, $\sigma_j(a)\in\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(x)}$ and hence

$$s_g(a)-g(0)=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} n_j\sigma_j(a)\in\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(x)} \tag{4.6}$$

where (abusing notation slightly)

$$s_g(a):=-\sum_{\emptyset\neq J\subset\{1,\dots,m\}}(-1)^{|J|}\ g(a_J)=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} n_j s_{p_j}(a).$$

Fix $m\geqslant m_0$ and choose a sequence $a=(a_1,\dots,a_m)$ of m elements in R such that $a_J\in\mathcal{D}$ for all $\emptyset\neq J\subset\{1,\dots,m\}$. This is possible for if we denote by $\pi: R\to k$ the quotient map to the residue field of R, and if we have chosen $(a_1,\dots,a_t)$ such that $a_J\in\mathcal{D}$ for all $\emptyset\neq J\subset\{1,\dots,t\}$, then $a_{t+1}\in R$ can be any element such that $\pi(a_{t+1})$ is not the solution $x\in k$ to one of the finitely many non-trivial linear equations $x+\pi(a_J)=y$, $y\in k-\pi(\mathcal{D})$, $J\subset\{1,\dots,t\}$. Such $x\in k$ exists since k is infinite. Since $a_J\in\mathcal{D}$, we have $f(a_J)\in\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(x)}$ for all $\emptyset\neq J\subset\{1,\dots,m\}$, by assumption. Then $g(a_J)\in\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(x)}$ for all $\emptyset\neq J\subset\{1,\dots,m\}$. As a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of the $g(a_J)$ we then have $s_g(a)\in\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(x)}$. By (4.6), we have $g(0)\in\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(x)}$ and thus

$$\lim_{t\to 0} f(t)=f(0)=\langle Q_1(0)^{-d_1}\cdots Q_n(0)^{-d_n}\rangle\ g(0)\in\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(x)}$$

since $Q_i(0)\in R^*$, $i=1,\dots,n$.

Now assume $a\in R$ arbitrary. Define $\bar{P}_i(X)=P_i(X+a)$, $\bar{Q}_i(X)=Q_i(X+a)$, $\bar{f}(t)=f(t+a)$, $\bar{P}=P$, $\bar{\mathcal{D}}=\mathcal{D}-a$. Then $\bar{f}(t)\in\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(x)}$ for all $t\in\bar{\mathcal{D}}$, and the case of $t\to 0$ treated above shows that $\lim_{t\to a} f(t)=\lim_{t\to 0}\bar{f}(t)\in\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(x)}$.

Finally, assume $a=\infty$. Set $\bar{P}_i(X)$, $\bar{Q}_i(X)$, $\bar{f}(t)=f(1/t)$ as in Definition 4.8(ii). Note that $\bar{\mathcal{D}}=\{t\in R^*|\ t^{-1}\in\mathcal{D}\}$ is a dense region of R since $\mathcal{D}$ is. Then $\bar{f}(t)=f(1/t)\in\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(x)}$ for $t\in\bar{\mathcal{D}}$. By the case $a=0$ treated above, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t)=\lim_{t\to 0}\bar{f}(t)\in\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(x)}.$$

□

Remark 4.11. Let R be a local ring with infinite residue field. If the induced action of R^* on a quasi-linear $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-module M is trivial then $M=0$. Indeed, the admissible function $f:\mathcal{D}=R^*\to\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$ defined by $f(t)=-\langle t\rangle+1$ has $f(t)M=0$ for all $t\in\mathcal{D}$ but $\lim_{t\to 0} f(t)=1$ is in $\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(x)}$ for $x\in M$ if and only if $x=0$. By the limit theorem we must have $M=0$.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

5. Quasi-linear modules and group homology

The goal of this section is to prove in Proposition 5.3 that the relative homology groups $H_s(G,K)$ are quasi-linear for certain $(R,\cdot,1)$-equivariant inclusions of groups $K\subset G$. This will be applied to show that the relative homology groups $H_s(\mathrm{Sp}_{2r+1}(R),\mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(R))$ are quasi-linear $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-modules. At the end of the section we will give a few first applications of Theorem 4.9.

For an integer $t\geqslant 1$, we consider the ring homomorphism

$$\varphi_t:\mathbb{Z}[R,\cdot,1]\to R^{\otimes t}:\langle a\rangle\mapsto a^{\otimes t}=a\otimes\cdots\otimes a \tag{5.1}$$

where $a\in R$. Assume that the multiplicative monoid $(R,\cdot,1)$ of R acts on a group G from the left through group homomorphisms. By functoriality, $(R,\cdot,1)$ acts on the homology group $H_q(G)$ from the left through abelian group homomorphisms, that is, $H_q(G)$ is a left $\mathbb{Z}[R]$-module. Recall from (4.1) the $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-module $M(q)$ associated with an R-module M and an integer $q\geqslant 1$ where the $(R,\cdot,1)$-action is through the qth power of its natural action on the module M.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let R be a commutative ring whose underlying abelian group $(R,+,0)$ is torsion-free. Let A, B be R-modules, and let $r,\alpha,\beta\geqslant 1$ be integers. Let*

$$1\to B(\beta)\to N\to A(\alpha)\to 1 \tag{5.2}$$

be an $(R,\cdot,1)$-equivariant central extension of groups. Let $\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}[R]$ be such that $\varphi_t(\sigma)=0$ for $1\leqslant t\leqslant r$. Then $\sigma^{-1}H_s(N)=0$ whenever $1\leqslant s\cdot\max(\alpha,\beta)\leqslant r$.

Note that the group N in the lemma need not be abelian.

Recall that a $\mathbb{Z}[R]$-module A is the same as an abelian group A together with an action of the monoid $(R,\cdot,1)$ on A through abelian group homomorphisms. For two $\mathbb{Z}[R]$-modules A,B, we endow their tensor product $A\otimes B=A\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}B$ with the diagonal $(R,\cdot,1)$ action. This defines the $\mathbb{Z}[R]$-module $A\otimes B$. If A and B are $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-modules then so is $A\otimes B$.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. We will first prove the lemma when A is torsion-free as an abelian group. To do so we show that in this case

$$\sigma^{-1}(H_p(A(\alpha))\otimes H_q(B(\beta)))=0\quad\text{for } 1\leqslant\alpha p+\beta q\leqslant r, \tag{5.3}$$

and then apply the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence to (5.2). To prove (5.3) we first also assume that B is torsion-free as an abelian group. Then $H_p(A)\otimes H_q(B)\cong\Lambda^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)\otimes\Lambda^q_{\mathbb{Z}}(B)$, functorial in A and B. In particular, the result of the action of $a\in R\subset\mathbb{Z}[R]$ on $(x_1\wedge\cdots\wedge x_p)\otimes(y_1\wedge\cdots\wedge y_q)\in H_p(A(\alpha))\otimes H_q(B(\beta))$ is $(a^\alpha x_1\wedge\cdots\wedge a^\alpha x_p)\otimes(a^\beta y_1\wedge\cdots\wedge a^\beta y_q)$. This is the image of $\varphi_{\alpha p+\beta q}(a)$ under the $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map

$$R^{\otimes\alpha p}\otimes R^{\otimes\beta q}\longrightarrow\Lambda^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)\otimes\Lambda^q_{\mathbb{Z}}(B) \tag{5.4}$$

which uniquely extends the $\mathbb{Z}$-multilinear map

$$R^{\alpha p}\times R^{\beta q}\longrightarrow\Lambda^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)\otimes\Lambda^q_{\mathbb{Z}}(B)$$

sending $(M,N)\in R^{\alpha p}\times R^{\beta q}=M_{\alpha,p}(R)\times M_{\beta,q}(R)$ to

$$\Big(\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha}M_{i,1}\Big)x_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha}M_{i,p}\Big)x_p\Big)\otimes\Big(\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{\beta}N_{i,1}\Big)y_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{\beta}N_{i,q}\Big)y_q\Big).$$

In particular, the result of the action of $\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}[R]$ on $(x_1\wedge\cdots\wedge x_p)\otimes(y_1\wedge\cdots\wedge y_q)$ is the image of $\varphi_{\alpha p+\beta q}(\sigma)$ under the $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map (5.4). But $\varphi_t(\sigma)=0$ for $1\leqslant t\leqslant r$. Hence, $\sigma(\Lambda^p_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)\otimes\Lambda^q_{\mathbb{Z}}(B))=0$ for $1\leqslant\alpha p+\beta q\leqslant r$. In particular, (5.3) holds when A and B are torsion-free.

We now prove (5.3) when A is torsion-free as an abelian group and B is an arbitrary R-module. Choose a surjective weak equivalence of simplicial R-modules $B_*\to B$ with B_i a

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

projective R-module for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For instance, the simplicial R-module corresponding to an R-projective resolution of B under the Dold–Kan correspondence will do. Each B_i is a torsion-free abelian group since R is. The classifying space functor $\mathcal{B}$ induces an $(R, \cdot, 1)$-equivariant weak equivalence of simplicial sets $\mathcal{B}(B_*(\beta)) \to \mathcal{B}B(\beta)$. Tensoring the spectral sequence of the simplicial space $n \mapsto \mathcal{B}B_n$,

$$E^1_{s,t} = H_t(\mathcal{B}B_s) \Rightarrow H_{s+t}(\mathcal{B}B_*) = H_{s+t}(\mathcal{B}B) = H_{s+t}(B),$$

with the flat $\mathbb{Z}$-module $H_p(A) \cong \Lambda^p_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ yields the spectral sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[R]$-modules

$$H_p(A(\alpha)) \otimes E^1_{s,t} = H_p(A(\alpha)) \otimes H_t(B_s(\beta)) \Rightarrow H_p(A(\alpha)) \otimes H_{s+t}(B(\beta)).$$

Localizing at σ, this yields a spectral sequence with trivial $E^1_{s,t}$-term for $1 \leqslant \alpha p + \beta t \leqslant r$. Since $t \leqslant s + t$ for $0 \leqslant s, t$, the $E^1_{s,t}$-term of the localized spectral sequence is trivial for $1 \leqslant \alpha p + \beta(s + t) \leqslant r$ (and $p, s, t \geqslant 0$). This proves (5.3) when A is torsion-free as an abelian group.

We now prove the lemma when A is torsion-free as an abelian group. In this case, the integral homology groups $H_*(A) \cong \Lambda^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ are torsion-free and the natural map $H_p(A) \otimes F \to H_p(A; F)$ is an isomorphism for any abelian group F, by the universal coefficient theorem. Since the extension (5.2) is central, the group A acts trivially on $H_*(B)$ and the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence of the group extension has the form

$$E^2_{p,q} = H_p(A; H_q(B)) \cong H_p(A) \otimes H_q(B) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(N).$$

The spectral sequence is functorial in the exact sequence (5.2). In particular, it is equivariant for the $(R, \cdot, 1)$-action and thus a spectral sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[R]$-modules. Localizing the spectral sequence at σ yields a spectral sequence with E^2 term $\sigma^{-1}E^2_{p,q} = 0$ for $1 \leqslant \alpha p + \beta q \leqslant r$, by (5.3). This implies the lemma in case A is torsion-free.

Finally, we prove the lemma for arbitrary R-modules A and B. As above, we choose a surjective weak equivalence $A_* \to A$ of simplicial R-modules with A_n a projective R-module for all n. Then each A_n is flat as an abelian group since R is. Let $N_n = N \times_{A(\alpha)} A_n(\alpha)$. The action of $(R, \cdot, 1)$ on N, $A(\alpha)$, and $A_n(\alpha)$ defines an action of $(R, \cdot, 1)$ on N_n. We obtain a simplicial $(R, \cdot, 1)$-equivariant central extension

$$1 \to B(\beta) \to N_* \to A_*(\alpha) \to 1$$

with degreewise torsion-free base A_n. The surjection $N_* \to N$ of simplicial groups has contractible kernel as it equals the kernel of the surjective weak equivalence $A_* \to A$. In particular, the map on classifying spaces $\mathcal{B}|s \mapsto N_s| = |s \mapsto \mathcal{B}N_s| \to \mathcal{B}N$ is an $(R, \cdot, 1)$-equivariant weak equivalence. By the torsion-free case treated above, we have $\sigma^{-1}H_q(\mathcal{B}N_s) = 0$ for $1 \leq q \cdot \max(\alpha, \beta) \leqslant r$ and for all $s \geqslant 0$. Therefore, the spectral sequence of the simplicial space $s \mapsto \mathcal{B}N_s$,

$$E^2_{p,q} = \pi_p|s \mapsto H_q(\mathcal{B}N_s)| \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\mathcal{B}N_*) = H_{p+q}(\mathcal{B}N),$$

localized at σ has trivial $E^2_{p,q}$-term for $1 \leqslant q \cdot \max(\alpha, \beta) \leqslant r$ and for all p. In particular, $\sigma^{-1}E^2_{p,q} = 0$ whenever $1 \leqslant (p + q) \cdot \max(\alpha, \beta) \leqslant r$ (and $0 \leqslant p, q$). This proves the lemma. □

LEMMA 5.2. *Let $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ be a sequence of m elements in R, and let $p(X) \in R[X]$ be a polynomial of degree d with coefficients in R. Then for $1 \leqslant td < m$, the element $s_p(a) - \langle p(0) \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[R]$ is sent to zero under the homomorphism φ_t defined in (5.1):*

$$\varphi_t(s_p(a) - \langle p(0) \rangle) = 0 \in R^{\otimes t}.$$

Proof. The image of $s_p(a)$ in $R^{\otimes t}$ is

$$- \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}} (-1)^{|J|} \, P(x_J)^{\otimes t}.$$

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

We apply Lemma 4.1 to the canonical $\mathbb{Z}$-multilinear map $R^{\times t} \to R^{\otimes t} : (x_1, \dots, x_t) \mapsto [x_1, \dots, x_t] = x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_t$ and find that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_t(s_p(a)) &= -\sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1,\dots,m\}} (-1)^{|J|}\ [p(a_J), \dots, p(a_J)] \\ &= [p(0), \dots, p(0)] = p(0)^{\otimes t} = \varphi_t(\langle p(0) \rangle).\end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Let R be a commutative ring, let A, B be R-modules, and let $\alpha, \beta \geqslant 1$ be integers. Let G, K, N be groups with left $(R, \cdot, 1)$-actions where the action on K is trivial. Given $(R, \cdot, 1)$-equivariant exact sequences of groups*

$$1 \to B(\beta) \to N \to A(\alpha) \to 1, \quad 1 \to N \to G \xrightarrow{\rho} K \to 1,$$

in which the first sequence is a central extension, the second sequence is equipped with an $(R, \cdot, 1)$-equivariant group homomorphism $i : K \to G$ such that $\rho i = 1_K$ and $i\rho : G \to G$ is the action of $0 \in R$ on G. Then for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ the relative homology groups $H_s(G, K)$ are quasi-linear $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-modules where K is considered a subgroup of G by means of the inclusion i.

Proof. The result $i\rho$ of the action of $\langle 0 \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[R]$ on $H_s(G, K)$ factors through $H_s(K, K) = 0$. Hence, the $\mathbb{Z}[R]$-module $H_s(G, K)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-module. We will prove that for every sequence $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ of m elements in R and every polynomial $p(X) \in R[X]$ of degree d with coefficients in R, the element $\sigma = s_p(a) - \langle p(0) \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[R]$ satisfies

$$\sigma^{-1} H_s(G, K) = 0 \quad \text{provided } sd \max(\alpha, \beta) < m. \tag{5.5}$$

This establishes that $H_s(G, K)$ is quasi-linear with $m_0 = sd \max(\alpha, \beta)$ in Definition 4.3.

To prove (5.5), assume first that the underlying abelian group $(R, +, 0)$ of R is torsion-free. By functoriality, the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence

$$E^2_{p,q} = H_p(K; H_q(N)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(G) \tag{5.6}$$

of the extension $1 \to N \to G \to K \to 1$ carries an action of the monoid $(R, \cdot, 1)$ induced from the action of that monoid on the extension. Section $i : K \to G$ and projection $\rho : G \to K$ make the extension $1 \to 1 \to K \to K \to 1$ of groups with (trivial) $(R, \cdot, 1)$-action a direct factor of $1 \to N \to G \to K \to 1$, hence its Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence of (trivial) $\mathbb{Z}[R]$-modules (which degenerates at E^2) is a direct factor of that of (5.6). Its complement yields the strongly convergent spectral sequence

$$\tilde{E}^2_{p,q} = H_p(K; \tilde{H}_q(N)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(G, K)$$

where $\tilde{H}_q(N) = H_q(N)$ for $q \geqslant 1$ and 0 otherwise. The action of $g \in K$ on $H_q(N)$ is induced by conjugation with $i(g)$ on N. Since $(R, \cdot, 1)$ acts trivially on K and i is equivariant, the action of $(R, \cdot, 1)$ on N and the action of K on N commute. It follows that $\sigma^{-1}\tilde{E}^2_{p,q} = \sigma^{-1} H_p(K; \tilde{H}_q(N)) = H_p(K; \sigma^{-1}\tilde{H}_q(N)) = 0$ for $0 \leqslant q \max(\alpha, \beta) \leqslant r$ and any p, by Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2. Hence, $\sigma^{-1} H_s(G, K) = 0$ for $0 \leqslant s \cdot \max(\alpha, \beta) \leqslant r$.

We now prove (5.5) when $(R, +, 0)$ is not assumed torsion-free. Choose a surjection of commutative rings $\pi : \bar{R} \twoheadrightarrow R$ such that the abelian group $(\bar{R}, +, 0)$ of $\bar{R}$ is torsion-free, for instance, $\mathbb{Z}[R] \twoheadrightarrow R : \langle a \rangle \mapsto a$. Choose a sequence $\bar{a} = (\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_m)$ in $\bar{R}$ and a polynomial $\bar{p}(X) \in \bar{R}[X]$ such that $\pi(\bar{a}) = a$ and $\pi(\bar{p}(X)) = p(X)$. The ring homomorphism π makes A and B into $\bar{R}$-modules, and the action of $(\bar{R}, \cdot, 1)$ on $H_s(G, K)$ is induced from the $(R, \cdot, 1)$-action via the map π. Therefore, multiplication by the element $\bar{\sigma} = s_{\bar{p}}(\bar{a}) - \bar{p}(0)$ on $H_s(G, K)$ equals multiplication by the element $\sigma = s_p(a) - p(0)$. In particular, $\bar{\sigma}^{-1} H_s(G, K) = \sigma^{-1} H_s(G, K)$. By the

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

torsion-free case above, we have $\bar{\sigma}^{-1}H_s(G,K)=0$ for $sd\max(\alpha,\beta)<m$. This finishes the proof of (5.5) and hence that of the proposition. □

Example 5.4. Let G be a group that acts from the left on an R-module M through R-module homomorphisms. Then for all $q\geqslant 0$, the semi-direct product $M(q)\rtimes G$ carries an action of $(R,\cdot,1)$ defined by $a(x,g)=(a^q x,g)$ such that the exact sequence

$$1\to M(q)\to M(q)\rtimes G\to G\to 1$$

is $(R,\cdot,1)$-equivariant with trivial action on the base G and equivariant section $G\to M(q)\rtimes G: g\mapsto(0,g)$. By Proposition 5.3 with $B=0$, $\alpha=q$, $A=M$, $N=M(q)$, the relative homology groups $H_s(M(q)\rtimes G,G)$ are quasi-linear $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-modules whenever $q\geqslant 1$.

Example 5.5. Continuing Example 5.4, assume moreover that there exist an integer $q\geqslant 1$ and a group homomorphism $\rho: R^*\to Z(G)$ into the center $Z(G)$ of G such that $\rho(a)x=a^q x$. Then the $(R,\cdot,1)$-action of $a\in R^*$ on $M(q)\rtimes G$ equals the conjugation action on $M(q)\rtimes G$ by $(0,\rho(a))$. In particular, the quasi-linear $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-module $H_s(M(q)\rtimes G,G)$ yields the trivial action when restricted to $R^*\subset\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$. By Remark 4.11, if R is local with infinite residue field, we must have $H_s(M(q)\rtimes G,G)=0$. This has been used many times, for instance for $G=GL_n(R)$ acting diagonally on $M=R^n\times\cdots\times R^n$ via its natural action on R^n and $\rho: R^*\to GL_n(R): a\mapsto a\cdot I_n$, we obtain [NS89, Theorem 1.11] for local rings with infinite residue fields.

Example 5.6. Continuing Example 5.4, we have $s_{\langle X^r\rangle}(a)^{-1}H_s(M(q)\rtimes G,G)=0$ for all sequences $a=(a_1,\dots,a_m)$ in R with $m\geqslant m_0$. This was used in [Sch17a] for $G=SL_n(R)$, $M=R^n\times\cdots\times R^n$, and r and q powers of n.

Now comes the most relevant example for this paper.

Example 5.7. Let R be a commutative ring and let $n\geqslant 0$ be an integer. For $a\in R^*$, the conjugation action c_a of the $(2n+2)\times(2n+2)$ diagonal matrix $D_a\in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R)$ with diagonal entries $(a,a^{-1},1,1,\dots,1)$ on the group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R)$ induces an action

$$\begin{pmatrix}1 & c & {}^t u\psi M\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & u & M\end{pmatrix}\overset{c_a}{\mapsto}\begin{pmatrix}a & 0 & 0\\ 0 & a^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & c & {}^t u\psi M\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & u & M\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}a^{-1} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & a & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}1 & a^2c & {}^t(au)\psi M\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & au & M\end{pmatrix}$$

on the subgroup $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)$ which extends to an action

$$\begin{pmatrix}1 & c & {}^t u\psi M\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & u & M\end{pmatrix}\overset{\langle a\rangle}{\mapsto}\begin{pmatrix}1 & a^2c & {}^t(au)\psi M\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & au & M\end{pmatrix}$$

of the monoid $(R,\cdot,1)$ on the group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)$, $a\in R$. Denote by $N\subset\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)$ the subgroup of matrices with $M=1$, by $A\subset\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)$ the subgroup of matrices with $M=1$ and $c=0$, and by $B\subset\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)$ the subgroup with $M=1$, $u=0$, then $(A,\cdot,1)=(R^{2n},+,0)$, $(B,\cdot,1)=(R,+,0)$, and we have $(R,\cdot,1)$-equivariant exact sequences

$$1\to B(2)\to N\to A(1)\to 1,\quad 1\to N\to\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)\to\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)\to 1,$$

with left sequence central and $\langle 0\rangle:\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)\to\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)$ the projection $\rho:\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)\to\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)$ followed by the inclusion $\varepsilon:\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)\to\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)$. By Proposition 5.3, the image of the projector $1-(\varepsilon\rho)_*$ of $H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R))$, which is the relative homology group

$$\tilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)):=H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R),\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R))=\mathrm{Im}(1-(\varepsilon\rho)_*),$$

is a quasi-linear $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-module for all $p\in\mathbb{Z}$. We have a canonical decomposition

$$H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R))=\mathrm{Im}((\varepsilon\rho)_*)\oplus\mathrm{Im}(1-(\varepsilon\rho)_*)=H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R))\oplus\tilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)).$$

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

LEMMA 5.8. *Let R be a local ring with infinite residue field. Then for all integers $n, p \geqslant 0$, the $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-module $\tilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)) = H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R), \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R))$ is quasi-linear, and the composition*

$$\tilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)) \subset H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)) \to H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R))$$

is zero. Moreover, the map $H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)) \to H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R))$ is surjective if and only if the map $H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)) \to H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R))$ is surjective.

Proof. Quasi-linearity is Example 5.7.

The composition $\tilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)) \to H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R))$ is R^*-equivariant where R^* acts through conjugation with $D_a \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}$ and thus acts trivially on the target. Since the source is quasi-linear, there is an integer $m_0 \geqslant 1$ such that for all sequences $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ of $m \geqslant m_0$ elements in R we have $s_X^{-1}(a)\tilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}\, R) = 0$. If R is local with infinite residue field, we can find a sequence $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ such that $a_J \in R^*$ for all $\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$. Since R^* acts on $H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R))$ trivially, for such an a, $s_X(a)$ acts as the identity on $H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R))$ and thus $s_X^{-1}(a)H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}\, R) = H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R))$. In particular, the R^*-equivariant map $\tilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)) \to H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R))$ factors through $s_X^{-1}(a)\tilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}\, R) = 0$, hence that map is zero. For the last statement we note that $H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)) \to H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R))$ is the localization of $H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)) \to H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R))$ at $s_X(a)$. In particular, surjectivity of the second map implies surjectivity of the first. The converse is obvious. □

COROLLARY 5.9. *Let R be a local ring with infinite residue field. Under the decomposition $H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}) = H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}) \oplus \tilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1})$ of Example 5.7, the sequence of maps $H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)) \to H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)) \to H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R))$ becomes*

$$H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)) \xrightarrow{\binom{1}{0}} H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)) \oplus \tilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)) \xrightarrow{(\varepsilon_*, 0)} H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R)),$$

and for $a \in R$, the action of $\langle a \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[R]$ on $H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}\, R)$ defined in Example 5.7 becomes

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \langle a \rangle \end{smallmatrix}\right) : H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}) \oplus \tilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}) \to H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}) \oplus \tilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}).$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 5.8 and the fact that ε and ρ are $(R, \cdot, 1)$-equivariant. □

6. Degeneration at E^2

In this section we will prove that the spectral sequence (3.1) degenerates at E^2. Our strategy for degeneration is to construct a map of spectral sequences $\tilde{E} \to E$ from a spectral sequence $\tilde{E}$ to (3.1). The spectral sequence $\tilde{E}$ will trivially degenerate at $\tilde{E}^2$, and the main point will be to show that $\tilde{E}^2 \to E^2$ is surjective in all bidegrees. This will ensure that (3.1) degenerates at E^2 as well. The spectral sequence $\tilde{E}$ will be a direct sum of spectral sequences $E(r)$, $r = 0, \dots, n$, which we will now introduce.

For $0 \leqslant r < n$ and $i = 1, \dots, 2r+2$, we consider the $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}(R)$-set

$$U^{(i)}_{2r+2}(R^{2n}) = \{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} u \\ w \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in M_{2n,2r+2}(R) |\ u \in U_{2r+2}(R^{2r}), w_i \in U_1(R^{2n-2r}), d_i w = 0\}$$

where $N \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}(R)$ acts by $N \cdot \left(\begin{smallmatrix} u \\ w \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} u \\ Nw \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. The equation $d_i w = (w_1, \dots, \hat{w}_i, \dots, w_{2r+2}) = 0$ means that $w = (0, \dots, 0, w_i, 0, \dots, 0)$ only has a potentially non-zero entry in the ith column. We have the bijection

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}(R) \backslash U^{(i)}_{2r+2}(R^{2n}) \xrightarrow{\cong} U_{2r+2}(R^{2r}) : \left(\begin{smallmatrix} u \\ w \end{smallmatrix}\right) \mapsto u.$$

The stabilizer of the action on $U^{(i)}_{2r+2}(R)$ at $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} u \\ (e_1)_i \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ is $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r-1}(R)$, where $(e_1)_i = (0, \dots, 0, e_1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with $e_1 \in R^{2n-2r}$ in the ith column. Note that if $v = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} u \\ w \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in U^{(i)}_{2r+2}$ then

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$d_j v \in U_{2r+1}(R^{2n})$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2r+2$ with $j \neq i$, and $d_i v \in U_{2r+1}(R^{2r})$. We define the complex $C_*(R^{2n}; r)$ as

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2r+2} \mathbb{Z}[U^{(i)}_{2r+2}(R^{2n})] \xrightarrow{(-d_1, d_2, -d_3, \ldots, d_{2r+2})} \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}(R^{2r})] \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}(R^{2r})]$ placed in degree $2r$, and the ith component of the differential is $(-1)^i d_i$. This is a complex of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}(R)$-modules where $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}(R)$ acts trivially on the degree $2r$ piece $\mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}(R^{2r})]$. Since $d \circ d = 0$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[U^{(i)}_{2r+2}(R^{2n})] & \xrightarrow{(-1)^i d_i} & \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}(R^{2r})] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_i^\wedge} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d} & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+2}(R^{2n})] & \xrightarrow[d]{} & \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}(R^{2n})] & \xrightarrow[d]{} & \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r}(R^{2n})] & \xrightarrow[d]{} & \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r-1}(R^{2n})]
\end{array}$$

commutes where the second from left vertical map $d_i^\wedge : \mathbb{Z}[U^{(i)}_{2r+2}(R^{2n})] \to \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+2}(R^{2n})]$ is defined on basis elements $v \in U^{(i)}_{2r+2}(R^{2n})$ by

$$d_i^\wedge(v) = \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{2r+2} (-1)^{j+1} d_j v$$

and can informally be thought of as $d_i^\wedge = d + (-1)^i d_i$. This defines the map of complexes $\varphi : C_*(R^{2n}; r) \to C_*(R^{2n})$ of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}(R)$-modules (where we have suppressed some of the entries R^{2n}).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2r+2} \mathbb{Z}[U^{(i)}_{2r+2}] & \xrightarrow{((-1)^i d_i)_i} & \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}(R^{2r})] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (d_i^\wedge)_i} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d} & & \downarrow \\
\cdots \to \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+2}] & \xrightarrow[d]{} & \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}] & \xrightarrow[d]{} & \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r}(R^{2n})] & \xrightarrow[d]{} & \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r-1}] \to \cdots
\end{array}$$

For $r = n$, we let $C_*(R^{2n}, n)$ be the complex $\mathbb{Z}[U_{2n+1}(R^{2n})][2n]$ concentrated in degree $2n$ and define the map of complexes $\varphi : C_*(R^{2n}, n) \to C_*(R^{2n})$ in degree n as the map $d : \mathbb{Z}[U_{2n+1}(R^{2n})] \to \mathbb{Z}[U_{2n}(R^{2n})]$. For $0 \leqslant r \leqslant n$, the homomorphism of groups and coefficient complexes

$$(\varepsilon, \varphi) : (\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}(R), C_*(R^{2n}; r)) \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R), C_*(R^{2n}))$$

defines a map of associated group homology spectral sequences

$$E^s_{p,q}(R^{2n}; r) \longrightarrow E^s_{p,q}(R^{2n}) \tag{6.1}$$

resulting from the filtrations by degree $C_{\leqslant q}(R^{2n}; r)$ and $C_{\leqslant q}(R^{2n})$ of the coefficient complexes $C_*(R^{2n}; r)$ $C_*(R^{2n}; r)$ and $C_*(R^{2n})$. By definition, we have

$$E^1_{p,q}(R^{2n}; r) = H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}\, R, C_q(R^{2n}; r)).$$

Since $C_q(R^{2n}; r) = 0$ for $q \neq 2r, 2r+1$, we have $E^s_{p,q}(R^{2n}; r) = 0$ for $q \neq 2r, 2r+1$ and all $s \geqslant 1$. In particular, $d^s_{p,q} = 0$ for $s \geqslant 2$, and the spectral sequences $E(R^{2n}; r)$ degenerate at the E^2 page.

The following result shows that the spectral sequence (3.1) degenerates at E^2.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Let R be a local ring with infinite residue field. For all integers $0 \leqslant r \leqslant n$, $s = 2$, $q = 2r, 2r+1$ and all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map (6.1) is surjective:*

$$E^2_{p,q}(R^{2n}; r) \twoheadrightarrow E^2_{p,q}(R^{2n}), \quad q = 2r, 2r+1.$$

In particular, the spectral sequence (3.1) degenerates at E^2.

Proof of Proposition 6.1 for $q = 2r$. The map $E^1_{p,2r}(R^{2n}; r) \to E^1_{p,2r}(R^{2n})$ is the first map in the following complex.

$$\begin{array}{c} H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}(R^{2r})] \xrightarrow{1 \otimes d \circ \Gamma} H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r}] \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \downarrow \varepsilon_* \otimes d \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r+1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r-1}] \end{array}$$

see Corollary 3.4. In view of Lemma 3.5, the second map in that complex is $d^1_{p,2r} : E^1_{p,2r}(R^{2n}) \to E^1_{p,2r-1}(R^{2n})$. Since $\varepsilon_* : H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}) \to H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r+1})$ is (split) injective, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.6 imply that this complex is exact. It follows that $E^1_{p,2r}(R^{2n}; r)$ surjects onto the kernel of the right vertical map which surjects onto $E^2_{p,2r}(R^{2n})$. In particular, its quotient $E^2_{p,2r}(R^{2n}; r)$ surjects onto $E^2_{p,2r}(R^{2n})$. □

The case $q = 2r+1$ of Proposition 6.1 is somewhat more involved except when $r = n$, in which case the map $0 = E^1_{p,2n+1}(R^{2n}; n) \to E^1_{p,2n+1}(R^{2n}) = 0$ is clearly surjective. So assume $0 \leqslant r < n$. For $i = 1, \ldots, 2r+2$, consider the map

$$\gamma_i : H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+2}(R^{2r})] \longrightarrow H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r+1}] \tag{6.2}$$

which for $u \in U_{2r+2}(R^{2r})$ and $\alpha \in H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r-1})$ is defined by

$$\gamma_i(\alpha \otimes u) = \sum_{1 \leqslant j \neq i \leqslant 2r+2} (-1)^{j+1} (c^{-1}_{\delta_{ij} \det(u^\wedge_{ij})})_*(\alpha) \otimes d_j \Gamma(u)$$

where $u^\wedge_{ij}$ is obtained from u by omitting the ith and jth columns, c_a is conjugation with the diagonal matrix $(a, a^{-1}, 1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}(R)$ for $a \in R^*$, and δ_{ij} is defined by

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{i+1}, & i < j, \\ 0, & i = j, \\ (-1)^i, & i > j, \end{cases} \qquad (\delta_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & + & + & + & + & \cdots & + \\ + & 0 & - & - & - & \cdots & - \\ - & - & 0 & + & + & \cdots & + \\ + & + & + & 0 & - & \cdots & - \\ & & & & & \ddots & \end{pmatrix}.$$

LEMMA 6.2. *The commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^1_{p,2r+1}(R^{2n}; r) & \xrightarrow{d^1} & E^1_{p,2r}(R^{2n}; r) \\ {\scriptstyle (6.1)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (6.1)} \\ E^1_{p,2r+1}(R^{2n}) & \xrightarrow[d^1]{} & E^1_{p,2r}(R^{2n}) \end{array}$$

is isomorphic to the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2r+2} H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+2}(R^{2r})] & \xrightarrow{((-1)^i \varepsilon_* \otimes d_i)_i} & H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}(R^{2r})] \\ {\scriptstyle \gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_{2r+2})}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1 \otimes \Gamma(d)} \\ H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r+1}] & \xrightarrow[\varepsilon_* \otimes d]{} & H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r}] \end{array}$$

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. The right vertical and the lower horizontal map have already been identified in Corollary 3.4 and Lemma 3.5. For the other two maps, we note that

$$E^1_{p,2r+1}(R^{2n};r) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2r+2} H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}, \mathbb{Z}[U^{(i)}_{2r+2}(R^{2n})]).$$

By Shapiro's lemma, we obtain the isomorphism

$$\sum_u (\varepsilon, \binom{u}{(e_1)_i})_* : \bigoplus_{u\in U_{2r+2}(R^{2r})} H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r-1}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}, \mathbb{Z}[U^{(i)}_{2r+2}(R^{2n})]).$$

This yields the identification of the top horizontal map. Composing with the map $E^1_{p,2r+1}(R^{2n};r) \to E^1_{p,2r+1}(R^{2n})$ yields the map

$$\bigoplus_{u\in U_{2r+2}(R^{2r})} H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r-1}) \longrightarrow H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}(R^{2n})]) \tag{6.3}$$

which is

$$\sum_{1\leqslant j\neq i\leqslant 2r+2} (-1)^{j+1}(\varepsilon, d_j\binom{u}{(e_1)_i})_*$$

on the component corresponding to $u \in U_{2r+2}(R^{2r})$. We recall the isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{A\in \mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r+1}} H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r-1}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}(R^{2n})]) \tag{6.4}$$

from Corollary 3.4 which is $(\varepsilon \circ c_{\det v}, v)_*$ on the component corresponding to $A \in \mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r+1}(R)$ where $v \in U_{2r+1}(R^{2n})$ satisfies $\Gamma(v) = A$ and generates R^{2r+1}. For $u \in U_{2r+2}(R^{2r})$ and $j \neq i$, the unimodular sequence $w = d_j\binom{u}{(e_1)_i}$ generates R^{2r+1}. Since

$$\det w = \det\left(d_j\binom{u}{(e_1)_i}\right) = \delta_{ij} \det u^{\wedge}_{ij},$$

the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r-1}) & \xrightarrow{(\varepsilon, \binom{u}{(e_1)_i})_*} & H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r}, \mathbb{Z}[U^{(i)}_{2r+2}]) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (c^{-1}_{\delta_{ij}\det u^{\wedge}_{ij}})_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_j} \\ H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r-1}) & \xrightarrow[(\varepsilon\circ c_{\det w}, w)_*]{} & H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}]) \end{array}$$

commutes. Since

$$\Gamma(w) = \Gamma(d_j\binom{u}{(e_1)_i}) = \Gamma(d_j u),$$

we apply Lemma 3.3 to identify the left vertical map in the lemma with γ. □

Proof of Proposition 6.1 for $q = 2r+1$. We need to show that the map of horizontal complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E^1_{p,2r+1}(R^{2n};r) & \xrightarrow{d^1} & E^1_{p,2r}(R^{2n};r) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (6.1)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (6.1)} \\ E^1_{p,2r+2}(R^{2n}) & \xrightarrow[d^1]{} & E^1_{p,2r+1}(R^{2n}) & \xrightarrow[d^1]{} & E^1_{p,2r}(R^{2n}) \end{array}$$

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

is surjective on homology at the middle term. By Corollary 5.9 and Lemma 6.2, this map of complexes is isomorphic to the direct sum of

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2r+2} \widetilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+2}(R^{2r})] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma} & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r+1}] & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \tag{6.5}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2r+2} A \otimes \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+2}(R^{2r})] & \xrightarrow{(-1)^i\varepsilon_* \otimes d_i} & B \otimes \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}(R^{2r})] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\otimes(\Gamma(d)+(-1)^i\Gamma(d_i))_i} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\otimes\Gamma(d)} \\ A \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r+2}] & \xrightarrow[1\otimes d]{} & A \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r+1}] & \xrightarrow[\varepsilon_* \otimes d]{} & B \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r}] \end{array} \tag{6.6}$$

where $A = H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r-2})$ and $B = H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2r})$. Proposition 6.1 now follows from Lemmas 6.3 and 6.4 below. □

LEMMA 6.3. *The map of complexes (6.6) is surjective in homology.*

Proof. Let F be the image of the map $\Gamma(d) : \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}(R^{2r})] \to \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r}]$. This is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, and it is also the image of $d : \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r+1}] \to \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r}]$, by Lemma 2.1. In diagram (6.6), we can replace $\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r}]$ with F and the lower left horizontal arrow $1 \otimes d$ with its cokernel $0 \to \mathrm{coker}(1 \otimes d)$ without changing homology since that cokernel is $A \otimes F$, by Lemma 2.6 and the comment thereafter. Thus, we can replace diagram (6.6) with the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2r+2} A \otimes \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+2}(R^{2r})] & \xrightarrow{(-1)^i\varepsilon_* \otimes d_i} & B \otimes \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}(R^{2r})] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\otimes(\Gamma(d)+(-1)^i\Gamma(d_i))_i} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\otimes\Gamma(d)} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes F & \xrightarrow[\varepsilon_* \otimes 1]{} & B \otimes F \end{array} \tag{6.7}$$

without changing homology where the vertical maps are induced by those in diagram (6.6). The right-hand square is obtained by tensoring the diagram of free abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2r+2} \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+2}(R^{2r})] & \xrightarrow{(-1)^i d_i} & \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}(R^{2r})] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\Gamma(d)+(-1)^i\Gamma(d_i))_i} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Gamma(d)} \\ F & \xrightarrow[1]{} & F \end{array} \tag{6.8}$$

with the map $\varepsilon_* : A \to B$. The top horizontal arrow in (6.8) is surjective because the maps $d_i : U_{2r+2}(R^{2r}) \to U_{2r+1}(R^{2r})$ are surjective, by Lemma 2.4. Since all abelian groups in

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

diagram (6.8) are free, that diagram is isomorphic to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \oplus N & \xrightarrow{(1,0)} & M \\ {\scriptstyle (f,0)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ F & \xrightarrow[1]{} & F \end{array}$$

where $M = \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+1}(R^{2r})]$ and N is the kernel of the top horizontal arrow in (6.8). It follows that diagram (6.7) is isomorphic to the following.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes (M \oplus N) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_* \otimes (1,0)} & B \otimes M \\ \downarrow & & {\scriptstyle 1\otimes(f,0)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\otimes f} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes F & \xrightarrow[\varepsilon_* \otimes 1]{} & B \otimes F \end{array}$$

Since M and F are free abelian groups, the map on homology (kernels of right horizontal maps) is

$$(1 \otimes f, 0) : (\ker(\varepsilon_*) \otimes M) \oplus (A \otimes N) \longrightarrow \ker(\varepsilon_*) \otimes F,$$

which is surjective since f is. □

For a $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-module H, define the homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-modules

$$\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_{2r+2}) : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2r+2} H \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[U_{2r+2}(R^{2r})] \to H \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r+1}(R)] \tag{6.9}$$

by

$$\gamma_i(h \otimes u) = \sum_{1 \leqslant j \neq i \leqslant 2r+2} (-1)^{j+1} \langle \delta_{ij} \det{}^{-1} u^\wedge_{ij} \rangle \cdot h \otimes \Gamma(d_j u) \tag{6.10}$$

for $u \in U_{2r+2}(R^{2r})$ and $h \in H$, generalizing (6.2). Recall from Lemma 5.8 that the relative homology groups $\widetilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R)) = H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1}(R), \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R))$ are quasi-linear $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-modules.

LEMMA 6.4. *Let R be a local ring with infinite residue field, and let $r \geqslant 0$ be an integer. Then for all quasi-linear $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-modules H, the map (6.9) is surjective. In particular, the map of complexes (6.5) is surjective in homology.*

Proof. We may write $h[B]$ in place of $h \otimes B$ for $h \in H$ and $B \in \mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r+1}(R)$. Denote by $N = \mathrm{coker}(\gamma)$ the cokernel of γ. We have to show that $N = 0$. As a cokernel of a $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$-linear map of quasi-linear modules, N is also quasi-linear. In N, the expressions on the right-hand side of (6.10) are zero. For $h \in H$ and $u \in U_{2r+2}(R^{2r})$, the system of equations, expressing the right-hand side of (6.10) as zero, can be written in matrix form as $M(u) \cdot X(u) = 0$ where

$$M(u) = (\langle \delta_{ij} \det{}^{-1} u^\wedge_{i,j} \rangle)$$

is the $(2r+2) \times (2r+2)$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$ which has 0s on the diagonal and $\langle \delta_{ij} \det{}^{-1} u^\wedge_{i,j} \rangle$ at the (i,j) spot, and $X(u) = ((-1)^{j+1} h\ [\Gamma(u^\wedge_j)])$ is the column vector which has $(-1)^{j+1} h\ [\Gamma(u^\wedge_j)]$ at its jth entry. Multiplying the matrix equation with the adjoint of $M(u)$ yields the equations $(\det M(u))\ h\ [\Gamma(u^\wedge_j)] = 0 \in N$ for $j = 1, \ldots, 2r+2$. In particular, for $j = 2r+2$, we obtain the following result.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

– For all $h \in H$, $B \in \mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r+1}(R)$, $U \in U_{2r+1}(R^{2r})$, $x \in R^{2r}$ such that $\Gamma(U) = B$ and $(U, x) \in U_{2r+2}(R^{2r})$, the following equation holds in N:

$$(\det M(U,x)) \cdot h \cdot [B] = 0 \in N. \tag{6.11}$$

It turns out that equations (6.11) force N to be zero. More precisely, the following Lemma 6.5 shows that $h[B] = 0 \in N$ for all $h \in H$ and $B \in \mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r+1}(R)$, that is, the map γ in Lemma 6.4 is surjective. □

LEMMA 6.5. *For all $B \in \mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r+1}(R)$ and $h \in H$, the radical of the annihilator ideal*

$$\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}_N(h[B])} \subset \mathbb{Z}_0[R]$$

of $h[B] \in N = \mathrm{coker}(\gamma)$ is the unit ideal.

Proof. Denote by F the residue field of R, and, for an integer $s \geqslant 1$, denote by $\bar{x} \in F^s$ the reduction modulo the maximal ideal of the element $x \in R^s$.

For $B \in \mathrm{Skew}^+_{2r+1}(R)$, choose a normal form $U = (u_1, \dots, u_{2r+1}) \in U_{2r+1}(R^{2r})$ of B, that is, $\Gamma(U) = B$, $(u_1, \dots, u_{2r})$ is upper triangular, $(u_{2i-1})_{2i-1} = 1$ and $(u_{2i})_{2i-1} = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$; see Lemma 2.3. For $\ell = 1, \dots, r$, the matrix $U(\ell)$ obtained from U by deleting the first $2r - 2\ell$ rows and columns is in $U_{2\ell+1}(R^{2\ell})$. Indeed, the sequence $U(\ell)$ is unimodular in $R^{2\ell}$ because $(u_1, \dots, u_{2r})$ is upper triangular and $(u_1, \dots, u_{2r}, u_{2r+1})$ is unimodular. It is non-degenerate as for $I \subset \{2r - 2\ell + 1, \dots, 2r + 1\}$ of even cardinality, the sequence $U(\ell)_{I-2r+2\ell}$ generates the orthogonal complement of $u_1, \dots, u_{2\ell}$ in the non-degenerate space generated by $(u_1, \dots, u_{2\ell}, U_I)$ and is thus non-degenerate.

We will show by descending induction on $\ell = 1, \dots, r$ that

$$\det M(U(\ell), x) \in \sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(h[B])} \tag{6.12}$$

for all $x \in R^{2\ell}$ such that $(U(\ell), x) \in U_{2\ell+2}(R^{2\ell})$.

The case $\ell = r$ is (6.11). Let $\ell \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$ and assume (6.12) holds for $\ell + 1$ in place of ℓ. We want to show that (6.12) holds for ℓ. Fix $x \in R^{2\ell}$ such that $(U(\ell), x) \in U_{2\ell+2}(R^{2\ell})$. For $\xi = (s, t, x) \in R \times R \times R^{2\ell}$, the matrix

$$(U(\ell+1), \xi) = \left(\begin{array}{cc|ccc|c} 1 & 0 & \cdots & * & \cdots & s \\ 0 & \alpha & \cdots & * & \cdots & t \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & & x_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & U(\ell) & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & & & & x_{2\ell} \end{array}\right)$$

is in $U_{2\ell+4}(R^{2\ell+2})$ if and only if for all $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 2\ell + 3$, the square matrix

$$(U(\ell+1)^{\wedge}_{ij}, \xi)$$

is invertible, and for all $I \subset \{1, \dots, 2\ell + 3\}$ of odd cardinality less than $2\ell + 2$, the subspace spanned by $(U(\ell+1)_I, \xi)$ is non-degenerate. This happens if and only if $\bar{s}, \bar{t} \in F$ is not a solution to any of the equations in F,

$$L_{ij}(s,t) := \det(U(\ell+1)^{\wedge}_{ij}, \xi) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Pf}(\Gamma(U(\ell+1)_I, \xi)) = 0, \tag{6.13}$$

where $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 2\ell + 3$ and $I \subset \{1, \dots, 2\ell + 3\}$ is of odd cardinality less than $2\ell + 2$. Here, $\mathrm{Pf}(A)$ denotes the Pfaffian of a skew-symmetric matrix A. The equations in (6.13) are linear and homogeneous in ξ, hence, linear (possibly inhomogeneous) in $(s, t) \in R^2$.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

We check that every equation in (6.13) is non-trivial in (s,t), that is, that for each equation in (6.13), there is $(s,t)\in R^2$ for which the left-hand side of that equation does not vanish in F. We start by investigating the Pfaffian equations. Let $I\subset\{1,\dots,2\ell+3\}$ be a subset of odd cardinality less than $2\ell+2$. By abuse of notation we will label the columns of $U(\ell)$ by $(U_3(\ell),\dots,U_{2r+3}(\ell))$ so that $U(\ell)_J$ is obtained from $U(\ell+1)_J$ by deleting the first two rows provided $J\subset\{3,4,\dots,2\ell+3\}$. If $1,2\in I$, then the subspace spanned by $(U(\ell+1)_I,\xi)$ is non-degenerate as it equals the subspace generated by

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0\\ \hline 0 & 0 & U(\ell)_{I-\{1,2\}} & x \end{array}\right)$$

which is non-degenerate since $(U(\ell),x)\in U_{2\ell+2}(R^{2\ell})$. Hence, $\operatorname{Pf}(U(\ell+1)_I,\xi)$ is a unit in R for all $s,t\in R$. If $1\in I$ but $2\notin I$ then the subspace spanned by $(U(\ell+1)_I,\xi)$ equals the subspace spanned by

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & 0 & 0\\ \hline 0 & t & y\\ \hline 0 & x & U(\ell)_{I-\{1\}} \end{array}\right)$$

which has Gram matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 0 & t & y\\ \hline -t & 0 & \langle x,U(\ell)_{I-\{1\}}\rangle\\ \hline -{}^t y & -{}^t\langle x,U(\ell)_{I-\{1\}}\rangle & \Gamma(U(\ell)_{I-\{1\}}) \end{array}\right)$$

with Pfaffian $t\operatorname{Pf}(\Gamma(U(\ell)_{I-\{1\}}))+c$ where c does not depend on t. Since $\operatorname{Pf}(\Gamma(U(\ell)_{I-\{1\}}))\neq 0\in F$, for all $s\in R$ there is a $t\in R$ such that $\operatorname{Pf}(U(\ell+1)_I,\xi)$ is a unit in R. If $2\in I$ but $1\notin I$ then the subspace spanned by $(U(\ell+1)_I,\xi)$ equals the subspace spanned by

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 0 & s & y\\ \hline \alpha & 0 & 0\\ \hline 0 & x & U(\ell)_{I-\{2\}} \end{array}\right)$$

since $\alpha\in R^*$. This has Gram matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 0 & -\alpha s & -\alpha y\\ \hline \alpha s & 0 & \langle x,U(\ell)_{I-\{2\}}\rangle\\ \hline \alpha\,{}^t y & -{}^t\langle x,U(\ell)_{I-\{2\}}\rangle & \Gamma(U(\ell)_{I-\{2\}}) \end{array}\right)$$

with Pfaffian $-\alpha s\operatorname{Pf}(\Gamma(U(\ell)_{I-\{2\}}))+c$ where c does not depend on s. Since $\alpha\operatorname{Pf}(\Gamma(U(\ell)_{I-\{2\}}))\neq 0\in F$, for all t there is s such that $\operatorname{Pf}(U(\ell+1)_I,\xi)$ is a unit in R. If $1,2\notin I$, assume first that $|I|\neq 2\ell+1$, hence $1\leqslant|I|\leqslant 2\ell-1$. Let $J\subset I$ be the subset obtained

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

from I by deleting its maximal element. Then

$$(U(\ell+1)_I, \xi) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} v & a & s \\ w & b & t \\ \hline U(\ell)_J & y & x \end{array}\right). \tag{6.14}$$

We need to find $s, t \in R$ such that the Pfaffian of (6.14) is a unit in R, that is, such that the columns of (6.14) span a non-degenerate subspace of $R^{2\ell+2}$. Since $U(\ell)_J$ spans a non-degenerate subspace of $R^{2\ell}$, there are unique $a_j, b_j \in R$, $j \in J$, such that

$$\langle U_i(\ell), x\rangle = \sum_{j\in J} a_j \langle U_i(\ell), U_j(\ell)\rangle, \quad \langle U_i(\ell), y\rangle = \sum_{j\in J} b_j \langle U_i(\ell), U_j(\ell)\rangle$$

for all $i \in J$. Set

$$x_0 = \sum_{j\in J} a_j U_j(\ell), \quad s_0 = \sum_{j\in J} a_j v_j, \quad t_0 = \sum_{j\in J} a_j w_j,$$

$$y_0 = \sum_{j\in J} b_j U_j(\ell), \quad a_0 = \sum_{j\in J} b_j v_j, \quad b_0 = \sum_{j\in J} b_j w_j.$$

Then the columns of (6.14) and those of

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} v & a-a_0 & s-s_0 \\ w & b-b_0 & t-t_0 \\ \hline U(\ell)_J & y-y_0 & x-x_0 \end{array}\right) \tag{6.15}$$

span the same subspace of $R^{2\ell+2}$. Moreover, $y-y_0, x-x_0$ is a basis of the orthogonal complement of $U(\ell)_J$ inside the non-degenerate subspace of $R^{2\ell}$ generated by $(U(\ell)_J, y, x) = (U(\ell)_I, x)$. In particular, $c := \langle y-y_0, x-x_0\rangle \in R^*$. For $(s,t) = (s_0, t_0)$, the Gram-matrix of (6.15) is

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} \Gamma(U(\ell+1)_J) & * & 0 \\ \hline * & 0 & c \\ \hline 0 & -c & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

which has Pfaffian $c\,\mathrm{Pf}(U(\ell+1)_J) \in R^*$. In particular, the Pfaffian of (6.14) is a unit for $(s,t) = (s_0, t_0)$. If $|I| = 2\ell+1$ (and $1, 2 \notin I$) then the space generated by $(U(\ell+1)_I, \xi)$ is non-degenerate if and only if the determinant $L_{12}(s,t)$ of $(U(\ell+1)_I, \xi)$ is a unit. This is a special case of the linear equations $L_{ij}(s,t)$, $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 2\ell+3$, which we now investigate. We have

$$L_{12}(s,t) = \det(U(\ell+1), \xi)^{\wedge}_{12} = as + bt + c$$

for some $c \in R$ where $a = -\det(A)$, $b = \det B$, A is obtained from $U(\ell+1)^{\wedge}_{12}$ by deleting the first row, and B is obtained from $U(\ell+1)^{\wedge}_{12}$ by deleting the second row. The matrices A and

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

B are invertible because $U(\ell+1) \in U_{2\ell+3}(R^{2\ell+2})$, $U_1(\ell+1) = e_1$ and $U_2(\ell+1) = \alpha e_2$, $\alpha \in R^*$. In particular, a and b are units, and there is $(s,t) \in R^2$ such that $L_{12}(s,t) \in R^*$. For $i = 1, 2$ and $3 \leqslant j \leqslant 2\ell+3$, we have

$$L_{1j}(s,t) = a_{1j}s + c_{1j} \quad \text{and} \quad L_{2j}(s,t) = b_{2j}t + c_{2i},$$

where $a_{1j} = -\alpha \det U(\ell)^\wedge_j$ and $b_{2j} = \det U(\ell)^\wedge_j$ are units in R, and $c_{1j}, c_{2j} \in R$. In particular, for $i = 1, 2$ and $3 \leqslant j \leqslant 2\ell+3$, there is $(s,t) \in R^2$ such that $L_{ij}(s,t) \in R^*$. For $3 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 2r+1$,

$$L_{ij}(s,t) = \alpha L_{i,j}(U(\ell), x)$$

does not depend on $s, t \in R$ and is a unit since $(U(\ell), x) \in U_{2\ell+2}(R^{2\ell})$. Summarizing, for every equation in (6.13), there is $(s,t) \in R^2$ for which the left-hand side of that equation does not vanish in F.

From the computation of $L_{ij}(s,t)$ above, the matrix $M(U(\ell+1), \xi)$ is

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|ccc}
0 & \langle as+bt+c\rangle^{-1} & \cdots & \langle\delta_{1j}\rangle\langle a_{1j}s+c_{1j}\rangle^{-1}\cdots & \langle c_1\rangle^{-1} \\
\langle as+bt+c\rangle^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & \langle\delta_{2j}\rangle\langle b_{2j}t+c_{2j}\rangle^{-1}\cdots & \langle -c_2\rangle^{-1} \\
\hline
\vdots & \vdots & & & \\
\langle\delta_{i1}\rangle\langle a_{1i}s+c_{1i}\rangle^{-1} & \langle\delta_{i2}\rangle\langle b_{2i}t+c_{2i}\rangle^{-1} & & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \langle\alpha\rangle^{-1}\cdot M(U(\ell),x) & \\
\langle c_1\rangle^{-1} & \langle c_2\rangle^{-1} & & &
\end{array}\right).$$

By assumption, it has determinant $g(s,t) = \det M(U(\ell+1), \xi)$ in $\sqrt{\operatorname{Ann}(h[B])}$ for all $(\bar{s}, \bar{t}) \in F^2 - S$ where S is a finite union of affine subspaces of dimension no greater than 1 defined by the equations (6.13). For $\gamma \in R^*$, consider the equation $\gamma = as + bt + c$ and note that for all but finitely many $\bar{\gamma} \in F^*$ the hyperplane $\bar{\gamma} = \bar{a}\bar{s} + \bar{b}\bar{t} + \bar{c}$ in F^2 is not entirely in S. Then $s = a^{-1}(\gamma - c - bt)$ and $M(U(\ell+1), \xi)$ becomes

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|ccc}
0 & \langle\gamma\rangle^{-1} & \cdots & \langle\delta_{1j}\rangle\langle\tilde{a}_{1j}t+\tilde{c}_{1j}\rangle^{-1}\cdots & \langle c_1\rangle^{-1} \\
\langle\gamma\rangle^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & \langle\delta_{2j}\rangle\langle b_{2j}t+c_{2j}\rangle^{-1}\cdots & \langle -c_2\rangle^{-1} \\
\hline
\vdots & \vdots & & & \\
\langle\delta_{i1}\rangle\langle\tilde{a}_{1i}t+\tilde{c}_{1i}\rangle^{-1} & \langle\delta_{i2}\rangle\langle b_{2i}t+c_{2i}\rangle^{-1} & & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \langle\alpha\rangle^{-1}\cdot M(U(\ell),x) & \\
\langle c_1\rangle^{-1} & \langle c_2\rangle^{-1} & & &
\end{array}\right)$$

where $\tilde{a}_{1j} = -a_{1j}b/a$ and $\tilde{c}_{1j} = c_{1j} + a_{1j}(\gamma - c)/a$. Its determinant $f(t,\gamma) = g(a^{-1}(\gamma - c - bt), t)$ is in $\sqrt{\operatorname{Ann}(z[B])}$ for all $\bar{t} \in F - S'$ for a finite set $S' \subset F$ (for fixed γ). Since the coefficients $\tilde{a}_{1j}$ and b_{2j} of t are units in R, we can apply Theorem 4.9 and find that $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t,\gamma) \in \sqrt{\operatorname{Ann}(h[B])}$

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where

$$f(\gamma)=\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t,\gamma)=\det\left(\begin{array}{cc|ccc} 0 & \langle\gamma\rangle^{-1} & 0\cdots & 0\cdots 0 & \langle c_1\rangle^{-1} \\ \langle\gamma\rangle^{-1} & 0 & 0\cdots & 0\cdots 0 & \langle -c_2\rangle^{-1} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \langle\alpha\rangle^{-1}\cdot M(U(\ell),x) & \\ 0 & 0 & & & \\ \langle c_1\rangle^{-1} & \langle c_2\rangle^{-1} & & & \end{array}\right)$$

for all but finitely many $\bar{\gamma}\in F$. Then

$$\langle\gamma\rangle^2 f(\gamma)=\det\left(\begin{array}{cc|ccc} 0 & 1 & 0\cdots & 0\cdots 0 & \langle c_1\rangle^{-1}\langle\gamma\rangle \\ 1 & 0 & 0\cdots & 0\cdots 0 & \langle -c_2\rangle^{-1}\langle\gamma\rangle \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \langle\alpha\rangle^{-1}\cdot M(U(\ell),x) & \\ 0 & 0 & & & \\ \langle c_1\rangle^{-1} & \langle c_1\rangle^{-1} & & & \end{array}\right)$$

is in $\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(h[B])}$ for all but finitely many $\bar{\gamma}\in F$. By Theorem 4.9, the element

$$\lim_{\gamma\to 0}\langle\gamma\rangle^2 f(\gamma)=\det\left(\begin{array}{cc|ccc} 0 & 1 & 0\cdots & 0\cdots 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0\cdots & 0\cdots 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \langle\alpha\rangle^{-1}\cdot M(U(\ell),x) & \\ 0 & 0 & & & \\ \langle c_1\rangle^{-1} & \langle c_1\rangle^{-1} & & & \end{array}\right)$$

is also in $\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(h[B])}$. Hence, we have $-\langle\alpha\rangle^{-2\ell}\det M(U(\ell),x)\in\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(z[B])}$ which implies $\det M(U(\ell),x)\in\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(h[B])}$ since $-\langle\alpha\rangle^{-2\ell}$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$. This finishes the proof of (6.12) for $\ell=1,\dots,r$. In particular, it holds for $\ell=1$.

Finally, we investigate what (6.12) means for $\ell=1$. The given matrix

$$U(1)=\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & b\\ 0 & a & c\end{pmatrix}$$

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

has $a, b, c \in R^*$ since it is in $U_3(R^2)$. For $x = (s,t) \in R^2$, the matrix

$$(U(1), x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & b & s \\ 0 & a & c & t \end{pmatrix}$$

is in $U_4(R^2)$ if and only if $s, t, bt - cs \in R^*$. Then $M(U(1), x) = (\langle \delta_{ij} \det^{-1}(U(1), x)^{\wedge}_{ij} \rangle)$ has determinant

$$f(s,t) = \det M(U(1), x) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \langle bt-cs \rangle^{-1} & \langle -as \rangle^{-1} & \langle -ab \rangle^{-1} \\ \langle bt-cs \rangle^{-1} & 0 & \langle -t \rangle^{-1} & \langle -c \rangle^{-1} \\ \langle as \rangle^{-1} & \langle -t \rangle^{-1} & 0 & \langle a \rangle^{-1} \\ \langle -ab \rangle^{-1} & \langle c \rangle^{-1} & \langle a \rangle^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in $\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(h[B])}$ for all $s, t, \in R^*$ such that $bt - cs \in R^*$. Setting $s = 1$, every $t \in R$ such that $\bar{t} \neq 0, \bar{c}/\bar{b} \in F$ has

$$f(1,t) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \langle bt-c \rangle^{-1} & \langle -a \rangle^{-1} & \langle -ab \rangle^{-1} \\ \langle bt-c \rangle^{-1} & 0 & \langle -t \rangle^{-1} & \langle -c \rangle^{-1} \\ \langle a \rangle^{-1} & \langle -t \rangle^{-1} & 0 & \langle a \rangle^{-1} \\ \langle -ab \rangle^{-1} & \langle c \rangle^{-1} & \langle a \rangle^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in $\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(h[B])}$. Since the coefficients b and -1 of t are units in R, we can apply Theorem 4.9 and find that the element

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} f(1,t) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \langle -a \rangle^{-1} & \langle -ab \rangle^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \langle -c \rangle^{-1} \\ \langle a \rangle^{-1} & 0 & 0 & \langle a \rangle^{-1} \\ \langle -ab \rangle^{-1} & \langle c \rangle^{-1} & \langle a \rangle^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \langle ac \rangle^{-2}$$

is in $\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(h[B])}$. Since $\langle ac \rangle^{-2}$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_0[R]$, the ideal $\sqrt{\mathrm{Ann}(h[B])}$ is the unit ideal. □

7. Homology stability

In this section we prove the results announced in the Introduction. The following result proves Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 7.1. *Let R be a commutative local ring with infinite residue field and $n \geqslant 0$ an integer. Then in the sequence*

$$H_{2n}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n} R) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{2n}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1} R) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{2n}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2} R) \xrightarrow{\cong} \cdots$$

of integral homology groups, all maps are isomorphisms, and in the sequence

$$H_{2n+1}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+1} R) \twoheadrightarrow H_{2n+1}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2} R) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{2n+1}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+3} R) \xrightarrow{\cong} \cdots .$$

of integral homology groups, the first map is a surjection and all other maps are isomorphisms. Moreover, inclusion of groups induces a surjection

$$H_{2n+1}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)) \twoheadrightarrow H_{2n+1}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}(R)).$$

In particular, $H_i(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R), \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2}(R)) = 0$ for all $i < 2n$.

Proof. The case $n = 0$ is clear, so assume $n \geqslant 1$. The spectral sequence (3.1) degenerates at the E^2 page (Proposition 6.1). By Lemma 3.1, we have $E^2_{p,q}(R^{2n}) = 0$ for $p + q < 2n$. Moreover, $0 = d : \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_2(R)] \to \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Skew}^+_1(R)]$ forcing $d^1_{p,2} = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ (Lemma 3.5). Therefore, $d^1_{p,1} : E^1_{p,1}(R^{2n}) \to E^1_{p,0}(R^{2n})$ is an isomorphism for $p \leqslant 2n-2$ and a surjection for $p = 2n-1$. Hence,

$$H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2}) \oplus \widetilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-1}) = H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-1}) \longrightarrow H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$$

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

is an isomorphism for $p \leqslant 2n-2$ and a surjection for $p = 2n-1$. By Lemma 5.8, the map is zero on the second summand. In particular,

$$\widetilde{H}_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for } p \leqslant 2n-2$$

and

$$H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}) \quad \text{for } p \leqslant 2n-2.$$

This proves the first string of isomorphisms and the second string of a surjection followed by isomorphisms in the theorem. Using Lemma 5.8, the surjectivity of $H_{2n-1}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-1}) \longrightarrow H_{2n-1}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$ implies the surjectivity of $H_{2n-1}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2}) \longrightarrow H_{2n-1}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$. □

Let $K_*^{MW}(R)$ be the Milnor–Witt K-theory ring of R [Mor12, Definition 3.1], [Sch17a, Definition 4.10]. The following result proves Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 7.2. *Let R be a local ring with infinite residue field and $n \geqslant 1$ an integer. Then the inclusions of groups $\mathrm{Sp}_{2r} \subset SL_{2r} \subset SL_{2r+1}$ induce a surjection*

$$H_{2n}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R), \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2}(R)) \twoheadrightarrow H_{2n}(SL_{2n}(R), SL_{2n-1}(R)) = K_{2n}^{MW}(R).$$

Proof. Consider the string of maps

$$H_2(\mathrm{Sp}_2(R))^{\otimes n} \to H_{2n}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)) \to H_{2n}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R), \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2}(R)) \to H_{2n}(SL_{2n}(R), SL_{2n-1}(R))$$

in which the first map is induced by the block sum of matrices. By [Sch17a, Theorem 5.37 and proof], the composition is the surjective multiplication map

$$K_2^{MW}(R)^{\otimes n} \twoheadrightarrow K_{2n}^{MW}(R).$$

It follows that the last map in the composition is surjective. □

Remark 7.3. Let k be an infinite perfect field of characteristic not equal to 2 which is finitely generated over its prime field. Then neither of the surjective maps

$$H_3(\mathrm{Sp}_2(k)) \twoheadrightarrow H_3(\mathrm{Sp}_4(k)) \quad \text{and} \quad H_4(\mathrm{Sp}_4(k), \mathrm{Sp}_2(k)) \twoheadrightarrow K_4^{MW}(k) \tag{7.1}$$

is injective. For the first map, this is [SS25, Remark 8.4] and follows from [HW15, Theorem 7.4]. If the second map were an isomorphism, then the map

$$H_4(\mathrm{Sp}_4(k)) \to H_4(\mathrm{Sp}_4(k), \mathrm{Sp}_2(k))$$

would be surjective (see the proof of Theorem 7.2), and the long homology exact sequence for the pair $(\mathrm{Sp}_4(k), \mathrm{Sp}_2(k))$ would force the first map in (7.1) to be injective.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the referee for their suggestions and careful reading of the paper.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Journal information

Compositio Mathematica is owned by the Foundation Compositio Mathematica and published by the London Mathematical Society in partnership with Cambridge University Press. All surplus income from the publication of *Compositio Mathematica* is returned to mathematics and higher

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press

education through the charitable activities of the Foundation, the London Mathematical Society and Cambridge University Press.

References

Bro94 K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 87 (Springer, New York, 1994), corrected reprint of the 1982 original.

Ess13 J. Essert, *Homological stability for classical groups*, Israel J. Math. **198** (2013), 169–204.

HW15 K. Hutchinson and M. Wendt, *On the third homology of SL_2 and weak homotopy invariance*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), 7481–7513.

Mat69 H. Matsumoto, *Sur les sous-groupes arithmétiques des groupes semi-simples déployés*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **2** (1969), 1–62.

Mir05 B. Mirzaii, *Homology stability for unitary groups. II*, K-Theory **36** (2005), 305–326.

Mor12 F. Morel, *$\mathbb{A}^1$-algebraic topology over a field*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2052 (Springer, Berlin, 2012).

NS89 Y. P. Nesterenko and A. A. Suslin, *Homology of the general linear group over a local ring, and Milnor's K-theory*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **53** (1989), 121–146.

SS25 H. P. Sarwar and M. Schlichting, *The third homology of symplectic groups and algebraic K-theory*, Amer. J. Math. **147** (2025), 819–867.

Sch17a M. Schlichting, *Euler class groups and the homology of elementary and special linear groups*, Adv. Math. **320** (2017), 1–81.

Sch17b M. Schlichting, *Hermitian K-theory, derived equivalences and Karoubi's fundamental theorem*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **221** (2017), 1729–1844.

SW20 D. Sprehn and N. Wahl, *Homological stability for classical groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **373** (2020), 4807–4861.

vdK77 W. van der Kallen, *The K_2 of rings with many units*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **10** (1977), 473–515.

Marco Schlichting m.schlichting@warwick.ac.uk
Mathematics Institute, Zeeman Building, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X25102856 Published online by Cambridge University Press