INDEX

A Thousand Plateaus, 346
actionable insight
  consumer-facing digital services, 154
  digital capitalism, 155
  digital platforms, 154
  surveillance capitalism, 154
active appropriation strategies, 247
actor-network theory, 11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 21, 22, 208
administrative law, xv, 39, 79, 83, 85, 91, 96, 99, 106, 130, 132, 144, 165, 171
agential realism, 1, 16, 17, 20
algorithmic decision-making (ADM) systems, 142
algorithmic environmentality
  artificial intelligence, 5
  auto-limitlessness, 15–18
  big data, 269
  classical actor-network theory, 7
  climate change, 268, 277
  climate mitigation and adaptation measures, 267
  commodity-based economic relations, 279
  connectivity, 11–15
  constitutive relationality, 3
  cybernetic/neocybernetic thinking, 3, 4, 5
  data and digital infrastructures, 277, 279
  data and monitoring infrastructures, 278
  data driven-infrastructures, 267
  datasets, 292
  descriptive statements, 23
  digital infrastructure, 268
  digital mediation, 2
  earth system governance, 268
  economic logics, 269
  entropy, 18–21
  epistemic injustice and resistance, 290–292
  ethnographic analogy, 22
  Foucault's analyses, 6
  global environmental problems into global data problems, 289–290
  governmentality, 276
  humans and nonhumans, 6
  inferential reasoning, 22
  international law and global environmental governance, 270
  intricate and intertwined events, 7
  lack of engagement, 294
  large tech corporations, 268
  legal knowledge and action, 269
  logic and rationality, 292
  neocybernetic theory, 23
  neologism, 277
  neurocognitive neural networks, 23
  nonconscious thinking, 22
  political economy, 281–285
  politics and agency, 276
  public and private institutions, 268
  reliance, 270
  sense of ecosystems, 277
  sensor systems, 278
  social and legal agency, 278
  socio-material and socio-technical infrastructures, 270
  spatio-temporalities, 269
  surveillance capabilities, 278
  sympoiesis/nonhuman agency, 23

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 05 Nov 2026 at 10:40:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009425308.019

algorithmic governance, 177, 193, 194, 196, 198
  accountability, 196
  analysis, 198
  application, 199
  constitutive effects, 190, 194
  degree of autonomy, 196
  infrastructural routes, 49
  logics, 198
  mainstream international law literature, 84
  politics, 199
  technical practice, 193
algorithmic power
  AI-driven systems, 364
  algorithmic-based bordering, 367
  and biometric technologies, 368
  binary oppositions, 373
  biometric systems, 364
  biometrics technologies, 368
  carceral economies, 370
  critical AI theories and surveillance paradigm, 365–367
  criti-hypes, 362
  data extraction, 365
  digital environment, 368
  facial recognition technology, 372
  heterogeneity of resistance, 373
  oppression, 367
  panopticon, 370, 371, 372
  political feature, 362
  power relations, 369
  productive subject-making dimension, 364
  racialised digital subjectivity, 363
  refugee crisis, 361
  repressive hypothesis, 363, 364
  resistance to biometrics, 368
  self-harm, 373
  surveillance systems, 362
algorithmic processes vs. political effects, 347
algorithmic recommender systems, 8
algorithmic regulation, 27, 43, 295
algorithmic rules
  "color-blind" approach, 400
  big data analytics, 404
  digital borders, 402
  digital/virtual borders, 405
  diplomatic corps, 400
  emerging digital technologies, 399, 401
  global corporate predation, 401
  human rights framework, 399
  international human rights, 398, 400
  non-discrimination rights, 399
  non-Western migrant, 403
  political economy, 405
  politics of proxies, 403
  private corporations, 405
  racial discrimination, 402
  racial equality, 401
  racial injustices, 404
  role of equality, 399
  social media platforms, 401
  tech and human rights, 399
  transdisciplinary exchange, 406
algorithmic surveillance, 393, 394
algorithmic systems
  bias and discrimination debates, 375
  biometric systems, 374, 388
  data-based systems, 387
  databases, 374
  EU's border, 374–378
  EURODAC and dodging statistics, 379–382
  identity management, 374
  Streaming Tool, 382–387
  VIS, errors and biometric mismatches, 378–379
algorithmic tools, 27, 80, 82, 98, 100, 101, 387, 397
algorithmic violence, 39, 46, 47, 48, 80, 93, 100, 101, 102, 349
  decision-making, 396
  digital technology, 390
  fixation, 395–396
  platform power, 390
  racialized and marginalized communities, 397
  tools and governance, 397
  treatment of migrants, 396
  truth, 391–393
  visibility, 393–395
algorithmic-based systems, 388
Alibaba Group, 60, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 05 Nov 2026 at 10:40:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009425308.019

AliExpress, 66, 70
Amazon, 66
Amazon Marketplace, 68
Amazon warehouse, 349
Amazon Web Services, 68, 243
anarchic governance
  complicit engagements, 296, 301–302
  data ecologies and jurisdictions, 295
  data infrastructures, 295
  data supply chains, 295
  fuzzy forms, 299–301
  hierarchical implications, 296, 298–299
  normativities, 296–298
anarcho-capitalism, 344
anti-discrimination law, 41
Application Programming Interface (API), 244
Argo Data Management, 215, 217, 221, 226
Argo Steering Committee, 206, 226
Argo Steering Team (AST), 218, 221, 222, 229, 231
artificial general intelligence (AGI), 350
artificial intelligence (AI), 25, 150, 175, 347
  and blockchain, 343
  symbolical and logical reasoning, 5
assemblage theory, 1, 15
asylum
  applications, 305
  data extraction, 307, 309
  data making, 309–314
  data wrangling, 309–314
  data-extractive tools, 307
  datafication, 309–314
  digital surveillance, 306, 307, 309
  epistemic violence, 307, 308
  platform power and violence, 309, 322–325
  private and public actors, 306
  seekers, 305, 307
  social media, 305
  state and non-state digital surveillance, 308
asylum seekers
  data making, 319
  data wrangling, 307
  databases, 312
  digital platforms, 326
  digital tools, 305
  digital traces and surveillance, 306
  epistemic violence, 326, 394
  experience, 313
  Iranian, 320
  platform power, 326
  rights, 308, 309
asymmetric interdependence, 37, 301
asymmetry
  legal rights and obligations, 264–265
  operation and control, 261–264
Auditing Black-Box models, 183
Australian Administrative Appeals Tribunal, 133
Australian Department of Immigration and Border Protection, 121
Australian Department of Social Services, 134
Australian Tax Office (ATO), 128
automated decision-making (ADM), 28, 375
automated facial recognition, 367

Benjamin, Walter, 346
BGC-Argo sensor, 213, 220, 221, 226, 230
big data, 104, 112, 271, 355
  analytics, 143
  governance, 198
big data society, 105
binary oppositions, 334, 373
biometric data collection, 46, 102, 392
biometric digital traits, 374
biometric systems, 364, 372, 377
black boxes, 174, 354
blockchain technology, 328, 343, 344
blockchain-based projects, 344

Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA), 87
Canada-based Independent Jewish Voices, 24
cyberneticism, 2–6, 12, 14, 17–18, 20–22, 126, 354
ChatGPT, 172, 330, 331

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 05 Nov 2026 at 10:40:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009425308.019

Chicago Law Review (Rose), 111
child protection paradigm, 170
civil society, 111, 112, 117, 169, 372
climate change, 284, 293
  environmental degradation, 294
  impacts, 232
  infrastructural thinking, 293
  mitigation and adaptation, 269
  ocean ecosystems, 220
  spatially heterogeneous effects, 288
  spatio-temporal inequalities, 285–289
climate policy, 287
Climate Variability and Predictability (CLIVAR) Program, 228
cloud computing, 240, 268, 281, 283
clusters, 178
clusters transforms, 186
Coalition for Critical Technology, 349
collective control, 262, 263
commercial contexts, 44, 142, 145, 170, 171
communities, 231
conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) sensors, 213
constitutive relationality, 3
consumer behaviour, 180
consumption
  business-to-consumer transactions, 66
  data infrastructures, 67, 69
  data-driven strategies, 67
  data-driven transformations, 65
  datafication, 69
  e-commerce services, 65
  financial diversification efforts, 68
  human mobility, 65
  multinational firms, 70
  physical infrastructures, 70
  physical installations and flows, 70
continental philosophy, 104
COVID-19 pandemic, 64, 211, 350
Critical Race Theory, 390
cryptocurrencies, 343
cryptographic techniques, 344
culture of opacity, 174, 175
cybernetic theory, 20
cyberneticism, 6
data assemblages, 1, 30, 31
data assembly centers (DACs), 209, 216–217
data extraction
  and appropriation, 53
  data making, 310
  digital surveillance, 306
  materiality, 319
  privatization, 62
  social media platforms, 326
  vs. surveillance, 48
data extractivism, 107
data inequality, 219–223
data infrastructure
  co-constituting processes, 53
  economic and social activity, 53
data infrastructures
  causality and agency, 206
  climate change and recognition, 204
  collection and processing, 75
  competition- and transparency-related governance, 73
  consumer protection, 72
  contemporary informational capitalism, 58
  processing types, 75
  datastructuring, 57
  digital platform enterprises, 71
  digital platforms, 56, 57
  earth-system/planetary governance, 206, 237
  ecosystem, 74
  electronic digital data, 203
  emergent liberal-democratic global order, 55
  emergent sociotechnical formations, 58
  environmental harms, 279–281
  extraction and appropriation, 53
  familiar to legal scholars, 54
  gatekeepers, 72, 73
  global environmental governance, 270–275
  human activity and exchange, 54
  human and social relations, 55
  institutional governance, 207
  intangible resources, 54
  interoperability requirements, 74

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 05 Nov 2026 at 10:40:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009425308.019

legacy public utility, 76
legacy regulatory regimes, 71
management structure, 55
mapping and mobility services, 74
market access, 71
market-based competition, 76
networked digital technologies, 56
nexus, 57
ocean data, 207
oceanic properties, 237
physical/tangible form, 53, 54, 77
planetary governance, 233–237
planetary science contexts, 238
platform-based, 72
public utility regulation, 76
raw data, 57
scale and transparency, 74
scientific knowledge, 203
"sensing" data, 205
"smart" home systems, 76
socio-technical practices, 205
state agencies and research institutions, 204
technological and sociotechnical configurations, 55
temporal and spatial infrastructural sites, 205
transparency and process, 74
Western legal systems, 71
Data Management Team (DMT), 217
data regulation
inequality, 219–223
infrastructural space, 223–225
infrastructural time, 225–227
public governance, 218
public virtue, 219
quality control and data diversification, 219
data-based systems, 394
data-driven assemblages, 28
data-driven automation, 126
data-driven processes, 53, 70
data-driven supply chains, 35
and data production, 245–247
and informational capitalism, 247
application functionality, 244
applications, 240
cloud computing, 240
cloud markets, 243
data protection and privacy laws, 254
digital technologies, 240, 265
exchange of control, 251–252
governance, 248, 259–261
interdependence, 251–252
labour market, 242
network of interlocking, 243
operation and control, 248–251
patterns of control, 253
platformisation, 242
political economy, 242
providers, 253
social and economic relations, 241, 243, 265
structuring patterns of interdependence, 252–255
technical infrastructure, 243
datafication, 105
agency, 12
Earth systems, 1
emergence of, 18
growth, 18
narratives and apparatuses, 1
process, 274
datastructuring, 34, 57
data assembly centers (DACs), 216–217
data-driven processes, 53, 70
decision-making contexts, 122
decision-making infrastructures, 39
deep learning algorithms, 126, 310, 347, 348, 354, 374, 375, 379
de-individuation, 343–347
depoliticization, 106
digital advertising, 72, 73, 75, 246
digital border encounters
critical assessment, 86
multiple-entry temporary resident visa, 86–89
responsibility and agency, 86
digital border infrastructure
"non-decision" categorisation, 94
administrative law, 79, 99
arms-length semi-public agencies, 106
big data, 104
conventional legal accountability, 99
conventional legal approach, 81

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 05 Nov 2026 at 10:40:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009425308.019

digital border infrastructure (cont.)
conventional legal tools, 95
data exhaust, 107
data extractivism, 107
data-centric tools, 78
data-driven, 105
datafication, 105
data extractivism, 107
decision-making tasks, 98
depoliticization, 106
ecosystem, 106
electronic banking systems, 93
European Union, 78
legal accountability, 98
list-and-algorithm tools, 80
machine learning model, 96
newer anticipatory machine learning tools, 80
personal privacy, 107
physical encounter, 93
private corporations, 107
progressive perspective, 105
public vs. private actors, 99
publics and political communities, 78
risk assessment, 95
social media monitoring resources, 97
social-political theorist, 104
socio-legal-technical, 79
spatio-temporal scale, 96
special-purpose districts, 106
state agencies, 78, 79, 80, 97
tools, 97
vertical legal accountability model, 98
voluntary departure, 94
digital commons, 116
digital data
big data, 115
civil-liberties organizations, 116
datafication, 116
datasets, 115
IP lawyers, 114
law and technology scholarship, 114
open access, 117
physical commons, 117
platforms, 115
smart cities, 116
supply chains, 114
digital ecosystem, 235, 312
Digital Markets Act (DMA), 72
Digital Services Act (DSA), 73
digital surveillance
anti-government activities, 319
data extraction, 315, 318, 319, 325, 327
epistemic violence, 318
Iranian government, 316
social media platforms, 316, 317, 318, 321, 326
state and social media platforms, 314
targeted, 316
Tribunal, 315
UTIAC, 321
Digital Tech Titans, 151
digital world, 115, 116, 117
diplomatic corps, 400
dispute resolution, 25
distribution of responsibility, 249
do-it-yourself (DIY), 291
Download Your Information, 319, 320
Dublin Regulation, 370, 377, 379

earth observation (EO), 236, 283
Earth System Grid Federation, 273
earth systems law, 207
earth-systems approaches, 235
e-commerce, 65, 67
electrical mathematics, 4, 19
emerging digital technologies, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 405, 406
entropy, 18–21
environmental harms, 267, 270, 279–281, 293, 366
environmental sensor networks (ESNs), 272
epistemic violence, 308, 325
computational abstractions, 349
digital platforms, 307
forms, 307, 308, 309, 314, 318, 325, 326
instantiation, 307
ethical-political risks, 372
EU Artificial Intelligence Act, 140
EU Copernicus, 283

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 05 Nov 2026 at 10:40:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009425308.019

EU General Data Protection Regulation, 130
EURODAC Regulation, 382
European colonialism, 400
European Convention of Human Rights, 130
European Space Agency (ESA), 282
e-waste, 280
exchange of control, 249, 259, 296
exclusive economic zone (EEZ), 225
expository data, 311, 313, 316, 317, 319, 321, 325

Facebook, 316
  and Instagram, 26
  anti-Government, 319
  asylum and immigration appeals, 305
  Ireland, 316
  logic of, 9, 10
  posts, 319, 320
  settings, 317
  surveillance capitalism, 308
  transforms, 324
facial recognition technology, 372
fascism
  "difference of force", 332
  "force as différance", 332
  "reality principle", 337
  arguments, 340
  complicity of technologies, 334
  deconstruction, 334
  deepfakes, 341
  emerging tech-informed governance, 338
  epistemic architecture, 340
  fascistic desire, 334
  global warming, 340
  humanity, 333
  kind of beginning, 338
  legal and ethical concerns, 340
  lethal discourses, 334
  level of recognition, 341
  material-discursive inscription, 334
  molecular/micropolitical power, 336
  moments of proximity, 339
  multi-scalar complicity functions, 339
  myth of exteriority, 339
  non-fascist modes, 337
  Penal Code, 335
  sense-making, 334, 339
  the metaphysics of individualism, 342
feedback loop, 383
First-tier Tribunal (FtT), 306
Force of Law, 332, 333
Foucault, Michel, 369

General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), 75, 131, 301
geolocational tracking system, 25
geospatial visualizations, 286
Global Affairs Canada, 87
Global Argo Data Repository (GADR), 217
Global Case Management System (GCMS), 87, 88, 89
Global Climate Action Platform, 273
Global Data Assembly Centers (GDACs), 216, 217
Global Ocean Data Assimilation Experiment (GODAE), 228
Global Ocean Hydrographic Investigations Program (GO-SHIP), 222
Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS), 218, 233
Global Positioning System (GPS), 214, 215
Global Telecommunications System (GTS), 227
Golden Rule, 121
Google Earth Engine, 282
Google's Carbon-Intelligent Computing System, 19
growth-oriented production model, 280

Hamas, 25
horizontal accountability theory, 103
human agency, 28, 40, 43, 85, 126, 129, 162, 276
human cognition, 126, 129, 130, 195
human in the loop, 40, 193
  (in)efficacy, 127

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 05 Nov 2026 at 10:40:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009425308.019

human in the loop (cont.)
"human centric" approach, 124
administrative contexts, 122
automated decision-systems, 127
automated systems and software, 123
data-driven and algorithmic systems, 124
decision quality, 124
decision-making agencies, 127
decision-making contexts, 122
effects of automation, 122
ethical requirement and regulatory prescription, 123
legal commands, 123
material stakes, 127
human legality, 126
Human Rights Watch (HRW), 26
human vs. machine
automated decision-making, 128
cognition and agency, 130
cognitive process, 129
decision-maker, 129
fairness and administrative certainty, 129
legal decision, 129
legal rights/entitlements, 132
mental process, 128
models, 132
moral agency, 128
political decisions, 131
political stakes, 128
risk ranking, 131
human-centric approaches, 125, 126
human-machine boundaries, 38
human-machinic agency, 26
hyperspectral radiometric sensors, 221

illegal migrants, 380
Immigration Refugees and Citizenship Canada (IRCC), 86, 87, 88
Implementation Plan, 234
incitement to genocide, 24
Indian Civil Service, 376
Individual Agency Defendants, 138
individual–institutional
abuse of public authority, 145
agency officials, 137, 138
class-action settlement, 135
configuration, 139–141
constitutional principles, 144
constitutional rights, 138
data matching, 133
decisions, 133
decision-maker, 133
decision-making process, 135
defendants, 138
early interventions, 144, 145
human mental process, 135
human-computer interaction, 133
litigation, 134, 136
loop requirements, 136
mechanics of regulatory agency function, 136
ML-based scoring, 144
ML-driven prediction models, 144
plaintiffs, 137
social security benefits, 135
social security debt, 134
welfare recovery automation systems, 134
individualised control, 262
information society, 105
informational capitalism, xv, 46, 56, 60, 241, 242, 244, 245, 246, 265
infra-legality, 1
infrastructural publics
atmospheric CO_2 levels, 230
bio-optical sensors, 230
communities, 231
biogeochemical tracers, distribution of, 229
non-human publics, 232
phytoplankton assemblage, 230
scientific communities, 227
self-defined community, 227
sensor technologies, 230
spatial and temporal data generation, 229
submarine warfare, 232
infrastructural space, 223–225
infrastructural time, 225–227
infrastructure
"infra-legalities" approach, 34, 79, 85, 97, 102
administrative law's, 112
agencies, 109

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 05 Nov 2026 at 10:40:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009425308.019

civil society, 112
data, 32
defined, 108
deployment of sexuality, 109
digital data, 108
digital sensing and algorithmic sorting impact, 34
human-machinic relations, 33
information, 32
interdisciplinary field, 32
investments, 110
legacy, 109
legal agency, 34
legal and regulatory practices, 33
legal mechanisms, 112
local governance, 113
machine learning algorithms, 32
neoliberalism, 109
public/social value, 110
relation and power, 33
special-purpose agencies, 113
Infrastructure as a Service, 250
Inspector General, 158
institutional action, 25
Integrated Global Ocean Station System (IGOSS), 233
intellectual property, 54, 252, 257, 263
intelligent warfare, 125
interdependence/collective restructuring, 345
Intergovernmental Oceanic Commission (IOC), 233
Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's Fifth Assessment Report, 220
international humanitarian law, 125
International Oceanographic Data and Information Exchange programme (IODE), 233
Internet of Trees, 273
intersections
forces, 46–49
governmentalities, 43–45
scales, 35–38
socio-technical and infrastructural approach, 35
subjectivities, 38–43
inter-state diplomacy, 25
Iridium satellite system, 214
Israel Defence Forces (IDF), 25

Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants (JCWI), 384
Joint Global Ocean Flux Study (JGOFS), 229

Khaniche, Smail
"non-decision" argument, 92
administrative law terms, 92
Canadian lawyer, 91
CBSA officers, 91
employment and financial status, 90
experience, 89
Federal Court, 92
legal victory, 92
Montreal airport, 90
physical encounter, 91
security screening assessment, 91
vertically oriented mechanisms, 93
voluntary departure form, 90
Kurdistan Free Life Party, 314

labour market, 242
legal accountability, 46, 79, 82, 83, 84, 85, 92, 96, 98, 99, 102
legal agency, 30, 33, 34, 38, 39, 125
legal scholarship, 29, 31, 33, 85, 357
legal singularity, 328
legal subjects/accountability
data-driven infrastructures, 101
decision-making infrastructures, 100
government agencies, 101
horizontal accountability theory, 103
human-centred and tech-centred approaches, 100
infra-legal studies, 102
infrastructural web, 101
socio-technical solutions, 102
violence, 101, 102
liberal and nonliberal reform, 44
liberal proceduralism, 85, 405
LinkedIn, 11, 12, 22
legal migrants, 380
Long Termism, 351

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 05 Nov 2026 at 10:40:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009425308.019

machine learning (ML), 13, 126, 142, 193, 310, 374
massive datasets, 37
Mathematical Theory of Communication, 19
Michigan Auditor General, 136
Michigan Integrated Data Automated System (MiDAS), 136, 137
micro-fascisms
  alternative apparatus, 352–353
  concrete computations, 347–348
  fascistic operations, 348–351
  structural renewal, 351–352
Mid-Atlantic Ocean Data Portal, 290
Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness, 98
ML-based actionable insight
  digital platforms, 155
  early intervention, 172
  financial crisis of 2008, 155
  political transformation, 172
  public administrators. *see* public administrators
  public sector operations, 173
  reverse reasoning, 156
  rule of law, 172
  substantive intervention, 156
machine learning (ML), 5, 28, 57, 80, 89, 96, 126, 142, 176, 189, 191, 271, 279, 281, 284, 310, 323, 328, 374, 376, 402
mobility data
  data flows, 63
  digital technologies, 59
  data infrastructures, development of, 59
  e-commerce, 62
  extraction and privatization, 62
  geofencing information recording, 64
  infrastructural transformation, 59
  interlocking processes, 58
  minority-owned businesses, 63
  network-and-standard-based processes, 60
  party-state, 62, 64
  personal and political priorities, 64
  public domain resources, 61
  public infrastructures, 61
  real-time mapping, 61
  roadways and transit systems, 65
  smartphones, 60
  systematic application, 61
  traffic flows, 62
  Western political economies, 59
moral guidance, 336
music streaming services, 8

natural language processing algorithms, 10
neighbourhoods, 178
neocybernetic theory, 14
neoliberal capitalism, 339
neoliberalism, 109, 357
network states, 345
neural networks, 348, 350, 353
non-fascist modes, 329
non-fungible tokens (NFTs), 344
non-human agents, 356
non-human publics, 232

ocean data, 235, 238
Ocean Decade, 204
ocean observation, 281
ocean sensing and climate monitoring, 35
Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, 400
online streaming services, 9
operational oceanographers, 226, 229
oppression, 367
oxygen sensors, 226, 230

Palestinian Islamic Jihad (PIJ), 25
panopticon, 371
Paris Agreement of 2018, 271
Passenger Name Record (PNR), 94
patterns at population level, 44
Pezullo, Michael (Department Secretary), 121
phytoplankton, 226
Pintarich v Deputy Commissioner of Taxation, 128
planetary governance, 233–237
planetary politics, 36

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 05 Nov 2026 at 10:40:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009425308.019

platform power, 48, 308, 309
platformisation, 242
political ecology, 365
political economy, 242, 281–285, 365, 405
political foreclosure, 145, 170, 200
political progressiveness, 336
political relationship, 194
political subjectivity, 38, 197
political technology, 43
political transformation, 172, 178, 196
politics of identity, 45, 191, 196
politics of proximity, 42, 45, 188–192
posthuman feminism, 354–359
power relations, 367, 369
  algorithmic, 49
  broader economy, 367
  productivity, 369
  sustainability governance, 268
  tactical immanence, 373
prediction accuracy, 152, 195
preventive interventions
  actionable insight, 163, 164
  child protection paradigm, 170
  data-driven citizen scoring systems, 168
  democratic government, 169
  democratic governance, 165
  digital transformation, 165
  early interventions, 164, 165
  holistic analysis, 167
  legal challenges, 166
  ML prediction models, 170
  moral and political agent, 166
  operational efficiency, 169
  pre-emptive, 166
  public administration, 170
  public sector digital transformation, 164
  public sector scoring systems, 169
  rights-critical contexts, 164
  risk predictions, 164
  rule of law values, 167
Privacy Commissioner, 97
privacy-protective behaviors, 75
process optimisation, 156, 159, 168, 173
promise of more accurate prediction accuracy, 150
  algorithmic systems, 151
  child abuse, prevalence of, 149
  commercial organisations, 145
  conventional statistical techniques, 146, 147
  criminal justice contexts, 147
  decision-making algorithms, 152
  human behaviour, 148
  individual risk assessment tools, 152
  individualised justice objection, 146
  interpretive work, 150
  intrinsic risk, 152
  machine learning models, 147, 148
  ML prediction models, 146, 148
  online prediction tools, 151
  prediction tools, 146
  “proxy” data, 149
  public sector contexts, 146
  reality gap, 149
  real-world settings, 152
  recidivism risk, 151
  retail marketing counterparts, 145
  risk assessment, 145
  socio-technical systems, 150
  statistical assessment, 149
  statistical tools, 154
  US criminal justice system, 145
proto-governmental forms, 9
proxification, 10, 12, 41, 42, 185
proxy
  behavioural science, 189
  behavioural signals, 191
  big data-driven methods, 179
  communities/polities, 191
  consumer behaviour, 180
  data processing, 191
  data-driven systems, 181
  deep learning, 190
  equivalence space, 188
  explicit and implicit signals, 180
  governance by data, 189
  governance crystallises, 190
  machine learning, 189
  military and political power, 179
  modern knowledge production, 179
  political techniques, 192
  recommender system, 179, 180
  revealed preference, 180

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 05 Nov 2026 at 10:40:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009425308.019

proxy (cont.)
social reality, 188
societal infrastructure, 190
substitutions, 180
transformations, 188
proxy discrimination
AI systems, 183
black box models, 183
colour blind racism, 183
critical simulation, 184
direct, 181
explicit variables, 182
racist and sexist outcomes, 182
retail stores, 182
social media platform, 184
synthetic data, 184
public administrators
algorithmic system, 158
automation-driven efficiency, 160
breach of contract, 160
celebratory claims, proliferation of, 161
contextual detachment process, 157
ChatGPT, 172
child protection and criminal sentencing, 171
citizen scoring systems, 162, 171
citizen-scoring tools, 161
commercial contexts, 171
human case-workers, 159
level of public fear, 159
logic of "decoupling", 157
medium and low-risk counterparts, 158
normative reflection and debate, 163
process optimisation, 159
realm, 170
reverse reasoning, 158, 161
sector data analytics tools, 157
"sigma" family of values, 159
substantive intervention, 157, 158, 161, 162
transaction efficiency, 160
public expenditure, 109, 110
public institutions, 105, 281, 284
public sector contexts, 144, 146, 171
public-private relations, 197, 281

quantum entanglement, 17
data analysis, algorithmic modes of, 28
data practices, 30
data protection law, 29
human agency and autonomy, 28
human and non-human actors, 29
human and non-human elements, 31
interoperability vs. data-driven systems, 29
legal agency and jurisdiction, 30
legal control, 30
legal transformation, 30
material-discursive practices, 31
media of digital data, 28
modern law and politics, 28
political and legal power, 30
socio-material relations, 30
socio-technical relations, 31
socio-technical systems and mapping, 31

racism
algorithmic governance, 177
algorithmic infrastructures, 177
artificial intelligence (AI), 175
big data processing techniques, 177
black box society, 174
culture of opacity, 174, 175
data science, 176
disparate fields, 177
identity politics, 178
network science principles, 176
political transformation, 178
post-big-data politics, 176
proxification, 185
structural exclusion and oppression, 174
radical commitments, 336
radiometry, 226
refugee crisis, 361
regulatory power, 37, 298
asymmetric patterns, 255, 259
cloud providers, 256
content delivery network, 258
data processing and rights, 257
data protection law, 257
double function, 255

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 05 Nov 2026 at 10:40:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009425308.019

exchange of control, 257
facial recognition service, 259
food delivery platform, 255
governance function, 255
services model, 256
sizeable asymmetries, 256
remote sensing, 273
Repeat Hydrography program, 229
repressive hypothesis, 369
reverse reasoning, 161
Right to Private Life, 130
rights-critical contexts, 164
risk management, 194
root mean square error (RMSE), 9
Rose, Carol, 111
rotten data, 10
Royal Commission, 136

satellite data, 273
satellite systems, 214–216
scientific communities, 228, 229, 238
sensor nodes, 272
Services Australia Online Compliance Intervention (Robodebt), 133
Smart Earth, 273
social and economic relations, 246
social and political contexts, 376
social graph, 316, 317
social infrastructure, 110
social relations, 29, 55, 56, 196, 197, 247, 259, 311, 347, 348
social welfare benefits, 164
sociality and subject-making, 40
societal infrastructure, 190
socio-legal-technical infrastructures, 47
socio-material practices, 37
socio-material relations, 30
socio-political categories, 43
socio-political system, 351
socio-technical systems, 366, 367, 392, 393
Software as a Service, 250
software developer kits (SDKs), 59, 63
spatial distribution, 36, 219, 223
spatial environmental data, 272
spatio-temporal inequalities, 285–289
spatio-temporal scale, 39, 96
stakeholders, 12
state agency, 78, 79, 80
administrative law, 83
data collection and regulation, 81
data-driven tools, 81
digital government projects, 83
digital-era government initiatives, 83
domestic legal systems, 83
legal accountability, 82
liberal proceduralism, 85
paradox, 85
power/authority, 83
scholarship, 81, 82
socio-legal-technical infrastructures, 84
socio-technical infrastructures, 85
sovereign, 84
vertical accountability, 84
Strategic Subject List (SSL), 158
Streaming Tool, 382–387
submarine warfare, 232
substantive intervention, 158, 161, 162
surplus data, 311
surveillance capitalism, 107
Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), 270, 400
Sustainable Environment and Ecological Development Society (SEEDS), 291
System Risk Indication (SyRI) system, 130

target-generation systems, 26
tax liability, 128
techno-solutionism, 300
Terms of Service (ToS), 27, 256, 258, 264, 265, 296
Terra nullius, 338
The Will to Knowledge (Foucault), 369
The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction (Benjamin), 346
thinking infrastructurally
Argo stack, 209
data assembly centers (DACs), 216–217
data generating and transmission, 210
floats and sensors, 210–214

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 05 Nov 2026 at 10:40:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009425308.019

thinking infrastructurally (cont.)
inter-dependencies, 210
interdependent systems and networks, 208
organisational matrix, 208
satellite systems, 214–216
social and organisational elements, 207
social conventions, 208
unified purposive agency, 208
transdisciplinary conversation
common-sensical analysis and thinkability, 331
fascisms and fascist desire, 332
Generative Pre-trained Transformer architecture, 330
micro-fascisms, 330
non-binary and equivocal relationality, 331
non-dualistic approach to technopolitics, 332
trans-subjective and transgressive openness, 329
Treasury Board, 97

UK Home Office Streaming Tool, 383
UK Upper Tribunal (Immigration and Asylum Chamber) (UTIAC), 48
UN Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), 208, 224
UN Environment Programme (UNEP), 267
UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) Paris Agreement, 271
UN General Assembly, 24, 235
UN Secretary-General and UN General Assembly, 24
UN Security Council, 24
UN Special Rapporteurs, 24
UNEP's World Conservation Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), 282
UNFCCC Paris Agreement, 273, 281
United Nations Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development (2021–2030), 234
United Nations General Assembly in 2017, 234
United Networks of the Internet, 345
unmanned autonomous vehicles, 367
unsupervised machine learning, 185
Upper Tribunal Immigration and Asylum Chamber (UTIAC), 306
US criminal justice system, 145
US National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), 282
US National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI), 217
user-facing services, 240

value of data, 29, 107, 254
value-market relations, 285
violence, 101, 102

World Environment Situation Room (WESR) platform, 286
World Meteorological Congress, 235
World Ocean Circulation Experiment (WOCE), 210
World Wide Web (WWW), 217

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 05 Nov 2026 at 10:40:08, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009425308.019