Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. (2015), **35**, 944–967 © *Cambridge University Press, 2014*
doi:10.1017/etds.2013.76

Commutator methods for the spectral analysis of uniquely ergodic dynamical systems

R. TIEDRA DE ALDECOA

Facultad de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile,
Av. Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile
(e-mail: rtiedra@mat.puc.cl)

(*Received* 17 *February* 2013 *and accepted in revised form* 19 *August* 2013)

Abstract. We present a method, based on commutator methods, for the spectral analysis of uniquely ergodic dynamical systems. When applicable, it leads to the absolute continuity of the spectrum of the corresponding unitary operators. As an illustration, we consider time changes of horocycle flows, skew products over translations and Furstenberg transformations. For time changes of horocycle flows we obtain absolute continuity under assumptions weaker than those to be found in the literature, and for skew products over translations and Furstenberg transformations we obtain countable Lebesgue spectrum under assumptions not previously covered in the literature.

1. *Introduction*

Commutator methods in the sense of Mourre [**45**] and their various extensions (see, for instance, [**4, 9, 15, 24, 37, 47, 50**]) are a very efficient tool for the spectral analysis of self-adjoint operators. They have been fruitfully applied to numerous models in mathematical physics and pure mathematics. Even so, it is only recently that an analogue of the theory has been developed for unitary operators (see [**5**] for the first article on the topic, and [**17**] for an optimal formulation of the theory). Accordingly, the absence of general works on commutator methods for the spectral analysis of unitary operators from ergodic theory is no surprise. Our purpose here is to start filling this gap by presenting an abstract class of uniquely ergodic dynamical systems to which commutator methods apply. The class in question is simple enough to describe in terms of commutators and general enough to contain interesting examples of uniquely ergodic dynamical systems. We hope that the examples treated in this paper, together with the simplicity of our approach, will motivate other works on commutator methods for the spectral analysis of (uniquely ergodic) dynamical systems.

The content of the paper is as follows. In §2, we recall the necessary definitions and results on commutator methods, both for self-adjoint and unitary operators. Then

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

we exhibit a general class of unitary operators that are shown to have purely absolutely continuous spectrum thanks to commutator methods. Also, we explain why typical examples of such unitary operators are Koopman operators induced by uniquely ergodic transformations. We devote the rest of the paper to applications of the theory of §2. We consider time changes of horocycle flows in §3, skew products over translations in §4 and Furstenberg transformations in §5.

In Theorem 3.7 of §3 we show that time changes of horocycle flows on compact surfaces of constant negative curvature have purely absolutely continuous spectrum in the orthocomplement of the constant functions. Our result holds for time changes of class C^3, which is the weakest regularity assumption under which this absolute continuity has been established (see the discussion after Theorem 3.7 for a comparison with recent results of Forni and Ulcigrai [**18**] and the author [**51**]). In Theorem 4.4 of §4 we prove that skew products over translations on compact metric abelian Banach Lie groups have countable Lebesgue spectrum in the orthocomplement of functions depending only on the first variable. Our result holds for cocycle functions being differentiable along the flow generated by the translations and with corresponding derivative satisfying a Dini-type condition (see Assumption 4.1 for details). In the case of skew products on tori, this complements previous results of Iwanik *et al* [**33, 35**] in one dimension and a previous result of Iwanik [**34**] in higher dimensions (see Corollary 4.5 and the discussion that follows). Finally, in Theorem 5.3 of §5, we show that Furstenberg transformations have countable Lebesgue spectrum in the orthocomplement of functions depending only on the first variable for perturbations with partial derivatives satisfying a Dini-type condition. This complements [**35**, Corollary 3], where the same result is shown for perturbations with partial derivatives being of bounded variation.

2. *Commutator methods for uniquely ergodic dynamical systems*

We present in this section a method, based on commutator methods, for the spectral analysis of uniquely ergodic dynamical systems. We start by recalling some facts on commutator methods borrowed from [**4, 17, 50**] (see also the original paper of Mourre [**45**]).

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ linear in the second argument, denote by $\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$, and write $\| \cdot \|$ for the norm on $\mathcal{H}$ and the norm on $\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Let also A be a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(A)$, and take $S \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that S belongs to $C^k(A)$, written $S \in C^k(A)$, if the map

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto e^{-itA} S e^{itA} \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H}) \tag{2.1}$$

is strongly of class C^k. If $k = 1$, one has $S \in C^1(A)$ if and only if the quadratic form

$$\mathcal{D}(A) \ni \varphi \mapsto \langle \varphi, iSA\varphi \rangle - \langle A\varphi, iS\varphi \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

is continuous for the topology induced by $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{D}(A)$. We denote by $[iS, A]$ the bounded operator associated with the continuous extension of this form, or equivalently the strong derivative of the function (2.1) at $t = 0$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

A condition slightly stronger than the inclusion $S \in C^1(A)$ is provided by the following definition: S belongs to $C^{1+0}(A)$, written $S \in C^{1+0}(A)$, if $S \in C^1(A)$ and

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \|e^{-itA}[A, S]e^{itA} - [A, S]\| < \infty.$$

If we regard $C^1(A)$, $C^{1+0}(A)$ and $C^2(A)$ as subspaces of $\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then we have the inclusions

$$C^2(A) \subset C^{1+0}(A) \subset C^1(A) \subset \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Now, if H is a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(H)$ and spectrum $\sigma(H)$, we say that H is of class $C^k(A)$ if $(H - z)^{-1} \in C^k(A)$ for some $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\sigma(H)$. So H is of class $C^1(A)$ if and only if the quadratic form

$$\mathcal{D}(A) \ni \varphi \mapsto \langle \varphi, (H - z)^{-1} A\varphi\rangle - \langle A\varphi, (H - z)^{-1}\varphi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

extends continuously to a bounded form defined by the operator $[(H - z)^{-1}, A] \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the set $\mathcal{D}(H) \cap \mathcal{D}(A)$ is a core for H and the quadratic form

$$\mathcal{D}(H) \cap \mathcal{D}(A) \ni \varphi \mapsto \langle H\varphi, A\varphi\rangle - \langle A\varphi, H\varphi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

is continuous in the topology of $\mathcal{D}(H)$ [**4**, Theorem 6.2.10(b)]. This form then extends uniquely to a continuous quadratic form on $\mathcal{D}(H)$ which can be identified with a continuous operator $[H, A]$ from $\mathcal{D}(H)$ to the adjoint space $\mathcal{D}(H)^*$. In addition, the following relation holds in $\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$:

$$[(H - z)^{-1}, A] = -(H - z)^{-1}[H, A](H - z)^{-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $E^H(\cdot)$ denote the spectral measure of the self-adjoint operator H, and assume that H is of class $C^1(A)$. Then, for each bounded Borel set $J \subset \mathbb{R}$, the operator $E^H(J)[iH, A]E^H(J)$ is bounded and self-adjoint. If there exist a number $a > 0$ and a compact operator $K \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$E^H(J)[iH, A]E^H(J) \geq aE^H(J) + K, \tag{2.3}$$

then one says that H satisfies a Mourre estimate on J and that A is a conjugate operator for H on J. Also, one says that H satisfies a strict Mourre estimate on J if (2.3) holds with $K = 0$. The main consequence of a strict Mourre estimate is to imply a limiting absorption principle for H on J if H is also of class $C^{1+0}(A)$. This in turns implies that H has no singular spectrum in J. If H only satisfies a Mourre estimate on J, then the same holds up to the possible presence of a finite number of eigenvalues in J, each one of finite multiplicity. We recall here a version of these results (see [**4**, §7.1.2] and [**50**, Theorem 0.1] for more details).

THEOREM 2.1. *Let H and A be self-adjoint operators in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, with H of class $C^{1+0}(A)$. Suppose there exist a bounded Borel set $J \subset \mathbb{R}$, a number $a > 0$ and a compact operator $K \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$E^H(J)[iH, A]E^H(J) \geq aE^H(J) + K. \tag{2.4}$$

Then H has at most finitely many eigenvalues in J, each one of finite multiplicity, and H has no singular continuous spectrum in J. Furthermore, if (2.4) holds with $K = 0$, then H has no singular spectrum in J.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Similar results exist in the case of a unitary operator $U \in C^1(A)$ with spectral measure $E^U(\cdot)$ and spectrum $\sigma(U) \subset \mathbb{S}^1 \equiv \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$. Namely, one says that U satisfies a Mourre estimate on a Borel set $\Theta \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ if there exist a number $a > 0$ and a compact operator $K \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$E^U(\Theta)U^*[A, U]E^U(\Theta) \geq aE^U(\Theta) + K. \tag{2.5}$$

Also, one says that U satisfies a strict Mourre estimate on Θ if (2.5) holds with $K = 0$. Furthermore, one has the following result on the spectral nature of U (see [**17**, Theorem 2.7 and Remark 2.8] for a more general version of this result).

THEOREM 2.2. *Let U and A be respectively a unitary and a self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, with $U \in C^{1+0}(A)$. Suppose there exist an open set $\Theta \subset \mathbb{S}^1$, a number $a > 0$ and a compact operator $K \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$E^U(\Theta)U^*[A, U]E^U(\Theta) \geq aE^U(\Theta) + K. \tag{2.6}$$

Then U has at most finitely many eigenvalues in Θ, each one of finite multiplicity, and U has no singular continuous spectrum in Θ. Furthermore, if (2.6) holds with $K = 0$, then U has no singular spectrum in Θ.

Remark 2.3. If $U = e^{-iH}$ for some bounded self-adjoint operator $H \in C^{1+0}(A)$, then one can use either the self-adjoint or the unitary formulation of commutator methods. Indeed, one then has for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}(U^*e^{itA}Ue^{-itA} - 1)\varphi &= e^{iH}[e^{itA}, e^{-iH}]e^{-itA}\varphi \\ &= i\int_0^1 ds\, e^{isH}\int_0^t du\, e^{iuA}[iH, A]e^{i(t-u)A}e^{-isH}\varphi\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\| \frac{U^*e^{itA}Ue^{-itA} - 1}{t} - i\int_0^1 ds\, e^{isH}[iH, A]e^{-isH} \right\| \\ &\quad \leq \sup_{u\in[0,t]} \|e^{iuA}[iH, A]e^{i(t-u)A} - [iH, A]\|.\end{aligned}$$

So one infers that $U \in C^1(A)$ with $U^*[A, U] = \int_0^1 ds\, e^{isH}[iH, A]e^{-isH}$, which in turn implies the inclusion $U \in C^{1+0}(A)$. Moreover, one has for any Borel set $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ the equality

$$E^H(J) = E^U(\Theta) \quad \text{with } \Theta := \{e^{-i\lambda} \in \mathbb{S}^1 \mid \lambda \in J\}.$$

Therefore, one obtains the following equivalences of Mourre estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}&E^H(J)[iH, A]E^H(J) \geq aE^H(J) + K \quad \text{with } J \subset \mathbb{R} \text{ a bounded Borel set} \\ &\iff E^U(\Theta)U^*[A, U]E^U(\Theta) \geq aE^U(\Theta) + \int_0^1 ds\, e^{isH}Ke^{-isH} \\ &\text{with } \Theta = \{e^{-i\lambda} \in \mathbb{S}^1 \mid \lambda \in J\}.\end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Now suppose for a moment that there exists a self-adjoint operator A with domain $\mathcal{D}(A)$ such that $U \in C^1(A)$ and $[A, U] = U$. Then one has $U \in C^k(A)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U^*[A, U] = 1$. In particular, $U \in C^{1+0}(A)$ and U satisfies a strict Mourre estimate on all of $\mathbb{S}^1$. Thus, Theorem 2.2 applies and one deduces that U has purely absolutely continuous spectrum. In fact, one can check that the conditions $U \in C^1(A)$ and $[A, U] = U$ imply that $e^{-itA} U e^{itA} = e^{-it} U$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. So, the operator U is unitarily equivalent to $e^{-it} U$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and thus has purely Lebesgue spectrum covering the whole circle $\mathbb{S}^1$. No need for commutator methods whatsoever.

But now assume that the situation is more general in the sense that we are only able to find a self-adjoint operator A such that $U \in C^1(A)$ and $[A, U] = UF + GU$ for some self-adjoint operators $F, G \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$. In this case, no simple trick permits us to obtain Lebesgue spectrum (since it would obviously be wrong). Moreover, we only get the relation

$$U^*[A, U] = F + U^*GU$$

which does not lead to any explicit Mourre estimate, unless we impose some positivity condition on the operator $F + U^*GU$. Fortunately, in certain situations, it is sufficient to modify appropriately the operator A in order to get the desired positivity. Let us explain how to proceed. Since $U \in C^1(A)$, we know from standard results (see [**4**, Propositions 5.1.5–5.1.6]) that $U^k \in C^1(A)$ and $U^k \mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(A)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the operator $(1/n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} U^{-k}[A, U^k]$ is bounded for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and the operator

$$A_n \varphi := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} U^{-k} A U^k \varphi = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} U^{-k}[A, U^k]\varphi + A\varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A_n) := \mathcal{D}(A),$$

is self-adjoint. Furthermore, a simple calculation shows that $U \in C^1(A_n)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} U^*[A_n, U] &= U^* \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} U^{-k}[A, U]U^k \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} U^{-k} F U^k + U^* \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} U^{-k} G U^k \right) U \equiv F_n + U^* G_n U, \quad (2.7) \end{aligned}$$

which in turn implies that $U \in C^{1+0}(A_n)$ if the operators F_n and G_n satisfy

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \| e^{-itA_n} F_n e^{itA_n} - F_n \| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \| e^{-itA_n} G_n e^{itA_n} - G_n \| < \infty. \quad (2.8)$$

Now, even if $F + U^*GU$ is not a strictly positive operator, the averaged operator $F_n + U^*G_nU$ may converge in norm as $n \to \infty$ to a strictly positive operator. Then the right-hand side of (2.7) would be strictly positive for n large enough. Accordingly, one would obtain a strict Mourre estimate on all of $\mathbb{S}^1$, and thus conclude by Theorem 2.2 that U has purely absolutely continuous spectrum if F_n and G_n satisfy (2.8) (if the operator A_n is bounded, one can skip the verification of (2.8) thanks to a theorem of Putnam; see [**48**, Theorem 2.3.2]).

The convergence in norm of the averaged operator $F_n + U^*G_nU$ is similar to the uniform convergence of Birkhoff sums for uniquely ergodic transformations (it is also

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

similar to the norm convergence of Birkhoff sums for uniquely ergodic automorphisms of C^*-algebras, as defined in [**1**, §1]). Therefore, it is quite natural to specialize the previous construction to the case where F and G are multiplication operators and U is a unitary operator generated by a uniquely ergodic transformation. So let $T : X \to X$ be a uniquely ergodic homeomorphism on a compact metric space X with normalized Haar measure μ, and let U_T be the unitary Koopman operator in $\mathcal{H} := \mathsf{L}^2(X, \mu)$ given by

$$U_T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}, \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ T.$$

Furthermore, assume that there exists a self-adjoint operator A such that $U_T \in C^1(A)$ and $[A, U_T] = U_T f + g U_T$ for some functions $f, g \in C(X; \mathbb{R})$ (here we identify the functions f and g with the corresponding multiplication operators in $\mathcal{H}$). Then (2.7) reduces to

$$(U_T)^*[A_n, U_T] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^{-k} + (U_T)^*\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g \circ T^{-k}\right)U_T \equiv f_n + (U_T)^* g_n U_T. \tag{2.9}$$

Since T is uniquely ergodic, the Birkhoff sums f_n and g_n converge uniformly to $\int_X d\mu\, f$ and $\int_X d\mu\, g$, respectively. So, if $\int_X d\mu\, (f + g) > 0$, then the right-hand side of (2.9) is strictly positive for n large enough. Accordingly, one obtains a strict Mourre estimate on all of $\mathbb{S}^1$, and one concludes by Theorem 2.2 that U_T has purely absolutely continuous spectrum if f_n and g_n satisfy

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \|e^{-itA_n} f_n e^{itA_n} - f_n\| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \|e^{-itA_n} g_n e^{itA_n} - g_n\| < \infty.$$

Obviously, this last construction can be adapted to various other situations such as when one allows a compact perturbation, or when the right-hand side of the identity $[A, U_T] = U_T f + g U_T$ involves another combination of operators, or when one has a continuous flow of homeomorphisms $\{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ generating a strongly continuous group of unitary operators $\{U_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$. In the latter case, it might be more convenient to replace the discrete averages $A_n = (1/n)\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(U_T)^{-k} A (U_T)^k$ by the continuous averages $A_L := (1/L)\int_0^L dt\, U_{-t} A U_t$ and to study the generator H of the group $\{U_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ (instead of the group itself) using the usual self-adjoint formulation of commutator methods.

3. *Time changes of horocycle flows*

Let Σ be a compact Riemann surface of genus at least 2 and let $M := T^1\Sigma$ be the unit tangent bundle of Σ. The compact 3-manifold M carries a probability measure μ_Ω (induced by a canonical volume form Ω) which is preserved by two distinguished one-parameter groups of diffeomorphisms: the horocycle flow $\{F_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ and the geodesic flow $\{F_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$. Both flows correspond to right translations on M when M is identified with a homogeneous space $\Gamma\backslash\mathsf{PSL}(2;\mathbb{R})$, for some cocompact lattice Γ in $\mathsf{PSL}(2;\mathbb{R})$ (see [**7**, §II.3 and §IV.1]). We write $U_j(t)$ ($j = 1, 2, t \in \mathbb{R}$) for the operators given by

$$U_j(t)\varphi := \varphi \circ F_{j,t}, \quad \varphi \in C(M).$$

One can check that the families $\{U_j(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ define strongly continuous unitary groups in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} := \mathsf{L}^2(M, \mu_\Omega)$, and that $U_j(t)C^\infty(M) \subset C^\infty(M)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

It follows from Nelson's theorem [**3**, Proposition 5.3] that the generator of the group $\{U_j(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$,

$$H_j\varphi := \mathrm{s}-\lim_{t\to 0} it^{-1}(U_j(t)-1)\varphi,$$
$$\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(H_j) := \left\{\varphi\in\mathcal{H}\;\middle|\;\lim_{t\to 0}|t|^{-1}\|(U_j(t)-1)\varphi\|<\infty\right\},$$

is essentially self-adjoint on $C^\infty(M)$, and one has

$$H_j\varphi := -i\mathscr{L}_{X_j}\varphi,\quad \varphi\in C^\infty(M),$$

with X_j the divergence-free vector field associated to $\{F_{j,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathscr{L}_{X_j}$ the corresponding Lie derivative.

It is a classical result that the horocycle flow $\{F_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is uniquely ergodic [**23**] and mixing of all orders [**43**], and that $U_1(t)$ has countable Lebesgue spectrum for each $t\neq 0$ (see [**38**, Proposition 2.2] and [**46**]). Moreover, the groups $\{U_1(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ and $\{U_2(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ satisfy the commutation relation

$$U_2(s)U_1(t)U_2(-s) = U_1(e^s t),\quad s,t\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{3.1}$$

(here we consider the negative horocycle flow $\{F_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\equiv\{F_{1,t}^-\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$, but everything we say can be adapted to the positive horocycle flow by a change of sign; see [**7**, Remark IV.1.2]). By applying the strong derivative id/dt at $t=0$ in (3.1), one gets that $U_2(s)H_1U_2(-s)\varphi = e^sH_1\varphi$ for each $\varphi\in C^\infty(M)$. Since $C^\infty(M)$ is a core for H_1, one infers that H_1 is H_2-homogeneous in the sense of [**8**]; namely,

$$U_2(s)H_1U_2(-s) = e^sH_1 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}(H_1). \tag{3.2}$$

It follows that H_1 is of class $C^\infty(H_2)$ with

$$[iH_1,\,H_2] = H_1. \tag{3.3}$$

Now consider a C^1 vector field with the same orientation and proportional to X_1, that is, a vector field fX_1 with $f\in C^1(M;\,(0,\infty))$. The vector field fX_1 has the same integral curves as X_1, but with reparametrized time coordinate. Indeed, it is known (see [**32**, §1]) that the formula

$$t = \int_0^{h(p,t)}\frac{ds}{f(F_{1,s}(p))},\quad t\in\mathbb{R},\;\; p\in M,$$

defines for each $p\in M$ a strictly increasing function $\mathbb{R}\ni t\mapsto h(p,t)\in\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $h(p,0)=0$ and $\lim_{t\to\pm\infty}h(p,t)=\pm\infty$. Furthermore, the implicit function theorem implies that the map $t\mapsto h(p,t)$ is C^1 with $(d/dt)h(p,t) = f(F_{1,h(p,t)}(p))$. Therefore, the function $\mathbb{R}\ni t\mapsto\widetilde{F}_{1,t}(p)\in M$ given by $\widetilde{F}_{1,t}(p) := F_{1,h(p,t)}(p)$ satisfies the initial value problem

$$\frac{d}{dt}\widetilde{F}_1(p,t) = (fX_1)_{\widetilde{F}_1(p,t)},\quad \widetilde{F}_1(p,0)=p,$$

meaning that $\{\widetilde{F}_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is the flow of fX_1 (note that $\widetilde{F}_{1,t}(p)$ is of class C^1 in the p-variable and of class C^2 in the t-variable as predicted by the general theory [**2**, §2.1]). Since

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

the divergence $\mathrm{div}_{\Omega/f}(fX_1)$ of fX_1 with respect to the volume form Ω/f is zero, the operators

$$\widetilde{U}_1(t)\varphi := \varphi \circ \widetilde{F}_{1,t}, \quad \varphi \in C(M),$$

define a strongly continuous unitary group $\{\widetilde{U}_1(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ in the Hilbert space $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} := \mathsf{L}^2(M, \mu_{\Omega/f})$. The generator $\widetilde{H} := -i\mathscr{L}_{fX_1}$ of $\{\widetilde{U}_1(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is essentially self-adjoint on $C^1(M) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ due to Nelson's theorem.

In the following lemma, we introduce two auxiliary operators which will be useful for the spectral analysis of $\widetilde{H}$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $f \in C^1(M; (0, \infty))$, then:*

(a) *the operator*

$$\mathscr{U} : \mathcal{H} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \varphi \mapsto f^{1/2}\varphi,$$

is unitary, with adjoint $\mathscr{U}^* : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \to \mathcal{H}$ given by $\mathscr{U}^*\psi = f^{-1/2}\psi$;

(b) *the symmetric operator*

$$H\varphi := f^{1/2}H_1 f^{1/2}\varphi, \quad \varphi \in C^1(M),$$

is essentially self-adjoint in $\mathcal{H}$, and the closure of H (which we denote by the same symbol) is unitarily equivalent to $\widetilde{H}$;

(c) *for each $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}$, the operator $H_1 + zf^{-1}$ is invertible with bounded inverse, and satisfies*

$$(H + z)^{-1} = f^{-1/2}(H_1 + zf^{-1})^{-1} f^{-1/2}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Point (a) follows from a direct calculation taking into account the boundedness of f from below and from above. For (b), observe that

$$H\varphi = f^{-1/2} f H_1 f^{1/2}\varphi = \mathscr{U}^*\widetilde{H}\mathscr{U}\varphi$$

for each $\varphi \in \mathscr{U}^*C^1(M)$. So, H is essentially self-adjoint on $\mathscr{U}^*C^1(M) \equiv C^1(M)$, and the closure of H is unitarily equivalent to $\widetilde{H}$. To prove (c), take $z \equiv \lambda + i\mu \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(H_1 + zf^{-1}) \equiv \mathcal{D}(H_1)$ and $\{\varphi_n\} \subset C^\infty(M)$ such that $\lim_n \|\varphi - \varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{D}(H_1)} = 0$. Then it follows from (b) that

$$\|(H_1 + zf^{-1})\varphi\|^2 = \lim_n \|f^{-1/2}(H + z)f^{-1/2}\varphi_n\|^2 \geq \inf_{p\in M} f^{-2}(p)\mu^2\|\varphi\|^2,$$

and thus $H_1 + zf^{-1}$ is invertible with bounded inverse (see [**3**, Lemma 3.1]). Now, to show (3.4), take $\psi = (H + z)\zeta$ with $\zeta \in C^1(M)$, observe that

$$(H + z)^{-1}\psi - f^{-1/2}(H_1 + zf^{-1})^{-1} f^{-1/2}\psi = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

and then use the density of $(H + z)C^1(M)$ in $\mathcal{H}$ to extend the identity (3.5) to all of $\mathcal{H}$. □

The operators H and $\widetilde{H}$ are unitarily equivalent due to Lemma 3.1(b). Therefore, one can either work with H in $\mathcal{H}$ or with $\widetilde{H}$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ to determine the spectral properties associated with the time change fX_1. For convenience, we present our results for the operator H. We start by proving some regularity properties of f and H with respect to H_2. The function

$$g := \tfrac{1}{2} - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathscr{L}_{X_2}(\ln(f))$$

arises naturally.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $f \in C^1(M;\,(0,\infty))$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}$. Then:*
(a) *the multiplication operator f^α satisfies $f^\alpha \in C^1(H_2)$ with*

$$[if^\alpha,\,H_2] = -\alpha f^\alpha \mathscr{L}_{X_2}(\ln(f));$$

(b) $(H+z)^{-1} \in C^1(H_2)$ *with*

$$[i(H+z)^{-1},\,H_2] = -(H+z)^{-1}(Hg+gH)(H+z)^{-1}.$$

Proof. (a) The chain rule for Lie derivatives and the strict positivity of f imply that

$$\mathscr{L}_{X_2}(f^\alpha) = \alpha f^{\alpha-1}\mathscr{L}_{X_2}(f) = \alpha f^\alpha \mathscr{L}_{X_2}(\ln(f)).$$

Thus, for each $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$\langle\varphi,\,if^\alpha H_2\varphi\rangle - \langle H_2\varphi,\,if^\alpha\varphi\rangle = \langle\varphi,\,[if^\alpha,\,H_2]\varphi\rangle = \langle\varphi,\,-\alpha f^\alpha\mathscr{L}_{X_2}(\ln(f))\varphi\rangle.$$

Since $f^\alpha\mathscr{L}_{X_2}(\ln(f)) \in \mathsf{L}^\infty(M)$, it follows by the density of $C^\infty(M)$ in $\mathcal{D}(H_2)$ that $f^\alpha \in C^1(H_2)$ with $[if^\alpha,\,H_2] = -\alpha f^\alpha\mathscr{L}_{X_2}(\ln(f))$.

(b) Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$. Then, one infers from equations (3.2) and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-itH_2}(H+z)^{-1}e^{itH_2}\varphi \\ &\quad = e^{-itH_2}f^{-1/2}e^{itH_2}(e^tH_1 + ze^{-itH_2}f^{-1}e^{itH_2})^{-1}e^{-itH_2}f^{-1/2}e^{itH_2}\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

So, one gets from statement (a), equation (3.4) and Lemma 3.1(b) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}e^{-itH_2}(H+z)^{-1}e^{itH_2}\varphi\Big|_{t=0} \\ &\quad = [if^{-1/2},\,H_2](H_1+zf^{-1})^{-1}f^{-1/2}\varphi + f^{-1/2}(H_1+zf^{-1})^{-1}[if^{-1/2},\,H_2]\varphi \\ &\qquad - f^{-1/2}(H_1+zf^{-1})^{-1}(H_1+z[if^{-1},\,H_2])(H_1+zf^{-1}ig)^{-1}f^{-1/2}\varphi \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{2}\mathscr{L}_{X_2}(\ln(f))(H+z)^{-1}\varphi + \frac{1}{2}(H+z)^{-1}\mathscr{L}_{X_2}(\ln(f))\varphi \\ &\qquad - (H+z)^{-1}\{H+z\mathscr{L}_{X_2}(\ln(f))\}(H+z)^{-1}\varphi \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{2}(H+z)^{-1}H\mathscr{L}_{X_2}(\ln(f))(H+z)^{-1}\varphi + \frac{1}{2}(H+z)^{-1}\mathscr{L}_{X_2}(\ln(f))H(H+z)^{-1}\varphi \\ &\qquad - (H+z)^{-1}H(H+z)^{-1}\varphi \\ &\quad = -(H+z)^{-1}(Hg+gH)(H+z)^{-1}\varphi, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the claim. □

In [**51**] we used the operator H_2 as a conjugate operator for H (in fact for H^2). This led us to impose, like Kushnirenko in [**40**, Theorem 2], the strict positivity of the function g in order to get at some point a strict Mourre estimate. Here, we will show that this can be avoided if one uses a conjugate operator taking into account the unique ergodicity of the horocycle flow $\{F_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$, as presented in §2. We start with the definition of the new conjugate operator. For $L > 0$ we denote by g_L and $\widetilde{g_L}$ the following averages of g along the time-changed flow $\{\widetilde{F}_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$:

$$g_L := \frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt\;(g\circ\widetilde{F}_{1,-t}) \quad\text{and}\quad \widetilde{g_L} := \frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt\int_0^t ds\;(g\circ\widetilde{F}_{1,-s}).$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

LEMMA 3.3. (Conjugate operator) *Let $f \in C^1(M;\,(0,\infty))$ and $L > 0$.*

(a) *For each $\varphi \in C^1(M)$, one has the equality*

$$\frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt\; e^{itH} H_2 e^{-itH}\varphi = -i\left(\mathscr{L}_X + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{div}_\Omega\, X\right)\varphi,$$

with $X := X_2 + 2\widetilde{g_L} f X_1$ and $\mathrm{div}_\Omega\, X = 2\widetilde{g_L}\mathscr{L}_{X_1}(f) + 2(g - g_L)$ the divergence of X relative to the volume form Ω.

(b) *If $f \in C^3(M;\,(0,\infty))$, then the operator*

$$A_L\varphi := \frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt\; e^{itH} H_2 e^{-itH}\varphi, \quad \varphi \in C^1(M),$$

is essentially self-adjoint in $\mathcal{H}$ (and its closure is denoted by the same symbol).

Proof. (a) We start by collecting some information on the function $\widetilde{g_L}$. For each $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$e^{isH} g e^{-isH} = e^{is\mathscr{U}^*\tilde{H}\mathscr{U}} g e^{-is\mathscr{U}^*\tilde{H}\mathscr{U}} = \mathscr{U}^* e^{is\tilde{H}} g e^{-is\tilde{H}}\mathscr{U} = g \circ \widetilde{F}_{1,-s}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt \int_0^t ds\; e^{isH} g e^{-isH} = \frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt \int_0^t ds\; (g \circ \widetilde{F}_{1,-s}) = \widetilde{g_L}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{L}_{fX_1}(\widetilde{g_L}) &= \frac{d}{d\tau}\frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt \int_0^t ds\; (g \circ \widetilde{F}_{1,-s} \circ \widetilde{F}_{1,\tau})\Big|_{\tau=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt\; \frac{d}{d\tau}\int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} du\; (g \circ \widetilde{F}_{1,u})\Big|_{\tau=0} = g - g_L. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

This implies that $H\widetilde{g_L}\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ for each $\varphi \in C^1(M)$ since

$$H\widetilde{g_L}\varphi = \widetilde{g_L}H\varphi + [H, \widetilde{g_L}]\varphi = \widetilde{g_L}H\varphi - i\mathscr{L}_{fX_1}(\widetilde{g_L})\varphi = \widetilde{g_L}H\varphi - i(g - g_L)\varphi. \tag{3.7}$$

Now take $\varphi \in C^1(M)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(H)$, and set $H_2(\tau) := (i\tau)^{-1}(e^{i\tau H_2} - 1)$ for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Then one has the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle \psi, \left(\frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt\; e^{itH} H_2(\tau) e^{-itH} - H_2(\tau)\right)\varphi\right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle (H-i)\psi, \frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt \int_0^t ds\; \frac{d}{ds} e^{isH}(H+i)^{-1} H_2(\tau)(H-i)^{-1} e^{-isH}(H-i)\varphi\right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle (H-i)\psi, \frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt \int_0^t ds\; e^{isH}(H+i)^{-1}\right. \\ &\quad \left.\times [iH, H_2(\tau)](H-i)^{-1} e^{-isH}(H-i)\varphi\right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle (H-i)\psi, -\frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt \int_0^t ds\; e^{isH}(H-i)(H+i)^{-1}\right. \\ &\quad \left.\times [i(H-i)^{-1}, H_2(\tau)] e^{-isH}(H-i)\varphi\right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

But we know from Lemma 3.2(b) that $\mathrm{s}-\lim_{\tau\searrow 0}[i(H-i)^{-1}, H_2(\tau)] = -(H-i)^{-1}(Hg+gH)(H-i)^{-1}$ and we know from (3.7) that $H\widetilde{g_L}\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$. So, one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \psi, \frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt\, e^{itH}H_2e^{-itH}\varphi - H_2\varphi \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle (H-i)\psi, \frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt \int_0^t ds\, e^{isH}(H+i)^{-1} \right. \\
&\qquad \left. \times (Hg+gH)(H-i)^{-1}e^{-isH}(H-i)\varphi \right\rangle \\
&= \langle (H-i)\psi, (H+i)^{-1}(H\widetilde{g_L}+\widetilde{g_L}H)(H-i)^{-1}(H-i)\varphi\rangle \\
&= \langle \psi, (H\widetilde{g_L}+\widetilde{g_L}H)\varphi\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the equality

$$\frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt\, e^{itH}H_2e^{-itH}\varphi = H_2\varphi + (H\widetilde{g_L}+\widetilde{g_L}H)\varphi,$$

due to the density of $\mathcal{D}(H)$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Now the equations $\mathrm{div}_\Omega(X_1) = \mathrm{div}_\Omega(X_2) = 0$ and (3.6) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{div}_\Omega X &= \mathrm{div}_\Omega X_2 + \mathrm{div}_\Omega(2\widetilde{g_L} f X_1) = 2\widetilde{g_L}\mathscr{L}_{X_1}(f) + 2f\mathscr{L}_{X_1}(\widetilde{g_L}) \\
&= 2\widetilde{g_L}\mathscr{L}_{X_1}(f) + 2(g-g_L).
\end{aligned}$$

So one infers that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt\, e^{itH}H_2e^{-itH}\varphi &= (-i\mathscr{L}_{X_2} + 2\widetilde{g_L}H + [H, \widetilde{g_L}])\varphi \\
&= (-i\mathscr{L}_{X_2} + 2\widetilde{g_L}f^{1/2}H_1f^{1/2} - if\mathscr{L}_{X_1}(\widetilde{g_L}))\varphi \\
&= -i(\mathscr{L}_{X_2} + 2\widetilde{g_L}f\mathscr{L}_{X_1} + \widetilde{g_L}\mathscr{L}_{X_1}(f) + f\mathscr{L}_{X_1}(\widetilde{g_L}))\varphi \\
&= -i\left(\mathscr{L}_X + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{div}_\Omega X\right)\varphi,
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim.

(b) If $f \in C^3(M; (0,\infty))$, then X is a C^2 vector field on the compact manifold M, and thus X admits a complete flow $\{F_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ with $F_t(p)$ of class C^2 in the p-variable [**2**, §2.1]. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\det_\Omega(F_t) \in C^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ be the unique function satisfying $F_t^*\Omega = \det_\Omega(F_t)\Omega$ [**2**, Definition 2.5.18]. Since F_0 is the identity map, we have $\det_\Omega(F_0) = 1$ and thus $\det_\Omega(F_t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by continuity of $F_t(p)$ in the t-variable (see [**2**, Propositions 2.5.19 and 2.5.20(ii)]). In particular, one can define for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the operator

$$U(t)\varphi := \{\det_\Omega(F_t)\}^{1/2}\varphi \circ F_t, \quad \varphi \in C(M).$$

Some routine computations using [**2**, Proposition 2.5.20] show that $\{U(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ defines a strongly continuous unitary group in $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying $U(t)C^1(M) \subset C^1(M)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, it follows from Nelson's theorem that the generator D of the group $\{U(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is essentially self-adjoint on $C^1(M)$. Furthermore, standard computations (see [**2**, §5.4]) show that

$$D\varphi = -i(\mathscr{L}_X + \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{div}_\Omega X)\varphi$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

for each $\varphi \in C^1(M)$. This, together with (a), shows that the operators D and A_L coincide on $C^1(M)$, and thus that A_L is essentially self-adjoint on $C^1(M)$. □

Remark 3.4. We believe it might be possible to prove the essential self-adjointness of the operator A_L for time changes of class C^2, instead of time changes of class C^3 as presented in Lemma 3.3. In so doing, one would extend all the results of this section to time changes of class C^2, since Lemma 3.3 is the only instance where a regularity assumption stronger than C^2 is needed.

We now prove regularity properties of H and H^2 with respect to A_L.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let $f \in C^3(M; (0, \infty))$, $L > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}$. Then:*

(a) $(H+z)^{-1} \in C^1(A_L)$ *with*

$$[i(H+z)^{-1}, A_L] = -(H+z)^{-1}(Hg_L + g_L H)(H+z)^{-1};$$

(b) $(H^2+1)^{-1} \in C^1(A_L)$ *with*

$$[i(H^2+1)^{-1}, A_L] = -(H^2+1)^{-1}(H^2 g_L + 2Hg_L H + g_L H^2)(H^2+1)^{-1};$$

(c) *the multiplication operator g_L satisfies $g_L \in C^1(A_L)$ with $[ig_L, A_L] = -\mathscr{L}_X(g_L)$;*

(d) $(H^2+1)^{-1} \in C^2(A_L)$.

Proof. (a) Let $\varphi \in C^1(M)$. Then Lemma 3.2(b) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \varphi, i(H+z)^{-1} A_L \varphi\rangle - \langle A_L \varphi, i(H+z)^{-1}\varphi\rangle \\
&\quad = -\frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt \langle e^{-itH}\varphi, (H+z)^{-1}(Hg + gH)(H+z)^{-1} e^{-itH}\varphi\rangle \\
&\quad = -\Big\langle \varphi, (H+z)^{-1}\Big\{ H\Big(\frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt\, e^{itH} g e^{-itH}\Big) \\
&\qquad + \Big(\frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt\, e^{itH} g e^{-itH}\Big) H\Big\}(H+z)^{-1}\varphi\Big\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(1/L)\int_0^L dt\, e^{itH} g e^{-itH} = (1/L)\int_0^L dt\, (g \circ \widetilde{F}_{1,-t}) = g_L$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \varphi, i(H+z)^{-1} A_L \varphi\rangle - \langle A_L \varphi, i(H+z)^{-1}\varphi\rangle \\
&\qquad = -\langle \varphi, (H+z)^{-1}(Hg_L + g_L H)(H+z)^{-1}\varphi\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and one concludes using the density of $C^1(M)$ in $\mathcal{D}(A_L)$.

(b) Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$. Then, it follows from (a) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} e^{-itA_L}(H^2+1)^{-1} e^{itA_L}\varphi\Big|_{t=0} \\
&\quad = \frac{d}{dt} e^{-itA_L}(H+i)^{-1} e^{itA_L} e^{-itA_L}(H-i)^{-1} e^{itA_L}\varphi\Big|_{t=0} \\
&\quad = -(H+i)^{-1}(Hg_L + g_L H)(H+i)^{-1}(H-i)^{-1}\varphi \\
&\qquad - (H+i)^{-1}(H-i)^{-1}(Hg_L + g_L H)(H-i)^{-1}\varphi \\
&\quad = -(H^2+1)^{-1}(H^2 g_L + 2Hg_L H + g_L H^2)(H^2+1)^{-1}\varphi,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the claim.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(c) Let $\varphi \in C^1(M)$. Then we know from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\langle \varphi, ig_L A_L \varphi \rangle - \langle A_L \varphi, ig_L \varphi \rangle = \langle \varphi, [g_L, \mathscr{L}_X + \tfrac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}_\Omega X] \varphi \rangle = \langle \varphi, -\mathscr{L}_X(g_L) \varphi \rangle.$$

Since $\mathscr{L}_X(g_L) \in \mathsf{L}^\infty(M)$, it follows by the density of $C^1(M)$ in $\mathcal{D}(A_L)$ that $g_L \in C^1(A_L)$ with $[ig_L, A_L] = -\mathscr{L}_X(g_L)$.

(d) Direct computations using (b) show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[i(H^2+1)^{-1}, A_L] \\
&\quad = -(H^2+1)^{-1}\{(H^2+1)g_L + 2(H+i)g_L(H-i) \\
&\qquad + 2i(H+i)g_L - 2ig_L(H-i) + g_L(H^2+1)\}(H^2+1)^{-1} \\
&\quad = -2\,\mathsf{Re}\{g_L(H^2+1)^{-1} + 2i(H-i)^{-1}g_L(H^2+1)^{-1} + (H-i)^{-1}g_L(H+i)^{-1}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we know from (a)–(c) that the operators g_L, $(H^2+1)^{-1}$, $(H+i)^{-1}$ and $(H-i)^{-1}$ belong to $C^1(A_L)$. So one infers from standard results on the space $C^1(A_L)$ (see [**4**, Proposition 5.1.5]) that $[i(H^2+1)^{-1}, A_L]$ also belongs to $C^1(A_L)$. □

In order to apply the theory of §2, one has to prove at some point a positive commutator estimate. If the function f were the constant function $f \equiv 1$, then one would have the equalities $H = H_1$,

$$A_L = \frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt\, e^{itH_1} H_2 e^{-itH_1} = H_2 + \frac{1}{L}\int_0^L dt\, tH_1 = H_2 + \frac{LH_1}{2},$$

and

$$[iH^2, A_L] = \left[iH_1^2, H_2 + \frac{LH_1}{2}\right] = 2H_1^2$$

due to (3.3). Therefore, one would immediately obtain a strict Mourre estimate for $H^2 \equiv H_1^2$. This suggests that we study the positivity of the commutator $[iH^2, A_L]$ also in the case $f \not\equiv 1$. A glimpse at Lemma 3.5(b) tells us that $[iH^2, A_L]$ is equal to the operator $H^2 g_L + 2Hg_L H + g_L H^2$, which does not seem to exhibit any explicit positivity. However, if the function g_L were positive, then all the operators g_L, H^2 and $Hg_L H$ would be positive, and thus the sum $H^2 g_L + 2Hg_L H + g_L H^2$ would be more likely to be positive as a whole. In fact, this is exactly what happens and this was the whole point of choosing the conjugate operator A_L as we did. Thanks to the unique ergodicity of the horocycle flow, one has $g_L > 0$ if $L > 0$ is large enough and the operator H^2 satisfies a strict Mourre estimate with respect to A_L.

LEMMA 3.6. (Strict Mourre estimate for H^2) *Let $f \in C^3(M; (0,\infty))$ and take $L > 0$ large enough. Then, $g_L > 0$ and one has for each bounded Borel set $J \subset (0,\infty)$ that*

$$E^{H^2}(J)[iH^2, A_L]E^{H^2}(J) \geq aE^{H^2}(J) \quad \text{with } a := 2\inf(J) \cdot \inf_{p\in M} g_L(p) > 0.$$

Proof. (i) The horocycle flow $\{F_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is uniquely ergodic with respect to the measure μ_Ω [**23**]. Therefore, we know from the theory of time changes on compact metric spaces [**32**, Proposition 3] that the flow $\{\widetilde{F}_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is also uniquely ergodic with respect to the measure

$$d\widetilde{\mu}_\Omega := \frac{f^{-1}\, d\mu_\Omega}{\int_M f^{-1}\, d\mu_\Omega}.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

It follows that (see [**49**, Proposition 1.3.4])

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{L\to\infty} g_L &= \lim_{L\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2L}\int_0^L dt\, \mathscr{L}_{X_2}(\ln(f)) \circ \widetilde{F}_{1,-t}\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\int_M d\widetilde{\mu}_\Omega\, \mathscr{L}_{X_2}(\ln(f))\\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\int_M f^{-1}\, d\mu_\Omega}\int_M d\mu_\Omega\, \mathscr{L}_{X_2}(f^{-1})\\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2\int_M f^{-1}\, d\mu_\Omega}\langle 1,\, H_2 f^{-1}\rangle\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\end{aligned}$$

uniformly on M. Thus, $g_L > 0$ if $L > 0$ is large enough.

(ii) We know from equation (2.2) and Lemma 3.5(b) that

$$E^{H^2}(J)[iH^2,\, A_L]E^{H^2}(J) = E^{H^2}(J)(H^2 g_L + 2Hg_L H + g_L H^2)E^{H^2}(J).$$

But point (i) implies that

$$E^{H^2}(J)2Hg_L H E^{H^2}(J) \geq aE^{H^2}(J) \quad \text{with } a = 2\inf(J)\cdot \inf_{p\in M} g_L(p) > 0.$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to show that $E^{H^2}(J)(H^2 g_L + g_L H^2)E^{H^2}(J) \geq 0$.

So, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ let $H_\varepsilon^2 := H^2(\varepsilon^2 H^2 + 1)^{-1}$ and $H_\varepsilon^\pm := H(\varepsilon H \pm i)^{-1}$. Then, the inclusion $g_L^{1/2} \in C^1(H)$ (which can be proved as in Lemma 3.5(c)) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{s}-\lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}[H_\varepsilon^\pm,\, g_L^{1/2}] &= \pm\text{s}-\lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}(\varepsilon H \pm i)^{-1}[iH,\, g_L^{1/2}](\varepsilon H \pm i)^{-1}\\ &= \pm i[g_L^{1/2},\, H].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\varphi,\, E^{H^2}(J)(H^2 g_L + g_L H^2)E^{H^2}(J)\varphi\rangle\\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\langle\varphi,\, E^{H^2}(J)(H_\varepsilon^2 g_L^{1/2} g_L^{1/2} + g_L^{1/2} g_L^{1/2} H_\varepsilon^2)E^{H^2}(J)\varphi\rangle\\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\langle\varphi,\, E^{H^2}(J)([H_\varepsilon^2,\, g_L^{1/2}3]g_L^{1/2} + 2g_L^{1/2} H_\varepsilon^2 g_L^{1/2} + g_L^{1/2}[g_L^{1/2},\, H_\varepsilon^2])E^{H^2}(J)\varphi\rangle\\ &\geq \lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\langle\varphi,\, E^{H^2}(J)([H_\varepsilon^2,\, g_L^{1/2}]g_L^{1/2} + g_L^{1/2}[g_L^{1/2},\, H_\varepsilon^2])E^{H^2}(J)\varphi\rangle\\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\langle\varphi,\, E^{H^2}(J)(H_\varepsilon^+[H_\varepsilon^-,\, g_L^{1/2}]g_L^{1/2} + [H_\varepsilon^+,\, g_L^{1/2}]H_\varepsilon^- g_L^{1/2}\\ &\quad + g_L^{1/2}[g_L^{1/2},\, H_\varepsilon^+]H_\varepsilon^- + g_L^{1/2} H_\varepsilon^+[g_L^{1/2},\, H_\varepsilon^-])E^{H^2}(J)\varphi\rangle\\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\langle\varphi,\, E^{H^2}(J)(H[H,\, g_L^{1/2}]g_L^{1/2} + [H_\varepsilon^+,\, g_L^{1/2}]g_L^{1/2} H_\varepsilon^- + [H_\varepsilon^+,\, g_L^{1/2}][H_\varepsilon^-,\, g_L^{1/2}]\\ &\quad + g_L^{1/2}[g_L^{1/2},\, H]H + H_\varepsilon^+ g_L^{1/2}[g_L^{1/2},\, H_\varepsilon^-] + [g_L^{1/2},\, H_\varepsilon^+][g_L^{1/2},\, H_\varepsilon^-])E^{H^2}(J)\varphi\rangle\end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \langle \varphi,\, E^{H^2}(J)(H[H,\, g_L^{1/2}]g_L^{1/2} + [H,\, g_L^{1/2}]g_L^{1/2}H + 2[H,\, g_L^{1/2}]^2 + g_L^{1/2}[g_L^{1/2},\, H]H \\
&\quad + Hg_L^{1/2}[g_L^{1/2},\, H])E^{H^2}(J)\varphi\rangle \\
&= \langle \varphi,\, E^{H^2}(J)2[H,\, g_L^{1/2}]^2 E^{H^2}(J)\varphi\rangle \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the claim. □

Using the previous results for H^2, one can finally determine the structure of the spectrum of H (and thus that of $\widetilde{H}$).

THEOREM 3.7. (Spectral properties of H) *Let $f \in C^3(M;\, (0,\, \infty))$. Then H has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, except at 0, where it has a simple eigenvalue with eigenspace $\mathbb{C} \cdot f^{-1/2}$. In particular, the self-adjoint operator $\widetilde{H}$ associated to the vector field fX_1 has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, except at 0, where it has a simple eigenvalue with eigenspace $\mathbb{C} \cdot 1$.*

Proof. We know from Lemmas 3.5(d) and 3.6 that $(H^2 + 1)^{-1} \in C^2(A_L)$ and that H^2 satisfies a strict Mourre estimate on each bounded Borel subset of $(0,\, \infty)$. It follows by Theorem 2.1 that H^2 has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, except at 0, where it may have an eigenvalue. Accordingly, the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ admits the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \ker(H^2) \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ac}}(H^2),$$

with $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ac}}(H^2)$ the subspace of absolute continuity of H^2.

Now the function $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^2$ has the Luzin N property on $\mathbb{R}$; namely, if J is a Borel subset of $\mathbb{R}$ with Lebesgue measure zero, then J^2 also has Lebesgue measure zero. It follows that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ac}}(H^2) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ac}}(H)$, with $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ac}}(H)$ the subspace of absolute continuity of H (see [**6**, Proposition 29, §3.5.4]). Furthermore, we have that

$$\ker(H^2) = \ker(H) = \mathscr{U}^* \ker(\widetilde{H}) = \mathbb{C} \cdot f^{-1/2}$$

due to the equality $H = \mathscr{U}^* \widetilde{H} \mathscr{U}$ and the ergodicity of the flow $\{\widetilde{F}_{1,t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. We thus infer that

$$\mathcal{H} = \ker(H^2) \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ac}}(H^2) \subset \ker(H) \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ac}}(H).$$

So, one necessarily has $\mathcal{H} = \ker(H) \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ac}}(H)$, meaning that H has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, except at 0, where it has a simple eigenvalue with eigenspace $\ker(H) \equiv \mathbb{C} \cdot f^{-1/2}$. Since $H = \mathscr{U}^* \widetilde{H} \mathscr{U}$, this implies that $\widetilde{H}$ has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, except at 0, where it has a simple eigenvalue with eigenspace $\mathbb{C} \cdot 1$. □

Theorem 3.7 establishes the absolute continuity of time changes of horocycle flows on compact surfaces of constant negative curvature for time changes of class C^3. This improves [**18**, Theorem 6], where Forni and Ulcigrai show the same result for time changes in a Sobolev space of order greater than $11/2$ (under the same assumption, the authors of [**18**] also show that the maximal spectral type is equivalent to Lebesgue). This also complements [**51**, Theorem 4.2], where the absolute continuity is shown for surfaces

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

of finite volume and time changes of class C^2 under the additional condition of A. G. Kushnirenko.

We note that it would be interesting to see if the techniques of this section could be adapted to the case of horocycle flows on surfaces of finite volume or surfaces of non-constant negative curvature. In the former case one would have to deal with the fact that the horocycle flow is not uniquely ergodic (see [**13, 14**]), while in the latter case one would have to deal with the fact that the horocycle flow is uniquely ergodic, but with the Margulis parametrization and with respect to the Bowen–Margulis measure (see [**12, 42**]).

4. *Skew products over translations*

Let X be a compact metric abelian Banach Lie group with normalized Haar measure μ (such a group is isomorphic to a subgroup of the torus $\mathbb{T}^{\aleph_0}$; see [**31**, Theorem 8.45]). Take $\{y_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ a C^1 one-parameter subgroup of X and let $\{F_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be the corresponding translation flow, that is,

$$F_t(x) := y_t x, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},\ x \in X.$$

Assume that the translation F_1 is ergodic (so that both flows $\{F_\ell\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{F_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ are uniquely ergodic; see [**11**, Theorem 4.1.1] and [**39**, §1.2.2]) and associate to $\{F_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ the operators

$$V_t\varphi := \varphi \circ F_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},\ \varphi \in C(X).$$

Due to the continuity of the map $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto y_t \in X$ and the smoothness of the group operation, the family $\{V_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ extends to a strongly continuous unitary group in $\mathcal{H} := \mathsf{L}^2(X, \mu)$ satisfying $V_t C^\infty(X) \subset C^\infty(X)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows from Nelson's theorem [**3**, Proposition 5.3] that the generator of the group $\{V_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$,

$$H\varphi := \mathrm{s}\text{-}\lim_{t\to 0} it^{-1}(V_t - 1)\varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(H) := \left\{\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \;\middle|\; \lim_{t\to 0} |t|^{-1}\|(V_t - 1)\varphi\| < \infty\right\},$$

is essentially self-adjoint on $C^\infty(X)$, and one has

$$H\varphi := -i\mathscr{L}_Y\varphi, \quad \varphi \in C^\infty(X),$$

with Y the C^0 divergence-free vector field associated to $\{F_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathscr{L}_Y$ the corresponding Lie derivative. Furthermore, the operator V_1 has pure point spectrum $\sigma(V_1) = \overline{\{\gamma(y_1) \mid \gamma \in \widehat{X}\}}$, with $\widehat{X}$ the character group of X (see [**52**, Theorem 3.5]).

Now let G be a compact metric abelian group with Haar measure ν and character group $\widehat{G}$, and let $\phi : X \to G$ be a measurable function (a cocycle). Then one can define the skew product $T : X \times G \to X \times G$ given by $T(x, z) := (y_1 x, \phi(x)z)$ and the corresponding unitary operator

$$W\psi := \psi \circ T, \quad \psi \in \mathsf{L}^2(X \times G, \mu \times \nu). \tag{4.1}$$

It is known [**25**, §3.1] that the operator W is reduced by the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathsf{L}^2(X \times G, \mu \times \nu) = \bigoplus_{\chi\in\widehat{G}} L_\chi, \quad L_\chi := \{\varphi \otimes \chi \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{H}\},$$

and that the restriction $W|_{L_\chi}$ is unitarily equivalent to the unitary operator

$$U_\chi\varphi := (\chi \circ \phi)V_1\varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{H}.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Furthermore, the operator U_χ satisfies the following purity law: the spectrum of U_χ has uniform multiplicity and is either purely punctual, purely singular continuous or purely Lebesgue (this follows from Helson's analysis [**30**]; see [**27**, Theorem 2], [**26**, Theorem 4] and [**41**, p. 8560]).

In the following, we treat the case where the cocycle ϕ satisfies the following assumption.

Assumption 4.1. (Cocycle) The map $\phi : X \to G$ satisfies $\phi = \xi\eta$, where:

(i) $\xi : X \to G$ is a continuous group homomorphism;

(ii) $\eta \in C(X; G)$ has a Lie derivative $\mathscr{L}_Y(\chi \circ \eta)$ which satisfies the following Dini-type condition along the flow $\{F_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$:

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \|\mathscr{L}_Y(\chi \circ \eta) \circ F_t - \mathscr{L}_Y(\chi \circ \eta)\|_{\mathsf{L}^\infty(X)}$$
$$= \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \|V_t \mathscr{L}_Y(\chi \circ \eta) V_{-t} - \mathscr{L}_Y(\chi \circ \eta)\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})} < \infty.$$

We start the analysis with a first lemma on the regularity of the operators U_χ. We use the fact that the map $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto (\chi \circ \xi)(y_t) \in \mathbb{S}^1$ is a character on $\mathbb{R}$, and thus of class C^∞. We also use the notation

$$\xi_0 := \frac{d}{dt}(\chi \circ \xi)(y_t)\Big|_{t=0}, \quad g := |\xi_0|^2 - \xi_0 \frac{\mathscr{L}_Y(\chi \circ \eta)}{\chi \circ \eta} \quad \text{and} \quad A := -i\xi_0 H,$$

and observe that $\xi_0 \in i\mathbb{R}$, that $g : X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Dini-type condition along $\{F_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$, that A is self-adjoint with $\mathcal{D}(A) \supset \mathcal{D}(H)$ and that ξ_0, g and A depend on χ (even if we do not specify this in the notation).

LEMMA 4.2. *Let ϕ satisfy Assumption 4.1. Then $U_\chi \in C^{1+0}(A)$ with $[A, U_\chi] = gU_\chi$.*

Proof. Since A and V_1 commute, one has for each $\varphi \in C^\infty(X)$ that

$$\langle A\varphi, U_\chi\varphi\rangle - \langle \varphi, U_\chi A\varphi\rangle = \langle \varphi, [A, \chi \circ \phi]V_1\varphi\rangle = \langle \varphi, -\xi_0 \mathscr{L}_Y(\chi \circ \phi)V_1\varphi\rangle.$$

Furthermore, the homomorphism property of χ and ξ and the Leibniz rule for Lie derivatives imply that

$$\mathscr{L}_Y(\chi \circ \phi) = \mathscr{L}_Y(\chi \circ \xi)(\chi \circ \eta) + (\chi \circ \xi)\mathscr{L}_Y(\chi \circ \eta) = \left(\xi_0 + \frac{\mathscr{L}_Y(\chi \circ \eta)}{\chi \circ \eta}\right)(\chi \circ \phi).$$

It follows that

$$\langle A\varphi, U_\chi\varphi\rangle - \langle \varphi, U_\chi A\varphi\rangle = \langle \varphi, gU_\chi\varphi\rangle,$$

with $g \in \mathsf{L}^\infty(X)$. So one has $U_\chi \in C^1(A)$ with $[A, U_\chi] = gU_\chi$ due to the density of $C^\infty(X)$ in $\mathcal{D}(A)$.

To show that $U_\chi \in C^{1+0}(A)$, one has to check that $\int_0^1 (dt/t)\|e^{-itA}[A, U_\chi]e^{itA} - [A, U_\chi]\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})} < \infty$. But since $[A, U_\chi] = gU_\chi$ with $U_\chi \in C^1(A)$, one is reduced to showing that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \|e^{-itA} g e^{itA} - g\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})} < \infty \iff \int_0^{-i\xi_0} \frac{ds}{s} \|V_s g V_{-s} - g\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})} < \infty,$$

which is is readily verified due to the Dini-type condition satisfied by g. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Since $U_\chi \in C^1(A)$, we know from §2 that the operator

$$A_n\varphi := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} U_\chi^{-\ell} A U_\chi^{\ell}\varphi = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} U_\chi^{-\ell}[A, U_\chi^{\ell}]\varphi + A\varphi,$$
$$n \in \mathbb{N}^*,\ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A_n) := \mathcal{D}(A),$$

is self-adjoint. In the next lemma, we prove regularity properties of the operator U_χ with respect to A_n and the strict Mourre estimate for U_χ. The averages of the function g along the flow $\{F_\ell\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}$, that is,

$$g_n := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} g \circ F_{-\ell}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

appear in a natural way.

LEMMA 4.3. (Strict Mourre estimate for U_χ) *Let ϕ satisfy Assumption 4.1 with $\chi \circ \xi \not\equiv 1$, and suppose F_1 is ergodic. Then:*

(a) *$U_\chi \in C^{1+0}(A_n)$ with $[A_n, U_\chi] = g_n U_\chi$;*

(b) *if n is large enough, $g_n > 0$ and $(U_\chi)^*\big[A_n, U_\chi\big] \geq a$ with $a := \inf_{x\in X} g_n(x) > 0$.*

Proof. (a) We know from Lemma 4.2 that $U_\chi \in C^{1+0}(A)$. So it follows from the abstract result [**17**, Lemma 4.1] that $U_\chi \in C^{1+0}(A_n)$ with $[A_n, U_\chi] = (1/n)\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} U_\chi^{-\ell}[A, U_\chi]U_\chi^{\ell}$. Using the equality $[A, U_\chi] = gU_\chi$, one thus obtains that

$$[A_n, U_\chi] = \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} U_\chi^{-\ell} g U_\chi^{\ell}\right)U_\chi = \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} g \circ F_{-\ell}\right)U_\chi = g_n U_\chi,$$

which concludes the proof of the claim.

(b) Due to the unique ergodicity of the discrete flow $\{F_\ell\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}$, we know that $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n = \int_X d\mu\ g$ uniformly on X. Using the fact that $\chi \circ \eta = e^{if_{\chi,\eta}}$ for some real function $f_{\chi,\eta} \in \mathcal{D}(H)$, we thus deduce that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n = \int_X d\mu\ g = |\xi_0|^2 - \xi_0 \int_X d\mu\ \frac{\mathscr{L}_Y(\chi \circ \eta)}{\chi \circ \eta} = |\xi_0|^2 + \xi_0\langle 1, Hf_{\chi,\eta}\rangle = |\xi_0|^2$$

uniformly on X. But, since the character $\chi \circ \xi : X \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is non-trivial, we know that $\xi_0 \neq 0$ due to the unique ergodicity of the continuous flow $\{F_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ (see [**11**, Theorem 4.1.1′]). Therefore, $g_n > 0$ if $n > 0$ is large enough, and (a) implies that

$$(U_\chi)^*[A_n, U_\chi] = (U_\chi)^* g_n U_\chi \geq a,$$

with $a = \inf_{x\in X} g_n(x) > 0$. □

Using the foregoing, we can determine the spectral properties of the operators U_χ and W (recall (4.1) for the definition of W).

THEOREM 4.4. (Spectral properties of U_χ and W) *Let ϕ satisfy Assumption 4.1 with $\chi \circ \xi \not\equiv 1$, and suppose that F_1 is ergodic. Then the operator U_χ has purely Lebesgue spectrum. In particular, if ϕ satisfies Assumption 4.1 for each $\chi \in \widehat{G}$, the restriction of W to the subspace $\bigoplus_{\chi\in\widehat{G},\chi\circ\xi\not\equiv 1} L_\chi \subset \mathsf{L}^2(X \times G, \mu \times \nu)$ has countable Lebesgue spectrum.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. We know from Lemma 4.3 that $U_\chi \in C^{1+0}(A_n)$ and that U_χ satisfies a strict Mourre estimate on all of $\mathbb{S}^1$. It follows by Theorem 2.2 that U_χ has a purely absolutely continuous spectrum, and thus has a purely Lebesgue spectrum due to the purity law. The claim on W follows from the foregoing if one takes into account the separability of the Hilbert space $\mathsf{L}^2(X \times G, \mu \times \nu)$. □

Theorem 4.4 provides a general criterion for the presence of countable Lebesgue spectrum for skew products over translations. In the particular case where $X = \mathbb{T}^d \simeq \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $G = \mathbb{T}^{d'} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{d'}/\mathbb{Z}^{d'}$ for some $d, d' \geq 1$, the flow $\{F_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is given (in additive notation) by

$$F_t(x) := ty + x \ (\text{mod } \mathbb{Z}^d), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \ x \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

for some $y := (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. So, one has $\mathscr{L}_Y = y \cdot \nabla$, and F_1 is ergodic if and only if the numbers $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_d, 1$ are rationally independent [**11**, §3.1]. Furthermore, each group homomorphism $\xi : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{T}^{d'}$ is given by $\xi(x) := Nx \ (\text{mod } \mathbb{Z}^{d'})$ for some $d' \times d$ matrix N with integer entries, and each character $\chi_m \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^{d'}}$ is given by $\chi_m(z) := e^{2\pi i m\cdot z}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{d'}$. Therefore,

$$\chi_m \circ \xi \not\equiv 1 \iff e^{2\pi i m\cdot Nx} \neq 1 \quad \text{for some } x \in \mathbb{T}^d \iff N^{\mathsf{T}} m \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

and we obtain the following corollary of Theorem 4.4.

COROLLARY 4.5. (The case of tori) *Let $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_d, 1 \in \mathbb{R}$ be rationally independent, let $\chi_m \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^{d'}}$ be given by $\chi_m(z) := e^{2\pi i m\cdot z}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{d'}$ and let $\phi : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{T}^{d'}$ satisfy $\phi = \xi + \eta$, where:*

(i) *$\xi : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{T}^{d'}$ is given by $\xi(x) := Nx \ (\text{mod } \mathbb{Z}^{d'})$ for some $d' \times d$ matrix N with integer entries;*

(ii) *$\eta \in C(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{T}^{d'})$ has a derivative $y \cdot \nabla(m \cdot \eta)$ which satisfies the Dini-type condition*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \, \|y \cdot \nabla(m \cdot \eta) \circ F_t - y \cdot \nabla(m \cdot \eta)\|_{\mathsf{L}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)} < \infty. \tag{4.2}$$

Assume also that $N^{\mathsf{T}} m \neq 0$. Then, the operator $U_{\chi_m} \equiv e^{2\pi i m\cdot(\xi+\eta)} V_1$ has purely Lebesgue spectrum. In particular, if ϕ satisfies the previous assumptions for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{d'}$, the restriction of W to the subspace $\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^{d'}, N^{\mathsf{T}}m\neq 0} L_{\chi_m}$ has countable Lebesgue spectrum.

In the case $d = d' = 1$, Corollary 4.5 implies that the restriction of W to $\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}} L_{\chi_m}$ has countable Lebesgue spectrum if $\phi(x) = Nx + \eta(x)$, with $N \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$ and with $\eta \in C^1(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{T})$ such that η' is Dini-continuous. Since the properties of being Dini-continuous and being of bounded variation are mutually independent [**29**, §2], this complements [**35**, Theorem 1], where Iwanik *et al* show the same result under the condition that η is absolutely continuous with η' of bounded variation (see also [**33**, §2] for another sufficient condition given in terms of the Fourier coefficients of η). Results prior to [**35**] along these lines can be found in the papers of Kushnirenko [**40**] and Choe [**10**].

In the case $d, d' \geq 1$, Corollary 4.5 implies that the restriction of W to $\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^{d'}, N^{\mathsf{T}}m\neq 0} L_{\chi_m}$ has countable Lebesgue spectrum if $\phi(x) = Nx + \eta(x)$, with N a $d' \times d$ matrix with integer entries and with $\eta \in C(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{T}^{d'})$ such that $y \cdot \nabla(m \cdot \eta)$ exists and satisfies the Dini-type condition (4.2) for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{d'}$. This complements [**34**, §3],

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where Iwanik shows the same result for functions $\eta \in C^1(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{T}^{d'})$ with Fourier coefficients satisfying some decay assumption (see also the works of Fayad [**16**] and Frączek [**19, 20**] for related results on the spectrum of skew products on tori).

We note that it would be interesting to see if the techniques of this section could be adapted to the case of cocycles taking values in non-abelian groups, such as the case of $\mathsf{SU}(2)$ considered in [**21**].

5. *Furstenberg transformations*

For each integer $n \geq 1$, we denote by μ_n the normalized Haar measure on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n \simeq \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ and we set $\mathcal{H}_n := \mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{T}^n, \mu_n)$ for the corresponding Hilbert space. Furstenberg transformations [**22**, §2.3] are the invertible measure-preserving maps $T_d : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{T}^d$ $(d \geq 2)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_d(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d) := (x_1 + y,\, x_2 + b_{2,1}x_1 + h_1(x_1), \ldots, x_d + b_{d,1}x_1 \\ + \cdots + b_{d,d-1}x_{d-1} + h_{d-1}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{d-1})) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^d}, \end{aligned}$$

where $y \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\mathbb{Q}$, $b_{j,k} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b_{\ell,\ell-1} \neq 0$ for $\ell \in \{2, \ldots, d\}$ and each $h_j : \mathbb{T}^j \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies a uniform Lipschitz condition in the variable x_j. The corresponding Koopman operator

$$W_d : \mathcal{H}_d \to \mathcal{H}_d, \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ T_d, \tag{5.1}$$

is reduced by the orthogonal decompositions

$$\mathcal{H}_d = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \bigoplus_{j\in\{2,\ldots,d\}} (\mathcal{H}_j \cap \mathcal{H}_{j-1}^{\perp}) = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \bigoplus_{j\in\{2,\ldots,d\},\, k\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}} \mathcal{H}_{j,k}, \tag{5.2}$$

where the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_{j,k} \subset \mathcal{H}_j$ are defined by $\mathcal{H}_{j,k} := \overline{\mathrm{Span}}\{\eta \otimes \chi_k \mid \eta \in \mathcal{H}_{j-1})\}$, with $\chi_k \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}}$ the character given by $\chi_k(x_j) := e^{2\pi i k x_j}$ (see [**11**, §13.3] for details). Furthermore, the restriction $W_d|_{\mathcal{H}_{j,k}}$ is unitarily equivalent to the unitary operator given by

$$U_{j,k}\eta := e^{2\pi i k \phi_j} W_{j-1}\eta, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{H}_{j-1}, \tag{5.3}$$

with $\phi_j(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{j-1}) := b_{j,1}x_1 + \cdots + b_{j,j-1}x_{j-1} + h_{j-1}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{j-1})$.

The operators $U_{j,k} = e^{2\pi i k\phi_j} W_{j-1}$ are similar to the operators $U_\chi = (\chi \circ \phi)V_1$ studied in §4. So we apply to them the same method. First, we define an operator (vector field) A which commutes with W_{j-1} and has an appropriate commutator with $e^{2\pi i k\phi_j}$, and then we use as a conjugate operator the average $(1/n)\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} U_{j,k}^{-\ell} A U_{j,k}^{\ell}$ of A along the flow $\{U_{j,k}^{\ell}\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}$ generated by $U_{j,k}$.

We start with the definition of the operator A and then we prove regularity properties of the operators $U_{j,k}$ with respect to A. For this, we recall that the translation group $\{V_{t,j-1}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{j-1}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} &(V_{t,j-1}\eta)(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{j-1}) := \eta(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{j-1} - t \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}), \\ &\quad t \in \mathbb{R},\ \eta \in C(\mathbb{T}^{j-1}), \end{aligned}$$

has self-adjoint generator $P_{j-1} := -i\partial_{j-1}$ which is essentially self-adjoint on $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{j-1})$. Also, for $j \in \{2, \ldots, d\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$, we define

$$g := 1 + (b_{j,j-1})^{-1}\partial_{j-1}h_{j-1} \quad \text{and} \quad A := (2\pi k b_{j,j-1})^{-1} P_{j-1},$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

and observe that A is self-adjoint with $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(P_{j-1})$ and that $g \in \mathsf{L}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{j-1})$ due to the uniform Lipschitz condition satisfied by h_{j-1} in the variable x_{j-1}. We also note that g and A depend on j and k, even if we do not specify this in the notation.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $j \in \{2, \dots, d\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$. Assume that h_{j-1} is of class C^1 in the variable x_{j-1} and that $\partial_{j-1}h_{j-1}$ satisfies the following Dini-type condition in the variable x_{j-1}:*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \, \|V_{t,j-1}(\partial_{j-1}h_{j-1})V_{-t,j-1} - (\partial_{j-1}h_{j-1})\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H}_{j-1})} < \infty. \tag{5.4}$$

Then $U_{j,k} \in C^{1+0}(A)$ with $[A, U_{j,k}] = gU_{j,k}$.

Proof. Since A and W_{j-1} commute and since h_{j-1} satisfies a uniform Lipschitz condition in the variable x_{j-1}, one has for each $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{j-1})$ that

$$\langle A\eta, U_{j,k}\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{j-1}} - \langle \eta, U_{j,k}A\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{j-1}} = \langle \eta, [A, e^{2\pi ik\phi_j}]W_{j-1}\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{j-1}} = \langle \eta, gU_{j,k}\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{j-1}},$$

with $g \in \mathsf{L}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{j-1})$. So $U_{j,k} \in C^1(A)$ with $[A, U_{j,k}] = gU_{j,k}$ due to the density of $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{j-1})$ in $\mathcal{D}(A)$.

To show that $U_{j,k} \in C^{1+0}(A)$, one has to check that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \|e^{-itA}[A, U_{j,k}]e^{itA} - [A, U_{j,k}]\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H}_{j-1})} < \infty.$$

But since $[A, U_{j,k}] = gU_{j,k}$ with $U_{j,k} \in C^1(A)$, one is reduced to showing that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \|e^{-itA}ge^{itA} - g\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H}_{j-1})} < \infty$$

$$\iff \int_0^{(2\pi k b_{j,j-1})^{-1}} \frac{ds}{s} \, \|V_{s,j-1}(\partial_{j-1}h_{j-1})V_{-s,j-1} - (\partial_{j-1}h_{j-1})\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H}_{j-1})} < \infty,$$

which is is readily verified due to the Dini-type condition satisfied by $\partial_{j-1}h_{j-1}$. □

Since $U_{j,k} \in C^1(A)$, we know from §2 that the operator

$$A_n\eta := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} U_{j,k}^{-\ell}AU_{j,k}^{\ell}\eta = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} U_{j,k}^{-\ell}[A, U_{j,k}^{\ell}]\eta + A\eta,$$
$$n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \ \eta \in \mathcal{D}(A_n) := \mathcal{D}(A),$$

is self-adjoint. In the next lemma, we prove regularity properties of the operator $U_{j,k}$ with respect to A_n and the strict Mourre estimate for $U_{j,k}$. The averages of the function g along the flow $\{T_{j-1}^\ell\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}$, that is,

$$g_n := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} g \circ T_{j-1}^{-\ell}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

appear in a natural way.

LEMMA 5.2. (Strict Mourre estimate for $U_{j,k}$) *Let $j \in \{2, \dots, d\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$. Assume that h_{j-1} is of class C^1 in the variable x_{j-1} and that $\partial_{j-1}h_{j-1}$ satisfies the Dini-type condition (5.4). Then:*

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(a) $U_{j,k} \in C^{1+0}(A_n)$ *with* $[A_n, U_{j,k}] = g_n U_{j,k}$*;*
(b) *if* n *is large enough, then* $g_n > 0$ *and* $(U_{j,k})^*[A_n, U_{j,k}] \geq a$ *with* $a := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1}} g_n(x) > 0$.

Proof. (a) We know from Lemma 5.1 that $U_{j,k} \in C^{1+0}(A)$. So it follows from the abstract result [**17**, Lemma 4.1] that $U_{j,k} \in C^{1+0}(A_n)$ with $[A_n, U_{j,k}] = (1/n) \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} U_{j,k}^{-\ell}[A, U_{j,k}]U_{j,k}^{\ell}$. Using the equality $[A, U_{j,k}] = gU_{j,k}$, one thus obtains that

$$[A_n, U_{j,k}] = \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} U_{j,k}^{-\ell} g U_{j,k}^{\ell}\right) U_{j,k} = \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} g \circ T_{j-1}^{-\ell}\right) U_{j,k} = g_n U_{j,k},$$

which concludes the proof of the claim.

(b) It is known from [**22**, Theorem 2.1] that the transformation T_{j-1} is uniquely ergodic. So one has that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{j-1}} d\mu_{j-1}\, g = 1 + i(b_{j,j-1})^{-1}\langle 1,\, P_{j-1}h_{j-1}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{j-1}} = 1$$

uniformly on $\mathbb{T}^{j-1}$. Therefore, $g_n > 0$ if n is large enough, and (a) implies that

$$(U_{j,k})^*[A_n, U_{j,k}] = (U_{j,k})^* g_n U_{j,k} \geq a,$$

with $a = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1}} g_n(x) > 0$. □

Using the foregoing, we can determine the spectral properties of the operator W_d (recall (5.1) for the definition of W_d).

THEOREM 5.3. (Spectral properties of W_d) *For each* $j \in \{2, \ldots, d\}$*, assume that* h_{j-1} *is of class* C^1 *in the variable* x_{j-1} *and that* $\partial_{j-1}h_{j-1}$ *satisfies the Dini-type condition (5.4). Then* W_d *has countable Lebesgue spectrum in the orthocomplement of* $\mathcal{H}_1$.

Proof. Let $j \in \{2, \ldots, d\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$. Then we know from Lemma 5.2 that $U_{j,k} \in C^{1+0}(A_n)$ and that $U_{j,k}$ satisfies a strict Mourre estimate on all of $\mathbb{S}^1$. It follows by Theorem 2.2 that $U_{j,k}$ has a purely absolutely continuous spectrum in $\mathcal{H}_{j-1}$, and thus that W_d has purely purely absolutely continuous spectrum in the orthocomplement of $\mathcal{H}_1$ due to the orthogonal decomposition (5.2). Since W_1 has pure point spectrum and T_d is ergodic, it follows from the standard purity law (see [**25**, Theorem 8]) that W_d has countable Lebesgue spectrum in the orthocomplement of $\mathcal{H}_1$. □

Theorem 5.3 complements [**35**, Corollary 3], where Iwanik *et al* show that W_d has countable Lebesgue spectrum in the orthocomplement of $\mathcal{H}_1$ under the assumption (independent of the Dini-type condition (5.4)) that $\partial_{j-1}h_{j-1}$ is of bounded variation in the variable x_{j-1}.

As a final comment, we note that it would be interesting to see if the techniques of this section could be adapted to variants of Furstenberg transformations (such as those studied in [**28, 36, 44**]).

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Acknowledgements. The author thanks S. Richard for his idea of taking into account the unique ergodicity in [**17**, §3.3]; this partly motivated the present paper. Supported by the Chilean Fondecyt Grant 1090008 and by the Iniciativa Científica Milenio ICM P07-027-F 'Mathematical Theory of Quantum and Classical Magnetic Systems' from the Chilean Ministry of Economy.

References

[1] B. Abadie and K. Dykema. Unique ergodicity of free shifts and some other automorphisms of C^*-algebras. *J. Operator Theory* **61**(2) (2009), 279–294.

[2] R. Abraham and J. E. Marsden. *Foundations of Mechanics*, 2nd edn. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Reading, MA, 1978, revised and enlarged, with the assistance of Tudor Raţiu and Richard Cushman.

[3] W. O. Amrein. *Hilbert Space Methods in Quantum Mechanics (Fundamental Sciences)*. EPFL Press, Lausanne, 2009.

[4] W. O. Amrein, A. Boutet de Monvel and V. Georgescu. *C_0-groups, Commutator Methods and Spectral Theory of N-body Hamiltonians (Progress in Mathematics, 135)*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.

[5] M. A. Astaburuaga, O. Bourget, V. H. Cortés and C. Fernández. Floquet operators without singular continuous spectrum. *J. Funct. Anal.* **238**(2) (2006), 489–517.

[6] H. Baumgärtel and M. Wollenberg. *Mathematical Scattering Theory (Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 9)*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.

[7] M. B. Bekka and M. Mayer. *Ergodic Theory and Topological Dynamics of Group Actions on Homogeneous Spaces (London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 269)*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[8] A. Boutet de Monvel and V. Georgescu. The method of differential inequalities. *Recent Developments in Quantum Mechanics (Poiana Braşov, 1989) (Mathematical Physics Studies, 12)*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1991, pp. 279–298.

[9] A. Boutet de Monvel and M. Mantoiu. The method of the weakly conjugate operator. *Inverse and Algebraic Quantum Scattering Theory (Lake Balaton, 1996) (Lecture Notes in Physics, 488)*. Springer, Berlin, 1997, pp. 204–226.

[10] G. H. Choe. Spectral properties of cocycles. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1987. *PhD Thesis*, University of California, Berkeley.

[11] I. P. Cornfeld, S. V. Fomin and Ya. G. Sinaĭ. *Ergodic Theory (Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 245)*. Springer, New York, 1982, translated from the Russian by A. B. Sosinskiĭ.

[12] Y. Coudène. A short proof of the unique ergodicity of horocyclic flows. *Ergodic Theory (Contemporary Mathematics, 485)*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 85–89.

[13] S. G. Dani. Invariant measures and minimal sets of horospherical flows. *Invent. Math.* **64**(2) (1981), 357–385.

[14] S. G. Dani and J. Smillie. Uniform distribution of horocycle orbits for Fuchsian groups. *Duke Math. J.* **51**(1) (1984), 185–194.

[15] J. Dereziński and C. Gérard. *Scattering Theory of Classical and Quantum N-particle Systems (Texts and Monographs in Physics)*. Springer, Berlin, 1997.

[16] B. R. Fayad. Skew products over translations on $\mathbf{T}^d$, $d \geq 2$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130**(1) (2002), 103–109; electronic.

[17] C. Fernández, S. Richard and R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. Commutator methods for unitary operators. *J. Spectr. Theory* **3**(3) (2013), 271–292.

[18] G. Forni and C. Ulcigrai. Time-changes of horocycle flows. *J. Mod. Dyn.* **6**(2) (2012), 251–273.

[19] K. Frączek. Spectral properties of cocycles over rotations. *Master's thesis*, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Toruń, 1995. *Preprint* on http://www-users.mat.umk.pl/~fraczek/SPECPROP.pdf.

[20] K. Frączek. Circle extensions of $\mathbf{Z}^d$-rotations on the d-dimensional torus. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **61**(1) (2000), 139–162.

[21] K. Frączek. On cocycles with values in the group SU(2). *Monatsh. Math.* **131**(4) (2000), 279–307.

[22] H. Furstenberg. Strict ergodicity and transformation of the torus. *Amer. J. Math.* **83** (1961), 573–601.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press

[23] H. Furstenberg. The unique ergodicity of the horocycle flow. *Recent Advances in Topological Dynamics (Proc. Conf., Yale University, New Haven, CN, 1972; in honor of Gustav Arnold Hedlund) (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 318)*. Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 95–115.

[24] V. Georgescu, C. Gérard and J. S. Møller. Commutators, C_0-semigroups and resolvent estimates. *J. Funct. Anal.* **216**(2) (2004), 303–361.

[25] G. R. Goodson. A survey of recent results in the spectral theory of ergodic dynamical systems. *J. Dyn. Control Syst.* **5**(2) (1999), 173–226.

[26] P. J. Grabner and P. Liardet. Harmonic properties of the sum-of-digits function for complex bases. *Acta Arith.* **91**(4) (1999), 329–349.

[27] A. L. Gromov. Spectral classification of some types of unitary weighted shift operators. *Algebra i Analiz* **3**(5) (1991), 62–87.

[28] F. J. Hahn. Skew product transformations and the algebras generated by $\exp(p(n))$. *Illinois J. Math.* **9** (1965), 178–190.

[29] G. H. Hardy. On certain criteria for the convergence of the Fourier series of a continuous function. *Messenger of Math.* **49** (1920), 149–155.

[30] H. Helson. Cocycles on the circle. *J. Operator Theory* **16**(1) (1986), 189–199.

[31] K. H. Hofmann and S. A. Morris. *The Structure of Compact Groups (de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 25)*, augmented edition. Walter de Gruyter & Co, Berlin, 2006.

[32] P. D. Humphries. Change of velocity in dynamical systems. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **7** (1974), 747–757.

[33] A. Iwanik. Anzai skew products with Lebesgue component of infinite multiplicity. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **29**(2) (1997), 195–199.

[34] A. Iwanik. Spectral properties of skew-product diffeomorphisms of tori. *Colloq. Math.* **72**(2) (1997), 223–235.

[35] A. Iwanik, M. Lemańczyk and D. Rudolph. Absolutely continuous cocycles over irrational rotations. *Israel J. Math.* **83**(1–2) (1993), 73–95.

[36] A. Jabbari and H. R. E. Vishki. Skew-product dynamical systems, Ellis groups and topological centre. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **79**(1) (2009), 129–145.

[37] A. Jensen, É Mourre and P. Perry. Multiple commutator estimates and resolvent smoothness in quantum scattering theory. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* **41**(2) (1984), 207–225.

[38] A. Katok and J.-P. Thouvenot. Spectral properties and combinatorial constructions in ergodic theory. *Handbook of Dynamical Systems.* Vol. 1B. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006, pp. 649–743.

[39] U. Krengel. *Ergodic Theorems (de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 6)*. Walter de Gruyter & Co, Berlin, 1985, with a supplement by Antoine Brunel.

[40] A. G. Kushnirenko. Spectral properties of certain dynamical systems with polynomial dispersal. *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* **29**(1) (1974), 82–87.

[41] M. Lemańczyk. Spectral theory of dynamical systems. *Encyclopedia of Complexity and System Science*. Springer, New York, 2009, pp. 8554–8575.

[42] B. Marcus. Unique ergodicity of the horocycle flow: variable negative curvature case. *Israel J. Math.* **21**(2–3) (1975), 133–144; *Conference on Ergodic Theory and Topological Dynamics (Kibbutz Lavi, 1974)*.

[43] B. Marcus. The horocycle flow is mixing of all degrees. *Invent. Math.* **46**(3) (1978), 201–209.

[44] P. Milnes. Ellis groups and group extensions. *Houston J. Math.* **12**(1) (1986), 87–108.

[45] É Mourre. Absence of singular continuous spectrum for certain selfadjoint operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **78**(3) (1980/81), 391–408.

[46] O. S. Parasyuk. Flows of horocycles on surfaces of constant negative curvature. *Uspehi Matem. Nauk (N.S.)* **8**(3(55)) (1953), 125–126.

[47] P. Perry, I. M. Sigal and B. Simon. Spectral analysis of N-body Schrödinger operators. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **114**(3) (1981), 519–567.

[48] C. R. Putnam. *Commutation Properties of Hilbert Space Operators and Related Topics (Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 36)*. Springer, New York, 1967.

[49] J.-F. Quint. Examples of unique ergodicity of algebraic flows. http://www.math.univ-paris13.fr/~quint/publications/courschine.pdf.

[50] J. Sahbani. The conjugate operator method for locally regular Hamiltonians. *J. Operator Theory* **38**(2) (1997), 297–322.

[51] R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. Spectral analysis of time changes of horocycle flows. *J. Mod. Dyn.* **6**(2) (2012), 275–285.

[52] P. Walters. *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory (Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 79)*. Springer, New York, 1982.

https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.76 Published online by Cambridge University Press