From the Editor

In this issue, *Law & Society Review* returns to the practice of publishing traditional book reviews. Book Review Editor Elizabeth Heger Boyle decided to change back to this format in order to provide reviews on a larger number of books being written by sociolegal scholars. We expect to publish about 40 reviews a year (roughly 10 per volume). We do anticipate that an occasional review essay may be included in the review section, and persons who have ideas for possible review essays should contact Professor Boyle.

As this issue goes to the publisher, the first issue for Volume 41 (2007) has been filled, and by the time that subscribers receive this issue, it is likely that three of the four issues for Volume 41 will be complete, or at least very close to complete. As many of you have read in the *Law and Society Association Newsletter*, Carroll Seron of the University of California at Irvine has been selected as the editor of Volumes 42–44. She brings to the position a broad range of research experience and skills, and I am delighted to turn the editor's role over to someone with Carroll's impressive qualifications.

One of the major changes during my editorship has been the move to an online system for the submission and reviewing of manuscripts. This system made it possible for me to continue my work as editor uninterrupted during a nine-month period when I was away from Madison. Our online system will also make possible a different kind of transition from one editor to the next. About the time you receive this issue of the *Review*, Carroll will begin assigning referees and making decisions on new manuscripts submitted for review. At the same time, I will continue to make decisions on manuscripts already under review and handle the assignment of reviewers for and decisions on manuscripts that are resubmitted based on directions I have given to authors. Around the beginning of 2007, my last issue will have been filled, and at that point Carroll will assume responsibility for decisions on all manuscripts. Our hope is that very few authors will find themselves in the situation of responding to a "revise and resubmit" decision that I have made but then having the final decision on the manuscript made by the new editor.

Law & Society Review, Volume 40, Number 3 (2006)
© 2006 by The Law and Society Association. All rights reserved.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5893.2006.00270.x Published online by Cambridge University Press

As noted above, by the time you are reading this, almost a full year's worth of articles will be in the pipeline for publication. Here is the list of accepted articles as it stands at the last opportunity I had to update it:

Pamela T. Brandwein, "A Judicial Abandonment of Blacks? The Supreme Court and Reconstruction, Reconsidered"

Ronit Dinovitzer and Bryant Garth, "Lawyer Satisfaction in the Process of Structuring Legal Careers"

Mary E. Gallagher, "Mobilizing the Law in China: 'Informed Disenchantment' and the Development of Legal Consciousness"

Robert Granfield, "The Meaning of Pro Bono: Institutional Variations in Professional Obligations among Lawyers"

John Hagan and Fiona Kay, "Even Lawyers Get the Blues: Gender, Depression, and Job Satisfaction in Legal Practice"

Matthew A. Hennigar, "Why Does the Federal Government Appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada in Charter of Rights Cases?"

Robert M. Howard, Scott E. Graves, and Julianne Flowers, "State Courts, the U.S. Supreme Court, and the Protection of Civil Liberties"

Robert J. Hume, "The Use of Rhetorical Sources by the U.S. Supreme Court"

Lesley Jacobs, "Rights and Quarantine during the SARS Global Health Crisis: Differentiated Legal Consciousness in Hong Kong, Shanghai, and Toronto"

Ryan D. King, "The Context of Minority Group Threat: Race, Institutions, and Complying with Hate Crime Law"

Stefanie A. Lindquist, Wendy L. Martinek, and Virginia A. Hettinger, "Splitting the Difference: Modeling Appellate Court Decisions with Mixed Outcomes"

Sida Liu, "Client Influence and the Contingency of Professionalism: The Work of Elite Corporate Lawyers in China"

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5893.2006.00270.x Published online by Cambridge University Press

Kevin T. McGuire and Andrea McAtee, "Lawyers, Justices, and Issue Salience: When and How Do Legal Arguments Affect the U.S. Supreme Court?"

Leslie Paik, "Organizational Contingencies in Drug Testing"

Emma Phillips, "Maybe Tomorrow I'll Turn Capitalist: *Cuentapropismo* in a Workers' State"

Rebecca L. Sandefur, "Lawyers' Pro Bono Service and American-Style Civil Legal Assistance for the Poor"

Michael A. Smyth, "Queers and Provocateurs: Hegemony, Ideology, and the 'Homosexual Advance' Defense"

John Szmer, Susan W. Johnson, and Tammy A. Sarver, "Does *the* Lawyer Matter? Influencing Outcomes on the Supreme Court of Canada"

Tom R. Tyler, "Reintegrative Shaming, Procedural Justice and Recidivism: The Engagement of Offenders' Psychological Mechanisms in the Canberra RISE Drinking-and-Driving Experiment"

Tom R. Tyler, Patrick E. Callahan, and Jeffrey Frost, "Armed and Dangerous(?): Motivating Rule Adherence among Agents of Social Control"

James E. Willis, Stephen Mastrofski, and David Weisburd, "Making Sense of COMPSTAT: A Theory-Based Analysis of Organizational Change in Three Police Departments"

Andrew Woolford and Stefan Wolejszo, "Collecting on Moral Debts: Reparations for the Holocaust and Pořajmos"

Herbert M. Kritzer
Madison, Wisconsin

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5893.2006.00270.x Published online by Cambridge University Press