Index

Please note that a page number in *italics* denotes a figure, whilst **bold** type indicates a table.

Aarhus Convention (1998), 162, 163
Aarhus Regulation (2006), 162, 164
abortion, 106
access, 235, 239
  legal data in EU institutions, 155–63
access to documents requests, 148, 150, 151, 155, 158, 159, 161, 163
  challenges before courts, 157
  register versus public access, 163
  research method, 151
  strategic delays, 168
accountability, 159, 181, 244
acquis communautaire, 396
acte clair, 30, 278
action for annulment, 66, 120, 167, 287
Adcock, Robert, 201
adjudication
  labour-market model, 285
  team model, 283, 285
Advocates General, 27, 37, 43, 45, 47, 69, 176, 177, 193
  opinions, 124
Al-Skeini v. United Kingdom (2011), 394
Alter, Karen J., 40, 312
  court competition theory, 280, 282, 285, 287
American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), 297
amicus curiae, 265, 303, 306
Andean Community, 283
Andersen, Ellen Ann, 297, 311
annotation for transparent inquiry (ATI), 238, 243
Annual Macro-Economic Database (AMECO), 131
annual reports, 132, 143
anonymity, 135, 226, 231, 234, 235, 239, 240, 241
application programming interfaces (APIs), 286
Archives Project Database, **123**
Armstrong, Kenneth A., 38, 44
Arnold, Christine, 138
Arnull, Anthony, 72, 118
Arsenal v. Reed case (2002), 129
artefactual field experiment, 254
artificial intelligence (AI), 63, 68, 94, 209, 210
Associação Sindical dos Juízes Portugueses (ASJP), 213, 214, 215, 216
asylum
  Asylum Procedures Directive, 396
  asylum shopping, 396
  asylum-seekers, 302, 316, 387, 397
  decision-makers, *400*
  lottery, 396
attitudinal model, 264, **264**, 267
Augsberg, Ino, 34
Australia, 398, 400
Austria, 312, 358, 359
Avril, Lola, 227, 308
Azizi, Josef, 265

Banković v. Belgium (2001), 394
Barroso Commission, 17, 324, 334, 335, 337, 339, 340, 341
Baude, W., 95
Belgium, 70, 298, 299, 303
Berelson, Bernard, 365
Berlin Infringement Database, **124**, 334
Berners-Lee, Tim, 182
between-subject comparisons, 257
Bezirksgerichte, 182
Bidar (2005), 354, 355

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 06:16:24, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009672580.024

Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT), 211, 212, 217
big data, 68, 94, 104, 125, 388
Bishin, Benjamin, 311
black box, 40, 46, 48, 124, 347, 381, 402
black letter, 6, 66
Blauberger, Michael, 358, 359
Börzel, Tanja A., 33, 298, 334, 338
Bosman (1995), 27, 28
Boulaziz, Louisa, 48
Bourdieu, Pierre, 32, 151, 282
Brekke, Stein Arne, 145, 266
Brexit, 60, 160, 250, 257, 289
Brook, Or, xiii, 364–82
Brown, R.L., 106
Bruges, 79
Brunell, Thomas L., 31, 40, 42, 119, 281, 282, 285, 288
Bugarič, Bojan, 377
Bulgaria, 325
Bundestag, 269
bureaucracy, 155
Burley, Anne-Marie, 39, 41, 281
  law as mask and shield, 41
business associations, 297, 312
business disputes, 297
businesses, 299, 303, 306, 315, 316

Cahill-O'Callaghan, Rachel, 228
Caldeira, Gregory A., 138, 269
campaign finance, 105
Canada, 381, 398, 400
Carrubba, Clifford J., 30, 39, 42, 43, **123**, 270, 307, 330
case law, 27, 29, 46, 63, 116, 137, 185, 270, 299
  CJEU, 117–25
  data, 116–30
  erga omnes effects, 44
  national (databases involving EU law), **127**
  national courts, 125–30
  precedential nature, 44
case space model, 47, 49, 50, 51
case studies, 287, 298, 299, 300, 378
Caselex Database, 126
Cassis de Dijon (1979), 27, 51, 221, 287, 312, 328
Castro-Montero, José Luis, **124**, 270
cause groups, 308, **309**, **314**, 317
centralised enforcement, 17, 323–46
  definition, 325
  European Commission, 328–31
  infringement data, 327
  Member State performance, 327–28
Centrality Over Time of CJEU Decisions (database), **122**
Centre for Opposition to Racism (CGKR, Belgium), 137
Centros (1999), 28
Ceselex Database, **128**
CFR. *See* Charter of Fundamental Rights
Chakroun (2010), 358
Chalmers Database, **127**
Chalmers, Damian, 129, 286
Charter of Fundamental Rights of EU
  (Article 18), 391
  (Article 42), 149
  (Article 47), 214, 332
ChatGPT, 93, 94, 223
cherry-picking, 241, 242
Cheruvu, Sivaram, xiii, 16, 48, 138, 249, 257, 263–77, 330
Chicago, 305
Cichowski, Rachel, 307
citation networks, 390–96
citations, 45, 107, 206, 215, 286
Citizens' Rights Directive (2004), 353, 354, 355
citizenship rights, 184
civil law tradition, 264, 380
civil rights, 297, 300, 311
civil servants, 16, 139, 227, 230, 236, 299, 358
CJEU, 7, 14, 38, 152
  academic attention, **314**
  activism, 347
  agenda-setting, 350
  Article 255 panel, 271
  autonomy, 29
  case allocations, 48, 268
  case law (databases), **122**
  cases involving individual litigants (subject matter, 1957-2018), 302
  constrained court, 352–54
  constraints, 270
  decision-making process, 196
  deference to national courts, 204
  deference to national authorities, 204
  dissenting opinions, 47, 380
  dynamic court, 350–52
  expertise, 284
  European integration, 347
  French as working language, 48, 266, 271
  fundamental rights, 65, 66
  grand arrêts, 26
  infringement procedures, 120
  interest groups, 303, 305
  judgments (by procedure), 324
  judges (appointment), 270
  judges (female versus male), 48

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 06:16:24, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009672580.024

judicial behaviour, 16, 263–77
judicial discretion, 42–45
judicial law-making, 39
litigants (preliminary references, 1957-2018), 300, 301
litigation, 162
national administrative practices and enforcement, 357–59
national autonomy, 308, 309
national judicial decisions, 359–61
national legislation, 354–57
per curiam, 265, 271
political pressure, 43
preliminary rulings, 205
procedural decisions, 48
proportionality, 177
public access to legal opinions, 157
public opinion, 138
purposive interpretative style, 83
secrecy, 171
secretariat, 177
survey experiments, 252–53
Clark, Tom S., 49
classification, 217, 219, 221, 286
ClientEarth v. European Commission (2018), 166
codebooks, 183, 187, 188, 189, 199
CODICES Database, 126
codification, 44, 350, 351, 353
coding, 192, 193, 201, 203, 204, 232, 382, 387, 389
coders, 202
coding instructions, 199, 204, 206
coding protocols, 183, 244
contextual knowledge, 207
deductive versus inductive, 199
textual versus interpretive variables, 197, 198
cohesion policy, 149, 161, 165
collegiality, 183, 272
Collier, David, 201
Common Agricultural Policy, 121
Common European Asylum System, 399
Common Market Law Review (CMLR), 70, 314, 315
probability of case commentary, 315
comparative analysis, 210, 398
competition law, 136, 143
complex policy environments, 235–38, 243
compliance, 32, 33, 43, 140, 249, 256, 258, 269, 283, 311, 312, 323, 325, 342, 379
contained, 355
definition, 325
national courts, 129
composite narratives, 234
computational law, 68, 73
aims, 387
capabilities, 388
European migration law, 17, 383–403
potentials and pitfalls, 402–3
pushback, 403
Conant, Lisa, 33, **127**, 128, 302, 312
confidentiality, 136, 240, 242, 244
Čonka v. Belgium (2002), 393
consensus, 23, 46, 68, 217, 239, 266, 401
constitutional courts, 33, 135, 144, 183
Conte, Giuseppe, 136
contextual knowledge, 206, 207, 212
convolutional neural networks, 211, 266
corpora, 209, 223, 386, 389, 391
corporations, 105, 257, 300, 305
corruption, 138, 241, 330
Costa v. ENEL (1964), 32, 287
Council Legal Service, 153, 161
Council of Europe, 126, **128**
Court of Justice of European Union. *See* CJEU
court prestige, 182
COVID-19 pandemic
EU response, 161
recovery package, 97
credibility, 12, 36, 279, 288, 323
Criminal Justice in Cleveland (Pound and Frankfurter, 1922), 91
criminal law, 84, 158
critical self-reflection, 192, 200
Croatia, 134, 139, 140, 144, 287
Curia Database, 5, 117, 119, 120, 175, 183
Cyprus, 325
Czarniawska, Barbara, 233
Czech Republic, 268

Danish Bar Association, 399
Danish Refugee Appeal Board, 17
Dashwood, Alan, 71–72
Dassonville (1974), 28, 312
data. *See also* legal data
access, 148
case law, 116–30
control, 136
definition, 191
expert interviews, 224–44
experts, 133–44
limitations, 284–86
official statistics, reports, documents, 130–33
open questions, 10–12
sources, 191
data and methods, 15–16, 115–259
experimental pathways, 245–59
large language models, 209–23
measurement, 191–208
multi-user databases, 170–90
non-judicial data, 147–69

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 06:16:24, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009672580.024

data infrastructure, 107, 173, 178
'data minimisation' principle, 181
data privacy, 181, 210
data protection, 118, 172, 173, 180, 303
data triangulation, 132, 141, 144, 232
databases, 5
  CJEU case law, **122**
  multi-user, 170–90
  national case law involving EU law, **127**
  shortcomings, 125, 126, 130
  single-user, 192
  Weinshall and Epstein criteria, 172, 175
data-crawling, 279, 286
data-driven analysis, 16, 17
Dataset on Preliminary References in EC Law, **124**
Davies, Gareth, xiii, 15, 74–90, 129, 145, 351
de Capitani v. European Parliament (2018), 162
de Faria, J.R., 213, 215
de Witte, Bruno, 385
Debré, Michel, 27
Dec. Nat. Database. *See* National Decisions Database
Dederke, Julian, 144
deep learning, 16, 208
deference, 183, 187, 189, 192, 198
Delors, Jacques, 334
democratic backsliding, 45, 339
Denmark, 144, 303, 312, 313, 357, 359
  disability law, 137
  consensus culture, 401
  Immigration Service, 399
  Ministry of Immigration, 399
Derlén, Mattias, 26, 121, 389
descriptive statistics, 12, 24, 119, 141
Devine, Daniel, 253
difference-in-difference analysis, 250, 258
Digital Services Act, 258
digitalisation, 172, 286, 365
direct effect, 218, 219
Directives, 71, 72, 337
  compliance deficit, 327
  monitoring transposition, 326, 327
Directorates-General (DGs), 152, 166, 167, 333
dirty data, 158, 167, 168
discourse analysis, 119, 161, 368
dispute resolution, 281, 283
doctrinal legal scholarship, 14, 58, 61, 77, 118, 397
  achievements, 63
  crisis, 83, 85
  dominance, 116
  methods, 59, 63, 66, 67, 73, 385, 388, 392
  relationship with ELS, 58
  revitalisation, 63–69
documents, 137
  non-registered, 168
  official, 132
  'short-lived', 167
Doğan (2014), 358
Dörrenbächer, Nora, 358
Douglas, William O., 91
Dublin Regulation, 393
Dyevre, Arthur, xiii, 17, 119, 121, 132, 250, 266, 270, 278–89, 315, 389
  national courts (doctrinal positions) dataset, 129

Economic and Financial Committee, 155
economic expertise, 196, 198
economics, 9, 80, 131, 245, 284
Egami, N., 220
Egan, Michelle, 303
Ehlermann, Claus-Dieter, 329
Eisner, Julia, 136
Elfving, Sanna, 177
elites, 134, 148, 229, 254
  interviewing, 231
empirical legal research in EU law. *See* empirical legal studies in EU law
empirical legal studies, 15, 38, 58, 62, 65, 66, 74, 91, 145
  aims, 97
  challenges, 1
  collaborative work, 107–8
  concepts and measurement, 191–208
  data and methods, 15–16
  distinctiveness, 93
  eclecticism, 4
  evolution, 92
  experimental approaches, 245
  expert interviews, 228–31
  globalisation, 15, 91–108
  interdisciplinarity, 8–10
  journals, 96
  meaning, 92–95
  modern form (2000s-), 7
  pushback, 34
  quality, 231–32
  quantitative bias, 88
  relevance, 103–7
  research agenda, 5
  scope, 23
  success, 81
  themes, 16–18
  topics, 92
  virtues, 22
empirical legal studies in EU law
  education and impact, 12–14

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 06:16:24, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009672580.024

EU internal market, 17, 364–82
EU law, 1–18
EU litigation, 295–96
functions, 26–33
gaps, 11
influences, 5–8
interdisciplinarity, 349
judicial behaviour, 271–72
multi-user databases, 170–90
national courts, 278–89
objectives, 1–5
open questions (theory and data), 10–12
overview, 1–8
rationale, 21–37
resistance, 21
topics and approaches, 23–26
variation, 23
empirical methods, 59, 73
strengths and weaknesses, 287
empirical turn, 5, 21, 57, 61, 62, 385, 388
empiricism, 4, 8, 24, 65, 89
empty archives, 133, 152, 163–69
Energy Charter Treaty (1994), 159
environment, 84, 325, 340, 341
environmental law, 137, 228, 298, 343
epistemology, 1, 4, 58, 63, 238, 385
Epp, Charles, 302
'litigation support structure' theory, 312
Epstein, Lee, xiii, 15, 91–108, 146, 172, 175, 185, 189, 247, 263, 283, 306
ethics, 159, 230, 232
ethnography, 232, 237
EU
comparison with USA, 295
competence, 149
direction, 84
directives and regulations, 278
disillusionment, 15
internal market law, 364–82
judges, 306–8
judicial construction, 278
law enforcement, 249
litigation, 298–313
litigators, 298–300
neighbouring countries, 130
rules, 328
supranational, 323
EU case law corpus (EUCLCORP), **122**
EU institutions, 1, 5, 46, 58, 69, 76, 79, 80, 147, 149, 246
gaining access to legal data, 155–63
internal legal work, 147
EU Justice Scoreboard, 126, **128**
EU law
autonomous system, 2
complexity, 59–63
concepts and measurement, 191–208
crisis, 84
direct effect, 323
effectiveness, 62
multi-user databases, 170–90
empiral legal studies, 1–18
empirical turn, 5
enforcement, 133, 377
experimental insights, 246–51
expert interviews, 233–43
IUROPA, 173–75
mobilisers, 136
politics, 147–69
primacy, 332
reception by national courts, 278–89
research centres, 86
societal relevance, 175–77
'theological character', 80
EU lawyers, 82–85
EU legal order, 4, 10
foundational elements, 25
EU legal research
debate on methods, 6
qualitative data, 224–44
revitalised doctrinal approach, 63
EU legal scholarship, 75, 76, 85
character, 76–79
data sources (prevalence), *117*
doctrinal and empirical methods, 56
empirical turn, 21
research designs, *142*
scholars, 69–73
EU litigation, 295–317
academic attention, 313–16
downstream effects, 310–16
influences on judges, 304–10
litigators, 296–304
US context, 310–12
EU Pilot programme, 249, 250, 257
EU Treaties, 63, 375, 376
EUR-Lex, 5, 119, 175, 183, 337
Eurobarometer, 131, 137, 138
Eurocrisis, 339
Euro-lawyers, 32, 45, 299, 302
Europe Direct platform, 156
European Arrest Warrant, 302
European Commission, 15, 137, 151, 254
Better Regulation Agenda, 332
delays, 165
enforcement (general), 306, 328–31

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 06:16:24, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009672580.024

European Commission (cont.)
enforcement
policy areas, *341*
priorities, 332–33
referrals to CJEU (1977-2024), 335
referrals to CJEU (type of Act infringed), *336*
trends, 334–38
good governance, 330
'guardian of the Treaties', 324, 326
infringement procedure, 329
institutional strategy, 167
Legal Service, 152, 164, 306, 329
monitoring of compliance, 323
opacity, 330
policy agenda, 88
redactions, 159
registration criteria (Jourová), 167
resources, 329
Rules of Procedure, 153, 164
success, 306, 328
transparency, 333
website, 152
European Commission for Efficiency of Justice, 126, **128**
database, 126
European Council, 15, 143, 151, 163
data retention, 167
European Court of Auditors, 137, 140, 333
European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR), 124, 300, 308, 313, 384, 391, 392
Article 14, 395
Articles 1, 3, and 8, 394
case-citation network, 393
cross-citation with CJEU, 394
European integration, 4, 17, 32, 38, 118, 174, 308, 316, 377
lawyers, 149
national autonomy, 308
vehicles, 308–10, *310*
European Journal of Empirical Legal Studies, 23
European Judicial Training Network, 258
European Law Blog, 135, 163
European migration law, 17
adjudicator bias, 396–402
citation networks, 390–96
computational methods, 387–90
data-driven analysis, 396–402
epistemological challenge, 392
fragmentation, 384
judicial dialogue, 390–96
European Ombudsman, 132, 133, 151, 162, 164, 165, 166, 167, 329, 330
European Parliament, 137, 139, 140, 162, 329
European Public Prosecutor's Office, 97
European Roma and Travellers Forum, 300
European Social Survey, 138, 253
European Society for ELS, 23
European Union. *See* EU
European Union Politics (journal), 96
European Women's Lobby, 300
Europhilia, 124, 135
Euroscepticism, 97, 124, 299
EUTHORITY Project
domestic judicial response (DJR) dataset, **127**, 129, 145
preliminary references dataset, **123**
EU-Turkey Association Agreement (1963), 358
EU-UK Trade and Cooperation Agreement (2020), 160
Everson, Michelle, 136
evidence-based approach, 85, 91, 93, 102, 246, 258, 375
Evolution of EU Law (EvoEU) Database, **122**
experimental methods in EU law, 245–59
field experiments, 256–58
insights, 246–51
laboratory experiments, 254–56
potential, 251–58
scenario survey experiments, 251–54
virtues, 245
expert interviews
characteristics, 233
ethical responsibility of researcher, 235
form, 229
power dynamics, 233
purpose, 229
writing, 224–44
experts (data source), 133–44
interviews, 133–37
judges' biographies, websites, newspapers, 142–44
surveys and experiments, 137–41
external pressure, 163
external validity, 41, 248, 249, 255, 287, 296, 313

FAIR (findable, accessible, interoperable, reusable), 178–81
Falkner, Gerda, 324, 327, 330
far-reaching adjustments, 355
likelihood, 354
Favale, Marcella, 143
federal courts of appeal (USA), 306
field experiments, 16, 247
EU law, 256–58
within-subject and between-subject comparisons, 256
field notes, 237
Fjelstul, Joshua C., 47, 125, 249, 257, 266, 330

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 06:16:24, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009672580.024

Fleiss's Kappa, 203
Fligstein, Neil, 298
Flynn, Leo, 164
Fokas, Effie, 313
Food Additives Denmark (2003), 51
Fording, Richard C., 143
formalism, 6, 9, 77
Förster ruling (2008), 356
France, 127, 128, 130, 145, 268, 287, 299, 303, 307, 312
  ban on research on individual judicial behaviour, 403
Frankenreiter, Jens, 45, 265
Frankfurter, Felix, 91
free movement, 50, 144, 184, 185, 197, 301, 343
freedom of information (FOI), 5, 149, 150, 156
Frese, Amalie, 395
Fritz, Vera, 177
functionalism, 46, 193. *See also* neo-functionalism
fundamental freedoms, 332, 338, 343, *See also* free movement

Gabel, Matthew J., 30, 42, 43, **123**
Galanter, Marc, 296, 305, 306, 307, 310
Gammeltoft-Hansen, Thomas, xiii, 17, 383–403
Garrett, G., 40, 41
gatekeepers, 102, 103, 146
GC. *See* General Court
GDP, 131
Geertz, Clifford, 235
gender, 177, 183
General Court, 65, 140, 158, 162, 163, 266
  judges, 124
General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), 173, 180
  basic principles, 181
generalisability, 130, 135, 247, 249, 255, 259, 313
Gentile, Giulia, xiii, 14, 56–73
GEOCOURT Dataset, **123**, 285
Germany, 7, 23, 127, 128, 130, 133, 136, 140, 145, 287, 298, 307, 313, 336, 358, 359
  federal constitutional court (*Bundesverfassungsgericht*), 77, 358, 361
  Foreign Registration Offices, 358
  labour courts, 361
  public support for EU law, 139
  social courts, 361
  supreme court, 285
Ghavanini, Anna W., xiv
Ghezelbash, Daniel, 398
Giacomini, Giuseppe, 136
Gibson, James L., 138, 269
Glavina, Monika, xiii, 15, 67, 115–46
globalisation, 91–108
Golub, Jonathan, 31, 285
Goodridge decision (Massachusetts Supreme Court, 2003), 311
Google, 138, 368
Gorlick, Brian, 397
governance, 367, 368, 369, 374
  EU internal market, 375–81
governments, 270, 307
GPT-4, 211, 216, 221
GPT-40, 218
Grand Chamber, 71, 166
Grosfeld, Eva, 138, 141
Grzelczyk (2002), 353, 354
Guth, Jessica, 177

Haas, Ernst, 281
Hall, Mark A., 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 382
Hamann, Hanjo, 285
Hamilton Byrne, William, xiii, 17, 383–403
hand coding, 16, 119, 121, 188, 197
Hart, H.L.A., 39
Harvard Law Review, 100, 101
Hayes, Andrew F., 200
Heindlmaier, Anita, 358
Heinz, John, 305
heresthetics, 49, 50
Hermansen, Silje Synnøve Lyder, xiii, 17, 47, 268, 271, 295–317
Hesselink, Martijn, 35
heuristics, 30, **264**, 265, 283, 396
High Court of England and Wales, 136
high courts
  financial autonomy, 196
  government implementation of judgements, 196
Hofmann, Andreas, xiii, 17, 299, 307, 323–46, 356, 360, 361
Holmes, Judge, 366
Hornuf, Lars, 132
Hübner, Denise Carolin, 125, 360
human rights, 136, 300, 308, 392, 400
Hungary, 134, 325, 332
  democratic backsliding, 339
hypotheses, 2, 10, 12, 36, 89, 105, 106, 229, 285, 289, 366

Iacovides, Marios, 132
iCourts, 13, 83
identity, **264**, 265
ideology, 143, 144, 271
Imran (2012), 356
individuals, 308, **309**, **314**
information retrieval, 210, *See also* legal information retrieval

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 06:16:24, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009672580.024

infringement procedures, 324, 355, 356
institutions
  access to documents, 196
  actors, 60, 354, 390
  design, 45, 397, 402
  factors, 265, 267–69
  'space to think', 166
integrity, 16, 235, 244
intellectual property rights, 179, 372, 373
Inter-American Convention of Human Rights, 283
inter-coder reliability, 182, 185, 187, 188, 189
inter-disciplinarity, 4, 170, 174, 175, 263, 363, 388
  law and social science, 8–10
interest groups, 295, 296, 297, 299, 300, 303, 306, 311, 312
  types, 303, 305
inter-governmentalism, 8, 30, 40, 42, 348
internal market, 17, 325, 340, 343, 368–75
  law, 372, 373
  SCA scholarship, 370
international courts, 43, 46, 67, 271
international law, 61, 67, 70, 395, 396
international relations, 30, 46, 282
interviews, 15, 133–37, 144. *See also* expert interviews
  methodological issues, 135
  over-reliance, 150
  shortcomings, 134
intra-EU trade, 131, 288
investigative journalists, 161, 167
invisibility, 152, 153
IR. *See* international relations
IUROPA Project CJEU Database, 15, 46, 48, 107, **122**, 143, 145, 170, 172, 190, 218, 308
  information about judges, 176
  infrastructure, 173–75
  'issues and positions' component, 176, 185, 188
  'legal issues and doctrine' component, 176, 183, 185, 187, 189
  'national courts' component, 182, 188, 285

Jackson, R.M., 92
Jacquot, Sophie, 300
Jaremba, Urszula, 134, 139, 140
JEL. *See Journal of Environmental Law*
Johnson, Timothy, 306
Journal of Common Market Studies, 62, 96
Journal of Empirical Legal Studies, 9, 96, 97
Journal of Environmental Law, 228, 232
Journal of European Integration, 96, 98
Journal of European Public Policy, 96
Journal of Law and Courts, 96, 97
Journal of Legal Analysis, 96, 97
Journal of Legal Studies, 96, 97
journalists, 106, 107
journals, 15, 77, 80, 92, 93, 103, 105, 107, 121, 146, 178, 228, 232, 313, 314, 367, 373, 382
  American, 97, 99
  European, 98, 100, 101, 102
  traditional versus non-traditional, 102
Jourová, Věra, 167
judge-rapporteur, 265, 266, 267
  case-assignment process, 267, 268
judges, 40, 133, 177, 256, 257, 304–10
  high courts, 200
  influences, 304–8
  lower courts, 135
  personal and professional characteristics, 264
  policy preferences, 264
  Polish, 252–53
  tenure, 198
  workload, 283, 284, 285, 299
judicial activism, 27, 29
judicial behaviour, 50, 71, 72, 125
  analytic approaches, **264**
  CJEU, 16, 263–77
  definition, 69, 95
  external factors, 269–71
  internal institutional factors, 267–69
  judge-level factors, 265–67
judicial biographies, 142–43
judicial decision-making, 77, 270, 271
  case space approach, 48
judicial discretion, 42–45
judicial empowerment, 37, 280, 282
judicial independence, 192, 195, 201, 213, 214, 248, 333
  legal concept, 194
  tenure security, 194
judicial law-making, 39, 45, 48, 49, 351
  definition, 39
judicial politics, 38, 40, 42, 43, 44, 46, 124, 135, 170
judicial power, 174, 347, 351, 379
judicial practices, 14, 39, 43, 44, 47
Judicial Proceedings in EU (Ovádek database), 121, **123**
judicial review, 183, 379
Juncker Commission, 332, 334, 338, 339, 340
Junqueras (Spain, 2019), 253
Junqueras, Oriol, 312
JuriFast Database, 126, **128**
justice and migration, 325, 340, 341, 342

Kahraman, Filiz, 308
Kapiszewski, Diana, 238
Keck, Thomas, 311

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 06:16:24, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009672580.024

Kelemen, R. Daniel, 47, 138, 269, 285, 287, 299, 324, 330, 337, 339
Kilpatrick, Claire, 136
Kim, Eun Hye, xiii, 15, 191–208
Kim, Heemin, 143
Klarman, Michael, 311
Kohll/Decker doctrine, 128
Kolf, Sarah, 137
König, Thomas, 327, 330
Korkea-aho, Emilia, xiii, 16, 224–44
Kornhauser, Lewis A., 282
Krehbiel, Jay N., 268, 269
Krippendorff, Klaus, 200
Krippendorff's Alpha, 189, 203
Kritzer, Herbert M., 297, 306
Krommendijk, Jasper, 134

laboratory experiments, 16, 247, 259
  EU law, 254–56
labour market model, 264, **264**
Lambda Legal, 297
Lampach, Nicolas, 132, 287
landlord-tenant disputes, 297
landmark cases, 45, 46, 203, 392
  definition, 41
language, 139, 376, 378
large language models (LLMs), 16, 195, 197, 205, 209–23, 389
  capabilities, 210
  choice, 221–23
  closed-source models, 222
  ethical concerns, 222
  fine-tuning, 211
  information retrieval, 212–16
  multilingual performance, 222
  Associação Sindical dos Juízes Portugueses (ASJP) (2018), 213–15
  scaling up and scaling down, 216–17
  synthetic samples and simulations, 220–21
  training, 211
  validation, 217–20, 223
Larsson, Olof, 44, **124**, 270, 307, 352, 353
Laumann, Edward, 305
law
  'computational' view, 58
  'mask, shield, constraint', 40–42
  normative aspect, 403
  operation, 155
  and social science (interdiscipinary conversations), 8–10
  'unscientific', 81
Law and Justice government (Poland), 254
law clerks, 133, 139
law professors, 36, 101, 103, 104, 136, 281, 282
law schools, 12, 13, 76, 81, 82, 102, 103, 154, 246
law in action, 59, 61
law in books, 32, 57, 61, 154, 193
law in context, 6, 74, 75, 76, 80
lawyers, 16, 37, 40
  accessibility, 227
  Europhilic, 136
  expertise, 305
  role in supremacy of EU law, 136
  roles in EU's political institutions, 152
Lax, Jeffrey R., 267
leaks, 133, 155, 160
Leave campaign (UK), 60
Leeuw, F.L., 101
legal advice, 156
  CJEU definition, 149
legal construction, 145, 161, 256
legal culture, 6, 31, 120, 259, 271, 330, 360, 401
legal data, 152–55. *See also* data
  EU institutions (gaining access), 155–63
  process of gaining public access, 151
legal experts, 16, 148, 183, 188, 228, 255
legal information retrieval
  importance, 212
  LLMs, 212–16
legal innovations, 45
legal mobilisation, 8, 17, 137, 295, 296, 298, 302, 303, 314, 316
legal opinions, 154
legal opportunity structure (De Fazio), 299, 304
legal realism, 7, 9, 24, 91, 366
legal reasoning, 6, 40, 42, 43, 44, 255
  coherence, 67
  uniqueness, 34
legal services, 15, 147, 150, 152, 154, 155, 157, 169
legal text, 364, 366, 368, 369, 375, 376, 378, 379
legal uncertainty, 43, 44, 47, 48, 355, 358
legalism, 9, 264, 360
  adversarial, 295, 299
legislation, 11, 25, 154, 312, 349, 351, 357, 358, 378
legitimacy, 67, 248, 249, 250
Leijon, Karin, 134
Leino-Sandberg v. European Parliament (2022), 162
Leino-Sandberg, Päivi, xiv, 15, 132, 133
Lenaerts, Koen, 117, 266, 329
LGBTQ, 297, 311
Li, Lantian, 230
Lindholm, Johan, xiv, 14, 15, 26, 121, 205, 285, 389
Liptak, Adam, 106
Lithuania, 299
litigants, 145
  American context, 296–98
  businesses, individuals, organised interests, 300–4

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 06:16:24, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009672580.024

litigants (cont.)
EU context, 298–300
'one-shotters' versus 'repeat players', 305, 306
preliminary references to CJEU (1957-2018), 300, *301*
litigation, 17, 281
EU law, 295–317
litigation support structures (Epp), 298, 302, 312
Llama 3 model (Meta), 222
London School of Economics, xv
López Zurita, Lucía, xiv, 17, 347–63
Loth, Mala, 307
lower courts, 280, 282, 287

Maastricht. *See* Treaty on European Union
Maastricht Treaty (1992), 313, 323
machine learning, 12, 17, 24, 47, 51, 124, 125, 197, 205, 207, 209, 210, 289, 387, 389
design-based supervised learning estimator, 220
Mäder, Lars, 327, 330
Majic, Samantha, 238, 239
Malecki, Michael, 47, 124, 143, 265
Malta, 325, 336
Mancini, Federico, 307
Mangold (2005), 71, 361
Manriquez, Mauricio Mandujano, 45
manual coding. 130, 183, 185, 371. *See* hand coding
Marin, Sanna, 226
Marshall No. 1 (1986), 71, 72
Marshall, J. Howard, 91
Martin, Andrew D., 103, 247
Marx, Gary, 158
Matthew's correlation coefficient (MCC), 220
Mattli, Walter, 14, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 280, 281
law as mask and shield, 41
Mayhew, Leon, 296
Mayoral, Juan Antonio, xiv, 16, 36, 139, 140, 245–59, 285
Mazák, Ján, 193
McAuliffe, Karen, 271
McCann, Michael, 298, 311
McCown, Margaret, **123**
measurement, 191–208
conceptualisation, 193–96
error, 220
instruments, 193–208
reliability, 201–8
validity, 200–1
media, 144, 310, 311, 365
Member States, 79, 119, 120, 126, 265
centralised enforcement of EU law (by Commission), 325
governments, 324
implementation record (of EU law), 327–28
Merton, Robert K., 29
Mertz, Elizabeth, 36
methodology, 1, 2, 4, 6, 16, 25, 56, 63, 82, 93, 148
Metock (2009), 356
Meunier-Aitsahalia, Sophie, 312
Micklitz, Hans-Wolfgang, 130
Miettinen, Samuli, 158
migrant rights, 137, 299, 303, 391
migrants, 302, 358, 387
migration, 356, *See also* European migration law
Mikhaylov, Slava, 206
Miller, Richard, 297
Modern Law Review, 101
Moore, Will H., 269
More Europe, 40, 44, 49
Morris, Zoë Slote, 231
MSS v. Belgium (2011), 393
Muir, Elise, 137
Mulcahy, Linda, 228
multi-disciplinarity, 172, 186
multi-level governance, 377, 378
multi-lingualism, 11, 210, 376
multi-user databases, 15
accessibility, 172
aims, 173
EU law, 170–90
findable, accessible, interoperable, reusable (FAIR), 178–81
IUROPA, 173–75
openness, 172, 178–81
real-world problems, 172, 175–77
reliability and reproducibility, 172, 185–89
societal relevance, 175–77
standing test of time, 181–85
sustainable and foundational, 181–85

named-entity recognition (NER), 215
national administrative practices
CJEU impact, 357–59
National Association for Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), 297, 311
national authorities, 202
containment of EU directives, 33
national autonomy, 308, *309–10*, 316
national courts, 17, 30, 46, 51, 59, 62, 66, 116, 118, 121, 131, 145, 186, 270, 384, 395
case law, 125–30
data, 284–86
decisions (CJEU impact), 359–61
methods, 286–89
reception of EU law, 278–89
theories, 279–84
National Decisions (Dec. Nat.) Database, 125, 126, **127**, 145, 286

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 06:16:24, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009672580.024

national governments, 30, 79, 161, 258, 303, 355
national judges
  awareness of EU law, 139
  efficiency, 282
  referral behaviour, 145
national law, 59, 78, 79, 80, 376
national legislation, 358, 360, 375
  CJEU impact, 354–57
National Science Foundation, 105
national sovereignty, 44, 307, 383, 396
natural language processing (NLP), 17, 185, 210, 286, 289, 395, 398
natural sciences, 187, 245
  empirical method, 64
Naurin, Daniel, xiv, 103, 119, **124**, 146, 270, 271, 299, 307, 352
Nelson, Michael, 306
neo-functionalism, 8, 30, 40, 41, 42, 280, 288, 348
neo-liberalism, 89, 196
Netherlands, 7, 70, 133, 134, 140, 268, 287, 299, 356
network analysis, 27, 44, 121, 392
  capabilities, 394
  potential, 395
Neuman, Iver B., 235
New Legal Realism movement, 7
New York Times, 106
news articles, 144
newspapers, 137, 142, 244
NextGenerationEU (NGEU), 161, 164
non-decisions, 356, 357
non-governmental organisations (NGOs), 136, 137, 239, 297, 298, 299, 300, 306, **314**
non-institutional actors, 11, 31
non-judicial data, 147–69
  access, 155–63
  data, 152–55
  empty archives, 163–69
non-refoulement, 393, 396
normativity, 9, 81
Nowak, Tobias, 133, 139, 140
Ntienjom Mbohou, Léger Félix, 237
Nyikos Database, **127**
Nyikos, Stacy A, 129

Obermaier, Andreas J., **127**, 128
objectivity, 22, 34, 64, 169, 364
Olsen, Henrik Palmer, 67, 395
Omega (2004), 51
online surveys, 140, 192
open access, 172
  empty archives, 163–69
  funding, 244
open data, 178, 179, 180, 381
open science, 178, 180
OpenAI: Generative Pre-trained Transformer (GPT) series, 16, 209
openness, 15, 222, 379
optical character recognition (OCR), 173
outliers, 87, 232, 242, 394, 401
Ovádek, Michal, xiv, 16, 45, 121, 209–23, 250, 255, 266
override, 42, 43, 120, 270, 307, 348, 351, 352, 353
  'constitutional' versus 'political', 353

Päivi Leino-Sandberg v. European Council (2021), 162
Panagis, Ioannis, 26
panel effects, 401
party capability, 305, 307
Passalacqua, Virginia, 118, 137, 299
Pavelek, Ondřej, 118
Pavone, Tommaso, xiv, 17, 36, 45, 132, 136, 144, 285, 287, 295–317, 324, 330, 337, 339
peer review, 153, 232, 233, 242, 244
personal data, 172, 180, 181
Pfeiffer, 71
Pfizergate, 167
Piris, Jean-Claude, 155
Poland, 139, 140, 287, 325, 332
  democratic backsliding, 339
  judicial reforms, 254
policy preferences, 40, 45, **264**, 264, 348, 355
policy-makers, 169
  legal issues, 149
policy-making, 16, 39, 79, 81, 146, 206, 377
Polish Constitutional Court (PCC), 252–53
political pressure, 43, 159, 161, 185, 271, 324
political science, 7, 10, 16, 17, 48, 131, 184, 229
  EU law, 14, 38–52
  judicial discretion, 42–45
Politico, 133, 155
Pollack, Mark A., 40, 46
population size, 131, 338
Port of Genoa case, 132
Portuguese Judges (2018). *See* Associação Sindical dos Juízes Portugueses (ASJP)
Pound, Roscoe, 91
power dynamics, 240
  expert interviews, 233
  between researchers, 237
precedent, 26, 27
Prechal, Judge Sasha, 135
preliminary ruling procedure, 49, 50, 62, 66, 118, 125, 130, 133, 139, 283, 284, 356
  interest group involvement (national differences), 303, 304

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 06:16:24, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009672580.024

preliminary ruling procedure (cont.)
interest groups (types), 303, 305
litigators (businesses, individuals, organised interests), 300–4
President of Court, 47, 48
prestige, 183
pre-trial bargaining (infringement procedures), 249
PreussenElektra (2001), 27
primary law, 148, 326, 328, 336, 338, 351
privacy, 179, 181, 190
ethical benchmark, 181
problem of common method variance, 141
Prodi Commission, 334
professional organisations, 303, 308, 309, **314**
Prolific (platform), 138
proportionality, 68, 176, 180, 181, 183, 189, 376
public administration, 116, 377
public institutions, 308, **309**, **314**
public interest, 156, 157, 379
public opinion, 84, 132, 137, 141, 144, 247, 249, 251, 253, 311, 365
backlashes, 313
'purpose limitation' principle, 181
Python (programming language), 389

qualitative data, 224–44
qualitative methods, 26, 62, 75, 90, 141, 148, 287
qualitative research, 24, 224
case studies, 296
content analysis, 118, 120, 132
data access, 169
ethics, 232
interviews, 227
qualitative scholars, 227, 231, 243, 296
quantitative analysis, 104, 134, 198, 286, 296, 387
problems, 402
quantitative methods, 14, 23, 26, 62, 65, 147, 288
quantitative research, 75, 141, 170, 285, 296, 363
credibility crisis, 279
data access, 169
descriptive studies, 287
evidence, 58
quasi-experiments, 249, 250, 257, 258

R (programming language), 389
Rader, Kelly, 267
Ramji-Nogales, Jaya, 398
random sampling, 247
randomisation, 247, 249, 253, 288, 289
Rasmussen, Hjalte, 117
rational choice, 16, **264**, 264, 265
raw data, 225, 227, 239, 243, 244
Rawlings, Richard, 330
real-world problems, 180, 182, 189
multi-user databases, 175–77
records, 33, 132, 148, 150, 168
Recovery and Resilience Facility, 155
recurrent neural networks (RNNs), 211
redactions, 164, 166
reductionism, 22, 34
référendaires, 133, 134
reflexivity, 18, 151, 233, 235, 237
Refugee Appeals Board, 398, 399
refugee status determination, 396, 397
Regulation 1049 (2001), 133, 156, 379
Article 4(2), 156
Article 7(8), 156
Article 12(2), 156
Rehaag, Sean, 398, 402
Reich, Arie, 130
Reiss, Albert J., 296
relevance, 104–7
reliability, 15, 172, 182, 185–89, 193, 198
measurement, 200–8
Reporting Judge, 47, 48
Reports for Hearings, 176
representation, 221, 232, 310
reproducibility, 15, 185–89
research
'future-forming' aspect (Gergen), 241
power dynamics, 230, 231
strategies, 160
research assistants, 216
Research Data Leeds, 368
research participants, 242, 244
research questions, 8, 10, 11, 12, 16, 33, 64, 104, 151, 178, 199, 372, 375
resources, 104, 121, 185
retrieval-augmented generation (RAG), 215, 223
revolvers, 230, 236, 237, 240
Reyes, Victoria, 237, 240
transparency, 238
Romeu, F.R., 283
Rosenberg, Gerald, 299, 311
Hollow Hope (2008), 297
rule of law, 40, 45, 105, 248, 343, 385
backsliding, 325, 333
rural areas, 296, 299
Russia, 254

Saadi v. Italy (2008), 393
Šadl, Urška, xiv, 26, 67, 103, 341, 389
Şahin (2009), 358
Salah Sheekh (2007), 393
same-sex marriage, 311
sampling, 136, 219, 232, 372, 382
Sandholtz, Wayne, 280, 281, 303, 309
Santer Commission, 336, 341

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 06:16:24, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009672580.024

Sarat, Austin, 297
Scandinavia, 57, 77
scenario survey experiments, 251–54
Scheingold, Stuart, 297
Schengen, 302, 399
Schenk, Angelika W., 144, 357
Scheppele, K.L., 105
Schmeet, H., 101
Schmidt, Susanne K., 44, 331, 357, 359
Schrama, Reini, 330
Schroeder, Philipp, xiv, 14, 15, 46, 270
secondary law, 148, 326, 336, 367
secondary legislation, 43, 155, 196, 307, 342
secrecy, 168, 196
Seikel, Daniel, 331, 355
selection bias, 125, 135, 144
selection effect, 284, 285, 287
self-censorship, 241, 243
separation of powers, 39, 46, 52
Services Directive (2006), 336
Shapiro, Martin, 117
silences, 151, 160, 161, 225
Sindbjerg Martinsen, Dorte, xiv, 17, 43, 312, 340, 347–63
Single European Act (1986), 312
single market, 121, 180, 303, 368
situatedness, 239, 243, 244
  forms, 235
  expert interviews, 235–38
Slaughter, A.M., 14, 38, 40, 42, 280
Slovakia, 339
Slovenia, 134, 139, 140, 287, 299
Smith, Melanie, 330, 332
Snyder, Francis, 117, 330
social class, 267, 296
social legitimacy, 40, 49, 50
social media, 15, 142, 191
social rights, 301, 307
social science methods, 34, 75
social sciences, 2, 4, 16, 64, 170, 236
  and law, 8–10
  'colonisation of law', 81
  experiments, 245
  research methods, 5
sociology, 9, 31, 116, 177, 362
soft law, 11, 147
software, 203, 223, 227, 365
Solanke, Iyiola, 177
Solicitor-General (USA), 306, 307
SOLVIT administrative network, 340
Songer, Donald, 306
sources of law, 15, 24, 390
Spain, 253, 298, 303, 325, 339, 357, 361
Spanish Supreme Court, 312
Spaventa, Eleanor, 72
Spiegel, 168
Stanford Law Review, 101, 102
statistics, 62, 65, 91, 130, 337
Staton, Jeffrey K., 269
Stein, Eric, 117, 279
Stiansen, Øyvind, 254
Stone Sweet, Alec, 31, 40, 42, 119, **123**, 281, 282, 285, 288, 298, 303, 309, 350
strategic analysis, 264, **264**
students, 80, 82, 83, 101, 104, 130, 247, 250, 254, 255, 353, 355, 366
studying up, 230, 240
Stylianou, Konstantinos, 132
subsidiarity, 35, 376
Suchman, Mark C., 36
Supra Legem project (2017), 403
supranationalism, 270, 383
supreme courts, 24, 84, 135, 280, 285, *See also* US Supreme Court
survey experiments, 249, 254, 313
surveys, 15, 137–41
sustainability, 15, 173, 182, 185, 189
Sweden, 134, 285, 287, 299, 303
Swedish Ethical Review Authority, 181
Swedish Research Council, xv
systematic content analysis (SCA), 17
  background, 364–68
  emergence, 365
  future research, 381–82
  internal market law, 364–82
  obstacles, 378
  potential, 375–81

Tatham, Allan F., 134
taxation, 17, 325, 340
teacher-practitioners, 89, 90
TEU. *See* Treaty on European Union
text, 16, 192
  analytics, 387
  extracting information (steps), 192
  text-as-data, 16
  text-mining, 287
text messages, 167, 168
textbooks, 365, 395
TFEU. *See* TFEU Treaty on Functioning of EU
theory, 10–12
thinking fast, 264, **264**, 265
Thomas, Justice Clarence, 106
Thorn, Gaston, 334
Times, The 107
Tomkinson, Sule, 237
trade associations, 297, 300, 303, 309, 312, **314**, 315, 316, 317

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 06:16:24, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009672580.024

trade unions, 136, 299, 303, 308, 309, **314**, 315, 317
transformer architecture, 211
transnational exchange, 281
transparency, 16, 166, 168, 178, 181, 222, 235, 237, 242, 243, 363, 379
  corruption and abuse of power, 241
  expert interviews, 238–41
transport, 17, 325, 340
Treaty of Rome (1957), 281, 368
  (Article 28), 328
Treaty on European Union (TEU), 184
  (Article 1), 323, 378
  (Article 4.3), 336
  (Article 8), 328
  (Article 17), 326, 329
  (Article 19.1), 193, 214
Treaty on Functioning of European Union (TFEU)
  (Article 15), 149, 379
  (Article 15.1), 379
  (Article 20), 336
  (Article 49), 336
  (Article 83), 158
  (Article 101), 24
  (Article 174), 164
  (Article 258), 323, 329
  (Article 260.3), 335
  (Article 263), 66
  (Article 267), 67, 182, 186, 187, 279, 280, 285, 289, 359
Treib, Oliver, 327
Tribunal de Contas (Court of Auditors, Portugal), 213
Tridimas, Takis, 72
trilogues, 162
Tripp, Aili Marie, 242
trust, 138, 236, 248
Turnbull-Dugarte, Stuart J., 253

Üner v. The Netherlands (2006), 393
United Kingdom, 92, 127, 128, 130, 145, 286, 298, 299, 303, 313, 355
  employment tribunal decisions, 213
  England (cross-border welfare rights), 144
  questions of EU law (judgements, 1971-1998), 129
United Nations, 391
  UN Convention Relating to Status of Refugees (1951), 400
  UNHCR, 396
United States, 15, 23, 57, 82, 295, 381, 400, 401
  asylum decision-making, 398
  comparison with EU, 295
  court decisions, 105
  federal appeal court and state supreme court cases, 286
  federal government, 306
  federal law, 297
  judges (influences), 304–6
  judicial behaviour, 40
  litigation, 310–12
  litigators, 296–98
  panel effects, 402
universities, 79, 86, 88, 204
urban-rural divide, 298, *See also* rural areas
US Supreme Court, 41, 105, 106, 107, 118, 267, 297, 300, 303, 306, 311
  certiorari petitions, 306
US Supreme Court Database, 15, 170

validity, 172, 182, 185, 186, 187, 188, 193, 194, 382
van den Brink, Martijn, 342
van der Pas, Kris, 137, 299
van Dijck, G., 101, 103
van Gend en Loos (1963), 27, 32, 70, 121, 287
variables, 131, 133
Vauchez, Antoine, 32, 177
  Brokering Europe (2015), 32
Vaughan, Steven, 228, 232
Versluis, Esther, 33
vignettes, 139, 251, 252
Viking judgement (2007), 28
Vitale, Tommaso, 300
Voigt, Stefan, 132
von der Leyen Commission, 334, 338, 339, 340
von Soest, Christian, 229
Vrasti, Wanda, 225
vulnerability, 230, 240

Wallerman Ghavanini, Anna, 15, 170–90
Walmart, 305
web-crawling, 17, 289
web-scraping, 119, 121, 130, 173, 182, 389
websites, 143, 144, 162, 211
Weiler, J.H.H., 118, 280, 298
  judicial empowerment thesis, 280, 287
Weinshall, Keren, 172, 175, 185, 189, 263
Werner, Benjamin, 331
Wijtvliet, Wessel, 124, 266
Willis, Rebecca, 233
Wind, Marlene, 285
within-subject comparisons, 256
women, 297, 307, 311
  trust in CJEU, 138
workers, 184, 257, 302, 307, 343
workload, 266, 267
Wright, Ronald F., 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 382

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 06:16:24, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009672580.024

X, Y *and* Z (2013), 391

Y *and* Z (2012), 391
Yale Law Review, 100
YouTube, 13, 175

Zajíčková, Drahomíra, 118
Zeigler, Jeffrey, 266
Zglinski, Jan, xiv, 49, 50, 104
  Europe's Passive Virtues (2020), 28, 65
  margin of appreciation, 50
Zhang, Angela Huyue, 143
Zhang, Yaning, 330
Zhelyazkova, Asya, 328, 330
zone of discretion, 42, 44
Zysman, John, 303

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 06:16:24, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009672580.024

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 06:16:24, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009672580.024