Figures

2.1 Term frequency per 100 articles per journal for 'canonical'/'non-canonical' and 'marked'/'unmarked' *page* 23
2.2 Term frequency per 100 articles per journal for 'standard'/'non-standard' 24
2.3 X-ray plot showing the dispersion of 'canonical', 'non-canonical', 'marked', and 'unmarked' in articles published in *Syntax* in 2021 26
3.1 A construction grammar view of levels of abstraction 53
4.1 Distribution of subject positions in the four corpora of historical English 71
5.1 Plural agreement patterns over time for selected collective expressions in notional subjects in existential *there*-constructions (relative frequencies) 107
6.1 The occurrence of demonstrative ProTag constructions in the *Chadwyck–Healey English Drama Collection*, including the frequency per million words (pmw); N = 1,037 119
6.2 The relative frequency in percentages of each demonstrative ProTag in the *Chadwyck–Healey English Drama Collection* 121
6.3 The relative frequency of overt compared to covert anchor points in demonstrative ProTag constructions in the *Chadwyck–Healey English Drama Collection* 123
6.4 The relative frequency of (i) each ProTag with a covert anchor point and (ii) all ProTags with a covert anchor point compared to overt anchor points in demonstrative ProTag constructions in the *Chadwyck–Healey English Drama Collection* 124
6.5 The relative frequency in percentages of each demonstrative ProTag in the *Chadwyck–Healey English Drama Collection* and in PDBE from the second half of the twentieth century as reported in Mycock (2019) 128

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 07:21:55, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108863858

8.1 NCRS types in TV news broadcasts versus conversation (rate per 1,000 words) 168
8.2 NCRS types across segments of TV news broadcasts (rate per 1,000 words) 172
8.3 NP NCRS types in headlines versus other segments (rate per 1,000 words) 173
8.4 NCRS types across networks (rate per 1,000 words) 177
9.1 Cleft constructions in the subcorpora of the EVLA-Corpus and the Control Corpus (normalised frequencies per 100,000 words) 196
9.2 Evaluative and non-evaluative cleft constructions in the EVLA-Corpus and the Control Corpus (relative frequencies) 198
9.3 Syntactic positions of evaluations in the EVLA-Corpus and the Control Corpus (relative frequencies) 199
10.1 Predicted scaled reaction times (RTs) in the spillover region across orders 220
10.2 Predicted logged and scaled reaction times (RTs) in the wrap-up region for the Order*Complexity*Idiomaticity interaction 221
10.3 Predicted logged and scaled reaction times (RTs) in the wrap-up region for the Idiomaticity*Order*Complexity interaction 221
10.4 Predicted logged and scaled reaction times (RTs) of the whole stimulus for the Order*Idiomaticity*Complexity interaction 222
10.5 Predicted ratings for the Order*Complexity interaction 227
10.6 Predicted ratings for the Order*Idiomaticity interaction 228
10.7 Predicted ratings for the Complexity*Idiomaticity interaction 228
12.1 Effect plots illustrating the main effects Corpus (panel 1) and S_Length (logged) (panel 2) predicting the likelihood for an intro-*it* to occur in the *SAVE Corpus* and the *BNC_NEWS* 263
12.2 Normalised frequencies of intro-*it*s per thousand sentences across SAVEs and the *BNC_NEWS* 265
12.3 Ctree illustrating the clause types used to complement the intro-*it* ~ Corpus + Passive in the *SAVE Corpus* and the *BNC_NEWS* 266

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 07:21:55, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108863858

12.4 Ctree illustrating the clause types used to complement the intro-*it* ~ CORPUS + VERBTYPE + FUNCTION in the *SAVE Corpus* and the *BNC_NEWS* 269
12.5 Ctree illustrating the semantic functions in which the intro-*it* is used by the different SAVEs vs. the *BNC_NEWS* 271
13.1 Frequency distribution of different sentence elements in fronted position (percentage) 294
13.2 Frequency distribution of adverbial functions in *ICLE* and *LOCNESS* (percentage) 295
13.3 Frequency distribution of syntactic realisations in *ICLE* and *LOCNESS* (percentage) 296
13.4 Ctree: Distribution of FRONT_EL~CORPUS+S_LENGTH+GN 298
13.5 Effect plot of glm A_FRONT_TF~LOG(S_LENGTH)+GN+CORPUS+GN:CORPUS 300
14.1 Best acceptable conditional inference tree 319
14.2 Second-best acceptable conditional inference tree 322
14.3 Balanced accuracies of all 1,001 trees 323

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 15 Nov 2026 at 07:21:55, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108863858