CONTENTS

List of Tables xv
Acknowledgements xvi
Table of Cases xviii
Table of Legislation xxvii
Table of Archival Material xlvi
List of Abbreviations liv

1 Introduction 1

1.1 Scope 1
1.2 Premises of the Argument 3
1.3 The Indeterminacy of EU Sustainable Migration 6
1.3.1 Sustainability: An Overview 7
1.3.2 The Disconnect of Migration from Sustainability in EU Policy and Scholarship 9
1.3.3 The Incoherent Appearance of Sustainability in EU Migration Policy 13
1.4 EU Legal History as a Precondition for Understanding Sustainable Migration 16
1.4.1 Material 18
1.4.2 Delimitations 20
1.5 Structure and Objectives 22

PART I Aligned Paths from the Treaty of Paris to the Single European Act

2 Migrant Workers Finding Their Way into Community Law 33

2.1 The Initial Free Movement Framework Aimed at the Optimal Allocation of Manpower 33
2.1.1 The 1961 Framework 36
2.1.2 The 1964 Framework 38
2.1.3 The 1968 Framework 40

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 11 Nov 2026 at 19:23:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009573122

2.2 Social Rights of Community Migrants: A Judicial (R)evolution 43
2.2.1 Social Advantages Extending the Scope of Equal Treatment 44
2.2.2 Extensive Interpretation of Social Advantages for the Families of Migrant Workers 47
2.2.3 The Social Protection of Migrant Workers and Their Families in Education 50

3 The Ambition of Extending the Scope of Protection to All Migrants 57
3.1 The Prelude to an Admission Policy: Coordination of National Positions on TCN Migration 57
3.2 The Case for Abolition of Discrimination between All Migrant Workers 62
3.3 The Social Imperative of Granting Access to Education to Migrant Children 64
3.4 The Double Economic and Social Objective of the Community Migration Policy 68

4 Special Arrangements for Migrants Whose State of Origin Is (About to Be) Implicated in the Development Project 70
4.1 Turkish Workers under the EEC–Turkey Association Agreement 70
4.2 A Minimum Right to Non-discrimination in Association Agreements 75
4.3 Avoiding the Presumed Negative Effects of Migration in Accession Treaties 79
4.4 The Incorporation of Safeguards and the Attribution of Rights 86

PART II Differentiation from the Single European Act to the Failed Constitutional Treaty 89

5 Shifting Political Ambitions and Persistent Economic Considerations in the Free Movement Framework 95
5.1 Economic Considerations Limiting Residence Rights 95
5.2 The Judicial Aspiration to Overcome the Economic Foundations 99

6 National Contestation over the Aspiration of Long-Term Solutions to Migration 106
6.1 The Complicated Issue of a Harmonized Admission Policy 106
6.1.1 Reactive Approach of the Council Shaped by Economic Fears and Unwillingness to Yield Competence 107
6.1.2 The Persistent Ambition of the Commission to Align Migration with the Project of Growth 110

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 11 Nov 2026 at 19:23:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009573122

6.2 Laying the Groundwork for Fragmentation and Differentiation 114
6.2.1 Towards an Autonomous Protection of Migrant Workers' Families 116
6.2.2 From a By-product of Economic Progress to a Means of Integration: The Case for Progressive Attribution of Rights 121
6.3 National Contestation Limiting the Rights of TCN Workers and Social Considerations behind New Demands 126

7 **The Uneven Evolution of Association Agreements** 129
7.1 The Unstoppable(?) Social Orientation of the Court 129
7.1.1 Economic and Social Considerations behind the Attribution and Consolidation of the Rights of Turkish Workers 130
7.1.2 Non-discrimination in the Field of Social Security 137
7.1.3 A Permanent Safeguard: Non-discrimination behind a Limited Right to Remain 140
7.2 The Backlash to Extensive Judicial Protection 142
7.2.1 Renegotiating Cooperation to Limit the Scope of Equal Treatment 143
7.2.2 Structuring Europe Agreements to Avoid Extensive Interpretations by the Court 146
7.3 The Non-issue of Extending Free Movement Rights to Nationals of Developed European States 149

PART III **Realization and Paradoxes from the Failed Constitutional Treaty to Lisbon and Beyond**

8 **Economic and Social Sustainability behind the Rights of EU Migrants** 161
8.1 Enlargement Limiting the Reach of Law in Order to Safeguard the EU 161
8.2 The Free Movement Framework as a Sustainable Migration Framework 170
8.2.1 Economic Preconditions of Legal Residence 171
8.2.2 Legal Residence under EU Law as a Condition of Social Rights 174
8.2.3 The Differentiated Status of Workers and Jobseekers 178
8.2.4 The Case of Family Reunification: Social Objectives Furthering the Reach of EU Law within Defined Limits 183
8.2.5 Consolidation of Balancing and No More Attempts to Tip the Scale 189

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 11 Nov 2026 at 19:23:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009573122

9 **Economic Objectives and Social Demands behind an Incoherent System of Regulation for TCNs** 192
9.1 The Failure of the System of Admission to Promote Economic Objectives 192
9.2 Differentiated Rights and Privileged Statuses 203
9.2.1 Attribution of Rights in Order to Maximize Economic Benefits 204
9.2.2 The Dilution of Equal Treatment in Secondary Law 208
9.2.3 Erasure of Equal Treatment and Informalization of the Relation of EU with Third Countries 213
9.3 The Consolidation of Social Rights in the Case-Law 216
9.3.1 The Judicial Reconstruction of Social Rights of Migrant Workers 216
9.3.2 Social Rights Compensating for the Lack of a Right to Remain for Turkish Workers 220
9.3.3 Social Objectives and Economic Risks behind Family Reunification 223
9.3.4 Security of Residence Promoting the Social Objectives of the EU 226
9.3.5 Social Rights for Migrant as an Indirect Way of Promoting the Constitutional Elements of EU Law 229
9.4 Migrants, Citizens, Differentiation, and Incoherence 231

10 **Conclusion** 236
10.1 Economic and Social Sustainability in the Past of EU Migration Law 236
10.1.1 Economic and Social Considerations in EU Law-Making 240
10.1.2 Economic and Social Considerations in the Case-Law 243
10.2 The Inherent Limits of an EU Sustainable Migration 248
10.3 The Realistic Potential of an EU Sustainable Migration 254
10.4 Conclusion 258

Bibliography 260
Index 283

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 11 Nov 2026 at 19:23:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009573122