Index

Aarhus Convention, 45–46
ABNJ. *See* areas beyond national jurisdiction
absolute liability, 147
adequate and prompt compensation, 31–34, 114, 200, 231, 249, 263. *See also* prompt and adequate compensation
advisory opinions
  for access to remedies, 210–211
  Sub-Regional Fisheries Commission Advisory Opinion, 154–155
Antarctic, 6–12. *See also* Antarctic Liability Annex
  access to remedies for environmental harm, 220–223
    Antarctic Liability Annex, 221–222
    under Antarctic Protocol, 220–222
    in domestic forums, 222–223
    in international forums, 220–222
  activities in, 8–9
  under Antarctic Treaty System, 6–7, 9
    Antarctic Treaty Area, 10–11, 162–163
    Antarctic Treaty Consultive Parties, 7–8, 12, 183, 187–188
    institutional arrangements under, 7–8
    standing for claims for environmental harm, 183–186
  compensable damage in, 76–80
  under Convention for the Conservation of Antarctic Seals, 6
  under Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources, 6, 8–9
  under Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities, 9–11
  environmental protections for, 10–12
  environmental risks, 8–9
  Exclusive Economic Zones and, 7
  financial assurances in, 239–242
    Antarctic Liability Annex and, 235–236, 238–241, 246
    under Antarctic Protocol, 232, 238–239
    Antarctic Treaty Consultive Meetings and, 241
  legal status as global commons, 6–7
  liability allocation for environmental harm in, 119–123
  liability framework for, 10–12
  liability standards for, 149–150
  under Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic Treaty, 6, 7, 10–11, 12
    environmental impact statements, 10–11
  sovereignty claims, 6, 7
  standing for claims for environmental harm in, 183–188
    under Antarctic Liability Annex, 183–186
    under Antarctic Treaty, 183–186
    by international organizations, 187–188
    by non-state actors, 187–188
    by state operators, 183–186
  under Third Conference on the Law of the Sea, 8–9
  under UN Conference on the Law of the Sea, 11
Antarctic Liability Annex (2005), 11–12, 28, 35, 49, 50–51, 121, 131
  access to remedies for environmental harm, 221–222
  access to remedies under, 221–222
  areas beyond national jurisdiction and, 77–78, 93
  financial assurances and, 235–236, 238–241, 246
Antarctic Protocol. *See* Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic Treaty

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 06:16:30, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108866477.013

Antarctic Treaty (1959), 6–7
areas beyond national jurisdiction under, 76–77
preamble to, 6–7
Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic Treaty, 6, 7, 10–11, 12
environmental impact statements, 10–11
Antarctic Treaty Consultative Parties (ATCPs), 7–8, 12, 183, 187–188
Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting (ATCMs), 7–8, 51, 78, 119–120, 185–188
access remedies, 221–222
financial assurances and, 241
Antarctic Treaty System, 6–7, 9
Antarctic Treaty Area, 10–11, 162–163
Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting, 7–8, 51, 78, 119–120, 185–188
Antarctic Treaty Consultative Parties, 7–8, 12, 183, 187–188
areas beyond national jurisdiction (ABNJ), environmental damage and harm in. *See also* Antarctic; deep seabed area; global commons; high seas; standing
Antarctic Liability Annex, 77–78, 93
under Antarctic Protocol, 76–79, 150
under Antarctic Treaty, 76–77
civil liability in, 3, 65–73
compensable damage in, 76–85, 92–93
in Antarctic, 76–80
in deep seabed, 80–84
in high seas, 84–85
under Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resources Activities, 78–80, 149
under customary international law, 57–76
assessment of environmental damage, 70
causation in, 58–61
ecosystem services loss, 70–73
forms of reparation, 61–65, 92
in International Court of Justice cases, 59, 63–64
international organizations' responsibilities, 105–108
loss of profit as result of environmental impairment, 67
monitoring of environmental damage, 70
pure environmental damage, 70–73
reasonable preventive measures, 67–68
reinstatement measures, 68–69
remoteness in, 58–61
reparation principles, 58
state responsibility under, 57–65
threshold of harm, 73–76
definition of, 4–6, 54, 66
under Draft Exploitation Regulations, 82–84
under Environmental Compensation Fund (deep seabed), 82–84
environmental impact assessments, 56
environmental impact statements for, 80
financial assurances for, 237–248
future uses of, 244–248
liability funding mechanisms, 244
mandatory insurance, 245
under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 237
habitat equivalency analysis for, 88, 89–90
heads of damages, 55–56
ILC Draft Article on State Responsibility, 58–63
ILC Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 55, 65–67
international organizations' responsibilities, 105–109
under domestic/national laws, 109
under international law, 105–108
under ISA regulations, 82–84
liability allocation for environmental harm in, 99
amongst several responsible actors, 116–119
in Antarctic, 119–123
causation factors, 97–100
climate change factors, 97
conceptual approach to, 95–97
in deep seabed, 123–129
in high seas, 129–130
International Seabed Authority, 123–129
for land-based activities, 95–96
for ocean-based activities, 95–96
operator responsibility, 109–116
rules for, 96–97
Seabed Disputes Chamber, 126–128
special rules on, 119–130
under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 123–127, 130
liability standards in, 149–157
in Antarctic, 149–150
in deep seabed, 150–154
in high seas, 154–157
marine biodiversity and, 84–85
Oil Pollution Liability Convention and, 67–68, 105
scope of, 55–56
state responsibility for, 100–105
attribution of conduct in, 101
in coastal states, 103–104
under domestic/national laws, 104–105
over exclusive economic zones, 103–104
under international law, 57–65, 100–104
UN Compensation Commission and, 56–57, 60–65, 71, 74, 87–92

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 06:16:30, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108866477.013

under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 80–83
valuation of environmental damage, 54, 87–92
in U.S., 87–88
ASR. *See* ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts
ATCMs. *See* Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting
ATCPs. *See* Antarctic Treaty Consultative Parties
atmosphere, as form of commons, 5
atmospheric trust, 139–140
Australia, 183
Exclusive Economic Zones off Antarctic, 7

Bahia Paraiso oil spill, 9
Barcelona Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment and the Coastal Region of the Mediterranean, 73
Basel Liability Protocol. *See* Protocol on Liability and Compensation to the Basel Convention on Transboundary Movement of Hazardous Wastes
Biodiversity beyond National Jurisdiction (BBNJ), 196–197, 261–262
high seas and, 19–20
international legally binding instrument, 20, 24–25, 196–197, 227–228
Biodiversity beyond National Jurisdiction (international legally binding instrument) (BBNJ ILBI), 20, 24–25, 196–197, 227–228
Bunker Oil Convention, 114, 146

causation, of damage, in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 58–61, 97–100
CCAMLR. *See* Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources
CERCLA. *See* Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, U.S.
cessation
of harmful activities, 207–208
of wrongful acts, 169
channelling of liability, 110–116, 125
advantages/disadvantages in, 114–115
for nuclear energy production, 110
for operators, 110–116
polluter-pays principle, 115–116
for vessel-based cargo oil, 110–113
CHH. *See* common heritage of humankind
China, 166
CHM. *See* common heritage of mankind
claims, espousal of, 44, 174
climate change
impacts on global commons, 5
loss and damage, 51–52
Paris Agreement, 51–52
standing for claims for environmental harm and, 180–181
coastal states. *See also specific states*
areas within national jurisdiction and, 69
state responsibility and, 103–104
Commission on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR Commission), 187–188
common heritage of humankind (CHH), 13–14, 189–192, 194–195
common heritage of mankind (CHM), 13, 17, 83, 127, 153, 189–190, 194, 264. *See also* common heritage of humankind
compensation. *See also* remedies
access to remedies and, 199–200
adequate and prompt compensation, 31–34, 231
global commons and, 3–4
International Law Commission, 30
UN Convention on the Law of the Sea and, 31
compensation funds
Environmental Compensation Fund (deep seabed), 82–84
International Oil Pollution Compensation Funds, 47, 71–72, 175–176, 217, 255
financial assurances and, 231
Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, U.S. (CERCLA), 87
Convention for the Conservation of Antarctic Seals, 6
Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter (London Convention), 23, 48, 157
Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (OSPAR Convention), 36–37, 141
Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage, 1–2, 214–215
Convention on International Liability for Damage Caused by Space Objects, 5, 144
Convention on Liability and Compensation for Damage in Connection with the Carriage of Hazardous and Noxious Substances (HNS Convention), 37–38, 215–216
financial assurances and, 235–236, 246–247
Convention on Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims, 156, 232

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 06:16:30, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108866477.013

Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources, 6, 8–9, 187–188
Convention on the Establishment of an International Fund for Compensation for Oil Pollution Damage, 85, 110–111, 147, 217, 230–231
Convention on the Law of the Non-Navigational Uses of International Watercourses. *See* UN Watercourses Convention
Convention on the Protection of the Environment (Nordic Convention), 45
Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9–11, 78–80, 120–122, 149
Conventions on Transboundary Watercourses and Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48
Costa Rica, 90–91
  ecosystem services in, 90
CRAMRA. *See* Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities
cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260

damage. *See* environmental damage and harm
DARIO. *See* ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations
deep seabed area, 12–18
  access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227
    in domestic forums, 226–227
    in international forums, 223–226
    International Seabed Authority and, 223–226
    Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226
  activities in, 15–17
  common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192
  compensable damage in, 80–84
  definition of, 13–14
  environmental risks in, 15–17
  financial assurances and, 242–244
  institutional arrangements for, 14–15
  International Seabed Authority, 14–15
    environmental liability, 17–18
    environmental protection obligations, 17–18
    1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15
    resource management, 16–17
  legal status as global commons, 12–14
  liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129
  liability standards for, 150–154
  resources in, 15–17
    ISA regimes for, 16–17
    Seabed Disputes Chamber, 36, 46, 126–128
    areas beyond national jurisdiction under, 81–82
  standing for claims for environmental harm, 188–195
    International Seabed Authority, 189–192
    by non-state actors, 194–195
    Seabed Disputes Chamber, 188–190
    by state operators, 192–194
  under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 12–15, 17–18
    common heritage of humankind, 13–14
    International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, 14–15
Democratic Republic of the Congo, 91, 92
DER. *See* Draft Exploitation Regulations
dispute settlement mechanisms
  in Antarctic, 222
  in international forums, 201–207
  Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226
domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183
  parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216
  public law remedies, 181
  public trust doctrine, 178–179
domestic liability law, 43–49
  harmonized, 44–49
  tort of nuisance, 41
  transboundary harm, 46
  unharmonized, 43–44, 254
Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, 151–152
due diligence, 152–153, 156–157
  international liability and, 42–43
  state responsibility and, 42–43

ecocide, 252
ecosystem services, loss and damage to
  in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73
  Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90
EEZs. *See* Exclusive Economic Zones
effective control, 102, 128
Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84
  financial assurances and, 243–244
environmental damage and harm, 25–26
  liability rules for prevention of, 34–36
  methodological approach to, 27–28
  pure environmental damage, 70–73
environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80
environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26
  in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11
  for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 56, 80

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 06:16:30, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108866477.013

environmental restoration, liability rules and, 34–36
erga omnes, 164–170, 179, 193, 198, 204–205
erga omnes partes, 164–170, 179, 184–185, 256–257
espousal of claims, 44, 174
European Convention on Human Rights, 180
Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs), 103–104, 166
  off Antarctic, 7
Exxon Valdez oil spill, 88

fault-based liability, 134
  in France, 136
  in Germanic countries, 136
  policy considerations for, 135–141
  subjective fault in, 135–136
financial assurances, 230
  analysis of, 248–250
  in Antarctic, 239–242
    Antarctic Liability Annex and, 235–236, 238–241, 246
    under Antarctic Protocol, 232, 238–239
    Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting and, 241
  in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 237–248
    future uses of, 244–248
    liability funding mechanisms, 244
    mandatory insurance, 245
    under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 237
  Basel Protocol and, 235–236
  in deep seabed, 242–244
  Environmental Compensation Fund and, 243–244
  forms of, 230–237
  HNS Convention and, 235–236, 246–247
  insurance and, 235
    mandatory, 245
    parametric insurance, 248
    self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243
  International Law Commission and, 237–238
  International Oil Pollution Compensation and, 231
  liability rules, 232–233
  Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims Convention, 232, 240–241
  protection and indemnity clubs and, 234–235
  purpose of, 231–234
  risk internalization, 232–233
  Seabed Disputes Chamber and, 238
flag states, 103, 129, 143, 154, 155, 156
forum non conveniens, 199–200, 214
France, 183
  Exclusive Economic Zones off Antarctica, 7
  fault-based liability in, 136

generally accepted international rules and standards (GAIRS), 157
Germany, fault-based liability in, 136
global commons. *See also* Antarctic; areas beyond national jurisdiction; atmosphere; deep seabed area; high seas; *specific environments*; *specific regions*
  climate change influences on, 5
  compensation approaches to, 3–4
  definition of, 4–6
    as resource domains, 4–5
  liability approaches to, 3–4

habitat equivalency analysis (HEA), 88, 89–90
harm. *See* environmental damage and harm
harmonized domestic liability law, 44–49
HEA. *See* habitat equivalency analysis
high seas, 18–25
  access to remedies, 227–228
    BBNJ (ILBI), 227–228
  biodiversity beyond national jurisdiction and, 19–20
  under Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter, 23
  under Convention on the Law of the Sea, 18–19
    BBNJ (ILBI), 20, 24–25, 195–197
    environmental protection obligations, 22–25
    International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, 19
  environmental liability procedures, 22–25
  environmental protection obligations, 22–25
    under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 22–25
  environmental risks and, 20–21
  institutional arrangements, 19–20
  legal status as global commons, 18
  liability allocation for environmental harm in, 129–130
  liability standards for, 154–157
  resource management, 20–21
  standing for claims for environmental harm, 195–197
HNS Convention. *See* Convention on Liability and Compensation for Damage in Connection with the Carriage of Hazardous and Noxious Substances

ICJ. *See* International Court of Justice
ICRW. *See* International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling
ILC. *See* International Law Commission
ILC Draft Articles on Prevention of Transboundary Harm from Hazardous Activities, 155

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 06:16:30, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108866477.013

ILC Draft Articles on the Allocation of Loss in the case of Transboundary Harm arising out of Hazardous Activities, 31
ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations (DARIO), 106, 108, 172–173
ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts (ASR), 58, 98–99, 161, 163–164
in standing claims, 161–162, 164–166, 169–170
ILC Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 37–45, 74–76, 102–103, 175–176, 211–212, 213
illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing, 25, 154
IMO. *See* International Maritime Organization
implied powers, of international organizations, 171–172
insurance
financial assurances and, 235
mandatory insurance, 245
parametric insurance, 248
self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243
inter-governmental organizations, access to remedies and, 205
International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling (ICRW), 166, 183
International Convention Relating to Intervention on the High Seas in Cases of Oil Pollution Casualties, 162
International Court of Justice (ICJ), 55
access to remedies cases, 200–201, 210
Monetary Gold principle, 203
areas beyond national jurisdiction cases, 59, 63–64, 87–92, 118–119
liability allocation for environmental harm in, 99
valuation of environmental damage in, 87–92
liability allocation for environmental harm, 99
standing for claims for environmental harm, 168–185
International Court of the Environment Foundation, 209
International Law Commission (ILC), 251
access to remedies, 199–200, 207–208
for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 55, 58–63, 65–67
compensation requirements and, 30
Draft Articles on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts (DARIO), 58, 98–99, 161, 163–164
in standing claims, 161–162, 164–166, 169–170
financial assurances and, 237–238
ILC Draft Articles on Prevention of Transboundary Harm from Hazardous Activities, 155
ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations, 106, 108, 172–173
ILC Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 37–45, 74–76, 102–103, 175–176, 211–212, 213
liability and, 28, 29, 31, 32–33, 40–41
International Maritime Organization (IMO), 106, 107
International Oil Pollution Compensation Funds (IOPC Funds), 47, 71–72, 175–176, 217, 255
financial assurances and, 231
international organizations. *See also specific organizations*
areas beyond national jurisdiction and, 105–109
under domestic/national laws, 109
under international law, 105–108
Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations and, 106, 108, 172–173
implied powers of, 171–172
regional fisheries management arrangements, 106
regional fisheries management organizations, 106, 107
standing for claims for environmental harm
in Antarctic, 187–188
under international law, 171–173
UN Convention on the Law of the Sea and, 107–108
International Seabed Authority (ISA), 14–15
access to remedies for environmental harm and, 223–226
areas beyond national jurisdiction under, 82–84
Draft Exploitation Regulations, 82–84, 151–152
environmental liability, 17–18
environmental protection obligations, 17–18
Implementation Agreement, 14–15
liability and, 33, 151–152
allocation for environmental harm and, 123–129
environmental, 17–18
Seabed Disputes Chamber, 36, 42, 46
resources management, 16–17
Seabed Disputes Chamber, 36, 42, 46, 126–128, 143
access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–226
areas beyond national jurisdiction under, 81–82

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 06:16:30, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108866477.013

standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169
standing for claims for environmental harm, 171, 173, 189–192
Seabed Disputes Chamber and, 166–169
International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea (ITLOS), 14–15
access to remedies under, 202, 203
high seas under, 19
IOPC. *See* International Oil Pollution Compensation Funds
ISA. *See* International Seabed Authority
ITLOS. *See* International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea

joint liability, 116–117
jus cogens, 169, 170

lex loci delicti rule, 218–219
liability, international
absolute, 147
access to remedies and, 199–200
under Antarctic Protocol, 34, 48
Antarctic Liability Annex, 11–12, 28, 35, 49, 50–51, 121, 131
approaches to, 38–53
administrative, 50–51
in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 138–139
Basel Liability Protocol, 32, 48
BBNJ (ILBI) and, 141
channelling of, 110–116, 125
advantages/disadvantages in, 114–115
for nuclear energy production, 110
for operators, 110–116
polluter-pays principle, 115–116
for vessel-based cargo oil, 110–113
conceptual approach to, 2–4, 29–30
domestic liability law and, 43–49
harmonized, 44–49
tort of nuisance, 41
transboundary harm, 46
unharmonized, 43–44
in existing environmental agreements, 48–49
fault-based, 134
in France, 136
in Germanic countries, 136
policy considerations for, 135–141
subjective fault in, 135–136
future challenges for, 260–262
global commons and, 3–4
for Antarctic, 10–12
in deep seabed, 17–18
high seas, 22–25
HNS Convention, 37–38
institutional mechanisms, 259–260
under international law, 142–149
International Law Commission and, 28, 29, 31, 32–33, 40–41, 138
International Seabed Authority and, 33, 139
environmental liability, 17–18
Seabed Disputes Chamber, 36, 42, 46
under International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, 143
joint, 116–117
limitations of, 262–264
for loss and damage, 51–52
under climate change regimes, 51–52
under Paris Agreement, 51–52
Lugano Convention on Civil Liability for Damage Resulting from Activities Dangerous to the Environment, 45–46, 141
moral dimensions of, 133
Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol, 48, 49
Oil Pollution Liability Convention, 37–38
polluter-pays principle, 36–37, 140, 141
application of, 37
legal status of, 36–37
proportionate, 117
under Protocol on Liability and Compensation to the Basel Convention on Transboundary Movement of Hazardous Wastes, 32
purpose for rules in, 30–38, 252–254
compensation, 31–34
economic objectives, 37–38
for environmental restoration strategies, 34–36
for prevention of environmental harm, 34–36
Seabed Disputes Chamber and, 143
several, 116–117
standards of, 157–158
in Antarctic, 149–150
for civil liability regimes, 145–147
conceptual approach to, 133–134
in deep seabed, 150–154
generally accepted international rules and, 157
in high seas, 154–157
policy considerations, 135–141
risk factors for, 136–140
state responsibilities and, 39–43, 142–145
default rules, 142
due diligence and, 42–43, 142–143
no-harm principle and, 42
Seabed Disputes Chamber and, 42
strict, 134, 140–141
UN Convention on the Law of the Sea and, 34, 139

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 06:16:30, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108866477.013

liability caps, 148–149
Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims Convention (LLMC), 232, 240–241
London Convention. *See* Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter
loss allocation, 28
  ILC Draft Principles on Allocation of Loss, 175–176
loss and damage
  ecosystem services loss and, 70–73
  ILC Draft Principles on Allocation of Loss, 175–176
  liability and, 51–52
    under climate change regimes, 51–52
    under Paris Agreement, 51–52
  Warsaw International Mechanism for Loss and Damage, 51
Lugano Convention on Civil Liability for Damage Resulting from Activities Dangerous to the Environment, 45–46, 72–73, 141

mandatory insurance, 245
marine biodiversity, in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 84–85
marine genetic resources, 19–20, 21, 26, 84, 156
Monetary Gold principle, 203

Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol, 48, 49, 72–73
necessitous intervention, 69, 163, 179, 257
negligence. *See* fault-based liability
New Zealand, 10
NGOs. *See* non-government organizations
Nicaragua, 90–91
1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15
no-fault liability. *See* strict liability
no-harm principle, 40, 251
non-government organizations (NGOs)
  access to remedies for environmental harm and, 203, 206–207
  standing for claims for environmental harm, 173–175, 179–180
    in Antarctic, 187–188
    in deep seabed, 194–195

oceans. *See* deep seabed; high seas
Odyssey oil spill, 1
  areas beyond national jurisdiction and, 1
  civil liability rules and, 1–2, 4
Oil Pollution Act, U.S. (1990), 87
Oil Pollution Liability Convention, 37–38, 67–68, 105, 110–111, 113, 114
  standing claims and, 175–176
OSPAR Convention. *See* Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic

parametric insurance, 248
Pardo, Arvid, 13–14
parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216
Paris Agreement, 51–52
participation in environmental decision-making,205–206. *See also* Rio Declaration on Environment and Development
Philippines, 166
P&I clubs. *See* protection and indemnity clubs
polluter-pays principle, 36–37, 140, 141
  application of, 37
  channelling of liability, 115–116
  legal status of, 36–37
Portugal, 181
Postiglione, Amedeo, 209
prompt and adequate compensation, 22–23, 31, 33–34, 44–45, 62, 95, 130, 131, 145–147, 153, 157–158, 212–213, 230, 231, 237–238
proportionate liability, 117
protection and indemnity clubs (P&I clubs), 234–235
Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic Treaty (Antarctic Protocol) (1991), 6, 7, 10–11, 12
  access to remedies under, 220–222
  Antarctic Liability Annex, 11–12, 28, 35, 49, 50–51, 77–78, 93, 121, 131
  areas beyond national jurisdiction under, 76–79, 150
    Antarctic Liability Annex, 77–78, 93
  environmental impact statements, 10–11
  financial assurances under, 232, 238–239
  liability under, 34, 48
Protocol on Liability and Compensation to the Basel Convention on Transboundary Movement of Hazardous Wastes (Basel Liability Protocol) (1999), 32, 48, 70, 113–114, 216
  financial assurances and, 235–236
public law remedies, standing for claims for environmental harm and, 181
public trust doctrine, 178–179
pure environmental damage/loss, 26, 55–56, 63, 65, 70–73, 83, 160–161, 176, 224, 243–244, 255, 258–259

recognition and enforcement, of judgments, access to remedies
  in domestic forums, 219–220
  in international forums, 208–209

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 06:16:30, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108866477.013

regional fisheries management arrangements (RFMAs), 106
regional fisheries management organizations (RFMOs), 106, 107
  standing for claims for environmental harm and, 171
reinstatement, 49, 50, 55–56, 65–69, 72–73, 160–161
remedies, access to, for environmental harm. *See also* Antarctic Liability Annex
  analysis of, 228–229
  in Antarctic, 220–223
    under Antarctic Protocol, 220–222
    dispute settlement mechanisms and, 222
    in domestic forums, 222–223
    in international forums, 220–222
  under BBNJ (ILBI), 227–228
  compensation for environmental harm and, 199–200
  conceptual approach to, 199–200
  in deep seabed, 223–227
    in domestic forums, 226–227
    in international forums, 223–226
    International Seabed Authority and, 223–226
    Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226
  dispute settlement mechanisms
    in Antarctic, 222
    in international forums, 201–207
    Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226
  in domestic forums, 211–220
    choice of applicable law, 218–219
    civil liability conventions, 218
    forum choice, 213–216
    forum non conveniens, 214
    international obligations for, 211–213
    lex loci delicti rule, 218–219
    parties to proceedings, 216–218
    recognition and enforcement of judgments, 219–220
    sovereign immunity and, 217
  under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, 211–212, 213
  in high seas, 227–228
  inter-governmental organizations and, 205
  through International Court for the Environment, 209–210
  in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201, 210
    Monetary Gold principle, 203
  in international forums, 200–211
    advisory opinions, 210–211
    in Antarctic, 220–222
    available remedies, 207–208
    dispute settlement mechanisms, 201–207
    evidentiary issues in, 209
    participation in environmental decision-making, 205–206
    parties in, 203–207
    recognition and enforcement of judgments in, 208–209
  under international law, 199–200
  International Law Commission and, 199–200, 207–208
  non-governmental organizations and, 203, 206–207
  recognition and enforcement of judgments
    in domestic forums, 219–220
    in international forums, 208–209
  under Rio Declaration, 211–212
  scope of, 199
  state responsibility for, 207
  UN Compensation Commission, 200–201
  under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 199, 202, 203, 212–213, 226–227
remoteness, 58–61
reparations, for environmental damage
  for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61–65, 92
  principles for, 58
resource management
  for deep seabed areas, 16–17
  for high seas, 20–21
  under International Seabed Authority, 16–17
Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137
RFMAs. *See* regional fisheries management arrangements
RFMOs. *See* regional fisheries management organizations
rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183
Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251
  access to remedies under, 211–212
  no-harm principle in, 40
  Principle 10, 45–46

Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46, 126–128, 143
  access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–226
  standing for claims for environmental harm, 166–169
self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243
several liability, 116–117
sovereign immunity
  access to remedies for environmental harm, 217
  jure gestionis, 105
  jure imperii, 105
sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7
  international claims of, 6, 7

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 06:16:30, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108866477.013

standard of care, 134, 140, 146, 156–157
standing, for claims, for environmental harm in ABNJ
  analysis of, 197–198
  in Antarctic, 183–188
    Antarctic Liability Annex, 183–186
    under Antarctic Treaty, 183–186
    by international organizations, 187–188
    by non-state actors, 187–188
    by state operators, 183–186
  in civil liability regimes, 175–176
  for climate change litigation, 180–181
  collective legal interests in, 159–160
  in deep seabed, 188–195
    International Seabed Authority, 189–192
    by non-state actors, 194–195
    Seabed Disputes Chamber, 188–190
    by state operators, 192–194
  definition of, 159
  under domestic/national laws, 176–183
    parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216
    public law remedies, 181
    public trust doctrine, 178–179
  Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations and, 172–173
  under Draft Principles on Allocation of Loss, 175–176
erga omnes, 164–170, 179, 193, 198, 204–205
erga omnes partes, 164–170, 179, 184–185
in high seas, 195–197
under international law, 159, 160–175
  Draft Articles on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts, 161–162, 164–166, 169–170
  in ICJ cases, 168–185
  in individual states, 160–171
  for international organizations, 171–173
  for non-state actors, 173–175
under International Oil Pollution Compensation Funds, 175–176
by international organizations
  in Antarctic, 187–188
  under international law, 171–173
International Seabed Authority, 171, 173
International Seabed Authority, Seabed Disputes Chamber and, 166–169
under International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, 166–169
jus cogens, 169, 170
legal criteria for, 159–160
necessitous intervention, 163, 179
by non-government organizations, 173–175, 179–180
  in Antarctic, 187–188
  in deep seabed, 194–195
Permanent Court for International Justice, 164
regional fisheries management organizations and, 171
rights of nature approaches, 182–183
under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 166–171, 173
state responsibility
  for access to remedies, 207
  analysis of, 254–257
  for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 57–65, 100–105
    attribution of conduct in, 101
    in coastal states, 103–104
    under domestic/national laws, 104–105
    over exclusive economic zones, 103–104
    under international law, 57–65, 100–104
  international liability and, 39–43, 142–145
    default rules, 142
    due diligence and, 42–43, 142–143
    no-harm principle and, 42
Stockholm Declaration, 142–143
  no-harm principle in, 40
strict liability, 134, 140–141
submarine cables, 13, 18, 21, 162, 253
Sub-Regional Fisheries Commission Advisory Opinion, 154–155

tort of nuisance, 41
transboundary harm, 46

UN Compensation Commission, 118
  access to remedies and, 200–201
  areas beyond national jurisdiction and, 56–57, 60–65, 71, 74, 87–92
UN Convention on Biological Diversity, 19, 182–183
UN Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS)
  access to remedies, 199, 202, 203, 212–213, 226–227
  areas beyond national jurisdiction under, 80–83
    liability allocation for environmental harm in, 123–127, 130
  compensation objectives, 31
  deep seabed under, 12–15, 17–18
    common heritage of humankind, 13–14
    International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, 14–15
  financial assurances and, 237
  high seas under, 18–19
    BBNJ (ILBI), 20, 24–25
    environmental protection obligations, 22–25

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 06:16:30, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108866477.013

International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, 19
international liability and, 34, 139
international organizations and, 107–108
standing for claims for environmental harm under, 166–171, 173
Third UN Conference on the Law of the Sea, 8–9
UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, 19
UN Watercourses Convention, 45
unharmonized domestic liability law, 43–44, 254
United States (U.S.)
areas beyond national jurisdiction and, 87–88
Exxon Valdez oil spill, 88
Restatement on the Law of Torts, 137
valuation of environmental damage, 87–88
under Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 87
under Oil Pollution Act, 87
Warsaw International Mechanism for Loss and Damage, 51

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 06:16:30, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108866477.013

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 06:16:30, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108866477.013