Psychological Medicine (2015), **45**, 3293–3304. © Cambridge University Press 2015
doi:10.1017/S0033291715001294

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Occupational differences in US Army suicide rates

R. C. Kessler[1]*, M. B. Stein[2,3], P. D. Bliese[4], E. J. Bromet[5], W. T. Chiu[1], K. L. Cox[6], L. J. Colpe[7], C. S. Fullerton[8], S. E. Gilman[9], M. J. Gruber[1], S. G. Heeringa[10], L. Lewandowski-Romps[10], A. Millikan-Bell[6], J. A. Naifeh[8], M. K. Nock[11], M. V. Petukhova[1], A. J. Rosellini[1], N. A. Sampson[1], M. Schoenbaum[12], A. M. Zaslavsky[1] and R. J. Ursano[8] on behalf of the Army STARRS Collaborators

[1] *Department of Health Care Policy, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA;* [2] *Departments of Psychiatry and Family and Preventive Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA;* [3] *VA San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, CA, USA;* [4] *Darla Moore School of Business, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA;* [5] *Department of Psychiatry, Stony Brook University School of Medicine, Stony Brook, NY, USA;* [6] *US Army Public Health Command, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, USA;* [7] *Division of Services and Intervention Research, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD, USA;* [8] *Center for the Study of Traumatic Stress, Department of Psychiatry, Uniformed Services University School of Medicine, Bethesda, MD, USA;* [9] *Departments of Social and Behavioral Sciences, and Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA;* [10] *Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA;* [11] *Department of Psychology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA;* [12] *Office of Science Policy, Planning and Communications, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD, USA*

Background. Civilian suicide rates vary by occupation in ways related to occupational stress exposure. Comparable military research finds suicide rates elevated in combat arms occupations. However, no research has evaluated variation in this pattern by deployment history, the indicator of occupation stress widely considered responsible for the recent rise in the military suicide rate.

Method. The joint associations of Army occupation and deployment history in predicting suicides were analysed in an administrative dataset for the 729 337 male enlisted Regular Army soldiers in the US Army between 2004 and 2009.

Results. There were 496 suicides over the study period (22.4/100 000 person-years). Only two occupational categories, both in combat arms, had significantly elevated suicide rates: infantrymen (37.2/100 000 person-years) and combat engineers (38.2/100 000 person-years). However, the suicide rates in these two categories were significantly lower when currently deployed (30.6/100 000 person-years) than never deployed or previously deployed (41.2–39.1/100 000 person-years), whereas the suicide rate of other soldiers was significantly higher when currently deployed and previously deployed (20.2–22.4/100 000 person-years) than never deployed (14.5/100 000 person-years), resulting in the adjusted suicide rate of infantrymen and combat engineers being most elevated when never deployed [odds ratio (OR) 2.9, 95% confidence interval (CI) 2.1–4.1], less so when previously deployed (OR 1.6, 95% CI 1.1–2.1), and not at all when currently deployed (OR 1.2, 95% CI 0.8–1.8). Adjustment for a differential 'healthy warrior effect' cannot explain this variation in the relative suicide rates of never-deployed infantrymen and combat engineers by deployment status.

Conclusions. Efforts are needed to elucidate the causal mechanisms underlying this interaction to guide preventive interventions for soldiers at high suicide risk.

Received 1 May 2015; Revised 11 June 2015; Accepted 16 June 2015; First published online 20 July 2015

Key words: Army, Army STARRS, deployment, resiliency factors, suicide.

Introduction

The US Army suicide rate has climbed steadily since deployments began for Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF) in 2001 and Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF) in 2003 (Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center, 2012), doubling between 2004 and 2009 (Schoenbaum *et al.* 2014) to exceed the matched civilian rate for the first time in documented US military history (Bachynski *et al.* 2012). Most hypotheses to explain this trend emphasize the importance of combat-related experiences in causing suicides (Nock *et al.* 2013). However, as the likelihood of such experiences varies with military occupation, military occupation would be expected to be predict suicide, especially among soldiers with a history of deployment. Yet no previous research has examined differences in Army suicides as a joint function of occupation and deployment history. Evidence for such an interaction could have important implications for targeting preventive interventions.

Research on civilian suicides has documented high suicide rates in several occupations, such as healthcare workers (Hawton & Vislisel, 1999) and farmers (Browning *et al.* 2008), thought to have high rates of stress (Hawton *et al.* 2001; Hanigan *et al.* 2012) and easy access to lethal means (toxic medications among healthcare workers, pesticides among farmers) (Yip *et al.* 2012). Two comparable studies examined

* Address for correspondence: R. C. Kessler, Ph.D., Department of Health Care Policy, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.
(Email: kessler@hcp.med.harvard.edu)

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715001294 Published online by Cambridge University Press

occupational differences in US military suicides. The first considered the 84 military occupations accounting for at least 1% of service-specific suicides in 1980–1992 (Helmkamp, 1996). Only two occupations, Army infantryman and Marine small-arms technicians, had significantly elevated rates, but this could have been due to the fact that the disaggregation of occupations into 84 different categories resulted in the number of people in each category being too small to identify meaningful differences that would have been detected in broader categories. The second study addressed the disaggregation problem by comparing 2001–2010 suicide rates across broader occupational groupings defined by the first two digits of the Department of Defense (DoD) six-digit occupational coding system standardized for differences in age, sex and history of combat deployment (Trofimovich *et al.* 2013). Only the infantry, gun crew and seamanship specialist occupational group, which represented 24.9% of all personnel in groups having at least 25 suicides, had a significantly elevated rate.

The fact that the high-risk occupations in both these studies were combat arms occupations (i.e. occupations defined primarily by participation in combat) (Armed Forces Staff College, 1991) makes sense because combat arms occupations are those most exposed to the combat-related stresses presumed to account for the rising military suicide rate (Nock *et al.* 2013). However, two subsequent reports, one based on a large sample (LeardMann *et al.* 2013) and the other the entire population (Reger *et al.* 2015) of military personnel serving during the OEF/OIF years, called this interpretation into question by finding that deployment history was not associated with suicide deaths. Yet neither of these studies examined this association separately in the roughly one-fourth of uniformed services personnel who have combat arms occupations. It might be that the association is significant in this segment of the force even though it is not significant in the entire force. Such a specification, if it existed, would be useful in calling attention to the need for preventive interventions for deployment-related suicide in combat arms occupations. We investigate this possibility in the current report by determining whether the previously documented high suicide rate in combat arms occupations is most pronounced among the currently deployed and previously deployed and either does not exist or is attenuated among the never deployed.

Method

Sample

Analysis was based on the Historical Administrative Data System (HADS) administrative dataset of the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS) (Ursano *et al.* 2014). The HADS combined de-identified data from numerous military administrative databases for all soldiers on active duty 2004–2009 (Kessler *et al.* 2013). The HADS data considered in the current report came from: the DoD Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) Master Personnel & Transaction Files (sociodemographic and Army career characteristics); the DMDC Contingency Tracking System (activations, mobilizations, deployments); the Medical Data Repository and Theater Medical Data Store (treated mental disorders); and the Armed Forces Medical Examiner Tracking System (suicides). As our focus was on combat arms *versus* other occupations, analysis was limited to males because many combat arms occupations were closed to women during the years considered here. We also focused exclusively on enlisted soldiers (i.e. we excluded officers) because 92% of male suicides over the study period occurred to enlisted soldiers (Gilman *et al.* 2014).

The 729 337 male enlisted Regular Army soldiers in service at some time in 2004–2009 had a mean 36.6 months in service over those years (26 694 445 person-months). The analysis focused on comparisons of variables in person-months with suicides *versus* other person-months. Given that there were roughly 5000 times as many non-suicide person-months as suicide person-months, we selected a random sample of non-suicide person-months stratified by sex, rank, time-in-service, deployment status and historical time equal to roughly 100 times the number of suicide person-months for the analysis in order to reduce the computational intensity of the comparisons. Each sampled non-suicide person-month was weighted by the inverse of its probability of selection to adjust for its undersampling. This subsampling and weighting approach is conventional and results in unbiased estimates of odds ratios (ORs) and confidence intervals (CIs) (Schlesselman, 1982).

We also searched for interactions between combat arms occupations and deployment in predicting suicide based on the documented existence of a 'healthy warrior' effect in predicting deployment: that is, a pattern whereby soldiers with prior mental disorders are less likely than other soldiers to deploy either because of early attrition or being held back (Larson *et al.* 2008; Wilson *et al.* 2009). Previous research on the healthy warrior effect never looked for variation in this association as a function of military occupation. If the association is more pronounced among soldiers with combat arms than other occupations, it would induce an interaction between combat arms occupations and deployment predicting suicide. Such a difference could occur in either of two ways. First, the stressful nature

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715001294 Published online by Cambridge University Press

of combat arms training might lead to psychologically vulnerable combat arms soldiers being more likely than those in other occupations either to leave service prematurely or to be detected as ineligible for deployment by their leaders. Second, leaders might weigh psychological vulnerability more heavily in selecting soldiers for deployment in combat arms than other occupations. This possible differential healthy warrior effect was evaluated using information in electronic medical records on treated mental disorders among never-deployed soldiers to investigate differential associations with subsequent deployment among soldiers with combat arms *versus* other occupations.

Measures

Occupation

The DMDC Active Duty Master Personnel File (ADMPF) recognized 483 enlisted soldier occupations during the study period (US Army, 2015). We focused on duty occupations (jobs performed in the month of observation). Consistent with previous work on occupational differences in soldier health (Lindstrom *et al.* 2006; Niebuhr *et al.* 2011; Gubata *et al.* 2013), we distinguished combat arms occupations from combat support (providing operational assistance to combat arms) and combat service support (all other Army occupations) (Kirin & Winkler, 1992; Layne *et al.* 2001) occupations. Within combat arms, the five infantry occupations (e.g. indirect fire infantryman, heavy anti-armor weapons infantryman) were collapsed into a single infantry category, while cannon crewmember and M1 armor crewman occupations were collapsed into a second single category based on closely related occupational duties. One other combat arms occupation, cavalry scout, and the three under the category of combat engineer (i.e. soldiers who perform construction and demolition tasks under combat conditions) were considered separately because each contained enough soldiers to have an expected number of 10+ suicides over the study period. The remaining 28 combat arms occupations closed to women were collapsed into a residual 'other' category. Among the combat arms occupations open to women, we collapsed the 21 involving transportation into a single category, while the 12 remaining were collapsed into a residual 'other' category. These coding decisions resulted in a total of seven combat arms categories.

Combat support and combat service support occupations were organized using the Army's nine-category occupational classification system (US Army, 2015): administrative support (41 occupations); intelligence and combat support (67 occupations); arts and media (29 occupations); legal and law enforcement (seven occupations); mechanics (127 occupations); computers and technology (24 occupations); medical and emergency (44 occupations); construction and engineering (44 occupations); and transportation and aviation (15 occupations exclusive of the additional 21 transportation occupations in combat arms). Five of the broad combat support categories and six of the combat service support categories contained enough soldiers to have an expected number of 10+ suicides over the study period and were consequently examined separately along with collapsed residual categories of all other combat support and all other combat service support occupations. These coding decisions resulted in a total of six occupational categories in combat support and seven in combat service support. Although trainee occupation categories were collapsed with their non-trainee counterparts whenever possible, this could not be done for 13 non-specific training categories (e.g. unassigned trainees, active duty medical hold) that we consequently classified as part of other combat service support.

HADS sociodemographic and Army career control variables

The ADMPF and DMDC Contingency Tracking Systems were used to create a series of sociodemographic and Army career variables suggested by previous research (Bell *et al.* 2010; Black *et al.* 2011; Bachynski *et al.* 2012; Hyman *et al.* 2012) to predict suicide. The subset of these variables found to be significant in previous HADS analyses (Gilman *et al.* 2014) were included as control variables: age, sex, race–ethnicity, marital status, age at enlistment, Armed Forces Qualification Test scores at enlistment, number of years in service, Command (the major organizational subdivision of the Army), and current (in the person-month) deployment either in a combat zone or in direct support outside the combat zone of OEF/OIF. We also controlled for current deployment status, time in current deployment, number of prior deployments, length of most recent deployment, time since ending most recent deployment, and dwell time (i.e. amount of time between end of second most recent deployment and beginning of the most recent deployment).

Treated mental disorders

Information on treated mental disorders was obtained from electronic medical records in the Medical Data Repository and Theater Medical Data Store. Mental disorders were defined using International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) diagnoses 290–319. Treatment was coded for number of in-patient days and separately for number of out-patient visits in the prior 12 and 48 months.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715001294 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Analysis methods

Cross-tabulations were used to calculate suicide rates within each of the 20 occupational categories. Consistent with previous HADS analyses (Gilman *et al.* 2014), discrete-time survival models with person-month the unit of analysis and a logistic link function (Willett & Singer, 1993) were used to examine joint associations of occupation, deployment status history (never deployed, currently deployed, previously deployed), and their interaction with suicide controlling for time, sociodemographics and Army career variables to determine if the higher suicide rate in combat arms occupations varied with deployment status history. We subsequently expanded the controls to include information about treated mental disorders in the prior 12 and 48 months. An additional analysis designed to study a possible differential healthy warrior effect examined whether the associations of prior treated mental disorders with subsequent first deployment among the never-deployed soldiers varied by occupation.

Consistent with standard practice in survival analysis, respondents were removed from the dataset in the month after death or termination of service. Survival coefficients and their standard errors were exponentiated to create ORs and 95% CIs. Statistical significance was evaluated using 0.05-level two-sided tests. All HADS analyses were carried out with SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., 2010) using *proc surveyfreq* to estimate prevalence and *proc surveylogistic* to estimate discrete-time survival models.

Results

Distributions of Military Occupation Specialties (MOS) categories by deployment

As noted above, the HADS sample consists of all suicide person-months and a representative sample of non-suicide person-months with a sum of weights equal to the 26 694 445 person-months for the 729 337 male enlisted Regular Army soldiers in the Army at some time in 2004–2009 who were on active duty. Close to one-fourth (24.4%) of the population was currently deployed in a given month over that time period, while 37.6% had previously deployed and the remaining 38.0% had never deployed. It is noteworthy that 12.1% of all enlisted male Regular Army soldiers over this time period were in their first year of service. This proportion is considerably smaller than the 38.0% of male soldiers that had never deployed, demonstrating that never-deployed soldiers are not dominated by new soldiers in training. We did not distinguish between new soldiers still in training and the other never-deployed soldiers, as this was not a relevant distinction for the current analysis. However, as noted above, we did control for time in service in the survival analysis (Table 1). The proportions of currently and previously deployed were highest among soldiers with combat arms occupations (28.0–38.5% in occupations closed to women; 23.1–39.0% in those open to women), while the proportions never deployed were highest among soldiers with combat support (40.6%) and combat service support (40.1%) occupations. Overall variation in deployment status history by occupational categories was statistically significant ($\chi^2_{38} = 167.2$, $p < 0.001$).

Gross occupational differences in suicide rates

The overall suicide rate among male enlisted Regular Army soldiers over the study period was 22.4/100 000 person-years ($n = 496$ suicides). Only two of the 20 occupational categories considered here, both of them combat arms occupations closed to women, had suicide rates significantly higher than those of other soldiers: infantrymen (37.2/100 000 person-years; OR 1.9, 95% CI 1.6–2.3) and combat engineers (38.2/100 000 person-years; OR 1.7, 95% CI 1.1–2.7) (Table 2). Infantrymen made up 16.8% of all soldiers and accounted for 28.0% of all suicides, while combat engineers made up 2.6% of all soldiers and accounted for 4.4% of all suicides. The 10.0% of other soldiers in the remaining combat arms occupations closed to women accounted for 10.9% of all suicides.

Suicide rates by occupation and deployment status

Subsequent analyses combined and compared infantrymen and combat engineers with all other enlisted soldiers. As hypothesized, a significant interaction was found between the dummy predictor variable for being either an infantryman or combat engineer and deployment status in predicting suicide ($\chi^2_2 = 6.7$, $p = 0.030$). However, the shape of this interaction was completely different from the one expected, as the interaction was due to the suicide rate of infantrymen and combat engineers being highest when never deployed (41.0–41.9/100 000 person-years in the two categories separately; 41.2/100 000 person-years when combined) and lowest when currently deployed (30.0–34.7/100 000 person-years in the two categories separately; 30.6/100 000 person-years when combined), whereas the suicide rate of all other soldiers combined was lowest when never deployed (14.5/100 000 person-years) and highest when currently deployed or previously deployed (20.2–22.4/100 000 person-years) (Table 3). These differences led to the OR of suicides for infantrymen and combat engineers *versus* other soldiers being highest among the never deployed (OR 2.9, 95% CI 2.1–4.1), lower among the previously deployed (OR 1.6, 95% CI 1.1–2.1), and non-significant among

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715001294 Published online by Cambridge University Press

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715001294 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Table 1. *Distribution of all person-months of service of male enlisted Regular Army soldiers on duty during the years 2004–2009 by collapsed occupational categories in the Army STARRS 2004–2009 Historical Administrative Data System* (*n* = *729 337soldiers;* n = *26 694 445 person-months*)[a]

		By deployment status history, % by column[a]			By occupational categories, % by row[b]		
	Total, % (95% CI)	Never, % (95% CI)	Currently, % (95% CI)	Previously, % (95% CI)	Never, % (95% CI)	Currently, % (95% CI)	Previously, % (95% CI)
I. Combat arms							
A. Closed to women							
Infantry	16.8 (16.6–17.0)	15.0 (14.6–15.4)	19.6 (19.0–20.2)	16.9 (16.5–17.3)	33.9 (33.1–34.7)	28.6 (27.8–29.4)	37.4 (36.4–38.4)
Cannon/M1 crew	5.3 (5.1–5.5)	4.5 (4.3–4.7)	5.9 (5.5–6.3)	5.6 (5.4–5.8)	32.7 (31.1–34.3)	27.6 (26.0–29.2)	39.7 (38.1–41.3)
Cavalry scout	2.9 (2.7–3.1)	2.5 (2.3–2.7)	3.6 (3.4–3.8)	3.0 (2.8–3.2)	33.3 (31.1–35.5)	30.0 (28.0–32.0)	37.7 (35.5–39.9)
Combat engineer	2.6 (2.4–2.8)	2.3 (2.1–2.5)	3.2 (3.0–3.4)	2.6 (2.4–2.8)	33.1 (30.9–35.3)	30.0 (27.8–32.2)	36.9 (34.5–39.3)
Other	1.8 (1.6–2.0)	1.6 (1.4–1.8)	1.3 (1.1–1.5)	2.4 (2.2–2.6)	33.8 (31.1–36.5)	17.1 (14.9–19.3)	49.1 (46.9–51.3)
Total	29.4 (29.0–29.8)	25.9 (25.3–26.5)	33.6 (32.8–34.4)	30.4 (29.8–31.0)	33.5 (32.9–34.1)	28.0 (27.4–28.6)	38.5 (37.9–39.1)
B. Open to women							
Transport	3.5 (3.3–3.7)	3.3 (3.1–3.5)	3.7 (3.5–3.9)	3.6 (3.4–3.8)	36.1 (34.1–38.1)	25.7 (23.9–27.5)	38.1 (36.1–40.1)
Other	7.9 (7.7–8.1)	8.0 (7.8–8.2)	7.1 (6.7–7.5)	8.4 (8.0–8.8)	38.6 (37.2–40.0)	22.0 (20.8–23.2)	39.4 (38.0–40.8)
Total	11.4 (11.2–11.6)	11.4 (11.0–11.8)	10.8 (10.4–11.2)	11.9 (11.5–12.3)	37.9 (36.7–39.1)	23.1 (22.1–24.1)	39.0 (37.8–40.2)
II. Combat support							
Computer	6.6 (6.4–6.8)	6.7 (6.3–7.1)	6.5 (6.1–6.9)	6.5 (6.1–6.9)	39.9 (38.5–41.3)	24.2 (23.0–25.4)	36.9 (35.5–38.3)
Intelligence	4.8 (4.6–5.0)	5.9 (5.7–6.1)	3.8 (3.6–4.0)	4.4 (4.2–4.6)	46.7 (44.9–48.5)	19.2 (17.8–20.6)	34.1 (32.5–35.7)
Legal/law enforcement	3.9 (3.7–4.1)	4.3 (4.1–4.5)	3.4 (3.2–3.6)	3.8 (3.6–4.0)	42.0 (40.0–44.0)	21.4 (19.8–23.0)	36.6 (34.8–38.4)
Construction/engineer	2.7 (2.5–2.9)	2.4 (2.2–2.6)	3.0 (2.8–3.2)	2.9 (2.7–3.1)	33.2 (31.0–35.4)	26.9 (24.9–28.9)	39.8 (37.6–42.0)
Mechanic	2.7 (2.5–2.9)	2.6 (2.4–2.8)	2.9 (2.7–3.1)	2.6 (2.4–2.8)	37.5 (35.3–39.7)	26.9 (24.7–29.1)	35.6 (33.4–37.8)
Other	0.8 (0.6–1.0)	1.1 (0.9–1.3)	0.6 (0.4–0.8)	0.8 (0.6–1.0)	47.3 (43.2–51.4)	18.8 (15.7–21.9)	34.0 (30.1–37.9)
Total	21.6 (21.2–22.0)	23.0 (22.4–23.6)	20.3 (19.7–20.9)	21.0 (20.4–21.6)	40.6 (39.8–41.4)	23.0 (22.2–23.8)	36.3 (35.5–37.1)
III. Combat service support							
Administrative	6.9 (6.7–7.1)	8.4 (8.0–8.8)	5.3 (4.9–5.7)	6.5 (6.1–6.9)	46.0 (44.6–47.4)	18.9 (17.7–20.1)	35.1 (33.7–36.5)
Intelligence	6.0 (5.8–6.2)	5.6 (5.4–5.8)	6.1 (5.7–6.5)	6.2 (5.8–6.6)	35.9 (34.3–37.5)	25.0 (23.6–26.4)	39.1 (37.5–40.7)
Mechanic	8.2 (8.0–8.4)	8.0 (7.6–8.4)	8.6 (8.2–9.0)	8.3 (7.9–8.7)	36.9 (35.5–38.3)	25.6 (24.4–26.8)	37.5 (36.1–38.9)
Transportation	4.9 (4.7–5.1)	4.1 (3.9–4.3)	5.8 (5.4–6.2)	5.1 (4.9–5.3)	31.6 (30.0–33.2)	29.2 (27.6–30.8)	39.2 (37.4–41.0)
Medical	5.8 (5.6–6.0)	7.0 (6.6–7.4)	4.6 (4.2–5.0)	5.4 (5.2–5.6)	45.9 (44.3–47.5)	19.4 (18.2–20.6)	34.7 (33.1–36.3)
Construction	3.2 (3.0–3.4)	3.2 (3.0–3.4)	3.3 (3.1–3.5)	3.2 (3.0–3.4)	37.5 (35.3–39.7)	25.1 (23.3–26.9)	37.4 (35.4–39.4)
Other	2.5 (2.3–2.7)	3.6 (3.4–3.8)	1.7 (1.5–1.9)	1.9 (1.7–2.1)	55.0 (52.6–57.4)	16.9 (15.1–18.7)	28.2 (26.0–30.4)
Total	37.5 (37.1–37.9)	39.7 (39.1–40.3)	35.4 (34.6–36.2)	36.6 (36.0–37.2)	40.1 (39.5–40.7)	23.1 (22.5–23.7)	36.5 (35.9–37.1)
IV. Total enlisted	100.0 (–)	100.0 (–)	100.0 (–)	100.0 (–)	38.1 (37.7–38.5)	24.5 (24.1–24.9)	37.3 (36.9–37.7)

Table 1 (*cont.*)

	By deployment status history, % by column[a]				By occupational categories, % by row[b]		
	Total, % (95% CI)	Never, % (95% CI)	Currently, % (95% CI)	Previously, % (95% CI)	Never, % (95% CI)	Currently, % (95% CI)	Previously, % (95% CI)
Person-months	26 694 445	10 136 057	6 530 610	10 027 778			

Army STARRS, Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers; CI, confidence interval.

[a] See the text for a discussion of case–control sampling and weighting.

[b] Occupation distributions differ significantly by deployment ($\chi^2_{38} = 167.2$, $p < 0.001$).

the currently deployed (OR 1.2, 95% CI 0.8–1.8). These differences were stable over time, as indicated by the ORs for infantrymen and combat engineers *versus* other soldiers being quite similar in 2004–2006 and 2007–2009 (2.4–3.2 among the never deployed, 1.1–1.5 among the currently deployed, and 1.4–1.7 among the previously deployed).

We investigated the possibility that the higher OR of suicide among infantrymen and combat engineers *versus* other soldiers when never deployed than when currently deployed or previously deployed was due to pre-existing mental disorders being more strongly associated with low probability of deployment among infantrymen and combat engineers than other soldiers. Consistent with previous research, we found that never-deployed soldiers with a history of treated mental disorders (in-patient treatment and/or out-patient treatment with 6+ visits) were significantly less likely than others with the same sociodemographic and career characteristics subsequently to deploy (OR 0.7, 95% CI 0.7–0.7). This association was somewhat, but not markedly, stronger among infantrymen and combat engineers than other soldiers (with an interaction of OR 0.9, 95% CI 0.8–0.9).

In order to investigate the implications of adjusting for this small difference, we standardized the distribution of prior treatment of mental disorders to be the same for infantrymen and combat engineers as other soldiers within deployment statuses and recalculated the ORs of suicide for infantrymen and combat engineers *versus* other soldiers within deployment status using these standardized data. The ORs were very similar to those based on the observed data before standardization (Table 3). The same was true when we limited analysis to currently deployed soldiers in their first deployment and previously deployed soldiers with a history of exactly one deployment.

We also carried out a broader test of a possible differential healthy warrior effect by examining the OR of suicides among never-deployed infantrymen and combat engineers *versus* other never-deployed soldiers during the first 2 years of service. We would expect this OR to be substantially attenuated if it was caused by a differential health warrior effect because deployments typically occur only after 2 years of service. As it happened, though, the OR was significant in the first 2 years of service (OR 2.2, 95% CI 1.4–3.5) and did not differ significantly from the OR in the 3rd–4th years of service (OR 4.7, 95% CI 2.4–9.4) or 5th+ years of service (OR 2.9, 95% CI 1.3–6.2) ($\chi^2_2 = 3.5$, $p = 0.17$).

Discussion

This study has a number of important limitations. First, our 20-category occupational classification

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715001294 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Table 2. *Suicide rates (suicides/100 000 person-years)[a] for each collapsed occupational category and bivariate associations (OR) comparing the suicide rate in each category with the rate among all other male Regular Army enlisted soldiers in the Army STARRS 2004–2009 Historical Administrative Data System* (n = *729 337 soldiers;* n = *26 694 445 person-months*)[a]

	Suicide/100 000 person-years, estimate (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
I. Combat arms		
A. Closed to women		
Infantry	37.2 (30.9–43.5)	1.9 (1.6–2.3)*
Cannon/M1 crew	26.6 (17.2–36.0)	1.2 (0.8–1.7)
Cavalry scout	26.1 (13.6–38.6)	1.2 (0.7–1.9)
Combat engineer	38.2 (22.1–54.3)	1.7 (1.1–2.7)*
Other	15.0 (3.0–27.0)	0.7 (0.3–1.5)
B. Open to women		
Transport	21.8 (11.4–32.2)	1.0 (0.6–1.6)
Other	16.5 (10.4–22.6)	0.7 (0.5–1.1)
II. Combat support		
Computer	19.1 (12.0–26.2)	0.9 (0.6–1.2)
Intelligence	19.6 (11.2–28.0)	0.9 (0.6–1.4)
Legal/law enforcement	24.4 (14.0–34.8)	1.1 (0.7–1.7)
Construction/ engineer	8.2 (0.9–15.5)	0.4 (0.2–0.9)*
Mechanic	23.6 (11.3–35.9)	1.1 (0.6–1.8)
Other	0.0 (0.0–0.0)	0.0 (0.0–0.0)
III. Combat service support		
Administrative	17.6 (10.9–24.3)	0.8 (0.5–1.1)
Intelligence	14.4 (7.9–20.9)	0.6 (0.4–1.0)
Mechanic	21.4 (14.7–28.1)	1.0 (0.7–1.3)
Transportation	15.7 (8.3–23.1)	0.7 (0.4–1.1)
Medical	19.5 (11.9–27.1)	0.9 (0.6–1.3)
Construction	14.1 (5.3–22.9)	0.6 (0.3–1.2)
Other	16.4 (5.6–27.2)	0.7 (0.4–1.4)
χ^2_{19}	88.7*	

OR, Odds ratio; Army STARRS, Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers; CI, confidence interval.

[a] See the text for a discussion of case–control sampling and weighting.

* Significant ($p < 0.05$; two-sided test).

scheme was developed *ad hoc* and had too high a level of aggregation to study suicide rates in uncommon occupations. Second, further imprecision was introduced into the occupational classification scheme by the fact that the duties actually performed by soldiers are sometimes less distinct than those described in official occupational designations. This is especially true during deployment, which might at least partially account for the weaker association between occupation and suicide among the currently deployed. Third, some Army suicides might have been inaccurately classified as accidental or undetermined deaths (Carr *et al.* 2004), although methodological research suggests that such errors are uncommon (Huguet *et al.* 2014). Fourth, while the Army suicide rate turned sharply upward in 2008, HADS data were not available beyond 2009. Fifth, we were unable to study suicides of veterans after separation from the Army because we had no access to that information. This made it impossible for us to expand the analyses recently reported by Reger *et al.* (2015) among veterans. Another noteworthy limitation is that analysis was limited to men because of our interest in combat arms occupations that were not open to women at the time of study. It is noteworthy, though, that a previous report in this journal showed that the proportional elevation in the suicide rate of Army women (regardless of MOS) during deployment compared when never deployed or previously deployed is much higher than among men (Street *et al.* 2015).

Notwithstanding these limitations, the finding of significantly elevated overall suicide rates among infantrymen and combat engineers is broadly consistent with the finding of elevated suicide rates among some combat arms soldiers in the two prior studies that examined occupational differences in military suicides (Helmkamp, 1996; Trofimovich *et al.* 2013). We went beyond these prior studies, though, to investigate whether these elevated suicide rates were more pronounced among the currently deployed and previously deployed than the never deployed. As predicted, we found an interaction, but the shape of the interaction was quite different from expected in that the suicide rate of infantrymen and combat engineers was highest when never deployed (41.2/100 000 person-years) compared with lowest when never deployed among other soldiers (14.5/100 000 person-years), leading to suicide ORs of infantrymen and combat engineers *versus* other soldiers being highest when never deployed, disappearing when currently deployed, and reappearing again when previously deployed.

Although our analysis of selection factors into deployment argued against a differential healthy warrior effect explaining the high suicide rate of infantrymen and combat engineers when never deployed, this analysis was not exhaustive. For example, we did not consider either untreated mental disorders that began subsequent to enlistment or psychological vulnerabilities not captured in psychiatric diagnoses. Nor did we consider the possibility that some risk factors for suicide that differ in prevalence among infantrymen and combat engineers *versus* other soldiers might differentially predict suicide depending on deployment status while not predicting probability of deployment.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715001294 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Table 3. *Observed and standardized comparisons of the suicide rates (suicides/100 000 person-years)[a] of infantrymen and combat engineers versus all other male Regular Army enlisted soldiers in the Army STARRS 2004–2009 Historical Administrative Data System (n = 729 337soldiers; n = 26 694 445 person-months)[a]*

	Suicide/100 000 person-years				
	Infantry/combat engineers: estimate (95% CI)	Others: estimate (95% CI)	Observed: OR (95% CI)	Standardized[b]: OR (95% CI)	Standardized[b] first deployment: OR (95% CI)
Never deployed	41.2 (30.8–51.6)	14.5 (11.8–17.2)	2.9 (2.1–4.1)*	3.1 (2.2–4.4)*	3.1 (2.2–4.4)*
Currently deployed	30.6 (20.8–40.4)	20.2 (15.9–24.5)	1.2[c] (0.8–1.8)	1.2 (0.8–1.8)	1.4 (0.9–2.2)
Previously deployed	39.1 (29.3–48.9)	22.4 (18.9–25.9)	1.6 (1.1–2.1)*	1.7 (1.2–2.3)*	1.7 (1.2–2.4)*

Army STARRS, Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

[a] Based on discrete-time (person-month) survival models. All models included control variables for calendar month to adjust for the suicide rate increasing over the study period, sociodemographics, and Army career characteristics found in previous Army STARRS analyses to be significant predictors of suicide.

[b] Results in the Standardized column are based on a version of the data in which the distribution of the cross-classification of treatment for mental or substance disorders in the prior 12 months and prior 48 months (in-patient, out-patient 1–5 visits, out-patient 6+ visits, none) was weighted to have the same distributions among infantrymen and combat engineers as other male Regular Army enlisted soldiers within each of the three deployment history categories. Results in the Standardized first deployment column restricted currently deployed soldiers to those in their first deployment and previously deployed soldiers to those who had only one deployment prior to standardization.

[c] The ratio of 30.6/20.2 = 1.5, whereas the 'observed' OR reported here is 1.2. This difference is more pronounced among the currently deployed than the never deployed (where the rate ratio is 2.8 compared with the OR of 2.9) or previously deployed (where the rate ratio is 1.7 compared with the OR of 1.6) because the joint associations of the control variables with occupation and suicide are stronger among currently deployed than never-deployed or previously deployed soldiers.

* Significant difference between infantrymen/combat engineers and other soldiers ($p < 0.05$; two-sided test).

For example, a small literature suggests that soldiers attracted to combat arms occupations have much higher rates of sensation-seeking behaviors (impulsive, aggressive) than other soldiers (Morey *et al.* 2011; Montes & Weatherly, 2014) and that soldiers with this sensation-seeking profile in situations of conscription have much greater difficulties than others adjusting to the regimentation of military training but are better able than other soldiers to adjust to the risks and uncertainties of military life during times of war (Neria *et al.* 2000; Parmak *et al.* 2014). These differences might help account for the suicide rate of infantrymen and combat engineers being lower when currently deployed than either never deployed or previously deployed. The more expected pattern found among other soldiers of a low suicide rate among the never deployed, highest rate among the currently deployed, and intermediate rate among the previously deployed could be explained by a combination of differential exposure to deployment-related stressors and selection processes.

The distinctive association between deployment and suicide among infantrymen and combat engineers might have intervention implications if personality factors or other individual differences could pinpoint causal mechanisms that could be used to target interventions that build resilience to the stresses associated with being never deployed and previously deployed. Future analyses of an expanded HADS database might allow us to investigate possibilities such as these, as a number of new Army administrative data systems with potentially important predictors have become available since the end of the initial HADS study period. For example, in 2009 the Army began administering an adaptive personality assessment battery to all new enlistees that has subsequently been shown to predict later treatment of mental disorders and attrition (Niebuhr *et al.* 2013) but has not been used to differentiate occupations. In addition, the Army's Comprehensive Soldier Fitness Program (Cornum *et al.* 2011) began around the same time and now administers an annual self-report survey to all soldiers to assess positive and negative emotions, problem-focused coping, character strengths, and various domains of fitness (social, spiritual, family) that might be related to occupation and to suicide (Peterson *et al.* 2011).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715001294 Published online by Cambridge University Press

It is important to appreciate that exploration of the causal dynamics underlying the joint effects of deployment and occupation on suicide are complicated by three non-random selection processes that have not been highlighted sufficiently in recent discussions of the role of deployment in accounting for the rising military suicide rate (LeardMann *et al.* 2013; Bryan *et al.* 2015; Reger *et al.* 2015). First, military occupation is self-selected at the time of enlistment based on factors that could be related to differential suicide risk. Second, the leaders of deploying units typically can select the soldiers to deploy from the larger numbers in their units based on considerations that might be related to differential suicide risk. Third, soldiers can be differentially selected out of service either before becoming eligible for deployment (e.g. due to inadequate performance, death, disability, criminal behavior) or after deployment (i.e. the decision not to re-enlist) based on factors that could be related to suicide risk.

These selection processes could generate aggregate data patterns that obscure the effects of deployment. For example, commentators on a recent Army STARRS report showing that the Army suicide rate increased since the beginning of OEF/OIF not only among soldiers who deployed but also among those who never deployed (Schoenbaum *et al.* 2014) stated that this finding 'clearly show(s) that deployments do not directly explain the sharp increase in suicide incidence' (Hoge *et al.* 2014). That conclusion is premature, as it fails to take into consideration the possibilities of (i) changes in selection factors into service during the early years of OEF/OIF and (ii) an increase in the concentration of psychological vulnerability among the never deployed as the deployment rate increased during the OEF/OIF years due to the healthy warrior effect. Either of these selection processes could have led to an increase in the suicide rate of never-deployed soldiers in the presence of effects of deployment on suicide.

An indication of the possible strength of selection processes related to the healthy warrior effect can be found in a recent study of suicide among US military personnel serving between 7 October 2001 and 31 December 2007 through to the end of 2009 that found the highest rates among those who separated from service before the end of a typical 4-year first tour of duty (39.5–48.0/100 000 person-years *v.* 11.0–21.7/100 000 person-years after later separations) (Reger *et al.* 2015). It is likely that the vast majority of these early separations occurred to individuals who never deployed. If so, then it is noteworthy that even though these early-attrition cases contributed only 11% of all person-years to the total never deployed they accounted for nearly 28% of all suicides among the never deployed. If we consider these early-attrition person-years ineligible for deployment, the suicide rate among the remaining 93% of the never deployed becomes 14.5/100 000 person-years (rather than 17.8/100 000 among all never deployed) and the risk ratio of ever deployed *versus* never deployed increases from 1.06 to 1.31. However, this simple adjustment deals only with the most extreme type of selection (i.e. early attrition). Further adjustments for differences in deployment rates by occupation, service, history of treated mental disorders, and the more subtle individual difference factors that might be associated with suicide risk along with consideration of interactions of the sort documented here might yield much more compelling evidence for a significant adverse effect of deployment on suicide in important segments of the military.

Observational data of the sort available in the HADS could be used to make these adjustments for these selection processes and produce plausible causal inferences about differential effects of deployment across occupations. In the ideal case, these inferences would be based on sophisticated selection models based on plausible hypotheses about the causes of selection (Hernan & Robins, 2006). Research exists that could guide the development of such models on the predictors of enlistment (Kleykamp, 2006; Morey *et al.* 2011; Montes & Weatherly, 2014), the predictors of selecting a combat arms occupation among enlistees (Maclean & Parsons, 2010), the predictors of deployment (Wilson *et al.* 2009), the predictors of mid-tour attrition (Niebuhr *et al.* 2011; Belisle *et al.* 2013; Gubata *et al.* 2013), and the predictors of re-enlistment (Garvey Wilson *et al.* 2009). We plan to carry out analyses of this sort in the next phase of STARRS based on the belief that thoughtful research that measures and assesses the effects of these processes has the potential to produce much more nuanced and actionable information than currently exists about the effects of Army occupation, deployment, and their interaction on suicides.

Acknowledgements and Declaration of Interest

Army STARRS was sponsored by the Department of the Army and funded under cooperative agreement number U01MH 087981 with the US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Mental Health (NIH/NIMH). The contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Department of Health and Human Services, the NIMH, the Department of the Army or the DoD. As a cooperative agreement, scientists employed by the NIMH (L. J. Colpe and M. Schoenbaum) and Army liaisons/consultants [Col. Steven Cersovsky, M.D., M.P.H. (*US Army Public Health Command;* USAPHC) and K. L. Cox, M.D., M.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715001294 Published online by Cambridge University Press

P.H. (USAPHC)] collaborated to develop the study protocol and data collection instruments, supervise data collection, interpret results and prepare reports. Although a draft of this manuscript was submitted to the Army and NIMH for review and comment prior to submission, this was with the understanding that comments would be no more than advisory. R. C. Kessler has been a consultant over the past 3 years for J & J Wellness & Prevention, Inc., Lake Nona Institute, Ortho-McNeil Janssen Scientific Affairs, Sanofi-Aventis Group, Shire US Inc. and Transcept Pharmaceuticals Inc. and has had research support for his epidemiological studies over this time period from EPI-Q, Sanofi-Aventis Group, and Walgreens Co. R. C. Kessler owns stock in DataStat, Inc. M. B. Stein has been a consultant for Healthcare Management Technologies and had research support for pharmacological imaging studies from Janssen. The remaining authors report nothing to disclose.

Contributors

The Army STARRS Team consists of co-principal investigators: Robert J. Ursano, M.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences) and Murray B. Stein, M.D., M.P.H. (University of California San Diego and VA San Diego Healthcare System); site principal investigators: Steven Heeringa, Ph.D. (University of Michigan) and Ronald C. Kessler, Ph.D. (Harvard Medical School); NIMH collaborating scientists: Lisa J. Colpe, Ph.D., M.P.H. and Michael Schoenbaum, Ph.D.; Army liaisons/consultants: Col. Steven Cersovsky, M.D., M.P.H. (USAPHC) and Kenneth Cox, M.D., M.P.H. (USAPHC). Other team members: Pablo A. Aliaga, M.A. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Col. David M. Benedek, M.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); K. Nikki Benevides, M.A. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Paul D. Bliese, Ph.D. (University of South Carolina); Susan Borja, Ph.D. (NIMH); Evelyn J. Bromet, Ph.D. (Stony Brook University School of Medicine); Gregory G. Brown, Ph.D. (University of California San Diego); Christina Buckley, B.A. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Laura Campbell-Sills, Ph.D. (University of California San Diego); Catherine L. Dempsey, Ph.D., M.P.H. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Carol S. Fullerton, Ph.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Nancy Gebler, M.A. (University of Michigan); Robert K. Gifford, Ph.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Stephen E. Gilman, Sc.D. (Harvard School of Public Health); Marjan G. Holloway, Ph.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Paul E. Hurwitz, M.P.H. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Sonia Jain, Ph.D. (University of California San Diego); Tzu-Cheg Kao, Ph.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Karestan C. Koenen, Ph.D. (Columbia University); Lisa Lewandowski-Romps, Ph.D. (University of Michigan); Holly Herberman Mash, Ph.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); James E. McCarroll, Ph.D., M.P.H. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); James A. Naifeh, Ph.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Tsz Hin Hinz Ng, M.P.H. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Matthew K. Nock, Ph.D. (Harvard University); Rema Raman, Ph.D. (University of California San Diego); Holly J. Ramsawh, Ph.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Anthony Joseph Rosellini, Ph.D. (Harvard Medical School); Nancy A. Sampson, B.A. (Harvard Medical School); LCDR Patcho Santiago, M.D., M.P.H. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Michaelle Scanlon, M.B.A. (NIMH); Jordan W. Smoller, M.D., Sc.D. (Harvard Medical School); Amy Street, Ph.D. (Boston University School of Medicine); Michael L. Thomas, Ph.D. (University of California San Diego); Patti L. Vegella, M.S., M.A. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Leming Wang, M.S. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Christina L. Wassel, Ph.D. (University of Pittsburgh); Simon Wessely, F.Med.Sci. (King's College London); Hongyan Wu, M.P.H. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); LTC Gary H. Wynn, M.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Alan M. Zaslavsky, Ph.D. (Harvard Medical School); and Bailey G. Zhang, M.S. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences).

References

Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center (2012). Deaths by suicide while on active duty, active and reserve components, U.S. Armed Forces, 1998–2011. *Medical Surveillance Monthly Reports* **19**, 7–10.

Armed Forces Staff College (1991). *Joint Staff Officer's Guide 1991* (ed. Armed Forces Staff College and Department of the Army). National Defense University, Armed Forces Staff College: Norfolk, VA.

Bachynski KE, Canham-Chervak M, Black SA, Dada EO, Millikan AM, Jones BH (2012). Mental health risk factors for suicides in the US Army, 2007–8. *Injury Prevention* **18**, 405–412.

Belisle JG, Wenke JC, Krueger CA (2013). Return-to-duty rates among US military combat-related amputees in the

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715001294 Published online by Cambridge University Press

global war on terror: job description matters. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery* **75**, 279–286.

Bell NS, Harford TC, Amoroso PJ, Hollander IE, Kay AB (2010). Prior health care utilization patterns and suicide among U.S. Army soldiers. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior* **40**, 407–415.

Black SA, Gallaway MS, Bell MR, Ritchie EC (2011). Prevalence and risk factors associated with suicides of Army soldiers 2001–2009. *Military Psychology* **23**, 433.

Browning SR, Westneat SC, McKnight RH (2008). Suicides among farmers in three southeastern states, 1990–1998. *Journal of Agricultural Safety and Health* **14**, 461–472.

Bryan CJ, Griffith JH, Pace BT, Hinkson K, Bryan AO, Clemans TA, Imel ZE (2015). Combat exposure and risk for suicidal thoughts and behaviors among military personnel and veterans: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*. Published online 8 April 2015. doi:10.1111/sltb.12163.

Carr JR, Hoge CW, Gardner J, Potter R (2004). Suicide surveillance in the U.S. Military – reporting and classification biases in rate calculations. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior* **34**, 233–241.

Cornum R, Matthews MD, Seligman ME (2011). Comprehensive soldier fitness: building resilience in a challenging institutional context. *American Psychologist* **66**, 4–9.

Garvey Wilson AL, Messer SC, Hoge CW (2009). U.S. military mental health care utilization and attrition prior to the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* **44**, 473–481.

Gilman SE, Bromet EJ, Cox KL, Colpe LJ, Fullerton CS, Gruber MJ, Heeringa SG, Lewandowski-Romps L, Millikan-Bell AM, Naifeh JA, Nock MK, Petukhova MV, Sampson NA, Schoenbaum M, Stein MB, Ursano RJ, Wessely S, Zaslavsky AM, Kessler RC (2014). Sociodemographic and career history predictors of suicide mortality in the United States Army 2004–2009. *Psychological Medicine* **44**, 2579–2592.

Gubata ME, Piccirillo AL, Packnett ER, Cowan DN (2013). Military occupation and deployment: descriptive epidemiology of active duty U.S. Army men evaluated for a disability discharge. *Military Medicine* **178**, 708–714.

Hanigan IC, Butler CD, Kokic PN, Hutchinson MF (2012). Suicide and drought in New South Wales, Australia, 1970–2007. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **109**, 13950–13955.

Hawton K, Clements A, Sakarovitch C, Simkin S, Deeks JJ (2001). Suicide in doctors: a study of risk according to gender, seniority and specialty in medical practitioners in England and Wales, 1979–1995. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* **55**, 296–300.

Hawton K, Vislisel L (1999). Suicide in nurses. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior* **29**, 86–95.

Helmkamp JC (1996). Occupation and suicide among males in the US Armed Forces. *Annals of Epidemiology* **6**, 83–88.

Hernan MA, Robins JM (2006). Estimating causal effects from epidemiological data. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* **60**, 578–586.

Hoge CW, Warner CH, Castro CA (2014). Mental health and the army. *JAMA Psychiatry* **71**, 965–966.

Huguet N, Kaplan MS, McFarland BH (2014). The effects of misclassification biases on veteran suicide rate estimates. *American Journal of Public Health* **104**, 151–155.

Hyman J, Ireland R, Frost L, Cottrell L (2012). Suicide incidence and risk factors in an active duty US military population. *American Journal of Public Health* **102** (Suppl. 1), S138–S146.

Kessler RC, Colpe LJ, Fullerton CS, Gebler N, Naifeh JA, Nock MK, Sampson NA, Schoenbaum M, Zaslavsky AM, Stein MB, Ursano RJ, Heeringa SG (2013). Design of the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS). *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research* **22**, 267–275.

Kirin SJ, Winkler JD (1992). *The Army Military Occupational Specialty Database*. RAND Corporation: Santa Monica, CA.

Kleykamp MA (2006). College, jobs, or the military? Enlistment during a time of war. *Social Science Quarterly* **87**, 272–290.

Larson GE, Highfill-McRoy RM, Booth-Kewley S (2008). Psychiatric diagnoses in historic and contemporary military cohorts: combat deployment and the healthy warrior effect. *American Journal of Epidemiology* **167**, 1269–1276.

Layne M, Naftel S, Thie HJ, Kawata JH (2001). *Military Occupational Specialties: Change and Consolidation*. RAND Corporation: Santa Monica, CA.

LeardMann CA, Powell TM, Smith TC, Bell MR, Smith B, Boyko EJ, Hooper TI, Gackstetter GD, Ghamsary M, Hoge CW (2013). Risk factors associated with suicide in current and former US military personnel. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **310**, 496–506.

Lindstrom KE, Smith TC, Wells TS, Wang LZ, Smith B, Reed RJ, Goldfinger WE, Ryan MA (2006). The mental health of U.S. military women in combat support occupations. *Journal of Women's Health* **15**, 162–172.

Maclean A, Parsons NL (2010). Unequal risk: combat occupations in the volunteer military. *Sociological Perspectives: Official Publication of the Pacific Sociological Association* **53**, iii–iv.

Montes KS, Weatherly JN (2014). The relationship between personality traits and military enlistment: an exploratory study. *Military Behavioral Health* **2**, 98–104.

Morey LC, Lowmaster SE, Coldren RL, Kelly MP, Parish RV, Russell ML (2011). Personality Assessment Inventory profiles of deployed combat troops: an empirical investigation of normative performance. *Psychological Assessment* **23**, 456–462.

Neria Y, Solomon Z, Ginzburg K, Dekel R (2000). Sensation seeking, wartime performance, and long-term adjustment among Israeli war veterans. *Personality and Individual Differences* **29**, 921–932.

Niebuhr DW, Gubata ME, Oetting AA, Weber NS, Feng X, Cowan DN (2013). Personality Assessment Questionnaire as a pre-accession screen for risk of mental disorders and early attrition in U. S. Army recruits. *Psychological Services* **10**, 378–385.

Niebuhr DW, Krampf RL, Mayo JA, Blandford CD, Levin LI, Cowan DN (2011). Risk factors for disability retirement

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715001294 Published online by Cambridge University Press

among healthy adults joining the U.S. Army. *Military Medicine* **176**, 170–175.

Nock MK, Deming CA, Fullerton CS, Gilman SE, Goldenberg M, Kessler RC, McCarroll JE, McLaughlin KA, Peterson C, Schoenbaum M, Stanley B, Ursano RJ (2013). Suicide among soldiers: a review of psychosocial risk and protective factors. *Psychiatry* **76**, 97–125.

Parmak M, Mylle JJ, Euwema MC (2014). Sensation seeking and perceived need for structure moderate soldiers' well-being before and after operational deployment. *Military Behavioral Health* **2**, 75–81.

Peterson C, Park N, Castro CA (2011). Assessment for the U.S. Army Comprehensive Soldier Fitness program: the Global Assessment Tool. *American Psychologist* **66**, 10–18.

Reger MA, Smolenski DJ, Skopp NA, Metzger-Abamukang MJ, Kang HK, Bullman TA, Perdue S, Gahm GA (2015). Risk of suicide among US Military service members following Operation Enduring Freedom or Operation Iraqi Freedom deployment and separation from the US Military. *JAMA Psychiatry* **72**, 561–569.

SAS Institute Inc. (2010). *SAS/STATR Software*. SAS Institute Inc.: Cary, NC.

Schlesselman JJ (1982). *Case–Control Studies: Design, Conduct, Analysis*. Oxford University Press: New York.

Schoenbaum M, Kessler RC, Gilman SE, Colpe LJ, Heeringa SG, Stein MB, Ursano RJ, Cox KL (2014). Predictors of suicide and accident death in the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS): results from the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS). *JAMA Psychiatry* **71**, 493–503.

Street AE, Gilman SE, Rosellini AJ, Stein MB, Bromet EJ, Cox KL, Colpe LJ, Fullerton CS, Gruber MJ, Heeringa SG, Lewandowski-Romps L, Little RJA, Naifeh JA, Nock MK, Sampson NA, Schoenbaum MA, Ursano RJ, Zaslavsky AM, Kessler RC (2015). Understanding the elevated suicide risk of female soldiers during deployments. *Psychological Medicine* **45**, 717–726.

Trofimovich L, Reger MA, Luxton DD, Oetjen-Gerdes LA (2013). Suicide risk by military occupation in the DoD active component population. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior* **43**, 274–278.

Ursano RJ, Colpe LJ, Heeringa SG, Kessler RC, Schoenbaum M, Stein MB (2014). The Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS). *Psychiatry* **77**, 107–119.

US Army (2015). Careers & Jobs (http://www.goarmy.com/careers-and-jobs/browse-career-and-job-categories.html). Accessed April 2015.

Willett JB, Singer JD (1993). Investigating onset, cessation, relapse, and recovery: why you should, and how you can, use discrete-time survival analysis to examine event occurrence. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* **61**, 952–965.

Wilson J, Jones M, Fear NT, Hull L, Hotopf M, Wessely S, Rona RJ (2009). Is previous psychological health associated with the likelihood of Iraq War deployment? An investigation of the "healthy warrior effect". *American Journal of Epidemiology* **169**, 1362–1369.

Yip PS, Caine E, Yousuf S, Chang SS, Wu KC, Chen YY (2012). Means restriction for suicide prevention. *Lancet* **379**, 2393–2399.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715001294 Published online by Cambridge University Press