Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. (2008), **28**, 1043–1045
doi: 10.1017/S0143385707001071
© *2008 Cambridge University Press*
Printed in the United Kingdom

Erratum to 'Semi-hyperbolic fibered rational maps and rational semigroups' (*Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* 26 (2006), 893–922)

HIROKI SUMI

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, 1-1, Machikaneyama, Toyonaka, Osaka, 560-0043, Japan
(e-mail: sumi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp)

(*Received* 10 *November* 2006 *and accepted in revised form* 4 *December* 2007)

Abstract. We give a correction to the assumption of Theorems 1.12 and 2.6 in the paper [H. Sumi. Semi-hyperbolic fibered rational maps and rational semigroups. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **26** (2006), 893–922].

1. *Correction to Theorem 1.12 in* [**S1**]

We use the same notation as that in [**S1**].

Let $(\pi, Y = X \times \overline{\mathbb{C}}, X)$ be a trivial $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$-bundle. Let $f : Y \to Y$ be a fibered polynomial map over $g : X \to X$ (see [**S1**, Definition 1.11]). For each $x \in X$, we set $A_x(f) := \{y \in Y_x \mid \pi_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(f_x^n(y)) \to \infty\}$ and $K_x(f) := Y_x \setminus A_x(f)$. Moreover, we denote by $\operatorname{int} K_x(f)$ the set of all interior points of $K_x(f)$ with respect to the topology in Y_x.

Theorem 1.12 in [**S1**] should be replaced by the following form.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $(\pi, Y = X \times \overline{\mathbb{C}}, X)$ be a trivial $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$-bundle. Let $f : Y \to Y$ be a semi-hyperbolic fibered polynomial map over $g : X \to X$ such that $d(x) \geq 2$, for any $x \in X$. Assume that either:*

(1) *$J_x(f)$ is connected for each $x \in X$; or*

(2) *the map $x \mapsto f_x$ from X to the space of polynomials is locally constant (here, we identify f_x with a polynomial on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$), $\inf\{d(a, b) \mid a \in \pi_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(J_x(f)), b \in \pi_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(A_x(f) \cap C(f)), x \in X\} > 0$, and for each $z \in Y$ with $z \in \operatorname{int} K_{\pi(z)}(f)$, there exists no sequence $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in Y with $z_j \in A_{\pi(z_j)}(f)$ $(\forall j)$ such that $z_j \to z$ as $j \to \infty$.*

Then, there exists a positive constant c such that, for any $x \in X$, the basin of infinity $A_x(f)$ is a c-John domain.

Remark 1. We have added an extra assumption in condition (2).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707001071 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Remark 2. In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need the following Claim (∗), which does not hold in general when we have condition (2) in [**S1**, the original Theorem 1.12] instead of condition (2) of Theorem 1.1:

Claim (∗). Under the assumption of Theorem 1.1, for each $(x, y) \in X \times \mathbb{C}$ let $G_x((x, y)) := \lim_{n\to\infty}(1/d_n(x)) \log^+ |\pi_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(f_x^n((x, y)))|$ (see [**S1**, p. 909]). Moreover, for each $x \in X$ and $z \in Y_x$, let $\delta_x(z) := \inf_{w\in J_x(f)}\{|\pi_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(z) - \pi_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(w)|\}$. Let $a_1 > 0$ be a number. Then, there exists a positive number a_2 such that if $(x, y) \in X \times \mathbb{C}$, $(x, y) \in A_x(f)$ and $\delta_x((x, y)) > a_1$, then $G_x((x, y)) > a_2$.

Proof of Claim (∗). Under the assumption of Theorem 1.1, suppose that there exists a sequence $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in Y such that, for each j, $z_j \in A_{\pi(z_j)}(f)$ and $\delta_{\pi(z_j)}(z_j) > a_1$, and such that $G_{\pi(z_j)}(z_j) \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. We will deduce a contradiction. We may assume that there exists a point $z \in X \times \mathbb{C}$ such that $z_j \to z$. Since $(x, y) \mapsto G_x((x, y))$ is continuous in $X \times \mathbb{C}$ [**S1**, p. 910] and G_x in $A_x(f) \setminus \{\infty\}$ is harmonic (under the canonical identification $Y_x \cong \overline{\mathbb{C}}$), it follows that $G_{\pi(z)}$ is identically zero in a neighborhood of z in $Y_{\pi(z)}$. Hence $z \in \operatorname{int} K_{\pi(z)}(f)$. From the assumption of Theorem 1.1, it follows that, for each $x \in X$, $J_x(f)$ is connected. Since $z \in \operatorname{int} K_{\pi(z)}(f) \subset F_{\pi(z)}(f)$, [**S2**, Theorem 2.14] implies that there exists a neighborhood U of z in Y_z such that

$$\operatorname{diam} f^n_{\pi(z)}(U) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{1}$$

and

$$\overline{\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} f^n_{\pi(z)}(U)} \subset \tilde{F}(f). \tag{2}$$

By (1), we obtain

$$d(\mathrm{CV}(f^n_{\pi(z)}),\ f^n_{\pi(z)}(z)) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathrm{CV}(\cdot)$ denotes the set of all finite critical values. Combining (2) and (3), we get that there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the point $f^n_{\pi(z)}(z)$ and a point $v \in \mathrm{CV}(f^n_{\pi(z)})$ belong to a component V of $F_{g^n(\pi(z))}(f)$. Since $x \mapsto J_x(f)$ is continuous (see [**S2**, Theorem 2.14]), it follows that there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the point $f^n_{\pi(z_m)}(z_m)$ and a point $v_m \in \mathrm{CV}(f^n_{\pi(z_m)})$ belong to a component V_m of $F_{g^n(\pi(z_m))}(f)$. However, since $f^n_{\pi(z_m)}(z_m) \in A_{g^n(\pi(z_m))}(f)$ and $J_x(f)$ is connected for each $x \in X$, it causes a contradiction. Thus we have proved Claim (∗). □

Example 1.2. Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $|c| > 6$. Let $h_1(z) := z^2 + c$ and $h_2(z) := z^2 - c$. Let $f : \Sigma_2 \times \overline{\mathbb{C}} \to \Sigma_2 \times \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be the fibered rational map associated with the generator system $\{h_1, h_2\}$ (see [**S1**, Definition 1.4]). Then, f is a hyperbolic fibered polynomial map over the shift map $\sigma : \Sigma_2 \to \Sigma_2$ and f satisfies condition (2) in Theorem 1.1. In fact, for each $x \in \Sigma_2$, $\operatorname{int} K_x(f) = \emptyset$.

2. *Correction to Theorem 2.6 in* [**S1**]

In [**S1**, Theorem 2.6], the sequence (n_j) of $\mathbb{N}$ should be strictly increasing.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707001071 Published online by Cambridge University Press

REFERENCES

[S1] H. Sumi. Semi-hyperbolic fibered rational maps and rational semigroups. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **26** (2006), 893–922.

[S2] H. Sumi. Dynamics of sub-hyperbolic and semi-hyperbolic rational semigroups and skew products. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **21** (2001), 563–603.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707001071 Published online by Cambridge University Press