Bibliography

BOOKS, ARTICLES AND ONLINE MATERIALS

Abrantes, A. 'Brasil e o Alvará de 1809: uma ideia fora do lugar?' *Notícias em Patentes*, August 3, 2014. http://patentescomentarios.blogspot.com/2014/08/brasil-e-lei-de-patentes-de-1830-uma.html.

Abreu, António. 2021. *Innovation Ecosystems: A Sustainability Perspective*. Basel: MDPI.

Administrative Conf. of the U.S. (ACUS). 2023. 'Report on Patent Small Claims'. Retrieved December 6, 2023. www.acus.gov/report/report-patent-small-claims.

Adocker, Thomas. 2011. 'Österreichischer Oberster Patent- und Markensenat trifft Grundsatzentscheidung zum Verhältnis zwischen der Erfindungshöhe eines Patents und eines Gebrauchsmusters'. *GRUR International* 60(8/9): 673–676.

Alexander, Isabella. 2020. 'The Challenges of Intellectual Property Legal History Research', in Graeme Austin, Andrew Christie, Andrew Christie, and Megan Richardson, eds., *Across Intellectual Property: Essays in Honour of Sam Ricketson*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Allison, John, Mark Lemley, and David Schwartz. 2014. 'Understanding the Realities of Modern Patent Litigation'. *Texas Law Review* 92: 1769–1801.

Anon. 1840. 'Copyright of Designs'. *London Journal of Arts and Sciences* 16: 95.

1843a. 'An Act to Amend the Law Relating to the Copyright of Designs'. *London Journal of the Arts, Sciences and Manufactures and Repertory of Patent Inventions* 23: 143–147.

1843b. 'Copyright of Designs: The New Act'. *Mechanics' Magazine* 39(1047): 164.

1843c. 'List of Designs for Articles of Utility Registered under 5 & 6 Vict Cap 65'. *Mechanics' Magazine* 39(1051): 254.

1843d. 'New Copyright of Designs Act'. *London Journal of the Arts, Sciences and Manufactures and Repertory of Patent Inventions* 23: 228.

1843e. 'List of Registrations Effected under the Act for Protecting New and Original Designs for Articles of Utility'. *London Journal of the Arts, Sciences and Manufactures and Repertory of Patent Inventions* 23: 310.

1844. 'Police'. *The Times*, November 6 : 8.

1845. 'Police Courts: Guildhall'. *The Morning Advertiser*, March 24: 4.

1846a. 'A Terrible Army'. *Daily News*, April 27: 7.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

1846b. 'Registration of Designs and the Registrar'. *Patent Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 1 (17): 248, 297–299.
1847a. 'Case of Alleged Infringement of Registered Design'. *Mechanics' Magazine* 46(1236): 383.
1847b. 'Copyright Designs as Distinguished from Patentable Inventions.' *Aris's Birmingham Gazette*. September 6: 3.
1847c. 'Patents v. Registration'. *Patent Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 2(43): 705.
1847d. 'The Aldermen and the Registration Act'. *Patent Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 2 (47): 772.
1847e. 'The Degrees of Protection Available to the Inventor under the Patent Laws and Non-Ornamental Designs Act, Relatively Considered'. *London Journal of the Arts, Sciences and Manufactures and Repertory of Patent Inventions* 30: 367–368.
1848a. 'Infringement of Registration'. *Patent Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 4(92): 335.
1848b. 'Reviews'. *Jurist* (Part II) 11: 275.
1849a. *The Builder*, 7(338): 359.
1849b. 'Ventilating Bricks'. *The Practical Mechanic's Journal* 2(18): 142.
1850a. 'Cheapening Patents'. *The Builder* 8(373): 151.
1850b. 'Extension of Copyright in Design – Provisional Registration – Patent Reform'. *Journal of Design* 4: 103.
1850c. 'Law of Copyright of Designs Amendment Act'. *Practical Mechanic's Journal* 3(31): 159.
1850d. 'Patent Agency and Its Professors' (a letter from a patentee). *London Journal of the Arts, Sciences and Manufactures and Repertory of Patent Inventions* 36: 321–324.
1850e. *Morning Chronicle*, October 24: 5 (Editorial).
1850f. 'Patent Law Reform'. *Patent Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 9: 180.
1850g. 'The Registration Act'. *Patent Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 9: 122.
1850h. 'The Registration Acts'. *Patent Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 9: 144–145.
1850i. 'The Existing Patent Laws, Their Defects and Remedies'. *The Engineer and Machinist: A Journal of Mechanical and Manipulative Arts* No 1: 2.
1851a. 'Invasion of the Rights of Inventors'. *The Engineer and Machinist: A Journal of Mechanical and Manipulative Art* 21: 259.
1851b. 'Patent Law Reform'. *Morning Advertiser*, January 8: 3.
1851c. 'The Prospects of the Bar'. *Law Magazine* 15: 276–280.
1851d. 'Review of Spence'. *The Practical Mechanics Journal* 4(41): 110.
1852. 'Multum in Parvo'. *Norwich Mercury*, October 2: 4.
1854. 'The City of London Corporation Inquiry'. *Law Review & Quarterly Journal of British & Foreign Jurisprudence* 19(2): 389.
1856. 'William Clarkson'. *Illustrated London News*, November 1: 11.
1858a. 'Insolvent Debtors'. *London Gazette*, April 20: 1981; April 27: 2091.
1858b. 'The Patent Law and Patent Office'. *Mechanics' Magazine* 68: 486,
1859a. 'Insolvent Debtors Court, Failure of Messrs Knight and Lacey'. *London Courier and Evening Gazette*, October 5, 1859: 4.
1859a. 'Editorial'. *Illustrated London News*, January 15: 6–7.
1864. 'Peregrine Bingham Esq.' *Illustrated London News*, November 12: 23.
1866. 'Memoir of William Johnson'. *Annual Report of the Institution of Civil Engineers*, reprinted in *Lancaster Gazette*, November 10: 10.
1868. *London Gazette*, November 13: 5903.
1875. 'Obituary. Thomas Webster, Q.C., F.R.S.'. *Journal of the Society of Arts* 23: 665–666.
1884. 'Copyright of Designs'. *Official Journal of the Patent Office* 91: 1086.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

1891. 'Designs'. *The Illustrated Official Journal* (Patents). July 8, 131: 567.
1904. 'Death of Mr. George Shaw: A Remarkable Career'. *Birmingham Daily Mail*. August 15: 2.
Arrow, Kenneth. 1962. 'Economic Welfare and the Allocation of Resources for Invention', in National Bureau of Economic Research, *The Rate and Direction of Inventive Activity*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
Atal, V. and Bar, T. 2014. 'Patent Quality and a Two-Tiered Patent System'. *Journal of Industrial Economics* 62(3): 503–540.
Auerbach, Sascha. 2021. *Armed with Swords & Scales: Law, Culture and Local Courtrooms in London, 1860–1913*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
Balicki, Marcin. 2020a. Sto lat w cieniu patent – ochrona wzorów użytkowych w Polsce, w: Alicja Adamczak, 100 lat ochrony własności przemysłowej w Polsce. Księga jubileuszowa Urzędu Patentowego Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej, Wolters Kluwer.
2020b. Wzór już nie-użyteczny? Nowelizacja definicji wzoru użytkowego ustawą z 16.10.2019 r. o zmianie ustawy – Prawo własności przemysłowej, ZNUJ. PPWI 2020/2/10-24, LEX.
Barbieri, Massimo. August 19, 2017. Utility Models in the Italian Patent System. https://ssrn.com/abstract=3022432 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3022432. Last revised in 2022.
Barbosa, Denis Borges. 2020. *Tratado da Propriedade Intelectual: Patentes*. 2nd ed. Rio de Janeiro: Lumen Juris.Baron, Justus, Arque-Castells, P., Leonard, A., Pohlmann, T., and Sergheraert, E. 2023. Empirical Assessment of Potential Challenges in SEP Licensing, Report Commissioned by the Eur. Comm'n Directorate-General for Internal Market, Industry, Entrepreneurship and SMEs, GROW/2021/MVP/0010 (GROW/2021/MVP/0010). Directorate-General for Internal Market, Industry, Entrepreneurship and SMEs.
Baron, Justus, and Tim Pohlmann. 2018. 'Mapping Standards to Patents Using Declarations of Standard-Essential Patents'. *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy*, 27: 504–534.
Barthes, Roland 1977a. 'Rhetoric of the Image' in Stephen Heath (trans. and ed.), *Image, Music, Text*. London: Fontana.
1977b. 'The Photographic Message' in Stephen Heath (trans. and ed.), *Image, Music, Text*. London: Fontana.
Bartow, Ann. 2000. 'Separating Marketing Innovation from Actual Invention: A Proposal for a New, Improved, Lighter, and Better-Tasting Form of Patent Protection'. *Journal of Small & Emerging Business Law* 4(1): 1–26.
Baumbauer, Derek. 2024. 'Everything You Want: The Paradox of Customized Intellectual Property Regimes.' *Berkeley Technology Law Journal* 39: 205–274.
Baumol, William. 2002. *The Free-Market Innovation Machine*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
BBC. 2001. 'Lawyer Moves to Patent Wheel', *BBC*, July 2, http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/asia-pacific/1418165.stm
Beier, Friedrich-Karl. 1991. 'The Future of Intellectual Property in Europe. Thoughts on the Development of Patent, Utility Model and Industrial Design Law'. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 22(2): 157–177.
Beier, Friedrich-Karl, R. Krasser, K. Haertel, and T. Bodewig. 1994. 'Proposal of the Max Planck Institute for a European Utility Model – Explanatory Report'. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 25(5): 700–735.
Bellido, Jose, and Kathy Bowrey. 2022. *Adventures in Childhood Intellectual Property, Imagination and the Business of Play*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Bekkers, Rudi, and Updegrove, Andrew. 2013. *IPR Policies and Practices of a Representative Group of Standards-Setting Organizations Worldwide*. Washington, DC: National Research Council. https://rbekkers.ieis.tue.nl/nas/Bekkers_Updegrove_NAS2013_updated_report.pdf

Bekkers, Rudi, C. Catalini, A. Martinelli, Cesare Righi, and Tim Simcoe. 2023. 'Disclosure Rules and Declared Essential Patents'. *Research Policy* 52: 104618.

Bentley, David. 1998. *English Criminal Justice in the Nineteenth Century*. London: The Hambledon Press.

Bently, Lionel. 2004. 'Copyright and the Victorian Internet: Telegraphic Property Laws in Colonial Australia'. *Loyola Los Angeles Law Review* 38: 71–176.

2018. 'The Design-Copyright Conflict in the United Kingdom: A History', in E. Derclaye, ed., *The Copyright-Designs Interface*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, ch 6, 171–225.

Bently, Lionel, and Brad Sherman. 1997. 'The United Kingdom's Forgotten Utility Model: The Utility Designs Act 1843'. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 25(3): 265–278.

Bian, Renjun. 2018. 'Patent Litigation in China: Challenging Conventional Wisdom'. *Berkeley Technology Law Journal* 33(2): 413–486.

Bianchin, S. 2021. Utility Model – The Underestimated Property Right. *Intelligent Asset Management*, October 21. www.iam-media.com/global-guide/innovation-invention-yearbook/2022/article/utility-model-the-underestimated-property-right

Bielig, A. 2015. 'Intellectual Property and Economic Development in Germany: Empirical Evidence for 1999–2009'. *European Journal of Law and Economics* 39: 607–622.

Billing, Sidney, and Alexander Prince. 1845. *The Law and Practice of Patents and Registration of Designs*. London: Benning & Co.

Bings, Sophie. 2018. 'Art. 118 AEUV', in Rudolg Streinz, ed., *EUV/AEUV. Vertrag über die Europäische Union. Vertrag über die Arbeitsweise der Europäischen Union. Charta der Grundrechte der Europäischen Union*. 3rd ed. Munich: C. H. Beck.

Björkwall, Pia. 2009. *Nyttighetsmodeller: Ett ändamålsenligt innovationsskydd?* Doctoral dissertation, Svenska handelshögskolan, Helsinki.

Bodenhausen G. H. C. 1969. *Guide to the Application of the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, as revised at Stockholm in 1967*. Geneva: WIPO.

Bonadio, Enrico, and Patrick Goold, eds. 2023. *The Cambridge Handbook of Investment-Driven Intellectual Property*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Bond, Catherine. 2016. *Anzac: The Landing, the Legend, the Law*. Melbourne: Australian Scholarly Publishing.

Boschert, Tyler J. 2014. 'Would Utility Models Improve American Innovation – Evidence from Brazil, Germany, and the United States'. *Colorado Technology Law Journal* 12: 133.

Bostosun, N. Ayse Odman. 2010. 'Exploring the Utility of Utility Models for Fostering Innovation'. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights* 15: 429.

Bottomley, Sean. 2014a. *The British Patent System and the Industrial Revolution, 1700–1852: From Privilege to Property*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

2014b. 'Patenting in England, Scotland and Ireland during the Industrial Revolution, 1700–1852'. *Explorations in Economic History* 54: 48–63.

2019. 'Patents, Invention and Democracy in Britain and the United States to 1852'. *Jahrbuch für Wirtschaftsgeschichte* 60(1): 9–30.

Bouvier-Ravon, Sandrine, and Daniel Hoppe. 2024. 'Injunctive Relief Based on Published European Patent Applications'. *European Patent Litigation in Practice* 1(1): 5–10.

Bowen, J. H. 1843. 'Notice Issued by the Registrar: Copyright of Designs for Articles of Utility'. *Repertory of Patent Inventions* 2: 251.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Bowrey, Kathy, ed. 2021. *Feminist Perspectives on Law, Law Schools and Law Reform: Essays in Honour of Professor Jill McKeough*. Sydney: Federation Press.
Boztosun, N. Ayse Odman. 2010. 'Exploring the Utility of Utility Models for Fostering Innovation'. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights* 15: 429–439.
Brack, Hans-Peter. 2009. 'Utility Models and Their Comparison with Patents and Implications for the US Intellectual Property Law System'. *Boston College Intellectual Property and Technology Forum* 2009: 1–15.
Brennan, David, and John Keough. 2000. 'Patenting the Wheel', *The Australian Financial Review*, December 20.
Brewster, David. 1850. 'Address to British Association for the Advancement of Science', in 'The British Association', *The Scotsman*, August 3: 5.
British Electrical Allied Manufacturers Association. 1916. BT 209/486.
British Science Guild. 1928. *Report on the Reform of British Patent System*. London: British Science Guild.
Burk, Dan L., and Mark A. Lemley. 2009. *The Patent Crisis and How the Courts Can Solve It*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
Burke, Kelly, and Kate McClymont. 2009. 'This Is Patent Nonsense', *The Sydney Morning Herald*, May 23. www.smh.com.au/national/this-is-patent-nonsense-20090522-bia2.html
Bury, Marek. 2022. 'Protection of Utility Models in Poland: A Brief History and Perspective for the Future'. *Law and Business* 2(1): 35–47.
Business Times Singapore. 2001. 'Achievements Nobel and Otherwise', *Business Times Singapore*, October 10.
Cabinent Beau de Lomenie. 2014. 'The French Patent System'. www.bdl-ip.com/
Cahoy, Daniel R., and Lynda J. Oswald. 2021. 'Is Legal Harmonization Always Better? The Counter-Case of Utility Models'. *American Business Law Journal* 58(3): 525–578.
Calabrese, Bernardo. 2020. 'Preminenza del brevetto europeo e autonomia del brevetto italiano'. *Giurisprudenza commerciale* 2020(3): II, 574–593.
Calabresi, Guido, and A. Douglas Melamed. 1972. 'Property Rules, Liability Rules, and Inalienability: One View of the Cathedral'. *Harvard Law Review* 85(6): 1089–1128.
Cameron, J. 2021. 'Framing Essay: The Diversity of Enterprise', in Gibson-Graham and K. Dombroski, eds., *The Handbook of Diverse Economies*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
Campin, Frederick W. 1851. 'Patent Law Reform', *Morning Advertiser*, June 5, p. 2 (letter).
Cao, Siwei. 2015. *Patent System, Firm Patenting Strategy and Technology Progress*. PhD Thesis, University of California, Berkeley.
Cao, Siwei, Zhen Lei, and Brian Wright. 2014. 'Speed vs. Length of Patent Protection Evidence from Innovations Patented in U.S and China'. https://are.berkeley.edu/sites/default/files/job-candidates/pdfs/SiweiCao_WP101214.pdf
Carey, Peter. 2003. *My Life as a Fake*. New York: Knopf.
Carpmael, William. 1832. *The Law of Patents for Inventions, Familiarly Explained*. London: G. Wightman.
1846. *Registration of Designs in Order to Secure Copyright*. 3rd ed. London: Mackintosh.
Caterson, Simon. 2006. *Hoax!: A Short History of Fakes, Frauds and Imposters*, Melbourne: Hardie Grant Books.
2009. *Hoax Nation: Australian Fakes and Frauds from Plato to Norma Khouri*. Melbourne: Arcade Publications.
Ceccagnoli, Marco. 2009. 'Appropriability, Preemption, and Firm Performance'. *Strategic Management Journal* 30(1): 81–98.
Chang, Jinkyu. 2017. 'Study on the Management of Utility Model System'. *The Journal of Intellectual Property* 12(4): 103–134.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Chartered Institute of Patent Agents. 1996. 'Designs and Copyright Committee of CIPA Response'. *Journal of the Chartered Institute of Patent Agents* 25: 897.
Chen, Ruifang. 1983. 'The Utility Model System and Its Benefits for China'. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 14: 493.
Chen, Yong. 2013. 'On the Examination of Inventiveness for UMPs'. *Intellectual Property* 2013 (8): 73–76.
Chen, Zhongshan. 2020. 'Standards for Determining Obstruction of Proof and Malicious Infringement'. *The People's Judicature* 2020(8): 84–89.
Chien, Colleen V. 2016. 'Opening the Patent System: Diffusionary Levers in Patent Law'. *Southern California Law Review* 89(4): 793–862.
Choi, Jisun. 2016. 'Statistical Analysis and Its Implications on the Relative Rate of Utilization of Influencing Factors for Each Provision of Article 128 of the Patent Act When Calculating the Amount of Damages for Patent Infringement'. *Review of Law and Economics* 13(2): 248.
Christchurch Press. 2001. 'Wheel Reinvented', *The Christchurch Press*, July 7.
Christie, Andrew, and Sarah Moritz. 2007. 'Australia', in Uma Suthersanen, Graham Dutfield, and Kit Boey Chow, eds., *Innovation without Patents: Harnessing the Creative Spirit in a Diverse World*. Cheltenham:Edward Elgar, 119–141.
Church, Oliver, Estelle Derclaye, and Gilles Stupfler. 2021. 'Design Litigation in the EU Member States: Are Overlaps with Other Intellectual Property Rights and Unfair Competition Problematic and Are SMEs Benefitting from the EU Design Legal Framework?' *European Law Review* 2021(1): 37–60.
Civis. 1845. 'The Last Registration Decision: Woolley v. Warner & Sons'. *Mechanics' Magazine* 42 (1130): 238.
Claeys, Gregory. 2000. 'Political Economy and Popular Education: Thomas Hodgskin and the London Mechanics Institute', in Michael T. Davis, ed., *Radicalism and Revolution in Britain, 1775–1848*. Basingstoke: Macmillan.
Clayton, Tony, Antoine Dechezleprêtre, Hélène Dernis et al. 2023. OECD Study Enhancing Intellectual Property Use for a Stronger Innovation Ecosystem in Poland, OECD. www.oecd-ilibrary.org/docserver/42e389fa-en.pdf?expires=1707515390&id=id&accname=guest&checksum=4A38802C1A36771BD2B813A58C4C0EEE
CNN. 2001. 'Man Seeks Square Deal, Patents Wheel', *CNN*, July 2, https://edition.cnn.com/2001/WORLD/asiapcf/auspac/07/02/australia.wheel/
Cochrane, Nathan. 2001a. 'Melbourne Man Tries to Patent the Wheel', *The Age*, July 2.
2001b. 'Patently Theatre in the Round', *The Age*, October 9.
Cocks, Raymond. 1983. *Foundations of the Modern Bar*. London: Sweet & Maxwell.
Cohen Julie, E., and Mark A. Lemley. 2001. 'Patent Scope and Innovation in the Software Industry'. *California Law Review* 89: 1–57.
Cook, Trevor. 2010. *EU Intellectual Property Law*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
Contreras, Jorge L. 2017a. 'Aggregated Royalties for Top-Down FRAND Determinations: Revisiting 'Joint Negotiation'. *Antitrust Bulletin* 62(4): 690–709.
2017b. 'Essentiality and Standards-Essential Patents', in J. L. Contreras, ed., *Cambridge Handbook of Technical Standardization Law: Competition, Antitrust, and Patents*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 209.
2017c. 'From Private Ordering to Public Law: The Legal Framework Governing Standards-Essential Patents'. *Harvard Journal of Law & Technology* 30: 211.
2018. 'The Anticommons at Twenty: Concerns for Research Continue'. *Science* 361: 335.
2021. 'Patent Reality Checks: Eliminating Patents on Fake, Impossible and Other Inoperative Inventions'. *Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society* 102: 2.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Contreras, Jorge L., Cotter, Thomas J., Jong, S. J. et al. 2019. 'The Effect of FRAND Commitments on Patent Remedies', in C. B. Biddle, J. L. Contreras, B. J. Love, and N. V. Siebrasse, eds., *Patent Remedies and Complex Products: Toward a Global Consensus*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 160–201.

Contreras, Jorge L., Djavaherian, Dave, Higgins, C., Jurata, J., and Luken, E., eds. 2022. *Guide to Patent Policies of Standards Development Organizations*. 2nd ed. Chicago: ABA Publishing.

Copinger, Walter A. 1870. *The Law of Copyright in Works of Literature and Art*. London: Stevens & Haynes.

1893. *The Law of Copyright in Works of Literature and Art: Including that of the Drama, Music, Engraving, Sculpture, Painting, Photography, and Designs*. 3rd ed. London: Stevens & Haynes.

Copyright Trolling – skala zjawiska i propozycje rozwiązań, March 10, 2016. https://centrumcyfrowe.pl/czytelnia/copyright-trolling-skala-zjawiska-i-propozycje-rozwiazan/

Cordiner, Tom, et al. 2022. 'Aristocrat Technologies Australia Pty Ltd v Commissioner of Patents', *CommBar Matters*, October 4. https://commbarmatters.com.au/2022/10/04/aristocrat-technologies-australia-pty-ltd-v-commissioner-of-patents/

Cornish W. R. 1993. 'The International Relations of Intellectual Property'. *Cambridge Law Journal* 52(1): 6–63.

Cotter, Thomas F. 2018. *Patent Wars: How Patents Impact Our Daily Lives*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Cox, Sarah. 2018. Same-Same but Different: Will the Fate of Second-Tier Patents Be the Same on Both Sides of the Tasman?. www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g = 2b414420-b4e8-4ecd-842d-4a42b018d33a

Craig, Archibald. 1879. *Patents, Trade Marks and Designs*. London: The Bazaar Office.

Crary, Jonathan. 1990. *Techniques of the Observer: On Vision and Modernity in the Nineteenth Century*. Cambridge, MA: MIT.

Crooks, Katrina. 2017. 'Excesses of Innovation Patent Damages Pulled Back', *Mondaq Business Briefing*, April 29.

Cummings, Peter A. 2010. 'From Germany to Australia: Opportunity for a Second Tier Patent System in the United States'. *Michigan State Journal of International Law* 18(2): 297–322.

Cunninghame, H. 1894. *English Patent Practice*. London: Clowes.

Daniels, Edward Morton. 1884. *A Complete Treatise upon the New Law of Patents, Designs and Trade Marks*. London: Stevens & Haynes.

Dashwood, Alan, Michael Dougan, Barry Rodger, Eleanor Spaventa, and Derrick Wyatt. 2011. *Wyatt and Dashwood's European Union Law*. New York: Bloomsbury Publishing.

Davis, Jennifer. 1984 'A Poor Man's System of Justice'. *Historical Journal* 22: 309.

Derzlm, Natalie M. 1996. 'Using Intellectual Property Law and Regulatory Processes to Foster the Innovation and Diffusion of Environmental Technologies'. *Harvard Environmental Law Review* 20: 3.

Dexi, Qiao. 1993. 'A Survey of Intellectual Property Issues in China-U.S. Trade Negotiations under the Special 301 Provisions'. *Pacific Rim Law & Policy Journal* 2: 259, 288.

Di Cataldo, Vincenzo. 2012. *I brevetti per invenzione e per modello di utilità. I disegni e modelli*. Milano: Giuffrè.

Dickens, Charles. 1850. 'Poor Man's Tale of a Patent'. *Household Words* 2: 73–75.

Van Dongen, Lisa. 2023. 'Proportionality and Flexibilities in Final Injunctive Relief', in Alain Strowel et al. eds., *The Unitary Patent Package and Unified Patent Court: Problems, Possible Improvements and Alternatives*. Milan: Ledizioni, 357–387.

2024. Flexibilities in European Patent Enforcement: Law, Practice and Aspiration.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Dreyfuss, Rochelle C., and Daniel Benoliel. 2022. 'Technological Self-Sufficiency and the Role of Novelty Traps'. *Vanderbilt Journal of Entertainment and Technology Law* 24: 441.

Du Mont, Jason J., and Mark D. Janis. 2012. 'Functionality in Design Protection Systems'. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law* 19: 261–302.

2013. 'The Origins of American Design Patent Protection'. *Indiana Law Journal* 88(3): 837–880.

Dutfield, Graham, and Uma Suthersanen. 2004. 'The Innovation Dilemma: Intellectual Property and the Historical Legacy of Cumulative Creativity'. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* 4: 379–421.

Dutton, Harold. 1984. *The Patent System and Inventive Activity during the Industrial Revolution*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Edmunds, Lewis. 1890. *The Law and Practice of Letters Patent for Inventions*. London: Stevens & Haynes.

1895. *The Law of Copyright in Designs: Together with the Practice relating to Proceedings in the Courts and in the Patent Office*. London: Sweet & Maxwell.

Egan, Edward J., and David J. Teece. 2015. 'Untangling the Patent Thicket Literature, Tusher Center for the Management of Intellectual Capital Working Paper #7.'

Eisenberg, Rebecca S. 2008. 'Noncompliance, Nonconformist, Nonproblem? Rethinking the Anticommons in Biomedical Research'. *Houston Law Review* 45: 1059.

van Engelen, D. 2004. Beware the Wolf: Questions about the Dutch Registration Patent System. *Patent World*, 2003/2004.

Ercoli, Laura. 2023. 'What's New in the Law Revising Italy's Industrial Property Code'. *Società Italiana Brevetti*, July 26 2023. www.sib.it/en/flash-news/law-revising-italys-industrial-property-code-definitively-approved/

Espacenet. 2024. Patent Documents. https://worldwide.espacenet.com/patent/

Evenson, Robert E., and Larry E. Westphal. 1995. 'Technological Change and Technology Strategy', in Jere Behrman and T. Srinivasan, eds., *Handbook of Development Economics 3a*. Amsterdam: Elsevier. https://econpapers.repec.org/bookchap/eeedevhes/3.htm

Fachin, Luiz E. 1995. 'O estatuto civil da clausura real'. *Revista de Informação Legislativa* 32 (128).

Fazzini, Marco. 2019. 'Sub art. 82 IPC', in Luigi Carlo Ubertazzi, ed., *Commentario breve alle leggi su proprietà intellettuale e concorrenza*. Milano: Wolters Kluwer-CEDAM.

Feng, Xiaoqing. 2009. 'The Interaction between Enhancing the Capacity for Independent Innovation and Patent Protection: A Perspective on the Third Amendment to the Patent Law of the P.R. China'. *Pittsburgh Journal of Technology Law & Policy* 9: 1–130.

Flatow, Ira. 2001. 'Analysis: Ig Nobel Awards for 2001', Talk of the Nation: Science Friday, *NPR*, November 23.

Flexnor, Helen Hudson. 2014. *The London Mechanics' Institution: Social and Cultural Foundation, 1823–1830*. PhD Thesis, University College London.

Floridia, Giorgio. 2000. *Marchi, invenzioni e modelli*. Milano: Giuffrè.

Forrest, Heather Ann. 2004. 'Utility Model: Widening the Economic Divide between 'Legacy' and 'New' EU Member State'. *International Business Lawyer* 32(5): 216–221.

Franceschelli, Maria Luigia, and Giovanni Trabucco. 2023. 'Italy: Major Changes to Intellectual Property Code Come into Force'. *Hogan Lovells Engage*, August 23, 2023. www.engage.hoganlovells.com/knowledgeservices/news/italy-major-changes-to-intellectual-property-code-come-into-force/

Franzosi, Mario. 2008. 'Invenzione e modello di utilità. La Convenzione di Monaco comporta il rifiuto della distinzione qualitativa'. *Rivista di diritto industriale* 2008: I, 159.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Frischtak, Claudio R. 1993. 'Harmonization versus Differentiation in Intellectual Property Right Regimes', in Mitchel B. Wallerstein, Mary E. Mogee, and Robin A. Schoen, eds., *Global Dimensions of Intellectual Property Rights in Science and Technology*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

Frost, Robert. 1891. *A Treatise on the Law and Practice regarding Letters Patent for Inventions*. London: Stevens and Haynes.

Fulton, David. 1894. *A Practical Treatise on Patents, Trade Marks and Designs*. London: Jordan & Sons.

1902. *The Law and Practice Relating to Patents, Trade Marks and Designs*, 2nd ed. London: Jordan & Sons.

Gajewski, Daniel. 2019. 'Utility Model Examination in China is Quietly Changing'. *IPWatchdog*. www.ipwatchdog.com/2019/07/28/utility-model-examination-china-quietly-changing/id=111451/

Gallagher, William T., and Debora Halbert. 2021. 'Intellectual Property Law and Sociolegal Studies', in Irene Calboli and Maria Lilla Montagnani, eds., *Handbook of Intellectual Property Research: Lenses, Methods, and Perspectives*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Gama, Sonia Cristina Sequeira, Edimilson Junqueira Braga, and Ricardo Carvalho Rodrigues. 2016. 'A Patente de Modelo de Utilidade como ferramenta de estímulo ao desenvolvimento tecnológico nacional'. *Cad. Prospec.* 9(4).

Gao, Lulin. 2019. 'Forty Years of Patent in China', in Liu Chuntian, ed., *Forty Years of Intellectual Property in China*. Intellectual Property Publishing House.

Geiger, Christophe, Craig Allen Nard, and Xavier Seuba. 2018. *Intellectual Property and the Judiciary*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Germain Maureau. 2023. 'Double Protection between French and European Patents, What's New with the UPC', June 23, 2023. https://germainmaureau.com/en/2023/06/double-protection-between-french-and-european-patents-whats-new-with-the-upc/

Gervais, Daniel. 2008. *The TRIPS Agreement: Drafting History and Analysis*. 3rd ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell.

Ghiron, Mario. 1929. *Corso di diritto industriale*. Roma: Società Editrice del «Foro Italiano».

Gilchrist, Sue, et al. 2022. 'High Court Gamble Does Not Pay Out for Computer-Implemented Inventions in Australia', Herbert Smith Freehills, August 24. www.herbertsmithfreehills.com/latest-thinking/high-court-gamble-does-not-pay-out-for-computer-implemented-inventions-in-australia

Ginarte, Juan, and Walter Park. 1997. 'Determinants of Patent Rights: A Cross-National Study'. *Research Policy* 26: 283–301.

Gisclard, T., and Py, E. 2022. 'France', in J. L. Contreras and M. Husovec, eds., *Injunctions in Patent Law: Trans-atlantic Dialogues on Flexibility and Tailoring*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 124–141.

Godson, Richard. 1823. *A Practical Treatise on the Law of Patents for Invention and of Copyright*. London: J. Butterworth.

Gómez Segade, Jose Antonio. 2008. 'Utility Models – Lost in Translation'. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 39(2): 135–139.

Gooday, Graeme, and Steven Wilf. 2020. *Patent Cultures: Diversity and Harmonization in Historical Perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Grafton, G. F. 1846. 'To the Editor'. *Patent Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 1: 71.

Grau, Eros R. 1990. *A Ordem Econômica na Constituição de 1988 (Interpretação e Crítica)*. São Paulo: Revista dos Tribunais.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Greco, Paolo, and Vercellone, Paolo. 1968. *Le invenzioni e i modelli industriali*. Torino: UTET.

Griliches, Z. 1990. 'Patent Statistics as Economic Indicators: A Survey'. *Journal of Economic Literature* 28: 1661–1707.

Grosse Ruse-Khan, Henning. 2012. *Utility Model Protection in Pakistan as an Option to Incentivise Minor and Incremental Innovation*. Geneva: WIPO.

2013. 'The International Legal Framework for the Protection of Utility Models'. *The WIPO Journal* 4: 175–190.

Grzybowski, Stanisław. 1978. Prawo wynalazcze – pojęcie i systematyka, w: Stefan Grzybowski, Andrzej Koppf, Prawo wynalazcze. Zagadnienia wybrane, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe.

G.S. 1850. 'On Copyright in Design' by T. Turner' (review). *The Jurist* 13 (Part II): 270.

Haans, Richard, Pieters, Constant, and Zi-lin He. 2016. 'Thinking about U: Theorizing and Testing U- and Inverted U-Shaped Relationships in Strategy Research'. *Strategic Management Journal* 37: 1177–1195.

Hall, Bronwyn, and Harhoff, Dietmar. 2012. 'Recent Research on the Economics of Patents'. *Annual Review of Economics* 4(1): 541–565.

Hall, Bronwyn, and Helmers, Christian. 2019. 'The Impact of International Patent Systems: Evidence from Accession to the European Patent Convention'. *Research Policy* 48(9): 103810.

Hanly, Conor. 2006. 'The Decline of Civil Jury Trial in Nineteenth-Century England'. *Journal of Legal History* 26(3): 253.

Harrison R. 2023. 'Patents and Utility Models', in N. Wilkof, S. Basheer, and I. Calboli, eds., *Overlapping Intellectual Property Rights*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Hart, Albert Bushnell. 1907. 'American Ideals of International Relations'. *American Journal of International Law* 1(3): 624–635.

Hatfield, H. 1912. 'Utility Model Patents' (March 23, 1912), BT 209/479.

Hausser, Erich. 1987. 'Utility Models: The Experience of the Federal Republic of Germany'. *Industrial Property* 1987: 314.

Hay, Douglas, and Francis Snyder. 1989. 'Using the Criminal Law, 1750–1850: Policing, Private Prosecution, and the State', in Douglas Hay and Francis Snyder, eds., *Policing and Prosecution in Britain 1750–1850*, Ch. 1.

Heller, Michael A. 1998. 'The Tragedy of the Anticommons: Property in the Transition from Marx to Markets' *Harvard Law Review* 111: 621.

Heller, Michael A., and Rebecca Eisenberg. 1998. 'Can Patents Deter Innovation? The Anticommons in Biomedical Research'. *Science* 280: 698.

Heikkilä, Jussi. 2017. 'The Relationship between Patent and Second Tier Patent Protection: The Case of the Dutch Short-Term Patent System Abolition'. https://ssrn.com/abstract=2958107

2018. *Empirical Analyses of European Intellectual Property Rights Institutions*. Doctoral Dissertation, Jyväskylä University School of Business and Economics.

2019. 'IPR Gender Gaps: A First Look at Utility Model, Design Right and Trademark Filings'. *Scientometrics* 118(3): 869–883.

2021. 'The Demand for IPR Services: To Use or Not to Use a Professional Representative'. *International Journal of Intellectual Property Management* 11(3): 316–324.

2023a. 'Key Performance Indicators for Utility Model Systems'. *World Patent Information* 74: Article 102222.

2023b. Yli 30 vuotta suomalaista hyödyllisyysmallijärjestelmää, osa 1. *IPRinfo*, 5/2023.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Heikkilä, Jussi, and Annika Lorenz. 2018. 'Need for Speed? Exploring the Relative Importance of Patents and Utility Models among German Firms'. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology* 27(1): 80–105.

Heikkilä, J., and M. Peltoniemi. 2023. 'The Changing Work of IPR Attorneys: 30 Years of Institutional Transitions'. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 197: Article 122853.

2019. 'Great Expectations: Learning the Boundaries of Design Rights'. *Research Policy* 48(9): Article 103795.

Heikkilä, Jussi, and Michael Verba. 2017. 'Do Two-Tiered Patent Systems Induce Sorting? Evidence from European Countries'. Paper presented at the EPIP 2017 Conference, September 4–6, 2017, Bordeaux.

2018. 'The Role of Utility Models in Patent Filing Strategies: Evidence from European Countries'. *Scientometrics* 116: 689.

Heng, Genevieve, Martin Husovec, and Jorge L. Contreras. 2024. *Patentomania: The Cost of Embedding Patents in Social Policies*, University of Utah College of Law Research Paper No. 581 (January 30, 2024).

Hesse, Renata B., and Frances Marshall. 2017. 'U.S. Antitrust Aspects of FRAND Disputes', in Jorge L. Contreras ed., *Cambridge Handbook of Technical Standardization Law: Competition, Antitrust, and Patents*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 263.

Hewage, P., and N. Sampath. 2015. Promoting a Second-Tier Protection Regime for Innovation of Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises in South Asia: The Case of Sri Lanka. MIPLC Studies No. 26. Nomos: Baden Baden.

Heyward, Michael. 1993. *The Ern Malley Affair*. Brisbane: University of Queensland Press.

Hilty, Reto M., and Pedro Henrique D. Batista. 2023. 'Potential and Limits of Patent Law to Address Climate Change'. *GRUR International* 72(9): 821–839.

Hindmarch, William Mathewson. 1846. *A Treatise of the Law Relating to Patent Privileges*. London: Stevens.

1851. *Observations on the Defects of the Patent Laws of this Country: With Suggestions for the Reform of Them*. London: W. M. Benning & Co.

Hodgskin, Thomas. 1859. Letter, 'The Times and Lord Brougham. Falsehood and Mendicancy'. *Morning Advertiser*, January 14, p. 3.

Holgersson, Marcus. 2013. 'Patent Management in Entrepreneurial SMEs: A Literature Review and an Empirical Study of Innovation Appropriation, Patent Propensity, and Motives'. *R&D Management* 43(1): 21–36.

Holman, Molly A., and Stephen R. Munzer. 2000. 'Intellectual Property Rights in Genes and Gene Fragments: A Registration Solution for Expressed Sequence Tags'. *Iowa Law Review* 83: 735.

Holte, Ryan T., and Ted Sichelman. 2019. 'Cycles of Obviousness'. *Iowa Law Review* 105: 107.

Hughes, Alan, and Andrea Mina. 2010. 'The Impact of the Patent System on SMEs', Centre for Business Research, University of Cambridge, Working Paper. No. 411.

Husovec, Martin. 2020. 'The Fundamental Right to Property and the Protection of Investment: How Difficult Is It to Repeal New Intellectual Property Rights?', in Christophe Geiger, ed., *Research Handbook on Intellectual Property and Investment Law*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 385–405.

Hüttermann, Aloys, and Ulrich Storz. 2006. 'Die BGH-Entscheidung "Demonstrationsschrank" – eine Revolution im gewerblichen Rechtsschutz?' *Neue Juristische Wochenschrift* 59: 3178–3180.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Institute of Patent and Trade Mark Attorneys of Australia, 'Save the Innovation Patent Campaign', December 10, 2018. https://ipta.org.au/news/save-the-innovation-patent-campaign/

IPR Think Tank. 2015. IPR Policy. www.keionline.org/wp-content/uploads/national-ipr-policy.pdf

Isay, Hermann. 1932. 'Gebrauchsmuster', in Stringham 1935, Ch. 5, translated from *Patentgesetz und Gesetz betreffend den Schutz von Gebrauchsmustern*. 6th ed. Berlin: Franz Vahlen.

Jaffe, A., and de Rassenfosse, G. 2017. 'Patent Citation Data in Social Science Research: Overview and Best Practices'. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* 68(6): 1360–1374.

Janis, Mark D. 1999. 'Second Tier Patent Protection'. *Harvard International Law Journal* 40: 151–219.

Jannoni Sebastianini, Alfredo. 1936. *La tutela delle privative industriali e dei marchi di fabbrica e di commercio*. Padova: CEDAM.

Johnson, Matthew, Adam Bialowas, Peta Nicholson, Benjamin Mitra-Kahn, Bradley Man, and Sasan Bakhtiari. 2015. The Economic Impact of Innovation Patents. IP Australia Economic Research Paper 05, www.wipo.int/edocs/mdocs/mdocs/en/wipo_a_64_side_event_1/wipo_a_64_side_event_1_australia1.pdf.

Johnson, Phillip. 2017, 'The Report of the Parker Committee on Patent Law 1916'. *Queen Mary Journal of Intellectual Property* 7(2): 156–190.

Jorda, Karl F. 2007. 'Utility Models: The Panacea for Our Broken Patent System'. *Germeshausen Center Newsletter* Winter/Spring 2007: 1–3.

Jost, John, Gianna Totaro, and Christine Tyshing, eds. 1996. *The Demidenko File*. Melbourne: Penguin Books.

Juma C. 1989. *The Gene Hunters: Biotechnology and the Scramble for Seeds*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Kaisi, Avgi. 2014. 'Finally a Single European Right for the EU? An Analysis of the Substantive Provisions of the European Patent with Unitary Effect'. *European Intellectual Property Review* 36(3): 170.

Kaneko, Hajime, and Yoshinobu Someya. 1960. *Industrial Property Law*.

Kardam, K. S. 2007. Utility Model – A Tool for Economic and Technological Development: A Case Study of Japan. https://ipindia.gov.in/writereaddata/images/pdf/finalreport_april2007.pdf

Karshtedt, Dmitry. 2015. 'The Completeness Requirement in Patent Law'. *Boston College Law Review* 56: 949–1029.

Kasch, Steven P. 1992. 'The Semiconductor Chip Protection Act: Past, Present, and Future'. *Berkeley Technology Law Journal* 7(1): 71–105.

Kay, David Ben. 1985. 'The Patent Law of the People's Republic of China in Perspective'. *UCLA Law Review* 33: 331.

Kellerbauer, Manuel. 2019a. 'Article 114 TFEU', in Manuel Kellerbauer, Marcus Klamert, and Jonathan Tomkin, eds., *Commentary on the EU Treaties and the Charter of Fundamental Rights*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

2019b. 'TFEU, Introduction to Part Three: Title VII, Chapter 3', in Manuel Kellerbauer, Marcus Klamert, and Jonathan Tomkin, eds., *Commentary on the EU Treaties and the Charter of Fundamental Rights*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Kennedy, Christopher C. 2015. 'Rethinking Obviousness'. *Wisconsin Law Review* 2015: 665.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Kenyon, Andrew, Megan Richardson, and Sam Ricketson, eds. 2009. *Landmarks in Australian Intellectual Property Law*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kern, Michael. 1994a. 'Bericht über das Ringberg-Symposium "Europäisches Gebrauchsmusterrecht" des Max-Planck-Instituts vom 7. bis 12.11.1993'. *GRUR International*: 549–586.

1994b. 'Towards a European Utility Model Law'. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 25(5): 627–647.

Kerr, S., and W. Kerr. 2018. 'Global Collaborative Patents'. *The Economic Journal* 128(612): F235–F272.

Khan, Daniel-Erasmus. 2015. 'Article 118 TFEU', in Rudolf Geiger, Daniel-Erasmus Khan, and Markus Kotzur, eds., *European Union Treaties. Treaty on European Union, Treaty on the Function of the European Union. A Commentary*. Munich and Oxford: C. H. Beck and Oxford University Press.

Kieff, F. Scott. 2003. 'The Case for Registering Patents and the Law and Economics of Present Patent-Obtaining Rules'. *Boston College Law Review* 45(1): 55–124.

Kilpatrick Townsend. 2022. *Utility Models: Economical, Efficient, and Enforceable Patent Protection*. www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/utility-models-economical-efficient-and-4367830/

Kim, Yee Kyoung, Keun Lee, Walter G. Park, and Kineung Choo. 2012. 'Appropriate Intellectual Property Protection and Economic Growth in Countries at Different Levels of Development'. *Research Policy* 41(2): 358–375.

King, Peter. 2006. *Crime and Law in England 1750–1840*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Knight, Will. 2001. 'Wheel Patented in Australia', *New Scientist*, July 3, www.newscientist.com/article/dn965-wheel-patented-in-australia/

Königer, Karsten. 2009. 'Registration Without Examination: The Utility Model – A Useful Model?', in Wolrad Prinz zu Waldack und Pyrmont, Martin Adelman, Robert Brauneis, Josef Drexl, and Ralph Nack, eds., *Patents and Technological Progress in a Globalized World: Liber Amicorum Joseph Straus*. Berlin: Springer.

2017. 'The 125th Anniversary of the German Utility Model: A Reason to Celebrate?' *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice* 12(2): 75.

Korte, Stefan. 2022. 'Art. 114 AEUV', in Christian Calliess and Matthias Ruffert, eds., *EUV/AEUV. Das Verfassungsrecht der Europäischen Union mit Europäischer Grundrechtecharta. Kommentar*. 6th ed. Munich: C. H. Beck.

Kraßer, Rudolf. 1995. 'Developments in Utility Model Law'. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 26(6): 950–963.

2000. 'Harmonization of Utility Model Law in Europe'. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 31(7/8): 797–812.

Kretschmer, Friedrich. 1987. 'Statutory Changes in German Industrial Property'. *International Review of Industrial Property and Copyright* 18: 767.

Krishnaswamy, K. N., M. H. Bala Subrahmanya, and M. Mathirajan. 2015. 'Technological Innovation Induced Growth of Engineering Industry SMEs: Case Studies in Bangalore'. *Asian Journal of Innovation and Policy* 4(2): 217–241.

KTM. 1986. 'Utility model committee's report' (original in Finnish: Hyödyllisyysmallitoimikunnan mietintö). KTM Komiteamietintöjä (1986: 48), The Ministry of Trade and Industry.

Kumar, Nagesh. 2003. 'Intellectual Property Rights, Technology and Economic Development: Experiences of Asian Countries'. *Economic & Political Weekly* 38(3): 209–226.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Ladas, Stephen. 1975. *Patents, Trademarks and Related Rights: National and International Protection*. Boston, MA:Harvard University Press.
Laisi, H. 2009. 75 vuotta teollisoikeudellista yhdistystoimintaa Suomessa. Oy Nordprint Ab.
LDSOFT. 2022. www.ldsoft.com.br/webseek/
Lee, Keun, and Yee Kyoung Kim. 2010. 'IPR and Technological Catch-Up in Korea', in H. Odagiri, A. Goto, A. Sunami, and R. Nelson, eds., *Intellectual Property Rights, Development, and Catch-Up: An International Comparative Study*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 133–162.
Lee, K., R. Kang, and D. Park. 2019. 'Diverse Forms of Intellectual Property Rights, Innovations, and Firm Performance at Different Stages of Development: Findings from the Firm-Level Study in the Republic of Korea, 1970s–2010s', in *Background Paper for Asian Development Outlook 2020: What Drives Innovation in Asia?*
Lefsin, Jeffrey A., Peter S. Menell, and David O. Taylor. 2018. 'Final Report of the Berkeley Center for Law & Technology Section 101 Workshop: Addressing Patent Eligibility Challenges'. *Berkeley Technology Law Journal* 33(2): 551–605.
Legal Daily. 2005. '"Questionable Patent" Does Not Equal "Junk Patent"'. *Legal Daily*, December 28: 006.
Lei, Zhen, Zhen Sun, and Brian Wright. 2013. 'Patent Subsidy and Patent Filing in China'. https://funginstitute.berkeley.edu/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/patent_subsidy_Zhen.pdf.
Lemley, Mark A. 2001. 'Rational Ignorance at the Patent Office'. *Northwestern University Law Review* 95(4): 1495.
2002. 'Intellectual Property Rights and Standard-Setting Organizations'. *California Law Review* 90: 1989.
2012. 'The Myth of the Sole Inventor'. *Michigan Law Review* 110: 709–760.
Lemley, Mark A., and Timothy Simcoe. 2019. 'How Essential Are Standard-Essential Patents?'. Cornell Law Review 104: 607.
Lemley, Mark A., and Jacob S. Sherkow. 2023. 'The Antibody Patent Paradox'. *Yale Law Journal* 132(4): 994–1054.
Li, Wei. 2012. 'Analysis of Impact of Different Types of Patents on Technological Advancement in China'. *African Journal of Business Management* 6(10): 3623–3639.
Li, Wenjing, and Zheng Manni. 2016. 'Substantive Innovation or Strategic Innovation? The Impact of Macro-Industrial Policy on Micro-Enterprise Innovation'. *Economic Research Journal* 51(4): 60–73.
Liang, Zhiwen. 2014. 'Patent Quality Controlled by Judiciary'. *The Jurist* 144(3): 61–73.
Liberti, L. 2010. 'Intellectual Property Rights in International Investment Agreements: An Overview', in *OECD* Working Papers on International Investment 2010/01. OECD Publishing, http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/5kmfq1njzl35-en
Liesegang, Roland. 1992. 'German Utility Models after the 1990 Reform Act'. *Annual of the Intellectual Property Law Association Quarterly Journal* 20: 1.
Lietzan, Erika. 2019. 'The "Evergreening" Metaphor in Intellectual Property Scholarship.' *Akron Law Review* 53: 805.
Liu, Binqiang. 2014. 'China Utility Model Patent: Trash or Treasure – A Data-Based Analysis'. *IDEA: The IP Law Review* 54(2): 225–253.
Liverpool Financial Reform Association. 1851. *The Law for the Protection and Encouragement of Invention, (Financial Reform Tract No 22) in The Tracts of the Liverpool Financial Reform Association*. London: Standard of Freedom.
Llewellyn, Margaret. 1996. *Utility Models/Second Tier Protection: A Report on the Proposals of the European Commission*. London: Intellectual Property Institute.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

1997. 'Is There a Need for a European Utility Model? A View from the United Kingdom'. *Annales De La Faculté De Droit d'Istanbul* 31(17): 1–26.

Lobban, Michael. 2002. 'The Strange Life of the English Civil Jury, 1837–1914', in John Cairns and Grant McLeod, eds., *'The Dearest Birthright of the People of England: The Jury in the History of the Common Law*. London: Bloomsbury.

Long, Cheryl Xiaoning, and Jun Wang. 2016. 'Evaluating Patent Promotion Policies in China: Consequences for Patent Quantity and Quality', in D an Prud'homme, and Hefa Song, eds., *Economic Impacts of Intellectual Property-Conditioned Government Incentives*. Springer, 235–257.

Long, Clarissa. 2004. 'Information Costs in Patent and Copyright'. *Virginia Law Review* 90: 465.

Loth, Hans-Friedrich. 2001. 'Vorb', *Gebrauchsmustergesetz*. Munich: C. H. Beck.

Lui, Binqiang. 2014. 'China Utility Model Patent: Trash or Treasure – A Data-Based Analysis'. *IDEA: The Intellectual Property Law Review* 54(2): 225–254.

Lyne I., and A. Madden. 2021. 'Enterprising New Worlds: Social Enterprise and the Value of Repair', in Gibson-Graham and K. Dombroski, eds., *The Handbook of Diverse Economies*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

MacLeod, Christine. 1988. *Inventing the Industrial Revolution: The English Patent System, 1660–1800*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

MacLeod, Roy. 1994. 'Science for Imperial Efficiency and Social Change: Reflections on the British Science Guild, 1905–36'. *Public Understanding of Science* 3: 155–193.

Madsen, Palle Bo. 2020. *Immaterialret*. Copenhagen: Jurist- og Økonomforbundets Forlag.

Malavota, Leandro M. 2011. *A construção do sistema de patentes no Brasil: um olhar histórico*. Rio de Janeiro: Lumen Juris.

Manne, Robert, 1996. *The Culture of Forgetting: Helen Demidenko and the Holocaust*. Melbourne: Text Publishing Company.

Mao, Hao, Yin Zhifeng, and Zhang Jin. 2018. 'Could China's Innovation Get Rid of the Trap of Utility Model Patent System'. *China Industrial Economics* 2018(3): 98–115.

Mao, Y., and Thomas, T. (June 23, 2022). Utility Models: Economical, Efficient, and Enforceable Patent Protection. *JD Supra*. www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/utility-models-economical-efficient-and-4367830/

Marchesoni, Evelina. 2023. 'The Reform of the Italian IP Code'. *Bird & Bird*, November 8, 2023 www.twobirds.com/en/patenthub/shared/insights/2023/italy/the-reform-of-the-italian-ip-code

Martínez, C. 2011. 'Patent Families: When Do Different Definitions Really Matter?' *Scientometrics* 86: 39–63.

Maskus, Keith E., and Christine McDaniel. 1999. 'Impacts of the Japanese Patent System on Productivity Growth'. *Japan and the World Economy* 11: 557–574.

Maskus, Keith, and M. Penubarti. 1995. 'How Trade-Related Are Intellectual Property Rights?' *Journal of Internal Economics* 39: 227–248.

Mathely, P. 1974. Le droit français des brevets d'invention, JNA.

Max Planck Institute. 1987. 'Harmonization of Industrial Property and Copyright Law in the European Community. Report of a Symposium held by the Max Planck Institute for Foreign and International Patent, Copyright, and Competition Law, at Ringberg Castle near Tegernsee'. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 18 (3): 303–337.

1994. 'Proposal of the Max Planck Institute for a European Utility Model. Explanatory Report'. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* 25(5): 700–735.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Mboya, R. A. 2018. 'Utilization of Industrial Designs and Utility Models in Africa: A Case Study of Kenya'. WIPO-WTO Colloquium Papers, 2018 Africa Edition.
McDave, K. E., and A. Hackman-Aidoo. 2021. 'Africa and SDG 9: Toward a Framework for Development through Intellectual Property'. *US-China Law Review* 18: 12–29.
McKeough, Jill. 2003. 'Is Intellectual Property Different, or Are All Unhappy Monopolists Similar?' *University of New South Wales Law Journal* 26(1): 289–295.
McKinley, Daniel. 2022. 'Winner takes all! | Commissioner of Patents Succeeds over Gaming Machine Company and sets new precedent for Patent Eligibility of Computer Implemented Inventions', *Gestalt*, www.gestalt.law/insights/winner-takes-all-commissioner-of-patents-succeeds-over-gaming-machine-company-and-sets-new-precedent-for-patent-eligibility-of-computer-implemented-inventions
Meier-Beck, Peter. 2007. 'Die Rechtsprechung des Bundesgerichtshofs zum Patent- und Gebrauchsmusterrecht im Jahr 2006'. *GRUR* 109(11): 913–920.
Merges Robert P. 1988. 'Commercial Success and Patent Standards: Economic Perspectives on Innovation'. *California Law Review* 76(4): 803–876.
Merges Robert P., and R. Nelson. 1990. 'On the Complex Economics of Patent Scope'. *Columbia Law Review* 90: 839–916.
Mertzlufft-Paufler, Cornelius, and Matthew Yip. 2022. 'Double Patenting in Germany and the UPC'. *Maucher Jenkins*, April 8, 2022. www.maucherjenkins.com/commentary/double-patenting-germany-upc
Mes, Peter. 2013. Kommentar Patentgesetz/Gebrauchsmustergesetz, 5. Aufl., 2020, § 1 GebrMG, Rn. 13; Wenzel GRUR, 140.
2020. Kommentar Patentgesetz/Gebrauchsmustergesetz, 5. Aufl., 2020, § 5 GebrMG, Rn. 6.
Mingardi, Alberto. 2021. *Classical Liberalism and the Industrial Working Class*. Abingdon: Routledge.
Minssen, Timo, and Björn Lundqvist. 2014. 'The "Opt Out" and "Opt In" Provisions in the Unified Patent Court Agreement – Impact and Strategies for European Patent Portfolios'. *Nordiskt Immateriellt Rättsskydd* 83(4): 340–357.
Mischlewski, Darryl. 2000. 'Letter Patent Need for Such Protection', *Australian Financial Review*, December 28.
Mitchell, W. J. T. 1984. *Picture Theory: Essays on Verbal and Visual Representation*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
1986. *Iconology: Image, Text, Ideology*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
Moga, Thomas T. 2012. 'China's Utility Model Patent System: Innovation Driver or Deterrent'. *U.S. Chamber of Commerce*. www.uschamber.com/assets/archived/images/legacy/reports/1211_china_patent_paper.pdf
Monotti, Ann. 2010. 'Innovation Patents: The Concept of a Manner of New Manufacture and Assessment of Innovative Step: *Dura-Post (Aust) Pty Ltd* v *Delnorth Pty Ltd*'. *European Intellectual Property Review* 32: 93–97.
Montañá, Miquel. 'The UPC Is Dead. Long Live the UMC!' *Kluwer Patent Blog*, December 11, 2023 https://patentblog.kluweriplaw.com/2023/12/11/the-upc-is-dead-long-live-the-umc/.
Mott, Kelsey Martin. 1963. 'The Concept of Small Patent in European Legal Systems and Equivalent Protection under United States Law'. *Virginia Law Review* 49(2): 232.
Mueller, Florian. 2018. 'When Defending against Standard-Essential Patents, Beware of Standard-Essential Utility Models'. *FOSS Patents*. December 7. www.fosspatents.com/2018/12/when-defending-against-standard. html

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

2023. Standard-Essential Utility Models Are Major Loophole in Proposed EU SEP Regulation, Presumably Because of Fundamental Rights Issues: Structural Problems. September 9. *FOSS Patents*. www.foss patents.com/2023/09/standard-essential-utility-models-are.html

Murdoch, H. H. 1867. *Information Respecting British and Foreign Patents and the Registration of Designs*. London: published by the author.

Murfitt, Stephen Edward. 2017. *The English Patent System and Early Railway Technology 1800–1852*. PhD Thesis, University of York.

Musker, David. 2014. 'Design Crime. Back to the Future or Forward to the Past?' *Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice* 9(12): 976–985.

Nævnenes Hus. 2024. Case Law from the Board of Appeal for Patents and Trademarks. https://apv.naevneneshus.dk

National Research Council. 2013. *Patent Challenges for Standard-Setting in the Global Economy*, K. Maskus and S. A. Merrill, eds., Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

Naumann, H. 1958. 'Utility Model Patent Protection'. *Journal of the Patent Office Society* 40 (11): 800–814.

Nelson, R., and S. Winter. 1982. *An Evolutionary Theory of Economic Change*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press.

Nérisson, Sylvie. 2017. 'Remaining Scopes for Collective Management of Copyright in the Online World', in Kung-Chung Liu and Reto M. Hilty, eds., *Remuneration of Copyright Owners: Regulatory Challenges of New Business Models*. Berlin: Springer, 71–83.

Neuhaus, Stephan, David Por, and Mark Ridgway. 'What Does the UPC Mean for Patent Litigation?' *Allen & Overy publications*, May 31, 2023. www.allenovery.com/en-gb/global/news-and-insights/publications/what-does-the-upc-mean-for-patent-litigation

Newton, Alfred Vincent. 1879. *Patent Law and Practice: Showing the Mode of Obtaining and Opposing Grants, Disclaimers, Confirmations, and Extensions of Patents: With a Chapter on Patent Agents*. London: Trubner & Co.

Norman, John Paxton. 1851. *The Law and Practice of the Copyright, Registration and Provisional Registration of Designs*. London: S. Sweet.

North, P. 2021. 'Independent and Small Businesses: Diversity amongst the 99 Per cent of Business', in Gibson-Graham and K. Dombroski, eds., *The Handbook of Diverse Economies*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Noto La Diega, Guido, et al. 2024. 'Sustainable Patent Governance of Artificial Intelligence. Recalibrating the European Patent System to Foster Innovation (SDG 9)', in Matthew Rimmer, Caroline B. Ncube and Bita Amani, eds., *Elgar Companion on Intellectual Property and the Sustainable Development Goals*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Odagiri, A. H., A. Sunami Goto, and R. Nelson. 2010. 'Introduction', in H. Odagiri, A. Goto, A. Sunami, and R. Nelson, eds., *Intellectual Property Rights, Development, and Catch-Up – An International Comparative Study*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1–28.

Ohly, Ansgar. 2021. 'Jurisdiction and Choice of Law in Trade Secrets Cases: The EU Perspective', in Sharon K. Sandeen, Christoph Rademacher, and Ansgar Ohly, eds., *Research Handbook on Information Law and Governance*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 234–258.

Oldham, James. 1987. 'Special Juries in England: Nineteenth Century Usage and Reform'. *Journal of Legal History* 8(2): 148.

Oliveira, Maikon A. B. 2020. 'Guia para proteção de inovação por obtenção de Carta Patente'. Master Thesis (Universidade Federal do Paraná. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Propriedade Intelectual e Transferência de Tecnologia para Inovação – PROFNIT).

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Olson, Bradley J. 2007. 'The Amendments to the Vessel Hull Design Protection Act of 1998: A New Tool for the Boating Industry'. *Journal of Maritime Law and Commerce* 38(2): 177–190.

Op Den Kamp, Claudy, and Dan Hunter, eds. 2019. *A History of Intellectual Property in 50 Objects*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Osgood, Charles. 2001. 'To Protest New Patent Law, Patent Lawyer John Keogh Was Granted a Patent for a "Circular Transportation Facilitation Device," A Wheel', *CBC News*, July 3.

Osterrieth, Albert. 1912. 'Discussion of the German Patent Law and Patent Procedure', in Stringham, ed., 1935, ch 3.

Ożegalska-Trybalska, Justyna. [2021] 'Commentary to art. 94 IPL' in Łukasz Żelechowski, ed., Prawo własności przemysłowej.

Østerborg, Lise. 1983. 'Indledende Bemærkninger til Indførelse af Brugsmodelbeskyttelse i Danmark'. *Nordiskt Immateriellt Rättsskydd* 1984: 18–24.

Pager, Sean A. 2007. 'Patents on a Shoestring: Making Patent Protection Work for Developing Countries'. *Georgia State University Law Review* 23(4): 755–808.

Park, Jong R., and Sang O. Noe. 2013. 'Reexamination of the Effectiveness of Utility Model System in Korea'. *Law & Policy* 19: 201.

Park, Walter. 2008. 'International Patent Protection: 1960–2005'. *Research Policy* 37(4): 761–766.

Patent Law Firm Wittmann. 2024. German Utility Model. www.wh-ip.com/germany/german_utility_model.html

Pemberton, Gretchen A., and Mariano Soni, Jr. 1992. 'Mexico's 1991 Industrial Property Law'. *Cornell International Law Journal* 25(1): 103–130.

Picht, Peter Georg, and Anna-Lena Karczewski. 2022. 'Germany', in Jorge L. Contreras, and Martin Husovec, eds., *Injunctions in Patent Law : Trans-atlantic Dialogues on Flexibility and Tailoring*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Picht, Peter, and Jorge L. Contreras. 2023. 'Proportionality Defenses in FRAND Cases: a Comparative Assessment of the Revised German Patent Injunction Rules and U.S. Case Law'. *GRUR International* 72(5): 435–450.

Pila, Justine. 2022. *Seville's EU Intellectual Property Law and Policy*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Polden, Patrick. 1999. *A History of the County Court, 1846–1971*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

2010. 'The Legal Profession', in W. Cornish, Anderson, R. Cocks, M. Lobban, P. Polden, and K. Smith, eds., *Oxford History of the Laws of England, Vol XI, English Legal System*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

van Pottelsberghe de la Potterie, Bruno. 2011. 'The Quality Factor in Patent Systems'. *Industrial and Corporate Change* 20: 1755–1793.

Progress Press. 2001. 'Expert Proves Patents Plan Is Wheely Silly', *Progress Press*, November 6.

Prud'homme, Dan. 2012. 'Dulling the Cutting Edge: How Patent-Related Policies and Practices Hamper Innovation in China'. *European Union Chamber of Commerce in China*. https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/43299/1/MPRA_paper_43299.pdf

2014. 'Creating a "Model" Utility Model Patent System: A Comparative Analysis of the Utility Model Patent Systems in Europe and China'. IP Key Working Paper for China's State Intellectual Property Office.

2015a. '"Soft Spots" in China's Utility Model Patent System: Perceptions, Assessment and Reform'. *European Intellectual Property Review* 37: 305–310.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

2015b. 'China's Shifting Patent Landscape and State-Led Patenting Strategy'. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice* 10: 619–625 (corrected in 2016, 11(4): 315).
2016a. 'Constructing Utility Model Patent Systems: Lessons from Europe and China'. *European Intellectual Property Review* 38: 437–444.
2016b. 'IP-Conditioned Government Incentives in China and the EU: A Comparative Analysis of Strategies and Impacts on Patent Quality', in Dan Prud'homme and Hefa Song, eds., *Economic Impacts of Intellectual Property-Conditioned Government Incentives*. Springer, 13–74.
2017a. 'Utility Model Patent Regime "Strength" and Technological Development: Experiences of China and Other East Asian Latecomers'. *China Economic Review* 42: 50–73.
2017b. 'Utility Model Patent Regimes and Innovation in China and Beyond', in Yahong Li, ed., *Patents and Innovation in Mainland China and Hong Kong: Two Systems in One Country Compared*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
2019. 'Re-conceptualizing Intellectual Property Regimes in International Business Research: Foreign-Friendliness Paradoxes Facing MNCs in China'. *Journal of World Business* 52(4): 399–419.
2024. 'The Impact of IPR Institutions' Accessibility on Their Usage around the World: A Panel Study'. FIU College of Business Working Paper.
2025. 'Alternative Responses to Patent Anti-commons: State Financing of Latecomer Patenting', in Walter Park, ed., *Handbook of Intellectual Property and Innovation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
Prud'homme, Dan, and Tony Tong. 2023. 'Rethinking Firm-Specific Advantages from Intellectual Property Rights: Boundary Conditions for MNEs'. *Journal of International Business Studies* 2023(1): 91–109
Prud'homme, Dan, and Max von Zedtwitz. 2018. 'The Changing Face of Innovation in China'. *MIT Sloan Management Review* 59: 24–32.
Prud'homme, Dan, and Taolue Zhang. 2019. *China's Intellectual Property Regime for Innovation*. Springer.
Prud'homme, Dan, Tony Tong, and Nianchen Han. 2021. 'A Stakeholder-Based View of the Evolution of Intellectual Property Institutions'. *Journal of International Business Studies* 52: 773–802.
Quinn, Gene. 2015. 'The Cost of Obtaining a Patent in the US'. *IPWatchdog*. Retrieved December 3, 2023, https://ipwatchdog.com/2015/04/04/the-cost-of-obtaining-a-patent-in-the-us/id=56485/#.
Radauer, Alfred, Christina Rosemberg, Oliver Cassagneau-Francis, and Heinz Goddar, 2015. Study on the economic impact of the utility model legislation in selected Member States. Final Report, A study tendered by the European Commission – DG Internal Market and Services in 2013, MARKT/2013/065/D2/ST/OP.
Radauer, Alfred, Christina Rosemberg Montes, Oliver Cassagneau-Francis, Heinz Goddar, and C. Haarmann 2019. 'The Myth of the "Small Patent for the Small Inventor" – Strategic Motives to Use Second-Tier Patent Systems (Utility Models) in Selected European Countries'. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice* 14(10): 771–783.
Radford, Tim. 2001. 'Giants of the Improbable Claim their Due Reward', *The Guardian*, October 5.
Rankine, Andrew. 2022. 'What the High Court Decision in Aristocrat Means for Patent Owners', Spruson, September 16, www.spruson.com/patents/what-the-high-court-decision-in-aristocrat-means-for-patent-owners/

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

de Rassenfosse, G., H. Dernis, D. Guellec, L. Picci, and B. van Pottelsberghe de la Potterie. 2013. 'The Worldwide Count of Priority Patents: A New Indicator of Inventive Activity'. *Research Policy*, 42(3): 720–737.

Ravillard, Patrick. 2000. 'The Proposal for a EU Directive on Utility Models'. *International Intellectual Property Law & Policy* 4: 36-1–36-13.

Reichman, Jerome H. 1994. 'Legal Hybrids between the Patent and Copyright Paradigms'. *Columbia Law Review* 94(8): 2432–2558.

1998. 'Industrial Designs and Utility Models under the European Communities' Proposed Initiatives: A Critical Appraisal'. *International Intellectual Property Law & Policy* 2: 48-1–48-46.

2000. 'Of Green Tulips and Legal Kudzu: Repacking Rights in Subpatentable Innovation'. *Vanderbilt Law Review* 6(6): 1743–1798.

Reichman, Jerome H., and Ana Santos Rutschman. 2023. 'The Case for a Liability Rule to Stimulate Investment in Sub-patentable Innovation', in Margaret Chon, Graeme B. Dinwoodie, Susy Frankel, Barbara Lauriat, and Jens Schovsbo, eds., *Improving Intellectual Property: A Global Project*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 88–94.

2024. 'Protecting Sub-patentable Innovation: The Case for Codified Liability Rules'. *UC Davis Law Review* 57: 2027–2075.

Richards, John. 2010. 'Utility Model Protection throughout the World'. https://ipo.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/Utility_Model_protection.pdf

Ricketson, Sam. 2015. *The Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Ricketson, Sam, and Megan Richardson. 1998. *Intellectual Property: Cases, Materials and Commentary*. 2nd ed. Sydney: Butterworths.

Rimmer, Matthew. 2000. 'The Demidenko Affair: Copyright Law, Plagiarism and Ridicule'. *Media and Arts Law Review* 5(3): 159–176.

2003. 'Franklin Barley: Patent Law and Plant Breeders' Rights'. *Murdoch University Electronic Journal of Law* 10(4), QUT ePrints: https://eprints.qut.edu.au/85372/.

2017. 'An Exorbitant Monopoly: The High Court of Australia, Myriad Genetics, and Gene Patents', in Duncan Matthews and Herbert Zech, eds., *Research Handbook on Intellectual Property and the Life Sciences*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 56–103.

Robertson, Joseph. 1846. 'Editorial Note to W.E. Staite, "The Law of Patents"'. *Mechanics' Magazine* 45: 174–176.

Robinson, W. Keith. 2014. 'Protecting American Innovators by Combating the Decline of Patents Granted to Small Entities'. *St. John's Law Review* 88: 379–432.

Romandini, Roberto. 2011. 'La distinzione tra brevetti e modelli di utilità: una diversa interpretazione della disciplina positiva'. *Rivista di diritto industriale* 2011: I, 200–225.

Roth, Elsebeth, and Niels Holm Svendsen. 1992. 'Lov om Brugsmodeller m.v.' *Ugeskrift for Retsvæsen* 1992B.223.

Ruse-Khan, Henning Grosse. 2012. 'The International Legal Framework for the Protection of Utility Models'. *Max Planck Institute for Intellectual Property & Competition Law Research Paper* 12(10): n/a. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2160229

2015. *Utility Model Protection in Pakistan – An Option for Incentivising Incremental Innovation*, WIPO-commissioned report, www.ip.mpg.de/fileadmin/ipmpg/content/forschung_aktuell/05_utility_model_protection/wipo_study_on_utility_model_protection_in_pakistan.pdf

Rutenberg, Isaac, and Lilian Makanga. 2016. 'Utility Model Protection in Kenya: The Case for Substantive Examination'. *African Journal of Information and Communication (AJIC)* 19: 19–37.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Rutenberg, Isaac, and Jacqueline Mwangi. 2017. Do Patents and Utility Model Certificates Encourage Innovation in Kenya? *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice* 12(3): 206–215.

Sadler, Denham. 2019. 'Government to Abolish Innovation Patents', *InnovationAus.com*, September 9, www.innovationaus.com/govt-to-abolish-innovation-patents-2/

2022. 'Calls for New Innovation Patents After Old Scheme Abolished', InnovationAus.Com, May 25, www.innovationaus.com/calls-for-new-innovation-patents-after-old-scheme-abolished/

de Saint-Georges, Matthis, and Bruno van Pottelsberghe de la Potterie. 2013. 'A Quality Index for Patent Systems'. *Research Policy* 42: 704–719.

Sander, Lorena. 2023. Intellectual Property and Innovation: What Can UNDP Learn from the Music World? (Part 1). May 3, www.undp.org/acceleratorlabs/blog/intellectual-property-and-innovation-what-can-undp-learn-music-world-part-1-0

Saw, C. L. 2016. 'Whither Gene Patenting and the Patenting of Diagnostic Methods Post-Mayo and Myriad: The Need for Certainty in Navigating the High Seas of Policy'. *Law Innovation & Technology* 8: 207–246.

Scardamaglia, Amanda. 2020. *Printed on Stone: The Lithographs of Charles Troedel*, Melbourne: Melbourne Books.

Scherer F. M. 2001. 'The Innovation Lottery', in Rochelle Cooper Dreyfuss, Diane Leenheer Zimmerman, and Harry First, eds., *Expanding the Boundaries of Intellectual Property: Innovation Policy for the Knowledge Society*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Schmoch, Ulrich. 2008. Concept of a Technology Classification for Country Comparison: Final Report to the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). www.wipo.int/export/sites/www/ipstats/en/docs/wipo_ipc_technology.pdf

Schovsbo, Jens. 2006. 'Ny Dansk Lov om Brugsmodeller: En Oversigt' *Nordiskt Immateriellt Rättsskydd* 5: 489–493.

Schovsbo, Jens, Thomas Riis, and Clement Salung Petersen. 2015. 'The Unified Patent Court: Pros and Cons of Specialization – Is There a Light at the End of the Tunnel (Vision)?' *International Review of Intellecutal Property and Competition Law* 46(3): 271–274.

Schovsbo, Jens, Morten Rosenmeier, and Clement Salung Petersen. 2024. *Immaterialret*. Copenhagen: Djøf Forlag.

Schumpeter J. 1934. *The Theory of Economic Development*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Schwartz, David L. 2012. 'The Rise of Contingent Fee Representation in Patent Litigation'. *Alabama Law Review* 64(2): 335.

Scotchmer, S. 1999. 'Cumulative Innovation in Theory and Practice'. GSPP Working Paper 240, University of Berkeley Working Paper Series.

Scott, Ian. 2001. 'Honouring the IgNobel', *ABC Science*, October 8, www.abc.net.au/science/articles/2001/10/08/384876.htm

Segade, Jose. 2008. 'Utility Models – Lost in Translation'. *International Review of Industrial Property and Copyright Law* 39(2): 135–139.

Seymore, Sean B. 2021. 'The Research Patent'. *Vanderbilt Law Review* 74(1): 143–186.

Shao, K. 2014. 'The Cores and Contexts of Chinas 21st-Century National Innovation System', in K. Shao and Feng Xiaoqing, eds., *Innovation and Intellectual Property in China: Strategies, Contexts and Challenges*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Shapiro, Carl. 2001. 'Navigating the Patent Thicket', in Adam B. Jaffe, Josh Lerner, and Scott Stern, eds., *Innovation Policy and Economy*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Sharma, Gutam and Hemant Kumar. 2018. Exploring the Possibility of Utility Model Protection in India. *J. Intel. Prop. Rights* 23: 119.

Sheikh, Sajid. 2022. Exploring the Possibility of Utility Model Protection in India. *Scholars Intl. J. of Law, Crime and Justice*. 5: 53.

Sherman, Brad, and Lionel Bently. 1999. *The Making of Modern Intellectual Property Law: The British Experience, 1760–1911*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Shoebridge, Grant. 2018. 'Innovation Patents; Safe for Now', The Institute of Patent and Trade Mark Attorneys of Australia, April 5, https://ipta.org.au/news/innovation-patents-safe-now/

Singer, J. (2022, December 30). How long does U.S. patent and trademark prosecution take? (2022 edition). *J.D. Supra*. www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/how-long-does-u-s-patent-and-trademark-8285966/

Singer, Margarete, and Dieter Stauder. 2003. *The European Patent Convention, A Commentary: Volume 1*. Thomson/Sweet & Maxwell.

Smith, Harry. 1969. 'The Resurgent County Court in Victorian Britain'. *American Journal of Legal History* 13(2): 126.

Societa Italiana Brevetti Intellectual Property Consultants, 2014. 'Utility Models'. www.sib.it/en/areas-of-practice/inventions/utility-models.html

Sommer, Tine. 2013. *Can Law Make Life (too) Simple? From Gene Patents to the Patenting of Environmentally Sound Technologies*. Copenhagen: Djøf Forlag.

Sommer, Tine, Victor Fasmer Henum, Nicolaj Bording, Nicolai Lindgreen, and Jens Schovsbo. 2023. 'Den Fælles Patentdomstol – Et Regimeskifte i Europa!' *EU-ret & Menneskeret* 30(4): 187–202.

Souza, Allan R. 2006. A Função Social Dos Direitos Autorais: Uma Interpretação Civil-Constitucional dos limites da proteção jurídica. Brasil: 1988–2005. Campos dos Goytacazes: Ed. Faculdade de Direito de Campos.

2021. 'Copyright, Human Rights, and the Social Function of Property in Brazil', in Jonathan Griffiths, and Tuomas Mylly, eds., *Global Intellectual Property Protection and New Constitutionalism: Hedging Exclusive Rights*. Online ed., Oxford: Oxford Academic. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198863168.003.0013

Spence, William. 1847a. *A Treatise on the Principles Relating to the Specification of a Patent for Invention*. London: Stevens and Norton.

1847b. *Copyright of Designs, as Distinguished from Patentable Invention*. London: Stevens and Norton.

1851. *Patentable Inventions and Scientific Evidence*. London: V. & R. Stevens, and G.S. Norton.

Spolidoro, Marco S. 1981. 'Domanda di brevetto per invenzione e per modello di utilità'. *Rivista trimestrale di diritto e procedura civile* 1981: I, 1081–1108.

Squicciarini, M., H. Dernis, and C. Criscuolo. 2013. Measuring Patent Quality: Indicators of Technological and Economic Value. OECD Science, Technology and Industry Working Papers, 2013/03, OECD Publishing.

St Martin, K. 2021. 'Framing Essay: The Diversity of Property', in Gibson-Graham and K. Dombroski, eds., *The Handbook of Diverse Economies*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Stieper, Malte. 2022. 'Art. 118 AEUV', in Eberhard Grabitz, Meinhard Hilf, and Martin Nettesheim, eds., *Das Recht der Europäischen Union. Band I. EUV/AEUV. May 2022, 76th EL*. Munich: C. H. Beck.

Stierle, Martin. 2019. 'Der quasi-automatische Unterlassungsanspruch im deutschen Patentrecht'. *GRUR* 121(8/9): 873–885.

Stierle, Martin, and Franz Hofmann. 2022. 'The Latest Amendment to the German Law on Patent Injunctions: The New Statutory Disproportionality Exception and Third-Party Interests'. *GRUR International* 71(12): 1123–1137.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Stringham, Emerson. 1935. *Patents and Gebrauchsmuster in International Law*. Madison: Pacot Publications.
Summerfield, Mark. 2018. 'A Brief History of the Australian Innovation Patent'. *Patentology*, July 10, https://blog.patentology.com.au/2018/07/a-brief-history-of-australian.html
Sun, Z., and Lei, C. 2023. Strategic Use of the Second-Tier Patent System for Short Life-Cycle Technologies – Evidence from Parallel Filings in China, https://ssrn.com/abstract=4652908
Suominen, Kaisa, Nina Ferara, Peter de Lange, and Andrew John Rudge. 2023. *Visser's Annotated European Patent Convention*. Kluwer Law International.
Suthersanen, Uma. 2001. 'Incremental Inventions in Europe: A Legal and Economic Appraisal of Second Tier Patents'. *Journal of Business Law* 2001: 319–343.
2006. 'Utility Models and Innovation in Developing Countries'. *UNCTAD-ICTSD Project on IPRs and Sustainable Development*.
2013. 'Function, Art and Fashion: Do We Need the EU Design Law?', in C. Geiger, ed., *Constructing European Intellectual Property*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
2019. 'Utility Models: Do They Really Serve National Innovation Strategies?', in J. Drexl and A. Sanders, eds., *Innovation Society and Intellectual Property*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
Suthersanen, Uma, and G. Dutfield. 2007. 'Utility Models and Other Alternatives to Patents', in U. Suthersanen, G. Dutfield, and K. B. Chow, eds., *Innovation without Patents: Harnessing the Creative Spirit in a Diverse World*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
Suthersanen, Uma, G. Dutfield, and K. B. Chow, eds. 2007. *Innovation without Patents: Harnessing the Creative Spirit in a Diverse World*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
Svendsen, Niels Holm. 1993. 'Lov om Brugsmodeller m.v.' *Nordiskt Immateriellt Rättsskydd* 1993(2): 237–250.
Swamy, R. N. 2022. *Utility Models as a Second –Tier Patent System: Is It Worth Implementing in India?* https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4091118
Szwaja, Janusz. 1978. Powstanie i ustanie praw wyłącznych, w: Stefan Grzybowski, Andrzej Koppf, Prawo wynalazcze. Zagadnienia wybrane, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe.
Takenaka, Toshiko. 2021a. 'Inclusive Patents for Open Innovation'. *Texas Intellectual Property Law Journal* 29(2): 187–234.
2021b. The Role of the New Utility Model System in the Innovation Model of Industry 4.0, *Intell. Prop. L. Pol'y Stud. No*. 58, 1.
2021c. 'Breathing New Life into the German Utility Model System in the Industry 4.0 Era'. *GRUR International* 121(9): 621–632.
Teece, David J. 2017. 'The "Tragedy of the Anticommons" Fallacy: A Law and Economics Analysis of Patent Thickets and FRAND Licensing'. *Berkley Technology Law Journal* 32: 1489.
Temple Franks, W. 1912. 'Patents and Designs Act, 1907' (October 1, 1912) in BT 209/480.
Tepedino, Gustavo, and Anderson Schreiber. 2005. 'A garantia da propriedade no direito brasileiro'. *Revista da Faculdade de Direito de Campos* 6(6): 101, 111–112.
Terrell, Thomas. 1884. *The Law and Practice Regarding Letters Patent for Inventions*. London: Henry Sweet.
Theroux, Eugene A. 1980. 'Technology Sales to China: New Laws and Old Problems'. *Journal of International Law and Economics* 14(2): 185–252.
Thomã, Jõrge, and Kilian Bizer. 2013. 'To Protect or Not to Protect? Modes of Appropriability in the Small Enterprise Sector'. *Research Policy* 42(1): 35–49.
Timbs, John. 1868. *The Yearbook of Facts in Science and Art*. London: Lockwood & Co.
Turner, Richard. 2019. 'Utility Models'. *Journal of the Chartered Institute of Patent Agents* 45 (9): 12–15.
Turner, Thomas. 1849. *On Copyright in Design in Arts and Manufacture*. London: Elsworth.
1850. *Counsel to Inventors of Improvements in the Useful Arts*. London: Elsworth.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

1851. *The Law of Patents and Registration of Invention and Designs in Manufacture*. London: Crockford.
Ubertazzi, Luigi C. 1988. 'Armonizzazione della normativa in materia di brevetti per modelli e disegni industriali con le disposizioni dell'Accordo dell'Aja del 6 novembre 1925, e successive revisioni, ratificate con l. 24 ottobre 1980, n. 744'. *Le Nuove leggi civili commentate* 1988(4): 561–583.
du Vall, Michał. 2017. *Prawo patentowe*. Wolters Kluwer.
Van Zyl Smit, D. 1985. 'Professional Patent Agents and the Development of the English Patent System'. *International Journal of Sociology of Law* 13: 79.
Vanzetti, Adriano. 2008. 'Note sui modelli di utilità e invenzioni'. *Rivista di diritto industriale* 2008: I, 189–206.
Varadarajan, Rajan. 2009. 'Fortune at the Bottom of the Innovation Pyramid: The Strategic Logic of Incremental Innovations'. *Business Horizons* 52: 21–29.
Vindicator. 1835. *London Journal of Arts and Sciences* 6: 304.
Vossius, Volker, and Ulrich Christian Hallman. 1985. *Industrial Property Laws of the Federal Republic of Germany*. 2nd ed. Munich: Wila Verlag für Wirtschaftswerbung Wilhelm Lampl, 129–168.
Wang, Runhua. 2015. 'A Defense of Utility Models: The Case in China'. *Yonsei Journal of International Studies* 7: 212–237.
Ward, Cornelius. 1850. 'The Law of Patents' (letter). *Morning Chronicle* October 28, 6.
Webster, Thomas. 1851. *The Subject-Matter of Letters Patent for Inventions and Registration of Designs* (with Statutes and Cases). 3rd ed. London: Elsworth.
1852. *The New Patent Law: Its History, Objects and Provisions*. London: Elsworth.
1853. *On Property in Designs and Inventions in the Arts and Manufactures*. London: Chapman & Hall.
Weinmiller, Jürgen. 1996. 'Europäisches Weiterbenutzungsrecht statt Europäisierung des Gebrauchsmusterrechts'. *Mitteilungen der deutschen Patentanwälte* 87(6): 150–151.
Weitzel, Günter. 1994. Pilotstudie – Die wirtschaftliche Bedeutung des Gebrauchsmusterschutzes in der Europäischen Union.
1995. 'Die wirtschaftliche Bedeutung des Gebrauchsmusterschutzes im Europäischen Binnenmarkt'. *ifo Schnelldienst* 48(16): 9–17.
Weston, Walter. 1983. 'Registered Inventions'. *Journal of the Chartered Institute of Patent Agents* 13: 370.
Whitworth, Robert. 1848. *Equity Precedents*. London: William Benning & Co.
Wichard, Johannes Christian. 2022. 'Art. 118 AEUV', in Christian Calliess and Matthias Ruffert, eds., *EUV/AEUV. Das Verfassungsrecht der Europäischen Union mit Europäischer Grundrechtecharta. Kommentar*. 6th ed. Munich: C.H. Beck.
Wild, Joff. 2023. 'Patent Litigation Finance Works: No Wonder Some Want It Curtailed'. *Intelligent Asset Management*, February 9.
Wilkof, Neil, Shamnad Basheer, and Irene Calboli. 2023. *Overlapping Intellectual Property Rights*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
Wilson, David I. 1988. 'A Trade Policy Goal for the 1990s: Improving the Adequacy and Effectiveness of Intellectual Property Protection in Foreign Countries'. *Transnational Lawyer* 1(2): 421–448.
Winter, Harvey J. 1987. 'The Role of the United States Government in Improving International Intellectual Property Protection'. *The Journal of Law and Technology* 2(1): 325–332.
Witherspoon, John F., ed. 1980. *Nonobviousness – The Ultimate Condition of Patentability*. Washington, DC: Bureau of National Affairs.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Xinhua News Agency. 2022. 'China National Intellectual Property Administration: All Kinds of Financial Support For Patent Grants Will Be Canceled By 2025'. *Xinhua News Agency*, January 27, 2022. www.gov.cn/xinwen/2022-01/27/content_5670755.htm

Xu, Liang, and Federico Munari. 2016. 'The Impact of Public Support for SMEs' Patenting Activity: Empirical Evidence from Italy', in Dan Prud'homme and Hefa Song, eds., *Economic Impacts of Intellectual Property-Conditioned Government Incentives*. Springer, 101–131.

Xue, Mingjun, and Meng Xianyu. 2020. 'To Play the Role of Utility Model System and Enhance the Competitiveness of Innovators'. *Technology Wind* 2020(3): 1.

S.Y. 1844a. 'The Copyright of Designs, and Some Recent Magisterial Decisions'. *Mechanics' Magazine* 41 (1110): 329.

1844b. 'The Registration of Useful Designs'. *Mechanics' Magazine* 41 (1111): 357.

Yang, Yieyie. 2014. 'Reforming the Utility Model System in China: Time to Limit Utility Model Patents' Scope of Protection and Improve the Quality of Chinese Utility Model Patents'. *AIPLA Quarterly Journal* 42: 393.

Yoshifuji, Kosaku. 1998.Outline of the Patent Act (13th ed. revised by Kenichi Kumagai).

Yu, Feifeng. 2017. 'Drawbacks of Patent Law and Counter Measures'. *Journal of Nanjing University of Science and Technology* 30(1): 51–58.

Yu, Peter K. 2016. 'The Transplant and Transformation of Intellectual Property Laws in China', in Nari Lee et al. eds., *Governance of Intellectual Property Rights in China and Europe*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Yu, Peter K., Jorge L. Contreras, and Yu Yang. 2022. 'Transplanting Anti-Suit Injunctions'. *American University Law Review* 71(4): 1537–1618.

Zeitsch, J. 2013. *The Economic Value of the Australian Innovation Patent: The Australian Innovation Patent Survey*. Canberra: Verve Economics.

Zhang, Huiyan. 2022. 'Characteristics of Litigated Patents in Weak Intellectual Property Rights Regimes: Evidence from China'.

Zhu, Guangyu. 2015. 'On the Way to Improve the Quality of Utility Model Patents'. *Intellectual Property* 2015(7): 86–90.

REGULATORY AND LEGISLATIVE MATERIALS

Australia

Adams, Hon. Dick. 2000. 'Second Reading Speech on the *Patents Amendment (Innovation Patents) Bill* 2000 (Cth)', Hansard, House of Representatives, Australian Parliament, August 31, 2000, 19921. https://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;query=Id%3A%22chamber%2Fhansardr%2F2000-08-31%2F0145%22

Advisory Council on Intellectual Property (Australia). 1995. *Review of the Petty Patent System: A Report to Senator the Hon. Chris Schacht, Minister for Small Business, Customs and Construction*. Canberra: The Advisory Council on Intellectual Property, August.

2002. *Consideration of Excluding Plant and Animal Subject Matter from Innovation Patent: Issues Paper*. Canberra: Advisory Council on Intellectual Property.

2004. *Should Plant and Animal Subject Matter Be Excluded from Protection by the Innovation Patent?* Canberra: Advisory Council on Intellectual Property.

2011. Review of the Innovation Patent System: Issues Paper. Canberra: Advisory Council on Intellectual Property.

2013. Review of the Innovation Patent System: Options Paper. Canberra: Advisory Council on Intellectual Property, 2013.

2015. Review of the Innovation Patent System: Final Report. Canberra: Advisory Council on Intellectual Property, 2014, revised, May.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Alphapharm, 'Submission to the Productivity Commission on Intellectual Property Arrangements', November 30, 2015. www.pc.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0005/195080/sub093-intellectual-property.pdf

Andrews MP, Hon. Karen. 2020. 'Second Reading Speech on the *Intellectual Property Laws Amendment (Productivity Commission Response Part 2 and Other Measures) Act* 2019 (Cth)', Hansard, House of Representatives, February 5, 2020. https://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;query=Id%3A%22chamber%2Fhansardr%2F43b57ed0-16b8-4818-a5ea-01bcab23df0b%2F0209%22

Australian Patents Amendment Act 1979 (Cth). http://classic.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/cth/num_act/paa1979189/s17.html

Canavan, Senator Matthew. 2019. 'Second Reading Speech on the *Intellectual Property Laws Amendment (Productivity Commission Response Part 2 and Other Measures) Act* 2019 (Cth)', Hansard, Senate, Australian Parliament, October 16, 2019, 3106.

Dean, Hon. Gordon. 1979. 'Second Reading Speech on the *Patents Amendment Bill* 1979 (Cth)', Hansards, House of Representatives, Australian Parliament, February 28, 1979, 446. https://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;query=Id%3A%22hansard80%2Fhansardr80%2F1979-02-28%2F0049%22

Designs Law Review Committee. 1973. *Report on the Law Relating to Designs, First Term of Reference*. Canberra: Australian Government, 1973. https://catalogue.nla.gov.au/catalog/2728834

1974. *Report Relating to Utility Models, Second Term of Reference*. Canberra: Australian Government, 1973/1974. https://catalogue.nla.gov.au/catalog/2729094

Entsch, Hon. Warren. 'Second Reading Speech on the *Patents Amendment (Innovation Patents) Bill* 2000 (Cth)', Hansard, House of Representatives, Australian Parliament, June 29, 2000, 18583. https://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;query=Id%3A%22chamber%2Fhansardr%2F2000–06-29%2F0049%22

Hurford, Hon. Chris. 'Second Reading Speech on the *Patents Amendment Act* 1979 (Cth)', Hansard, House of Representatives, Australian Parliament, February 28, 1979, 449. https://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;query=Id%3A%22hansard80%2Fhansardr80%2F1979–02-28%2F0050%22

Husic MP, Hon. Ed. 'National Press Club Address: Building the Economy for the Future', National Press Club, November 29, 2022. www.minister.industry.gov.au/ministers/husic/speeches/national-press-club-address-building-economy-future

Intellectual Property and Competition Review Committee (Australia). 2000. *Review of Intellectual Property Legislation under the Competition Principles Agreement*. Canberra: Australian Government.

IP Australia. 2019. 'Innovation Patent Fact Sheet'. www.ipaustralia.gov.au/sites/default/files/innovation_patent_fact_sheet_2019.pdf (web page now archived)

2021. 'Case Study – Designs Reform Project', IP Australia: Annual Report, 2020–2021. www.transparency.gov.au/annual-reports/ip-australia/reporting-year/2020-21-21

2023a. 'ACIP IP Review Archive'. www.ipaustralia.gov.au/about-us/public-consultations/acip-review-archive

2023b. 'Enhancing Australian Design Protection'. https://consultation.ipaustralia.gov.au/policy/enhancing-australian-design-protection/

2023c. 'Data, Research and Reports'. www.ipaustralia.gov.au/tools-and-research/professional-resources/data-research-and-reports

2023d. 'Innovartion Patents'. www.ipaustralia.gov.au/patents/how-to-apply-for-a-standard-patent/innovation-patents

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Macphee, Hon. Ian. 'Second Reading Speech on the *Patents Amendment Bill* 1979 (Cth)', Hansard, House of Representatives, Australian Parliament, February 21, 1979, 183. https://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;query=Id%3A%22hansard80%2Fhansardr80%2F1979-02-21%2F0063%22

McMullan, Hon. Bob. 'Second Reading Speech on the *Patents Amendment (Innovation Patents) Bill* 2000 (Cth)', Hansard, House of Representatives, Australian Parliament, August 31, 2000, 19915., https://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;query=Id%3A%22chamber%2Fhansardr%2F2000–08-31%2F0143%22

Microsoft, 'A Submission to the Productivity Commission on Intellectual Property Arrangements', June 3, 2016. www.pc.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0006/201102/subdr420-intellectual-property.pdf

O'Neil MP, Hon. Clare. 'Second Reading Speech on the *Intellectual Property Laws Amendment (Productivity Commission Response Part 2 and Other Measures) Act* 2019 (Cth)', Hansard, House of Representatives, February 5, 2020, 299.

Patrick, Senator Rex. 'Second Reading Speech on the *Intellectual Property Laws Amendment (Productivity Commission Response Part 2 and Other Measures) Act* 2019 (Cth)', Hansard, Senate, Australian Parliament, October 16, 2019, 3092.

Patterson, Senator Kay. 'Second Reading Speech on the *Patents Amendment (Innovation Patents) Bill* 2000 (Cth)', Hansard, Senate, Australian Parliament, November 2, 2000, 18960. https://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;query=Id%3A%22chamber%2Fhansards%2F2000–11-02%2F0067%22

Perrett MP, Hon. Graham. 'Second Reading Speech on the Intellectual Property Laws Amendment (Productivity Commission Response Part 2 and Other Measures) Act 2019 (Cth)', Hansard, House of Representatives, Australian Parliament, February 5, 2020, 308.

Productivity Commission (Australia). 2010. Bilateral and Regional Trade Agreements. Melbourne: Productivity Commission. www.pc.gov.au/inquiries/completed/trade-agreements/report

2013. *Compulsory Licensing of Patents*. Melbourne: Productivity Commission, 2013. www.pc.gov.au/inquiries/completed/patents/report

2016. *Intellectual Property Arrangements*, Inquiry Report No. 78. Canberra and Melbourne: Productivity Commission. www.pc.gov.au/inquiries/completed/intellectual-property#report

Roberts, Senator Malcolm. 'Second Reading Speech on the *Intellectual Property Laws Amendment (Productivity Commission Response Part 2 and Other Measures) Act* 2019 (Cth)', Hansard, Senate, Australian Parliament, October 16, 2019, 3099.

Shack, Hon. Peter. 'Second Reading Speech on the *Patents Amendment Act* 1979 (Cth)', Hansard, House of Representatives, Australian Parliament, February 28, 1979, 451, https://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;query=Id%3A%22hansard80%2Fhansardr80%2F1979–02-28%2F0051%22

Wells MP, Hon. Anika. 'Second Reading Speech on the *Intellectual Property Laws Amendment (Productivity Commission Response Part 2 and Other Measures) Act* 2019 (Cth)', Hansard, House of Representatives, Australian Parliament, February 5, 2020, 305.

Brazil

Brazil. Order from April 28, 1809. Coleção de Leis do Império do Brasil – 1809, Vol. 1. www2.camara.leg.br/legin/fed/alvara/anterioresa1824/alvara-40051-28-abril-1809-571629-publicacaooriginal-94774-pe.html

Political Constitution of the Empire of Brazil. March 25, 1824. www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/constituicao/constituicao24.htm

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Brazil. House of Representatives. 2013. 'A revisão da Lei de patentes : inovação em prol da competitividade nacional' Pedro Paranaguá (coord.). Dep. Newton Lima (Rel.). Laurez Cerqueira; Graziela Zucoloto; André de Mello e Souza; César Costa Alves de Mattos; Fábio Luis Mendes; Mauricio Jorge Arcoverde de Freitas. Brasília : Câmara dos Deputados, Edições Câmara. www2.camara.leg.br/a-camara/estruturaadm/altosestudos/seminarios/lancamento-patentes-9-10-13/a-revisao-da-lei-de-patentes

Instituto Nacional da Propriedade Industrial [INPI]. n.d. Instituto Nacional da Propriedade Industrial. www.gov.br/inpi/pt-br

n.d. Pesquisa em Propriedade Industrial. https://busca.inpi.gov.br/pePI/jsp/patentes/PatenteSearchBasico.jsp

2002. DIRPA, Diretrizes de Exame de Patentes, v.01.00. www.gov.br/inpi/pt-br/assuntos/arquivos-dirpa/resolucao064_2013_diretrizesoriginaisdepatentes.pdf

2012. DIRPA, Diretriz de Exame de Patentes de Modelo de Utilidade. www.gov.br/inpi/pt-br/servicos/patentes/consultas-publicas/arquivos/diretriz_de_mu_versao_2_original.pdf

2019. Plano de Combate ao Backlog. www.gov.br/inpi/pt-br/servicos/patentes/plano-de-combate-ao-backlog

2022a. Boletim mensal de propriedade industrial: estatísticas preliminares. www.gov.br/inpi/pt-br/central-de-conteudo/estatisticas/arquivos/publicacoes/boletim-mensal-de-propriedade-industrial-marco-de-2022.pdf

2022b. Indicadores (Tempo de Decisão Técnica e Número de Decisões). www.gov.br/inpi/pt-br/servicos/patentes/relatorios-gerenciais/tempodecisao_out-dez_2022.pdf

2022c. Coordenação Técnica de Recursos e Processos Administrativos de Nulidade em Patentes (COREP), Coordenação-Geral de Recursos e Processos Administrativos de Nulidade (CGREC). 2023b. Relatório COREP/CGREC – INPI: 2012–2022. Ed. 3. www.gov.br/inpi/pt-br/servicos/recursos-e-nulidades/relatorio-corep-2012-2022-corrigido.pdf

2023a. Solicitar patente de modelo de utilidade. www.gov.br/pt-br/servicos/solicitar-patente-de-modelo-de-utilidade

China

Agreement on Trade Relations between the United States and the People's Republic of China, China-U.S., July 7, 1979, 31 U.S.T. 4652.

China National Intellectual Property Administration [CNIPA], Notice No. 328 Concerning the Amendment of the Guidelines for Patent Examination, September 23, 2019. www.cnipa.gov.cn/art/2019/9/25/art_74_27623.html

2020. Announcement No. 391 on Amending the Guidelines for Patent Examination, December 11, 2020. www.cnipa.gov.cn/art/2020/12/14/art_74_155606.html

2021a. Announcement No. 411 on the Measures for Regulating Patent Application Activities, March 11, 2021. www.cnipa.gov.cn/art/2021/3/12/art_74_157677.html

2021b. 'Patent Reexamination and Invalidation Department of the Patent Office'. https://english.cnipa.gov.cn/module/download/downfile.jsp?classid=0&showname=2021-PATENT%20REEXAMINATION%20AND%20INVALIDATION%20DEPARTMENT%20OF%20THE%20PATENT%20OFFICE,CNIPA.pdf&filename=90841f90246b474f975a39981500689e.pdf

2021c. 'Notice on Soliciting Public Opinions on the Draft Revision of the Guidelines for Patent Examination (Draft for Comment)', August 3, 2021. www.cnipa.gov.cn/art/2021/8/3/art_75_166474.html

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

2021d. 'Notice of Further Strictly Regulating Patent Application Activities', January 27, 2021. www.gov.cn/zhengce/zhengceku/2021-01/27/content_5583088.htm
2022a. 'Notice on Soliciting Public Opinions on the Draft Revision of the Guidelines for Patent Examination (Draft Again for Comment)', October 31, 2022. www.cnipa.gov.cn/art/2022/10/31/art_75_180016.html
2022b. 'Statistical Table of Three Kinds of Patent Applications at Home and Abroad'. www.cnipa.gov.cn/art/2022/7/28/art_88_172404.html
2022c. 'Promotion Plan for In-Depth Implementation of the Opinions on Strengthening the Protection of Intellectual Property Rights', October 28, 2022. www.cnipa.gov.cn/art/2022/10/28/art_545_179970.html
2024. 'Case Center'. www.cnipa.gov.cn/col/col2632/index.html
China Patent Electronic Application Network. 2024. http://cponline.cnipa.gov.cn
China Patent Survey Report. 2021.
Detailed Rules for the Implementation of the Patent Law of the People's Republic of China (2010).
Detailed Rules for the Implementation of the Patent Law of the People's Republic of China (2023). www.cnipa.gov.cn/art/2023/12/21/art_3316_189344.html
Patent Law of the People's Republic of China (1984).
Patent Law of the People's Republic of China (as amended on December 27, 2008).
Patent Law of the People's Republic of China (as amended on October 17, 2020).
The State Council of the People's Republic of China. 2018. 'Notice on the Official Launch of the New English Translated Name of the National Intellectual Property Administration', August 28, 2018. www.gov.cn/zhengce/zhengceku/2018-12/31/content_5443904.htm
2019. 'The General Office of the CPC Central Committee and the State Council Issued the Opinions on Strengthening the Protection of Intellectual Property Rights', November 24, 2019. www.gov.cn/zhengce/2019-11/24/content_5455070.htm
2021. 'The CNIPA Responded to a Netizen's Comment on the Proposal to Abolish the Chinese Utility Model Patent System and Improve the Preferential Examination System', May 26, 2021. www.gov.cn/hudong/2021-05/26/content_5611056.htm
2021. 'An Outline for Building a Powerful Intellectual Property Nation (2021-2035)', September 22, 2021. www.gov.cn/zhengce/2021-09/22/content_5638714.htm
2022. 'Xi Jinping: The Report to the 20th National Congress of the Communist Party of China', October 25, 2022. www.gov.cn/xinwen/2022-10/25/content_5721685.htm
State Intellectual Property Office. 2007. Order No. 45 – Several Provisions of the State Intellectual Property Office on Regulating Patent Application Activities, October 1, 2007.
2009. Order No. 67 – Decision of the State Intellectual Property Office on Amending the Guidelines for Patent Examination, September 16, 2013. www.cnipa.gov.cn/art/2013/11/6/art_99_28226.html
2017. Order No. 75 – Decision of the State Intellectual Property Office on Amending the Several Provisions on Regulating Patent Application Activities, April 1, 2017. www.gov.cn/gongbao/content/2017/content_5222952.htm
2023. Order No. 78 – Guidelines for Patent Examination, December 21, 2023. www.cnipa.gov.cn/art/2023/12/21/art_99_189202.html

Denmark

Consolidated Danish Patents Law Act No. 90 of 29 January 2019.
Consolidated Danish Utility Models Act No. 91 of 29 January 2019.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Courts of Denmark. 2024. Case law from the Maritime and Commercial High Court. https://domstol.dk/om-os/english/
Danish Patent and Trademark Office (DKPTO). 2024a. Utility Models Database. https://onlineweb.dkpto.dk/pvsonline/Patent
2024b. Årsstatistik. www.dkpto.dk/Media/638217241817850080/Årsstatistik%202023.pdf
Dansk Brugsmodel Tidende. 2024. Registered Utility Models. https://tidender2.dkpto.dk/
L 49 Forslag til lov om brugsmodeller af 9. november 2005.
Lovforslag nr. L 119 af 20. november 1991, L 49 Forslag til lov om brugsmodeller af 9. November 2005.
Ministerial Order No. 1605 of 8 December 2006, On the Examination and Other Processing of Utility Models Applications and Registered Utility Models.

The Utility Model Act No. 130 of 26 February 1992 and the Consolidated Act No. 367 of 9 June 1998, as amended by Act No. 1431 of 21 December 2005 and Act No. 538 of 8 June 2006.European Union

Administrative Committee. 2022. Rules of Procedure of the Unified Patent Court of 1 September 2022.
Agreement on a Unified Patent Court (2013/C 175/01) of 19 February 2013.
Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) of 15 April 1994.
Code de la Propriété Intellectuelle (French Intellectual Property Code/IPC) of 1 July 1992, last amended May 22, 2020.
COM (1995) 370 of 19 July 1995. The Protection of Utility Models in the Single Market.
(1997) 691 of 12 December 1997 and 28 June 1999. Proposal for a European Parliament and Council Directive appromixating the legal arrangements for the protection of inventions by utility model.
(1999) 309 of 29 August 2000. Amended propsal for a European Parliament and Council Directive approximating the legal arrangements for the protection of inventions by utility model.
(2000) 412 of 28 November 2000. Proposal for a Council Regulation on the Community Patent.
(2005) 462 of 27 September 2005. Outcome of the screening of legislative proposals pending before the Legislator.
(2010) 790 of 7 September 2010. Amending annexes VII, X and XI to Regulation (EC) No. 1774/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down health rules concerning animal by-products not intended for human consumption.
(2011) 215 of 13. April 2011. Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council.
COM/2022/666 final. Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Council Regulation (EC) No. 6/2002 on Community designs and repealing Commission Regulation (EC) No. 2246/2002.
COM/2022/667 final. Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the legal protection of designs (recast).
COM (2023) 231 and 223 of 27 April 2023. Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Supplementary Protection Certificate for Medicinal Products.
(2023) 224. Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on compulsory licensing for crisis management and amending Regulation (EC) 816/2006.
Convention for the European Patent for the Common market (*Community Patent Convention*). (76/76/EEC), OJ L17/1. 1976.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Convention on the Grant of European Patents (*European Patent Convention*). 1973. 17th edition 2020.
Council Regulation (EC) No. 6/2002 of 12 December 2001 on Community Designs.
Council Regulation (EU) No. 1260/2012 of 17 December 2012 implementing enhanced cooperation in the area of the creation of unitary patent protection with regard to applicable translation arrangements (UPTReg).
Directive 98/44/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 July 1998 on the legal protection of biotechnological inventions (Biotech Directive).
Directive 2001/82/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 November 2001 on the Community code relating to veterinary medicinal products.
Directive 2004/48/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 April 2004 on the enforcement of intellectual property rights (IP Enforcement Directive).
European Commission. 1995. The Protection of Utility Models in the Single Market. Green Paper COM(95), 370 final. Brussels,July 19,1995.
1997. Proposal for a European Parliament and Council Directive Approximating the Legal Arrangements for the Protection of Inventions by Utility Models. COM(97) 691 final, December 12, 1997.
1999. Amended Proposal for a European Parliament and Council Directive Approximating the Legal Arrangements for the Protection of Inventions by Utility Models. COM(1999) 309 final, June 28, 1999.
2000. Proposal for a Council Regulation on the Community Patent. COM(2000) 412 final, August 1, 2000.
2005a. Communication from the Commission to the Council and the European Parliament. Outcome of the Screening of Legislative Proposals Pending before the Legislator. COM(2005) 462 final, September 27, 2005.
2005b. Statement by the Commission concerning Article 2 of Directive 2004/48/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights (2005/295/EC) April 13, 2005.
2020. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Region. Making the Most of the EU's Innovative Potential. An Intellectual Property Action Plan to Support the EU's Recovery and Resilience. COM(2020) 760 final, November 25, 2020.
2023a. European Innovation Scoreboard.
2023b. 'Commission Staff Working Document: Report on the Protection and Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights in Third Countries.' May 17, 2023. https://circabc.europa.eu/rest/download/7099aee0-c68f-42c5-ae30–5350a879a30e
2023c. Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on Standard Essential Patents and Amending Regulation (EU)2017/1001, COM(2023) 232, April 27, 2023.
2024. Entrepreneurship and Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMEs). https://single-market-economy.ec.europa.eu/smes_en
European Commission's IP Helpdesk. 2024. Utility Model. https://intellectual-property-helpdesk.ec.europa.eu/ip-management-and-resources/utility-model_en
European Patent Office. 2015a. Notice from the European Patent Office Dated 30 November 2015 Concerning the Programme for Accelerated Prosecution of European Patent Applications ("PACE"). OJ EPO 2015, A93.
2015b. Notice from the European Patent Office Dated 30 November 2015 Concerning Ways to Expedite the European Grant Procedure. OJ EPO 2015, A94.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

2022. Unitary Patent Guide. 2nd ed., April 2022. www.epo.org/en/legal/guide-up
2023a. Member States of the European Patent Organisation. www.epo.org/about-us/organisation/member-states.html
2023b. National Law Relating to the EPC. European Patent Applications and Patents: Law and Practice of the EPC Contracting States, Extension and Validation States, 22nd ed., July 2023.
2024. 3.1 Categories. www.epo.org/en/legal/guidelines-epc/2023/f_iv_3_1.html
European Patent Organisation. 2024. Cost of a Unitary Patent. www.epo.org/en/applying/european/unitary/unitary-patent/cost
Implementing Regulations to the Convention on the Grant of European Patents of 5 October 1973, last amended by decision of the Administrative Council of the European Patent Organisation of 13 October 2022.
Mercell. 2014. Study on the Economic Impact of the Utility Model Legislation in Selected Member States. January 24, 2014. www.mercell.com/en/tender/40184664/study-on-the-economic-impact-of-the-utility-model-legislation-in-selected-member-states-tender.aspx
Protocol (No 3) on the Statute of the Court of Justice of the European Union, Annexed to the Treaty on the European Union and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.
Regulation (EC) No. 469/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 May 2009 Concerning the Supplementary Protection Certificate for Medicinal Products.
Regulation (EU) No. 1257/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2012 Implementing Enhanced Cooperation in the Area of the Creation of Unitary Patent Protection (Unitary Patent Regulation/UPReg).
Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 June 2017 on the European Union trade mark.
SEC (2001) 1307. Summary Report of Replies to the Questionnaire on the Impact of the Community Utility Model with a View to Updating the Green Paper on Protection by the Utility Model in the Internal Market.
Treaty Establishing the European Economic Community (Rome Treaty). 1957. Document 11957E/TXT.
Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (Treaty of Lisbon), 2016/C 202/01.

Germany

Deutsche Patent and Trademark Office (DPMA). 2014. 'Utility Models FAQ', http://dpma.de/english/utility_models/faq/index.html
2017. 'Utility Models: An Information Brochure on Utility Model Protection'.
2020. 'Utility Models', October 20, 2020. www.dpma.de/english/utility_models/index.html
2021. 'Splitting Off a Utility Model from a Patent Application', March 5, 2021. www.dpma.de/english/utility_models/application/splitting_off/index.html
2024a. 125 Jahre Gebrauchsmusterschutz. www.dpma.de/service/presse/wissenswertes/schutzrechte/125_jahre/index.html
2024b. Aktuelle Statistiken: Gebrauchsmuster. www.dpma.de/dpma/veroeffentlichungen/statistiken/gebrauchsmuster/index.html
2024c. Questions around Utility Models. www.dpma.de/english/utility_models/faq/index.html
2024d. Questions around Patents. www.dpma.de/english/patents/faq/index.html
2024e. Utility Models. www.dpma.de/english/services/forms/utility_models/index.html

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

2024f. Fees for Utility Model Protection. www.dpma.de/english/services/fees/utilitymodels/index.html
Gebrauchsmustergesetz. May 5, 1936 (German Utility Model Act).
Gesetz zu dem Übereinkommen vom 27. November 1963 zur Vereinheitlichung gewisser Begriffe des materiellen Rechts der Erfindungspatente, dem Vertrag vom 19. Juni 1970 über die internationale Zusammenarbeit auf dem Gebiet des Patentwesens und dem Übereinkommen vom 5. Oktober 1973 über die Erteilung europäischer Patente (Gesetz über internationale Patentübereinkommen) Bundesgesetzblatt 1976 II Nr. 32, p. 649.
German Model Bilateral Investment Treaty 2005.
Germany – Pakistan Bilateral Investment Agreement 2009.

India

DIPP, 2011. 'Discussion Paper on Utility Models', Department of Industrial Policy & Promotion, Government of India. http://dipp.nic.in/english/Discuss_paper/Utility_Models_13May2011.pdf

International

Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, 1886.
General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade: Multilateral Trade Negotiations Final Act Embodying the Result of the Uruguay Round of Multilateral Trade Negotiations, April 15, 1994, D.O.S. 95–33, 33 I.L.M. 1125, 1197.
Harare Protocol on Patents and Industrial Designs within the Framework of the African Regional Intellectual Property Organization 1982.
Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Marks, April 14, 1891, as revised July 14, 1967, 828 U.N.T.S. 389; Protocol Relating to the Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Marks, June 28, 1989, as amended on November 12, 2007, 28 Indus. Prop. L. & Treaties 3-007, 001 (July–August 1989).
North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), December 17, 1992, 32 I.L.M. 289 (preamble to chapter 10); 32 I.L.M. 605
Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, art. 1, ¶ 2, July 14, 1967, 21 U.S.T. 1583, 828 U.N.T.S. 305
Patent Cooperation Treaty, June 19, 1970, 28 U.S.T. 7645, 1160 U.N.T.S. 231.
TRIPS: Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights, April 15, 1994, Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization, Annex 1C, 1869 U.N.T.S. 299, 33 I.L.M. 1197.
United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs. 2020a. 'World Economic Situation and Prospect'. www.un.org/development/desa/dpad/publication/world-economic-situation-and-prospects-2020/
2020b. 'Economic Analysis, LDCs at a Glance'. www.un.org/development/desa/dpad/least-developed-country-category/ldcs-at-a-glance.html
United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). 2023. Revisiting Development Innovations in Least Developed Countries: A Practical Review of Selected Intellectual Property Rights Measures.
2024. Harnessing Intellectual Property Rights for Innovation, Development and Economic Transformation in Least Developed Countries. January 2024.
United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA) December 10, 2019. 134 Stat. 11.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

WIPO Copyright Treaty, December 20, 1996. S. Treaty Doc. No. 105-17 (1997); 2186 U.N.T.S. 121; 36 I.L.M. 65 (1997).
World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). 1980. WIPO Model Law for Developing Countries on Inventions: Examination and Registration of Contracts, Inventors' Certificates, Technovations, Transfer of Technology Patents. WIPO Publication 841, vol. 2.
2018. World Intellectual Property Indicators. Geneva: WIPO.
2021. Innovation Driving Human Progress. WIPO and the Sustainable Development Goals. Geneva: WIPO.
2022a. World Intellectual Property Indicators 2022. www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-941-2022-en-world-intellectual-property-indicators-2022.pdf
2022b. 'Global Innovation Index 2022: What Is the Future of Innovation Driven Growth?' www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-2000-2022-en-main-report-global-innovation-index-2022-15th-edition.pdf
2023a. World Intellectual Property Indicators 2023. www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo-pub-941-2023-en-world-intellectual-property-indicators-2023.pdf
2023b. Utility Models. www.wipo.int/patents/en/topics/utility_models.html
2023c. Global Innovation Index: Innovation in the Face of Uncertainty. World Intellectual Property Organization.
2023d. WIPO IP Statistics Data Centre. www3.wipo.int/ipstats/index.htm?tab = utility
2023e. Member States. www.wipo.int/members/en/
2024a. WIPO Lex. www.wipo.int/wipolex/en/index.html
2024b. WIPO Patentscope. www.wipo.int/patentscope/en/
2024c. Annual WIPO Intellectual Property Judges Forum (November, Geneva).
World Bank. 2023. GDP (current US$).
2024. 'GDP Per Capita (Current US$) – Korea, Rep.' https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.PCAP.CD?locations = KR

Italy

Decree of the President of the Republic 8 January 1979, No. 32 on European and Community Patents.
Decree of the President of the Republic 22 June 1979, No. 338, Revision of the Legislation on Patents.
Law 30 August 1868, No. 4578 on Industrial Designs and Models.
Law 23 May 1977, No. 265 on the Duration of Patents for Utility Models and Ornamental Models and Designs.
Law 14 February 1987, No. 60 Harmonizing Laws on Patents for Models and Industrial Designs with the Hague Agreement of November 6, 1925.
Legislative Decree 2 February 2001, No. 95 Implementing Directive 98/71/CE on the Legal Protection of Designs and Models.
Legislative Decree 10 February 2005, No. 30, Industrial Property Code (IPC).
Regulation (EU) No. 1257/2012 of 17 December 2012 Implementing Enhanced Cooperation in the Area of the Creation of Unitary Patent Protection,
Royal Decree 13 September 1934, No. 1602 on Industrial Patents and Trademarks.
Royal Decree 29 June 1939, No. 1127 on Patents for Industrial Inventions.
Royal Decree of 25 August 1940, No. 1411 on Industrial Models.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Japan

Advisory Council for the Japan Patent Office. 2004. Report. www.jpo.go.jp/resources/shingikai/sangyo-kouzou/shousai/jitsuyou-wg/document/h16houkokusho/utility_model_wg.pdf
First Team of the 2021 Committee on Patents. 2022. Examination of a New System for Utility Models, Patent, vol. 75, no. 9.
Japan-Indonesia Economic Partnership Agreement 2007.
Japan Patent Office (JPO). 1984. One Hundred Year History of the Industrial Property System.
2023. Report. www.jpo.go.jp/resources/report/nenji/2023/document/index/0202.pdf

Korea

Korean Intellectual Property Office. 2022. 'Industrial Property Annual Report.'
2023. 'Fee Information'. www.patent.go.kr/smart/jsp/ka/menu/fee/main/FeeMain01.do
2024. 'Statistics'. www.kipo.go.kr/en/HtmlApp?c=97000&catmenu=ek07_03_01

Poland

Explanatory Memorandum to the draft of the [Polish] Industrial Property Law. https://legislacja.rcl.gov.pl/docs//2/12359055/12874004/12874005/dokument552323.pdf
Patent Office of the Republic of Poland (Polish Patent Office). 2003. Annual Report. https://uprp.gov.pl/pl/publikacje/raport-roczny-uprp
2022. Annual Report.

United Kingdom

UK Board of Trade

BT 1/379. Report of Registrar of Designs to the Board of Trade respecting the origin, nature and tendency of the Designs Copyright Act (Letters to Board of Trade (November 3, 1841).
BT/1/413. 'The Prince Memorial' (June 29, 1843).
BT 45/1.
BT 45/2.
BT 45/3.
BT 45/4.
BT 45/5.
BT 45/6.
BT 45/7.
BT 45/9.
BT 45/10.
BT 45/12.
BT 45/14.
BT 50/21/16596.
BT 50/157/173011.
BT 51/9/16596.
BT 51/64/173011.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

BT 209/283.
BT 209/282 BT 209/288 (Minutes of Meetings of Sargant Committee).
BT 209/479.
BT209/480.
BT 209/485 (Lord Parker's Committee).
BT 209/486 (Recommendations of the British Electrical Allied Manufacturers Association 1916).

UK Parliamentary Papers

Board of Trade, *Report of the Departmental Committee on Industrial Designs* (Cmnd. 1808) (1962) ('Johnston Committee').
The British Patent System. Report of the Committee to Examine the Patent System and Patent Law (Cmnd. 4407) (1970–71) ('Banks Committee').
Green Paper, *Intellectual Property and Innovation* (Cmnd 9117) (1983) ('Nicholson Committee').
Reform of the Law relating to Copyright, Designs and Performers' Protection (Cmnd. 8302) (1981).
Report of the Committee on the Signet and Privy Seal Offices: with minutes of evidence and appendix (1849) (C 1099) 22 PP 453 ('Report on Signet (1849)').
Report of the Departmental Committee on the Patents and Designs Act and the Practice of the Patent Office (Cmd. 3829) (1931) ('Sargant Committee').
Report and minutes of evidence taken before the Select Committee of the House of Lords appointed to consider Bill intituled "An act further to amend the Law touching letters Patent for Inventions" and also the Bill intituled "An Act for the further amendment of the Law touching Letters Patent for Inventions" (1851) (HC Papers 486) 18 PP 255 ('SCPB 1851')
'Report of the Proceedings of the Design Office' in *First Report of the Department of Science and Art* (1854) 28 PP 269, 463, App. N.
Report from the Select Committee on Letters Patent; together with the proceedings of the committee, minutes of evidence, appendix, and index (1872) (HC Papers 193), 11 PP.
Report from the Select Committee on the Law Relative to Patents for Inventions (1829) (HC Papers 332), 3 PP 415. ('SCLPI (1829)').
Report from the Select Committee on Pawnbrokers 1870 Together with Proceedings of the Committee, Minutes of Evidence and Appendix. 377. (1870) 8 PP.
Select Committee on Metropolis Police Offices 1837, (1837) 12 PP.
Select Committee on Metropolis Police Offices, Report, Minutes of Evidence, Appendix, Index 1838, (1838) 15 PP.
Select Committee of House of Lords to consider Bill, intituled, Act to extend provisions of Designs Act, 1850, and to give Protection from Piracy to Persons exhibiting new Inventions in Exhibition of Works of Industry of all Nations in 1851 (1851) (HC Papers 145) 18 PP 671. ('SCPI 1851').

Other UK Agency Materials

Department of Trade and Industry, *Intellectual Property and Innovation* (Cmnd. 9712) (1986).
Report of the Commission on Intellectual Property Rights. 2002. *Integrating Intellectual Property Rights and Development Policy*. London: Stationery Office.
Report on International Development. 2000. *Eliminating World Poverty: Making Globalisation Work for the Poor*. London: Stationery Office, Cm 5006.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Reports of Proceedings before Houses of Parliament

Hansard (HS), Vol. 278, col 349–50 (April 16, 1883) (Second reading of Patents, Designs and Trade Marks Bill).

UK Legislation

An Act for the Encouragement of the Arts of designing and printing Linens, Cottons, Calicoes and Muslins by Vesting the Properties thereof in the Designers, Printers and Proprietors for a Limited Time, 27 Geo. 3, c.23 (1787).

An Act for Amending and making perpetual an Act for the Encouragement of the Arts of designing and printing Linens, Cottons, Calicoes and Muslins by Vesting the Properties thereof in the Designers, Printers and Proprietors for a Limited Time, 34 Geo. 3, c. 23 (1794).

An Act to Secure to Proprietors of Designs for Articles of Manufacture the Copyright of such Designs for a Limited Time, 2 Vict., c.17 (1839).

An Act for regulating the Metropolitan Police Court, 2 & 3 Vict., c.71 (1839).

An Act to consolidate and amend the Laws relating to the Copyright of Designs for ornamenting Articles of Manufacture, 5 & 6 Vict., c.100 (1842) ('ODA').

An Act to consolidate amend the Laws relating to the Copyright of Designs, 6 & 7 Vict., c. 65 (1843) ('The UDA').

An Act for the More Easy Recovery of Small Debts, 9 & 10 Vict., c. 95 (1846) ('The County Courts Act').

An Act to facilitate the performance of the duties of Justices of the Peace out of Sessions within England and Wales, with respect to Persons charged with Indictable Offences 11 & 12 Vict., c. 42 (1848).

An Act to regulate certain Offices in the Petty Bag in the High Court of Chancery, etc., 11 & 12 Vict., c.94 (1848).

An Act to extend and amend the Acts relating to Copyright of Designs, 13 & 14 Vict., c. 104 (1850), ('Designs Act 1850').

An Act to Extend the Provision of the Designs Act, 1850, and to give Protection from Piracy to Persons exhibiting new Inventions in the Exhibition of the Works of Industry of All Nations in 1851, 14 Vict., c. 8 ('Protection of Inventors Act 1851').

An Act for Extending the Term of the Provisional Registration for Inventions under the Protections of Inventions Act, 15 & 16 Vict., c. 6 (1851).

An Act for amending the Law for granting Patents of Inventions, 15 & 16 Vict., c. 83 ('Patent Law (Amendment) Act 1852').

An Act to amend the act of the fifth and sixth years of Her present Majesty, to consolidate and amend the laws relating to the Copyright of Designs for ornamenting Articles of Manufacture, 21 & 22 Vict. c. 70 (1858) ('Copyright of Designs Act, 1858').

Patents Designs and Trade Marks Act, 46 & 47 Vict. c.57 (1883) ('PDTMA').

Patents Designs and Trade Marks Act, 51 & 52 Vict., c.30 (1888) (PDTMA 1888)

Patent Office (UK). 1884. Designs Rules. *Official Journal of the Patent Office*, January 4, 1884 1: 79.

Patents and Designs Act 1919.

Registered Designs Act 1949.

United States

U.S. Copyright Off. 2023. 'Copyright Small Claims and the Copyright Claims Board', December 6, 2023. www.copyright.gov/about/small-claims/.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

U.S. Dept. Justice, & Federal Trade Comm'n. 2007. 'Antitrust Enforcement and Intellectual Property Rights: Innovation and Competition'.
U.S. Patent & Trademark Off. 2023a. 'Law School Clinic Certification Program'. December 6, 2023. www.uspto.gov/learning-and-resources/ip-policy/public-information-about-practitioners/law-school-clinic-1.
2023b. 'Micro Entity Status'. December 6, 2023. www.uspto.gov/patents/laws/micro-entity-status.
2023c. 'Patent Pro Bono Program: Free Patent Legal Assistance'. December 6, 2023. www.uspto.gov/patents/basics/using-legal-services/pro-bono/patent-pro-bono-program.
2023d. 'Patents Pendency Data October 2023', December 3, 2023. www.uspto.gov/dashboard/patents/pendency.html.
2023e. 'USPTO Fee Schedule'. December 3, 2023, www.uspto.gov/learning-and-resources/fees-and-payment/uspto-fee-schedule#Patent%20Fees.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Off. 2022. Small Claims Patent Court Study (Request for Comments). 87 Fed. Reg. 26183.

CASES

Australia

Aristocrat Technologies Australia Pty Ltd v. Commissioner of Patents [2022] Hearing (10 July, 2022), www.hcourt.gov.au/cases/case_s40-2022
Britax Childcare Pty Ltd v. Infa-Secure Pty Ltd [2012a] FCA 467 (May 9).
Britax Childcare Pty Ltd v. Infa-Secure Pty Ltd (No 3) [2012b] FCA 1019.
Coretell Pty Ltd v. Australian Mud Company Pty Ltd [2017] FCAFC 54.
D'Arcy v. Myriad Genetics Inc. (2015) 258 CLR 334.
Datadot Technology Ltd v. Alpha Microtech Pty Ltd [2003] FCA 962 (August 5, 2003).
Dura-Post (Aust) Pty Ltd v. Delnorth Pty Ltd (2009) 177 FCR 239
Grant v. Commissioner of Patents (2006) 154 FCR 62.
National Research Development Corporation v. Commissioner of Patents ('NRDC') (1959) 102 CLR 252.
Quaker Chemical (Australasia) Pty Ltd v. Fuchs Lubricants (Australasia) Pty Ltd (No 2) [2020] FCA 306.
Seafood Innovations Pty Ltd v. Richard Bass Pty Ltd [2011] FCAFC 83.
Thaler v. Commissioner of Patents (2021) FCA 879 at [124], relying on s2A of the Australian Patents Act (Cth) 1990. The decision was subsequently reversed by the Australian Federal Court in Commissioner of Patents v. Thaler, [2022] FCAFC 62.

Austria

Teleskopfausleger, Austrian Patent Office, Supreme Patent and Trademark Senate, Austria, decision of December 22, 2010, OGM 1/10.

Brazil

Brazil. Supreme Court, Unconstitutionality Action n. 5529 (Action n. 4000796-72.2016.1.00.0000. https://portal.stf.jus.br/processos/detalhe.asp?incidente = 4984195

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

China

Gree v. Aux [案号：一审：（2017）粤73民初390号；二审：（2018）粤民终1132号] Case No. 390, First Instance (Guangdong High People's Ct. 2017), Case No. 1132, Second Instance (Guangdong High People's Ct. 2018) (China).

Bai Wanqing v. Chengdu Hard-to-Find Goods Marketing Service Center and Other Disputes Over Patent Infringement of Utility Models [指导案例55号：柏万清诉成都难寻物品营销服务中心等侵害实用新型专利权纠纷案（最高人民法院审判委员会讨论通过2015年11月19日发布）"] Sup. People's Ct. Guiding Case No. 55, November 19, 2015 (China).

European Union

Case C-15/74 Centrafarm BV and Adriaan de Peijper v. Sterling Drug Inc. (1974) ECR 1974 01147.

Case C-34/10 Brüstle v. Greenpeace [2011] ECR I-9849.

Cofemel – Sociedade de Vestuário SA v. G-Star Raw CV, European Court of Justice, decision of September 12, 2019, C-683/17.

Dominguez v. Centre informatique du Centre Ouest Atlantique, Préfet de la région Centre, European Court of Justice (ECJ), decision of January 24, 2012, C-282/10.

Joint cases C-56/64 and 58/64 Établissements Consten S.à.R.L. and Grundig-Verkaufs-GmbH v. Commission of the European Economic Community (1966) ECR 1966 00429.

Kingdom of Spain and Italian Republic v. Council of the European Union, European Court of Justice (ECJ), decision of April 16, 2013, joined cases C-274/11 and C-295/11.

Kingdom of Spain v. European Parliament and Council of the European Union, European Court of Justice (ECJ), decision of May 5, 2015, C-146/13.

Philip Morris Brands SARL and Others v. Secretary of State for Health, European Court of Justice (ECJ), decision of May 4, 2016, C-547/14.

The Queen, on the application of Alliance for Natural Health and Nutri-Link Ltd v. Secretary of State for Health and The Queen, on the application of National Association of Health Stores and Health Food Manufacturers Ltd v. Secretary of State for Health and National Assembly for Wales, European Court of Justice (ECJ), decision of July 12, 2005, joined cases C-154/04 and C-155/04.

The Queen, on the application of Vodafone Ltd and Others v. Secretary of State for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform, European Court of Justice (ECJ), decision of June 8, 2010, C-58/08.

S.H.D. 6. januar 2023 i 1.-instanssag BS-25766/2021-SHR.

Germany

Demonstrationsschrank, Federal Court of Justice (FCJ), Germany, decision of June 20, 2006, X ZB 27/05.

RRMS-Therapie, Regional Court Dusseldorf (RCD), decision of September 1, 2022, 4a O 27/22.

Italy

App. Milano 22 October 1971, Rivest Polival s.d.f. c. ditta Piero Brambilla.

App. Bologna 1 February 1972, Successori Italo-Svizzera Macchine Agricole v. Sergio Verdini.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

App. Milano 4 February 1972, A.C.F.A. Accessori Calzature Fibbie e Affini s.a.s. c. Ruggiero Olivieri e figli, Dolomite s.a.s., Gianni Munari e Marico Cagnoli e v. Calzaturificio San Marco.
Cass. 15 December 1983, No. 7398, Bellco s.p.a. v. Ministero Industria Commercio e Artigianato.
Cass. 2 April 2008, No. 8510, Candy v. BSH Bosch und Siemens Haugeräte.
Cass. 11 September 2009, No. 19688, Gipron Giuseppe Pronzati s.p.a. v. Masters s.r.l. et al.
Cass. 10 August 2016, No. 16949, Tecnosystem s.p.a. v. Vecam-Co. s.p.a. and VECAMCO s.r.l.
Cass. 16 September 2019, No. 22984, Gemata s.p.a. v. Bergi s.p.a.
Cass. 12 March 2012, No. 7110, Inoxa v. Compagnucci Rossano, Compagnucci Holding s.p.a. in liquidazione and Compagnucci s.p.a.
Trib. Torino 3 March 2022 (order), Combustion and Energy s.r.l., C&E Group s.r.l. v. Sirena s.p.a. and Obsta.

Kenya

In re Request for Relief by Alex Muigai Muchiri and Itesyl Technologies against Cooperative Bank Of Kenya Limited, Proptech Kenya and Ezen Partners Limited (Industrial Properties Tribunal) IPT Case Number E002 (2021).
Zaidat Limited v. Fenesi Management Limited and Fenesi Limited (Industrial Properties Tribunal) IPT Case No. 86, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92 and 93 (2020).

Korea

Patent Ct., 2004, 2003He0915 (S. Kor.)
Sup. Ct., April 12, 2002, 99Hu2150 (S. Kor.)
Sup. Ct. January 19, 2012, 2010Da95390 (S. Kor.)

United Kingdom

Barker v. Millingen, (1845) *Evening Standard*, July 22, p. 5; *The Times*, July 23, p. 7 (Vice-Chancellor).
Bentley v. Carver (December 4–5, 1844) *London Journal of Arts and Sciences* 25: 414 (nisi prius, Westminster, Tindal CJ with special jury).
Bentley v. Fleming (1844) *London Journal of Arts and Sciences* 25:199 (nisi prius, Liverpool Assizes, Cresswell J.); *London Journal of Arts and Sciences* 25:412, 1 C.B. 479, 135 E.R. 627(Common Pleas).
Besley v. Gallie (December 29, 1848) *The Times*, December 30, p. 7; *Morning Advertiser*, December 30, p. 4; *The Era*, December 31, p. 5; *The Times*, January 4, 1849, p. 5 (letter); *The Sun*, January 4, 1849, p. 1 (letter); (Bow St Police Court).
R v. Bessell (1851) 16 Queen's Bench Reports 810, 117 E.R. 109; 17 *Law Times* 104; *Morning Herald*, April 28, p. 6 (Queen's Bench).
Bessell v. Wilson (1852–1853) *Morning Chronicle*, July 9, 1852, p. 8; *The Times*, July 9, 1852, p. 8 (nisi prius); (November 3, 1852) (rule nisi); (January 19, 1853); (1853) 1 El & Bl 489; 118 E.R. 518; 20 *Law Times* 233; 17 *Justice of the Peace* 567; *The Times*, January 20, 1853, p. 7 (Queen's Bench).
Biddell v. Rogers and De Costa (October 1, 1852) *The Times*, October 2, p. 8; *Morning Herald*, October 2, p. 7; *The Globe*, October 2, p. 4; *Daily News*, October 2, p. 3 (Guildhall Police Court).

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Boswell v. Denton (September 13, 1845) *The Times*, September 15, p. 8; *London Journal and Pioneer*, September 20, p. 6; *Repertory of Patent Inventions* 6: 265 (Guildhall Police Court).
Brown v. Wigram (1850) London Evening Standard, December 6, p. 4; Shipping and Mercantile Gazette, December 6 (Court of Exchequer).
Burkin v. Pratt, Hurst & Co. (1893) 12 RPC 371.
Burnett v. Smith (July 24, 1844) *London Journal of Arts and Sciences* 25: 137 (Vice-Chancellor).
Clark & Roskell v. Grimes (1851) *Morning Advertiser*, December 16, p. 7 (Marlborough St Police Court).
In re Clarke [1896] 2 Ch 38 (Court of Appeal).
Coalbrookdale Co v. John Page (1851) *Birmingham Journal*, January 25, p. 5; *Patent Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 10: 214–215 (County Court).
Cooper v. Symington (1893) 10 RPC 264 (High Court, Ch. D.).
Crosskill v. Grounsull (1844) *London Journal of Arts and Sciences* 25: 208 (nisi prius, Liverpool assizes).
Dixon v. Bessell (1850) *Morning Post*, October 2, p. 4; *Mechanics' Magazine* 53: 274 (Guildhall Police Court).
Engell & Co v. Foster & Co (May 5, 1851) *Morning Advertiser*, May 6, p. 6 (Guildhall Police Court).
Engell & Co v. Thomas and James Venables (May 24, 1851) Morning Advertiser, May 26, p. 7; *Patent Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 11:104 (Worship Street Police Court).
Evans v. Harlow (1843) Macclesfield Courier, August 5, p. 2; *London Journal of Arts and Sciences* 23: 147 (Stockport Borough).
Fox v. Evans (May 1848) *Weekly Chronicle*, May 7, p. 9; *Morning Advertiser*, May 8, p. 4; (1848) *Patent Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 4 (103): 604 (Guildhall Police Court).
Grant v. Welch, Margetson & Co (April 4, 1849) *Morning Post*, April 5, p. 8; *Morning Herald*, April 5, p. 7; (1849) *Patent Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 7: 8 (Guildhall Police Court).
Grassby v. Westerdale (1842) *Mechanics' Magazine* 36: 511 (Patrington, Yorkshire, Petty Sessions).
Gregory v. Armstrong (1851) *Morning Post*, March 10, p. 8 (Thames Police Court).
Guise v. Walsh (March 12, 1846) *Morning Post*, March 13, p. 3 (Guildhall Police Court).
Haynes v. Brown (February1848) *Morning Advertiser*, February 21, p. 4; (1848) *Patent Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 4(92):334 (Guildhall Police Court).
Hervey v. Kurtz (1848) *Morning Herald*, August 3, p. 7; *Morning Herald*, December 4, p. 6 (Rolls Court).
Holder v. Osborn (1862) *Birmingham Daily Post*, March 19, p. 3 (Birmingham Public Office 1862)
Holdsworth v. McRea (1867) L.R. 2 H.L. 380 (House of Lords).
Kennedy and Asprey v. Coombs and Finlay (February 15, 1845) *London Journal of Arts and Science* 26: 111–113 (Marlborough St Police Court).
Loveridge v. Gray, Bailey and Bartlett (January 31, 1861) *Birmingham Journal*, February 2, p. 6 (Birmingham Public Office).
Mangall v. M'Alpine (December 16–18, 1844) *London Journal of the Arts and Sciences* 25: 417 (Guildhall, Tindal CJ and Special Jury); (1846) 3 C.B. 496, 136 E.R. 198 (Common Pleas).
Margetson v. May (October 1844) *The Times*, October 2, 1844, p.8; *Morning Advertiser*, October 2, 1844, p.4; *Weekly Chronicle*, October 5, 1844, p. 6; *London Journal of Arts and Sciences* 25: 338

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Margetson v. Wright (June 3, 1848) *Morning Advertiser*, June 5, p. 4; *Patent Journal and Inventors Magazine* 5(107): 59 (Guildhall Police Court); *The Times*, July 19, p. 7; *Morning Herald*, July 19; *Patent Journal and Inventors Magazine* 5: 213; (1848) 2 De G & Sm 420, 64 ER 188; (Vice-Chancellor); *The Times*, February 6, 1849 p. 7 (Queen's Bench).

M'Koy and Sidney v. Robertson, *New Times*, July 28, 1826, p. 3 (Vice-Chancellor).

Millingen v. Picken (1845), *Weekly Dispatch*, February 23, p. 4 (nisi prius); *The Times*, April 18, p. 8 (rule nisi); *Law Chronicle, Commercial and Bankruptcy Register*, April 19, p. 7; *The Times*, June 4, p. 8; *Evening Mail*, June 4, p. 7; (1845) 5 *Law Times* 216; 1 C.B. 798 (Common Pleas).

Moody v. Tree (1892) 9 RPC 333 (High Court, Q.B.D.).

In the Matter of Morton's Design (1900) 17 RPC 117.

Motte v. Lancaster (June 19, 1850) *Morning Advertiser*, June 20, p. 4; *Morning Post*, June 20, p. 8; *Patent Journal and Inventors Magazine* 9: 159–160 (Guildhall Police Court).

Motte v. Welch et al (August 29, 1850), *Morning Advertiser*, August 30, p. 4; *Morning Post*, August 30, p. 4 (Guildhall Police Court).

Muntz v. Foster (February 8–13, 1844) *London Journal of Arts and Sciences* 24: 299 (nisi prius Westminster, before Tindal CJ and a special jury).

Newall v. Webster (1844) *Mechanics Magazine* 42 (1120): 56 (Exchequer).

Nickels v. Haslam (1844) *Repertory of Patent Inventions* 4:172; 7 Man. & G. 378, 135 E.R. 158 (Common Pleas).

Pinches v. Addenbrokes (October 9–10, 1850) *London Evening Standard*, October 10, p. 4, October 11, p. 4; *Morning Herald*, October 10, p. 7; October 11, p. 8; *Morning Chronicle*, October 10, p. 8; *Morning Advertiser*, October 10, p. 4; *Globe*, October 10, 1850, p. 4; *Patent Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 10:15 (Guildhall Police Court).

Pinches v. Le Challas (October 9–10, 1850) *London Evening Standard*, October 10, p. 4, October 11, p. 4; *Morning Herald*, October 10, p. 7; October 11, p. 8; *Morning Chronicle*, October 10, p. 8; *Morning Advertiser*, October 10, p. 4; *Globe*, October 10, p. 4 (Guildhall Police Court).

Pow v. Taunton (April 10–11, 1844) *London Journal of Arts and Sciences* 24: 458 (Liverpool Assizes, Rolfe B. and Special jury); 9 Jurist 1056 (Queen's Bench).

Price v. Chambers (December 31, 1847) *Morning Advertiser*, January 1, 1848, p. 4; (1848) *Patent Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 4(85):166; (Guildhall Police Court)

Robertson v. Norris (1857) *St James' Chronicle*, November 12, p. 6; *Herapath's Journal*, November 21, p. 1190, 1191 (Vice-Chancellor); *Morning Herald*, January 29, 1858, p. 7 (Lord Chancellor).

Rogers and Beedle v. Driver (1850) *Berkshire Chronicle*, March 2, p. 3; *Reading Mercury*, March 2, p. 2 (nisi prius, Berkshire Lent assizes); *Morning Herald*, March 2, p. 7 (misstating the complainants as 'Rogers and Beesley' and the judge to be Cresswell J); *Oxford Chronicle and Reading Gazette*, March 2, p. 3 (nisi prius); (1850) 16 Q.B. 102, 117 E.R. 817 (1851) 20 L.J.Q.B. 31; *Law Times* 364 (Queen's Bench).

Rubery v. Barr (November 3, 1845) *London Journal of the Arts and Sciences* 27:374 (Vice-Chancellor).

Russell v. Ledsam (June 24–25, 1844) *London Journal of Arts and Sciences* 25:44 (nisi prius, Westminster, before Baron Alderson and special jury)

Sealy v. Browne (November 16–17, 1843) *London Journal of Arts and Sciences* 23: 468 (Rolls Court).

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Stead v. Williams (1843) *London Journal of Arts and Sciences* 23: 211 (nisi prius, Cresswell J.), 25: 140; (1844) *London Journal of the Arts and Sciences* 25: 140, (1844) *Repertory of Patent Inventions* 249; 7 Man. & Gr. 818, 135 E.R. 332 (Common Pleas).
Taylor v. Cowley (1868) *Aris's Birmingham Gazette*, April 23, p. 6 and April 25, p. 7 (Birmingham Public Office)
Tecalemit Ltd v. Ewarts Lts (No. 2) (1927) 44 RPC 503 (High Court, Ch. D.)
Twigg v. Smith and Kemp (November 20, 1848) *Birmingham Journal*, November 25, 1848, p. 6 (Public Office, Birmingham).
Tyler & Sons v. Sharpe Brothers (1894) 11 RPC 35 (High Court, Ch. D).
Vine & Others v. Johnson (December 28, 1843) *Repertory of Patent Inventions* 3: 118 (Worship Street Police Court).
Walker, Hunter, & Co v. Hecla Foundry (1889) 6 RPC 554 (House of Lords).
Ward v. Key (December 1, 1847) *The Times*, December 2, p. 7; *Patent Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 4(81); 61 (nisi prius with special jury).
Warner v. Woolley (March 22, 1845), *Mechanics' Magazine* 42: 215 (Guildhall Police Court).
Webb v. Hughes (April 12, 1847) *Mechanics' Magazine* 46 (No. 1236): 383; *Patents Journal and Inventors' Magazine* 2: 776 (Guildhall Police Court).
Welch v. May (October 1844) *The Times*, October 2, p.8; *Morning Advertiser*, October 2, p.4; *Weekly Chronicle*, October 5, p. 6; *London Journal of Arts and Science* 25: 338 (Guildhall Police Court).
R v. Welch (1851) 17 LT 105; 15 Justice of the Peace 338; Shipping and Mercantile Gazette, May 5, p. 4 (Queen's Bench).
Williams v. Rimell (October 1845) *Morning Post*, October 28, p. 7 (Hammersmith Police Court).
Wolferstan v. Warner (November 5, 1844), *London Journal of Arts and Sciences* 25: 340, (1845) Repertory of Patent Inventions 5: 262 (Guildhall Police Court).
Wolverson v. Law (1877) *Birmingham Mail*, May 17, p. 3 (Birmingham Public Office 1877).
Woodcroft v. Reyner (November 20, December 2–3, 1844) *London Journal of Arts and Sciences* 25: 413 (nisi prius, Tindal CJ).
Woolley v. Warner (March 22, 1845) *Morning Advertiser*, March 24, p. 4; *Mechanics' Magazine* 42 (No. 1129): 215 (Guildhall Police Court).
Wright v. Wells (May 28, 1875) *Birmingham Daily Post*, May 15, p.6; *Birmingham Daily Post*, May 29, p. 5 (Balsall Heath Petty Sessions).
Yates v. Finch (January 10, 1842), *Aris's Birmingham Gazette*, January 17, 1842, p. 3; *Mechanics' Magazine* 36: 94; *Weekly True Sun*, February 13, 1842, p. 4 (Petty sessions, Dudley).

United States

Mayo Collaborative Services v. Prometheus Laboratories, Inc., 566 U.S. 66 (2021).

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 08:40:29, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009478113.028