was no good reason to depart from those rules. In so deciding, he found that the illegally erected memorial could not create a precedent in favour of such memorials. [RA]

doi: 10.1017/S0956618X0700052X

Re St Paul, Drighlington
(Wakefield Consistory Court: Collier Ch, August 2006)
Monuments – illegal additions – removal

During the incumbent's sick leave between July 2003 and January 2004 a number of illegal monuments were erected in a section of the churchyard, and items such as kerbs and chippings were added to various graves. Notices were put in the parish magazine and in the churchyard urging the families to remove illegally added items. The chancellor considered *Re Johnson and Hulme deceased* (1995) 14 CCCC, 5 and *Re St Mary Westham* (1999) 18 CCCC, 29 and *Re St Matthew, Boughton* (2002) 21 CCCC, 30. The chancellor felt a balance had to be made between the feelings of the bereaved and the wider community interest in the proper management of the whole churchyard. He was quite persuaded that the difficulties caused by the unlawful items justified the granting of a faculty for their removal under section 3 of the Faculty Jurisdiction Measure 1964. [JG]

doi: 10.1017/S0956618X07000531

New Testament Church of God v Stewart
(Employment Appeals Tribunal, October 2006)
Employment – unfair dismissal – minister – contractual relationship

The New Testament Church of God, based in the United States, is a company limited by guarantee in the United Kingdom and a registered charity, with 108 churches and 295 ordained ministers, of whom 88 are based in churches. Ministers receive payment for services rendered, sometimes referred to as salary and sometimes as stipend. Pastors' remuneration is paid out of a local tithe paid by church members. Mr Stewart was ordained in 1984 and ministered at the Harrow church. In 1999, after an audit suggested to the Church's National Office that there were accounting irregularities at the Harrow church, it was agreed that Mr Stewart would in future be paid through the National Office. A further audit in January 2005 again revealed

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0956618X07000531 Published online by Cambridge University Press