SANDWICHING C_0-SEMIGROUPS

J. M. A. M. VAN NEERVEN

Abstract

Let $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ be a C_0-semigroup on a Banach space X. The following results are proved.

(i) If X is separable, there exist separable Hilbert spaces X_0 and X_1, continuous dense embeddings $j_0 : X_0 \longrightarrow X$ and $j_1 : X \longrightarrow X_1$, and C_0-semigroups $\mathbf{T}_0$ and $\mathbf{T}_1$ on X_0 and X_1 respectively, such that $j_0 \circ T_0(t) = T(t) \circ j_0$ and $T_1(t) \circ j_1 = j_1 \circ T(t)$ for all $t \geqslant 0$.

(ii) If $\mathbf{T}$ is $\odot$-reflexive, there exist reflexive Banach spaces X_0 and X_1, continuous dense embeddings $j : D(A^2) \longrightarrow X_0$, $j_0 : X_0 \longrightarrow X$, $j_1 : X \longrightarrow X_1$, and C_0-semigroups $\mathbf{T}_0$ and $\mathbf{T}_1$ on X_0 and X_1 respectively, such that $T_0(t) \circ j = j \circ T(t)$, $j_0 \circ T_0(t) = T(t) \circ j_0$ and $T_1(t) \circ j_1 = j_1 \circ T(t)$ for all $t \geqslant 0$, and such that $\sigma(A_0) = \sigma(A) = \sigma(A_1)$, where A_k is the generator of $\mathbf{T}_k$, $k = 0, \varnothing, 1$.

Introduction

In this paper we investigate the following problem: given a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators (briefly, a C_0-semigroup) $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ on a Banach space X, is it possible to find spaces X_0 and X_1, continuous dense embeddings $j_0 : X_0 \longrightarrow X$ and $j_1 : X \longrightarrow X_1$, and C_0-semigroups $\mathbf{T}_0$ and $\mathbf{T}_1$ on X_0 and X_1, respectively, such that $j_0 \circ T_0(t) = T(t) \circ j_0$ and $T_1(t) \circ j_1 = j_1 \circ T(t)$ for all $t \geqslant 0$?

Naturally, this question is only meaningful if we require the spaces X_0 and X_1 and/or the semigroups $\mathbf{T}_0$ and $\mathbf{T}_1$ in some sense to be 'better' than X and $\mathbf{T}$. Below we provide two affirmative answers:

(i) If X is separable, then X_0 and X_1 may be chosen to be separable *Hilbert* spaces.

(ii) If $\mathbf{T}$ is $\odot$-reflexive, then X_0 and X_1 may be chosen to be *reflexive* Banach spaces; we may choose X_0 to be intermediate between $D(A^2)$ and X, and we may arrange that $\sigma(A_0) = \sigma(A) = \sigma(A_1)$, where A_k is the generator of $\mathbf{T}_k$, $k = 0, \varnothing, 1$.

These results are proved in Sections 1 and 2, respectively.

1. *Sandwiching between Hilbert space semigroups*

Throughout this section, we fix a Banach space X and a C_0-semigroup $\mathbf{T}$ on X. If H is a Banach space which is continuously embedded into X, for each $t > 0$ we define the linear subspace H_t of X by

$$H_t = \left\{ \int_0^t T(s)\, ih(s)\, ds : h \in L^2([0, t]; H) \right\};$$

here $i : H \subset X$ denotes the inclusion mapping.

Received 11 September 1997; revised 8 April 1998.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 47D03.

This research has been made possible by a fellowship of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences.

J. London Math. Soc. (2) 60 (1999) 581–588

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024610799007814 Published online by Cambridge University Press

THEOREM 1.1. *If H is a reflexive Banach space which is continuously embedded into X, then there exists another reflexive Banach space X_0, continuously embedded in X, such that*

(i) $\mathbf{T}$ *restricts to a C_0-semigroup $\mathbf{T}_0$ on X_0;*

(ii) $H_t \subset X_0$ *for all $t > 0$.*

If X is separable, then H is separable as well. If H is a Hilbert space, then X_0 may be chosen to be a Hilbert space as well.

Proof. The space $\mathscr{H} := L^2([0,\infty); H)$ is reflexive; if H is a Hilbert space, then $\mathscr{H}$ is a Hilbert space as well. Fix $M > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|T(t)\| \leqslant Me^{\omega t}$ for all $t \geqslant 0$, and fix $\alpha > \omega$. Define $S: \mathscr{H} \to X$ by

$$Sh := \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} T(t)\, ih(t)\, dt.$$

We check that this integral exists as a Bochner integral in X, and that S is a bounded operator from $\mathscr{H}$ into X. The integrand is strongly measurable, and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \|T(t)\, ih(t)\|_X\, dt &\leqslant \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \|T(t)\|_{\mathscr{L}(X)}\, \|i\|_{\mathscr{L}(H,X)}\, \|h(t)\|_H\, dt \\ &\leqslant M\|i\|_{\mathscr{L}(H,X)} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\alpha-\omega)t} \|h(t)\|_H\, dt \\ &\leqslant M\|i\|_{\mathscr{L}(H,X)} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-2(\alpha-\omega)t} dt \right)^{1/2} \|h\|_{\mathscr{H}} =: C\|h\|_{\mathscr{H}}.\end{aligned}$$

On $X_0 := \operatorname{range} S$ we define a norm $\|\cdot\|_{X_0}$ by $\|Sh\|_{X_0} := \|\pi h\|_{\mathscr{H}/\ker S}$, where $\pi: \mathscr{H} \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}/\ker S$ is the quotient map. The resulting space X_0 is isometrically isomorphic to $\mathscr{H}/\ker S$ and therefore reflexive; if H is a Hilbert space then X_0 is a Hilbert space as well. If X is separable, then also H is separable.

The quotient operator $\tilde{S}: \mathscr{H}/\ker S \longrightarrow X$, defined by $\tilde{S}(\pi h) := Sh$, has norm $\leqslant C$. Consequently,

$$\|Sh\|_X = \|\tilde{S}(\pi h)\|_{\mathscr{H}/\ker S} \leqslant C\|\pi h\|_{\mathscr{H}/\ker S} = C\|Sh\|_{X_0}.$$

It follows that the inclusion $X_0 \subset X$ is continuous.

For $s \geqslant 0$ and $h \in \mathscr{H}$, define $h_s \in \mathscr{H}$ by

$$h_s(t) := \begin{cases} h(t-s) & t \geqslant s, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$T(s)\,(Sh) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} T(t+s)\, ih(t)\, dt = e^{\alpha s} \int_s^\infty e^{-\alpha t} T(t)\, ih(t-s)\, dt = e^{\alpha s} Sh_s.$$

Hence, $T(s)\,(Sh) \in X_0$. If $g \in \mathscr{H}$ is such that $Sg = Sh$, then the above identity shows that $Sg_s = Sh_s$, which implies that $\|\pi h_s\|_{\mathscr{H}/\ker S} \leqslant \|\pi h\|_{\mathscr{H}/\ker S}$. Consequently,

$$\|T(s)\,(Sh)\|_{X_0} = e^{\alpha s}\|\pi h_s\|_{\mathscr{H}/\ker S} \leqslant e^{\alpha s}\|\pi h\|_{\mathscr{H}/\ker S} = e^{\alpha s}\|Sh\|_{X_0}.$$

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024610799007814 Published online by Cambridge University Press

It follows that $T(s)$ restricts to a bounded operator $T_0(s)$ on X_0 of norm less than or equal to $e^{\alpha s}$. We check that the resulting semigroup $\mathbf{T}_0$ is strongly continuous on X_0. Let $i_0: X_0 \subset X$ denote the inclusion mapping. By dominated convergence, for all $x^* \in X^*$ and $h \in \mathscr{H}$ we have

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \langle T_0(s)\, Sh, i_0^*\, x^* \rangle = \lim_{s \downarrow 0} \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \langle T(t+s)\, ih(t), x^* \rangle\, dt$$

$$= \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \langle T(t)\, ih(t), x^* \rangle\, dt = \langle Sh, i_0\, x^* \rangle.$$

By the reflexivity of X_0, the restriction mapping $i_0^*: X^* \longrightarrow X_0^*$ has dense range. Since $\mathbf{T}_0$ is locally bounded on X_0, it follows that $\mathbf{T}_0$ is weakly continuous on X_0. Hence by a standard result from semigroup theory [**6**, Theorem 2.1.4], $\mathbf{T}_0$ is strongly continuous on X_0. This proves part (i).

To prove part (ii), fix $t > 0$ and $h \in L^2([0, t], H)$. Define $\tilde{h} \in \mathscr{H}$ by

$$\tilde{h}(s) := \begin{cases} e^{\alpha s} h(s) & s \in [0, t], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_0^t T(s)\, ih(s)\, ds = S\tilde{h} \in X_0,$$

and therefore $H_t \subset X_0$ by definition of H_t. □

If $\mathbf{T}$ is uniformly exponentially stable, then X_0 may be chosen in such a way that $\mathbf{T}_0$ is uniformly exponentially stable on X_0: one takes $\omega < \alpha < 0$ in the above proof. This observation also applies to the results below, but we have no particular application for it. We do not know whether, in the case where $\mathbf{T}$ is uniformly bounded, it is possible to choose X_0 in such a way that $\mathbf{T}_0$ is uniformly bounded as well. However, using a weighted L^2 space to define $\mathscr{H}$, the proof of Theorem 1.1 can be modified to obtain $\mathbf{T}_0$ with at most linear growth.

The following theorem is in some sense 'dual' to Theorem 1.1. It depends on the following simple observation.

LEMMA 1.2. *Suppose that X is a separable Banach space. There exists a separable Hilbert space H which is densely and continuously embedded in X.*

Proof. Let (x_n) be a sequence of norm 1 vectors in X with dense linear span. Define a bounded operator $j: l^2 \longrightarrow X$ by $j: (\alpha_n) \mapsto \sum_n n^{-1} \alpha_n x_n$. The restriction of j to $H := (\ker j)^\perp$ is an embedding. □

THEOREM 1.3. *If X is separable, there exists a separable Hilbert space X_1 and a continuous dense embedding $j: X \longrightarrow X_1$ such that*

$$T_1(t)\, jx := jT(t)\, x \quad (x \in X)$$

defines a C_0-semigroup $\mathbf{T}_1$ on X_1.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024610799007814 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. Define

$$X^{\odot} := \{x^* \in X^* : \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \| T^*(t)\, x^* - x^* \| = 0\}.$$

Thus $X^{\odot}$ is the largest subspace of X^* on which the adjoint semigroup $\mathbf{T}^*$ acts in a strongly continuous way. The space $X^{\odot}$ is a norm-closed, weak*-dense, $\mathbf{T}^*$-invariant subspace of X^* which induces an equivalent norm in X in the sense that there exists a constant $M \geqslant 1$ such that

$$M^{-1}\|x\| \leqslant \sup\{|\langle x, x^{\odot}\rangle| : x^{\odot} \in X^{\odot}, \|x^{\odot}\| \leqslant 1\} \leqslant \|x\|$$

for all $x \in X$ [7, Chapter 1]. The restriction of $\mathbf{T}^*$ to $X^{\odot}$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{T}^{\odot}$.

By the separability of X we may choose a separable closed $\mathbf{T}^{\odot}$-invariant subspace Y of $X^{\odot}$ which still induces an equivalent norm in X. Let H_Y be any separable Hilbert space which is densely embedded in Y; such a Hilbert space exists by Lemma 1.2. By Theorem 1.1(i) there exists a continuously embedded, $\mathbf{T}^{\odot}$-invariant, separable Hilbert space Y_0 in Y such that $\mathbf{T}^{\odot}$ restricts to a C_0-semigroup on Y_0. Put $\mathbf{T}_0^{\odot} := \mathbf{T}^{\odot}|_{Y_0}$.

Since H_Y is dense in Y, part (ii) of Theorem 1.1 shows that the inclusion $j: Y_0 \subset Y$ is dense. Therefore the adjoint map $j^*: Y^* \longrightarrow Y_0^*$ is injective with dense range; here Y_0^* denotes the Banach space dual of the (Hilbert) space Y_0.

Since Y induces an equivalent norm in X; X is canonically isomorphic to a norm closed, weak*-dense, $(\mathbf{T}^{\odot}|_Y)^*$-invariant subspace of Y^*. Under this identification, j^* restricts to an injective map from X into Y_0. We claim that this restriction still has dense range. Indeed, j^* is weak*-to-weakly continuous as a map from Y^* to Y_0^*, being an adjoint operator taking values in a reflexive space. The claim now follows from the fact that X is weak*-dense in Y^*.

We have obtained the dense embedding $j_1 := j^*|_X$ from X into $X_1 := Y_0^*$. The adjoint semigroup $\mathbf{T}_1 := (\mathbf{T}_0^{\odot})^*$ is a C_0-semigroup on X_1, being the adjoint of a strongly continuous semigroup on a reflexive space. For all $x \in X$ and $x_1 \in X_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x_1, T_1(t) j_1 x\rangle &= \langle x, j T_0^{\odot}(t)\, x_1\rangle \\ &= \langle x, T^{\odot}(t) j x_0\rangle \\ &= \langle T(t)\, x, j x_1\rangle \\ &= \langle x_1, j_1\, T(t)\, x\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $T_1(t) \circ j_1 = j_1 \circ T(t)$. Finally, we observe that $X_1 = Y_0^*$ can be given the structure of a Hilbert space in a natural way by providing it with the inner product of Y_0. □

As a corollary we find that every semigroup on a separable Banach space is sandwiched between two Hilbert space semigroups.

COROLLARY 1.4. *If $\mathbf{T}$ is a C_0-semigroup on a separable Banach space X, then there exist separable Hilbert spaces X_0 and X_1, continuous dense embeddings $j_0: X_0 \longrightarrow X$ and $j_1: X \longrightarrow X_1$, and C_0-semigroups $\mathbf{T}_0$ and $\mathbf{T}_1$ on X_0 and X_1 respectively, such that $j_0 \circ T_0(t) = T(t) \circ j_0$ and $T_1(t) \circ j_1 = j_1 \circ T(t)$ for all $t \geqslant 0$.*

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024610799007814 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. Apply Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 1.2 to obtain X_0 and $\mathbf{T}_0$, and apply Theorem 1.3 to obtain X_1 and $\mathbf{T}_1$. □

The constructions in Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 have their origin in the theory of stochastic differential equations (SDEs) on Hilbert spaces.

If $\mathbf{T}$ is a C_0-semigroup on a Hilbert space X and $Q \in \mathscr{L}(X)$ is a positive self-adjoint operator such that

$$\sup_{t>0} \operatorname{Trace} Q_t < \infty,$$

where the positive self-adjoint operators $Q_t \in \mathscr{L}(X)$ are defined by

$$Q_t x := \int_0^t T(s)\, Q\, T^*(s)\, x\, ds \quad (x \in X),$$

then $Q_\infty x := \lim_{t\to\infty} Q_t x$ defines a positive self-adjoint operator Q_∞ which is the covariance operator of a unique centered Gaussian measure μ on X. It was shown in [**3**] that the reproducing kernel Hilbert space H_∞ associated with this measure is $\mathbf{T}$-invariant; this space H_∞ is continuously embedded in X. This situation is covered by Theorem 1.1 in the following way. If we take H to be the reproducing kernel Hilbert space associated with Q (this space is continuously embedded in X) and let $\alpha = 0$, then the space X_0 constructed in Theorem 1.1 coincides with H_∞.

As to Theorem 1.3, a duality construction which in some sense resembles the one presented here was used in [**2**] to show that to every C_0-semigroup $\mathbf{T}$ on a Hilbert space X, another Hilbert space X_1 and a Hilbert–Schmidt embedding $j_1 : X \longrightarrow X_1$ can be associated in such a way that $\mathbf{T}$ extends to a C_0-semigroup $\mathbf{T}_1$ on X_1. This result is applied to the study of SDEs with cylindrical noise.

2. *The $\odot$-reflexive case*

In this section we will prove versions of the above results for $\odot$-reflexive semigroups. It turns out that for this class of semigroups it is possible to control the spectra of the generators of $\mathbf{T}_0$ and $\mathbf{T}_1$, the price to pay being that X_0 and X_1 are obtained only as reflexive Banach spaces.

We start with a lemma about equality of spectra, which may be compared to [**1**, Proposition 1.1].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let A be a closed operator with domain $D(A)$ on a Banach space X. Suppose X_0 is a Banach space such that $D(A^2) \subset X_0 \subset X$ with continuous inclusions. Denote the part of A in X_0 by A_0. If $\varrho(A) \cap \varrho(A_0) \neq \varnothing$, then $\sigma(A_0) = \sigma(A)$.*

Proof. First we prove the inclusion $\varrho(A) \subset \varrho(A_0)$. Pick $\lambda \in \varrho(A)$ and fix an arbitrary $\mu \in \varrho(A) \cap \varrho(A_0)$. If $x_0 \in X_0$, then $R(\lambda, A)\, R(\mu, A)\, x_0 \in D(A^2) \subset X_0$. Therefore,

$$R(\lambda, A)\, x_0 = R(\mu, A_0)\, x_0 + (\mu - \lambda)\, R(\lambda, A)\, R(\mu, A)\, x_0 \in X_0.$$

Hence $R(\lambda, A)\, X_0 \subset X_0$, and the restriction $R(\lambda, A)|_{X_0}$ defines a bounded operator on X_0 by the closed graph theorem. Clearly $R(\lambda, A)|_{X_0}$ is a two-sided inverse for $\lambda - A_0$, so $\lambda \in \varrho(A_0)$.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024610799007814 Published online by Cambridge University Press

To prove the inclusion $\varrho(A_0) \subset \varrho(A)$, pick $\lambda \in \varrho(A_0)$ and fix an arbitrary $\mu \in \varrho(A)$. Define a bounded operator R_λ on X by

$$R_\lambda x := R(\mu, A)x + (\mu - \lambda) R(\mu, A)^2 x + (\mu - \lambda)^2 R(\lambda, A_0) R(\mu, A)^2 x \quad (x \in X).$$

Then it is easily verified that R_λ is a two-sided inverse of $\lambda - A$, so $\lambda \in \varrho(A)$. □

We now return to the setting of Section 1 and assume that A is the generator of a C_0-semigroup $\mathbf{T}$ on X.

LEMMA 2.2. *If H is a Banach space such that $D(A) \subset H \subset X$ with continuous inclusions, then $D(A^2) \subset H_t$ for all $t > 0$.*

Proof. Fix $t > 0$ and choose $\omega \in \varrho(A) \cap \mathbb{R}$ so large that $\|e^{-\omega t} T(t)|_{D(A)}\|_{\mathscr{L}(D(A))} < 1$. Then the restriction to $D(A)$ of $I - e^{-\omega t} T(t)$ is invertible in $D(A)$, and for $x \in D(A)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\omega - A)^{-1} x &= (I - e^{-\omega t} T(t))(\omega - A)^{-1} (I|_{D(A)} - e^{-\omega t} T(t)|_{D(A)})^{-1} x \\ &= -\int_0^t e^{-\omega s} T(s) (I|_{D(A)} - e^{-\omega t} T(t)|_{D(A)})^{-1} x \, ds.\end{aligned}$$

However,

$$(I|_{D(A)} - e^{-\omega t} T(t)|_{D(A)})^{-1} x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-n\omega t} T(nt) x \in D(A) \subset H,$$

the sum being absolutely convergent in $D(A)$. Therefore the function h defined by

$$h(s) := -e^{-\omega s} (I|_{D(A)} - e^{-\omega t} T(t)|_{D(A)})^{-1} x \quad (s \in [0, t])$$

belongs to $L^2([0, t]; H)$. From

$$(\omega - A)^{-1} x = \int_0^t T(s) h(s) \, ds,$$

we conclude that $(\omega - A)^{-1} x \in H_t$. □

Denote $X^{\odot *} := (X^\odot)^*$ and $X^{\odot\odot} := (X^\odot)^\odot$, the $\odot$-dual of $X^\odot$ with respect to the C_0-semigroup $\mathbf{T}^\odot$. Define a map $k : X \longrightarrow X^{\odot *}$ by

$$\langle x^\odot, kx \rangle := \langle x, x^\odot \rangle \quad (x^\odot \in X^\odot).$$

Since $X^\odot$ induces an equivalent norm in X, the map k is an isomorphic embedding, and it is easy to see that $kX \subset X^{\odot\odot}$. If $kX = X^{\odot\odot}$, then $\mathbf{T}$ is said to be $\odot$-*reflexive*. By a theorem of de Pagter [**5**] (cf. also [**7**, Theorem 2.5.2]), this happens if and only if there exists $\mu \in \varrho(A)$ such that $R(\mu, A)$ is a weakly compact operator; in this case $R(\mu, A)$ is weakly compact for all $\mu \in \varrho(A)$.

LEMMA 2.3. *If $\mathbf{T}$ is $\odot$-reflexive, then there exists a reflexive Banach space H such that $D(A) \subset H \subset X$ with continuous inclusions.*

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024610799007814 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. Fix any $\lambda \in \varrho(A)$. Then $R(\lambda, A)$ is weakly compact. If $(x_n) \subset D(A)$ is a sequence which is bounded with respect to the graph norm of $D(A)$, then $((\lambda - A)x_n)$ is a bounded sequence in X and therefore the identity $x_n = R(\lambda, A)((\lambda - A)x_n)$ shows that the sequence (x_n) is relatively weakly compact in X. This shows that the inclusion $D(A) \subset X$ is weakly compact. Hence by the factorization theorem of Davis–Figiel–Johnson–Pelczynski [**4**], it factors through a reflexive Banach space. Accordingly there exists a reflexive Banach space H_0 and bounded operators $T_0 : D(A) \to H_0$ and $T_1 : H_0 \longrightarrow X$ such that $T_1 T_0 x = x$ for all $x \in D(A)$. Let $H := \text{range } T_1$; H is a reflexive Banach space with respect to the norm $\|T_1 h_0\| := \|\pi h_0\|_{H_0/\ker T_1}$, where $\pi : H_0 \longrightarrow H_0/\ker T_1$ is the quotient mapping. We now have $D(A) \subset H \subset X$ with continuous inclusions, the first one being given by $T_1 \circ T_0$. □

THEOREM 2.4. *Suppose* $\mathbf{T}$ *is a* $\odot$*-reflexive semigroup on a Banach space* X*. Then there exist reflexive Banach spaces* X_0 *and* X_1 *and a continuous dense embedding* $j : X \longrightarrow X_1$ *such that*

(i) $D(A^2) \subset X_0 \subset X$ *with continuous and dense inclusions;*
(ii) $\mathbf{T}$ *restricts to a* C_0*-semigroup* $\mathbf{T}_0$ *on* X_0*;*
(iii) $T_1(t)jx := jT(t)x$ $(x \in X)$ *defines a* C_0*-semigroup on* X_1*;*
(iv) $\sigma(A_0) = \sigma(A) = \sigma(A_1)$*, where* A_k *is the generator of* $\mathbf{T}_k$*,* $k = 0, 1$.

Proof. Let X_0 be the space of Theorem 1.1. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.2 we have $D(A^2) \subset H_t$ for all $t > 0$, and therefore $D(A^2) \subset X_0$ by Theorem 1.1(ii). By the closed graph theorem this inclusion is continuous. Since $D(A^2)$ is dense in X, the inclusion $X_0 \subset X$ is dense. From $D(A_0^2) \subset D(A^2) \subset X_0$ we see that the inclusion $D(A^2) \subset X_0$ is dense as well. This proves (i) and (ii). Equality of the spectra $\sigma(A_0) = \sigma(A)$ follows from Lemma 2.1 and the easy observation that A_0 is indeed the part of A in X_0.

The space X_1 is constructed as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, except for the following modifications. We now take $Y := X^\odot$, notice that the strongly continuous adjoint semigroup $\mathbf{T}^\odot$ is $\odot$-reflexive (see, for example, [**7**, Corollary 2.5.8]), and apply Lemma 2.3 to see that there exists a reflexive space Y_0 such that

(i) $D((A^\odot)^2) \subset Y_0 \subset Y = X^\odot$ with dense inclusions;
(ii) $\mathbf{T}^\odot$ restricts to a C_0-semigroup $\mathbf{T}_0^\odot$ on Y_0;
(iii) $\sigma(A_0^\odot) = \sigma(A^\odot)$.

The adjoint $j^* : X^{\odot *} \longrightarrow X_1 := Y_0^*$ of the inclusion $j : Y_0 \subset X^\odot$ is injective with dense range, and its restriction $j_1 = j^*|_X$ to X has dense range in X_1 again. Recalling that the spectra of a generator, its adjoint, and its $\odot$-adjoint always agree, it follows that for the adjoint semigroup $\mathbf{T}_1 := (\mathbf{T}_0^\odot)^*$ on X_1 we have $\sigma(A_1) = \sigma(A_0^\odot) = \sigma(A^\odot) = \sigma(A)$; cf. [**7**, Section 1.4]. □

REMARK 2.5. (i) It would be interesting to know whether $D(A^2)$ can be replaced by $D(A)$ in the above result.

(ii) Assertions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.4 actually characterize $\odot$-reflexive semigroups. In fact, if the inclusion mapping $D(A^2) \subset X$ factors through a reflexive Banach space Y, then by factoring $R(\mu, A)^2$ through $D(A^2)$ it follows that $R(\mu, A)^2$ factors through Y as well, and therefore $R(\mu, A)^2$ is weakly compact. It is easy to prove [**5**] that $R(\mu, A)$ is also then weakly compact, and hence $\mathbf{T}$ is $\odot$-reflexive.

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024610799007814 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(iii) The 'metamathematical' interpretation of Theorem 2.4 is as follows. Suppose $\mathscr{C}$ is a set of conditions which implies a certain property $\mathscr{P}$ for C_0-semigroups on reflexive Banach spaces. Then $\mathscr{C}$ also implies property $\mathscr{P}$ for $\odot$-reflexive semigroups, provided that the conditions $\mathscr{C}$ are stable under similarity transformations and both $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{P}$ are stable under continuous dense inclusions. Indeed, if $\mathbf{T}$ is $\odot$-reflexive and verifies the conditions $\mathscr{C}$, then its restriction $\mathbf{T}_{D(A^2)}$ to $D(A^2)$ also verifies $\mathscr{C}$ (use the similarity transformation $T_{D(A^2)}(t) = R(\lambda, A)^2\, T(t)\,(\lambda - A)^2$). Hence $\mathbf{T}_0$ verifies $\mathscr{C}$ as well (inject $D(A^2)$ into X_0). By reflexivity it follows that $\mathbf{T}_0$ has property $\mathscr{P}$, and therefore (by injecting into X) $\mathbf{T}$ has property $\mathscr{P}$.

This provides a canonical way of extending certain results for C_0-semigroups on reflexive spaces to arbitrary $\odot$-reflexive semigroups.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Charles Batty for some helpful suggestions.

References

1. W. Arendt, 'Spectrum and growth of positive semigroups', *Evolution equations*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics 168 (ed. G. Ferreyra, G. Ruiz Goldstein and F. Neubrander, Marcel Dekker, 1995) 21–28.
2. V. I. Bogachev, M. Röckner and B. Schmuland, 'Generalized Mehler semigroups and applications', *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 105 (1996) 193–225.
3. A. Chojnowska-Michalik and B. Goldys, 'On regularity properties of non-symmetric Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroups in L^p spaces', *Stochastics Stochastics Rep.* 59 (1996) 183–209.
4. W. J. Davis, T. Figiel, W. B. Johnson and A. Pelczynski, 'Factoring weakly compact operators', *J. Funct. Anal.* 17 (1974) 311–327.
5. B. de Pagter, 'A characterization of sun-reflexivity', *Math. Ann.* 283 (1989) 511–518.
6. A. Pazy, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations* (Springer, 1983).
7. J. M. A. M. van Neerven, *The adjoint of a semigroup of linear operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1529 (Springer, 1992).

Department of Mathematics
Delft University of Technology
PO Box 5031
2600 GA Delft
Netherlands

J.vanNeerven@twi.tudelft.nl

https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024610799007814 Published online by Cambridge University Press