CALL FOR PROPOSALS

REVIEW ARTICLES

Business Ethics Quarterly invites scholars to submit proposals for manuscripts that provide comprehensive and insightful scholarly surveys of topics relevant to business ethics research. Articles developed out of these proposals should summarize recent important research on a topic relevant to business ethics (broadly defined), develop linkages between that topic and other important topics and issues, and provide valuable directions for future research on the topic. Work from both the social sciences and humanities is welcome; authors should consult *BEQ*'s "information for contributors" page to see the range of topics *BEQ* considers for publication (www.businessethicsquarterly.org).

Proposals should be five to ten pages long (double-spaced), not including references. Please limit references to two pages, single-spaced, highlighting the most significant works in the topic area you propose to survey. Any necessary tables or charts should be in an appendix, and should be limited in number.

Submit proposals to editor Denis Arnold at BEQeditor@uncc.edu. Proposals will be reviewed by the editor and associate editors or editorial board members. Proposals will be evaluated on the following criteria:

1) **Importance**: The proposed review manuscript must address an important and substantial area of research, integrating a wide range of research on that topic in a way that makes a clear contribution to the advancement of theory and research relevant to business ethics. The contribution to advancing theory and research is essential; proposals that merely summarize existing research will not be accepted, and manuscripts that fail to advance theory and research will be rejected despite earlier approval of a proposal. Please note that in recent years *BEQ* has published reviews on moral identity, whistleblowing, neuroscientific approaches to ethics, organizational justice research, evolutionary approaches to ethics, the ethics of emotional influence in organizations, personal legacies in organizations, and sweatshop labor issues. Thus we are not likely to accept a proposal on one of these topics unless it takes a radically different approach to the issue. Other topics also are under consideration for publication; authors might wish to check with the journal to determine if their topic of interest already is under consideration.
2) **Organization**: The proposal should be clearly organized, well-argued, and engage the relevant existing research well.
3) **Feasibility**: The proposal should be defined precisely enough that the editors will be able to judge its feasibility.

Authors who are invited to submit a full review article will submit their article to our online manuscript review system. An invitation to submit a full article does not guarantee publication. All review articles receive external blind review.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1052150X0000590X Published online by Cambridge University Press